

Linear Regression Models

Segment 2 - Multiple Linear Regression Model

Topic 2 – Accuracy of Ordinary Least Squares Model and Estimators

Sudarsan N.S. Acharya (sudarsan.acharya@manipal.edu)

Topics



1. Interpretation of OLS Estimators

2. Accuracy of the Coefficient Estimates







• Suppose that we consider the *SaratogaHouses* dataset with *price* as the response and *livingArea* and *age* as the predictors.



- Suppose that we consider the *SaratogaHouses* dataset with *price* as the response and *livingArea* and *age* as the predictors.
- Multiple linear regression model (MLRM) predicts

$$\widehat{price} = \hat{\beta}_0 + \hat{\beta}_1 livingArea + \hat{\beta}_2 age.$$



- Suppose that we consider the *SaratogaHouses* dataset with *price* as the response and *livingArea* and *age* as the predictors.
- Multiple linear regression model (MLRM) predicts $\widehat{price} = \hat{\beta}_0 + \hat{\beta}_1 living Area + \hat{\beta}_2 age$.
- $\hat{\beta_0}$ is the predicted price when both predictors livingArea and age are equal to 0.



- Suppose that we consider the *SaratogaHouses* dataset with *price* as the response and *livingArea* and *age* as the predictors.
- Multiple linear regression model (MLRM) predicts $\widehat{price} = \hat{\beta}_0 + \hat{\beta}_1 livingArea + \hat{\beta}_2 age$.
- $\hat{\beta_0}$ is the predicted price when both predictors livingArea and age are equal to 0.
- What about $\hat{\beta}_1$?



- Suppose that we consider the *SaratogaHouses* dataset with *price* as the response and *livingArea* and *age* as the predictors.
- Multiple linear regression model (MLRM) predicts $\widehat{price} = \hat{\beta}_0 + \hat{\beta}_1 livingArea + \hat{\beta}_2 age$.
- $\hat{\beta_0}$ is the predicted *price* when both predictors *livingArea* and *age* are equal to 0.
- What about $\hat{\beta_1}$? It is the change in the predicted price for a 1 unit increase in livingArea while keeping the remaining predictor age fixed:



- Suppose that we consider the *SaratogaHouses* dataset with *price* as the response and *livingArea* and *age* as the predictors.
- Multiple linear regression model (MLRM) predicts $\widehat{price} = \hat{\beta}_0 + \hat{\beta}_1 living Area + \hat{\beta}_2 age$.
- $\hat{\beta_0}$ is the predicted *price* when both predictors *livingArea* and *age* are equal to 0.
- What about $\hat{\beta_1}$? It is the change in the predicted price for a 1 unit increase in livingArea while keeping the remaining predictor age fixed:

$$\begin{cases} \widehat{price}_{\mathsf{old}} &= \hat{\beta}_0 + \hat{\beta}_1 livingArea + \hat{\beta}_2 age \\ \widehat{price}_{\mathsf{new}} &= \hat{\beta}_0 + \hat{\beta}_1 \left(livingArea + 1 \right) + \hat{\beta}_2 age \end{cases} \Rightarrow \widehat{price}_{\mathsf{new}} - \widehat{price}_{\mathsf{old}} = \hat{\beta}_1.$$







• Suppose now that we consider *price* as the response and *livingArea* and *heating* as the predictors.



- Suppose now that we consider price as the response and living Area and *heating* as the predictors.
- Note that the predictor *heating* is categorical with three levels:



- Suppose now that we consider *price* as the response and *livingArea* and *heating* as the predictors.
- Note that the predictor *heating* is categorical with three levels: (1) electric (2) hot air (3) hot water/steam.



- Suppose now that we consider *price* as the response and *livingArea* and *heating* as the predictors.
- Note that the predictor *heating* is categorical with three levels: (1) electric (2) hot air (3) hot water/steam.
- Multiple linear regression model (MLRM) predicts $\widehat{price} = \hat{\beta_0} + \hat{\beta_1} living Area + \hat{\beta_2} heating hotair + \hat{\beta_3} heating hot water/steam.$



- Suppose now that we consider *price* as the response and *livingArea* and *heating* as the predictors.
- Note that the predictor *heating* is categorical with three levels: (1) electric (2) hot air (3) hot water/steam.
- Multiple linear regression model (MLRM) predicts $\widehat{price} = \hat{\beta_0} + \hat{\beta_1} living Area + \hat{\beta_2} heating hotair + \hat{\beta_3} heating hotwater/steam.$
- $\hat{\beta}_0$ and $\hat{\beta}_1$ have the same interpretation as before.



- Suppose now that we consider *price* as the response and *livingArea* and *heating* as the predictors.
- Note that the predictor *heating* is categorical with three levels: (1) electric (2) hot air (3) hot water/steam.
- Multiple linear regression model (MLRM) predicts $\widehat{price} = \hat{\beta_0} + \hat{\beta_1} living Area + \hat{\beta_2} heating hotair + \hat{\beta_3} heating hotwater/steam.$
- $\hat{\beta}_0$ and $\hat{\beta}_1$ have the same interpretation as before.
- What about $\hat{\beta}_2$?



- Suppose now that we consider *price* as the response and *livingArea* and *heating* as the predictors.
- Note that the predictor *heating* is categorical with three levels: (1) electric (2) hot air (3) hot water/steam.
- Multiple linear regression model (MLRM) predicts $\widehat{price} = \hat{\beta_0} + \hat{\beta_1} living Area + \hat{\beta_2} heating hotair + \hat{\beta_3} heating hotwater/steam.$
- $\hat{\beta}_0$ and $\hat{\beta}_1$ have the same interpretation as before.
- What about $\hat{\beta}_2$? it is the difference between the predicted price of a hot air-heated house and the predicted price of an electric-heated house (reference level) with the same living area:



- Suppose now that we consider *price* as the response and *livingArea* and *heating* as the predictors.
- Note that the predictor *heating* is categorical with three levels: (1) electric (2) