

Linear Regression Models

Segment 5 – Model Selection

Topic 2 – Information Criteria including AIC and BIC

Sudarsan N.S. Acharya (sudarsan.acharya@manipal.edu)

Topics



1. Model Complexity Scores

2. The Akaike Information Criterion (AIC)

3. The Bayesian Information Criterion (BIC)







 A major drawback in using prediction error on test data estimated using cross validation for model selection is that



• A major drawback in using prediction error on test data estimated using cross validation for model selection is that it can be computationally expensive.



- A major drawback in using prediction error on test data estimated using cross validation for model selection is that it can be computationally expensive.
- An alternative is to use *model complexity scores* which are measures of performance that:



- A major drawback in using prediction error on test data estimated using cross validation for model selection is that it can be computationally expensive.
- An alternative is to use *model complexity scores* which are measures of performance that: (1) depend only on the training data



- A major drawback in using prediction error on test data estimated using cross validation for model selection is that it can be computationally expensive.
- An alternative is to use *model complexity scores* which are measures of performance that: (1) depend only on the training data (2) do not suffer from bias due to over-fitting on the training data.



- A major drawback in using prediction error on test data estimated using cross validation for model selection is that it can be computationally expensive.
- An alternative is to use *model complexity scores* which are measures of performance that: (1) depend only on the training data (2) do not suffer from bias due to over-fitting on the training data.
- Model complexity scores typically take the form loss + penalty.



- A major drawback in using prediction error on test data estimated using cross validation for model selection is that it can be computationally expensive.
- An alternative is to use *model complexity scores* which are measures of performance that: (1) depend only on the training data (2) do not suffer from bias due to over-fitting on the training data.
- Model complexity scores typically take the form loss + penalty.
- The loss term captures the goodness of fit of the model to the training data.



- A major drawback in using prediction error on test data estimated using cross validation for model selection is that it can be computationally expensive.
- An alternative is to use *model complexity scores* which are measures of performance that: (1) depend only on the training data (2) do not suffer from bias due to over-fitting on the training data.
- Model complexity scores typically take the form loss + penalty.
- The loss term captures the goodness of fit of the model to the training data.
- The penalty term accounts for adding more predictors to the model which



- A major drawback in using prediction error on test data estimated using cross validation for model selection is that it can be computationally expensive.
- An alternative is to use *model complexity scores* which are measures of performance that: (1) depend only on the training data (2) do not suffer from bias due to over-fitting on the training data.
- Model complexity scores typically take the form loss + penalty.
- The loss term captures the goodness of fit of the model to the training data.
- The penalty term accounts for adding more predictors to the model which balances the loss term which always decreases as more predictors are added to the model.









$$\underbrace{n \log(RSS) - n \log(n)}_{\text{loss}} + \underbrace{2p}_{\text{penalty}}$$



ullet The AIC for a model built using n samples and p predictors is defined as

$$\underbrace{n \log(RSS) - n \log(n)}_{\text{loss}} + \underbrace{2p}_{\text{penalty}}$$

ullet The first term involves the RSS which is a measure of fit of the model and



ullet The AIC for a model built using n samples and p predictors is defined as

$$\underbrace{n\log(RSS) - n\log(n)}_{\text{loss}} + \underbrace{2p}_{\text{penalty}}$$

• The first term involves the RSS which is a measure of fit of the model and a constant term which plays no role in model selection.



$$\underbrace{n \log(RSS) - n \log(n)}_{\text{loss}} + \underbrace{2p}_{\text{penalty}}$$

- The first term involves the RSS which is a measure of fit of the model and a constant term which plays no role in model selection.
- The second term is the penalty term for adding more predictors to the model.



$$\underbrace{n\log(RSS) - n\log(n)}_{\text{loss}} + \underbrace{2p}_{\text{penalty}}$$

- The first term involves the RSS which is a measure of fit of the model and a constant term which plays no role in model selection.
- The second term is the penalty term for adding more predictors to the model.
- The AIC approach tends to work well for underlying population models that are actually complex.



$$\underbrace{n\log(RSS) - n\log(n)}_{\text{loss}} + \underbrace{2p}_{\text{penalty}}$$

- The first term involves the RSS which is a measure of fit of the model and a constant term which plays no role in model selection.
- The second term is the penalty term for adding more predictors to the model.
- The AIC approach tends to work well for underlying population models that are actually complex.
- The resulting models typically



$$\underbrace{n\log(RSS) - n\log(n)}_{\text{loss}} + \underbrace{2p}_{\text{penalty}}$$

- The first term involves the RSS which is a measure of fit of the model and a constant term which plays no role in model selection.
- The second term is the penalty term for adding more predictors to the model.
- The AIC approach tends to work well for underlying population models that are actually complex.
- The resulting models typically (1) have a large number of predictors



$$\underbrace{n\log(RSS) - n\log(n)}_{\text{loss}} + \underbrace{2p}_{\text{penalty}}.$$

- The first term involves the RSS which is a measure of fit of the model and a constant term which plays no role in model selection.
- The second term is the penalty term for adding more predictors to the model.
- The AIC approach tends to work well for underlying population models that are actually complex.
- The resulting models typically (1) have a large number of predictors
 (2) have good predictive ability



$$\underbrace{n\log(RSS) - n\log(n)}_{\text{loss}} + \underbrace{2p}_{\text{penalty}}$$

- The first term involves the RSS which is a measure of fit of the model and a constant term which plays no role in model selection.
- The second term is the penalty term for adding more predictors to the model.
- The AIC approach tends to work well for underlying population models that are actually complex.
- The resulting models typically (1) have a large number of predictors (2) have good predictive ability (3) have low interpretability.









The Bayesian Information Criterion (BIC)



The Bayesian Information Criterion (BIC)

$$\underbrace{n\log(RSS) - n\log(n)}_{\text{loss}} + \underbrace{\log(n)p}_{\text{penalty}}.$$





ullet The BIC for a model built using n samples and p predictors is defined as

$$\underbrace{n\log(RSS) - n\log(n)}_{\text{loss}} + \underbrace{\log(n)p}_{\text{penalty}}.$$

• The only difference from AIC is in the last term which is $\log(\text{number of samples})$ here.





$$\underbrace{n \log(RSS) - n \log(n)}_{\text{loss}} + \underbrace{\log(n)p}_{\text{penalty}}.$$

- The only difference from AIC is in the last term which is $\log(\text{number of samples})$ here.
- The BIC approach tends to work well for underlying population models that are actually simple.





$$\underbrace{n \log(RSS) - n \log(n)}_{\text{loss}} + \underbrace{\log(n)p}_{\text{penalty}}.$$

- The only difference from AIC is in the last term which is $\log(\text{number of samples})$ here.
- The BIC approach tends to work well for underlying population models that are actually simple.
- The resulting models typically





$$\underbrace{n \log(RSS) - n \log(n)}_{\text{loss}} + \underbrace{\log(n)p}_{\text{penalty}}.$$

- The only difference from AIC is in the last term which is $\log(\text{number of samples})$ here.
- The BIC approach tends to work well for underlying population models that are actually simple.
- The resulting models typically (1) have a small number of predictors



The Bayesian Information Criterion (BIC)

$$\underbrace{n \log(RSS) - n \log(n)}_{\text{loss}} + \underbrace{\log(n)p}_{\text{penalty}}.$$

- The only difference from AIC is in the last term which is $\log(\text{number of samples})$ here.
- The BIC approach tends to work well for underlying population models that are actually simple.
- The resulting models typically (1) have a small number of predictors (2) have optimal predictive ability



The Bayesian Information Criterion (BIC)

$$\underbrace{n\log(RSS) - n\log(n)}_{\text{loss}} + \underbrace{\log(n)p}_{\text{penalty}}.$$

- The only difference from AIC is in the last term which is $\log(\text{number of samples})$ here.
- The BIC approach tends to work well for underlying population models that are actually simple.
- The resulting models typically (1) have a small number of predictors (2) have optimal predictive ability (3) have good interpretability.

Summary



- Necessity of model complexity scores
- Differences between AIC and BIC for model selection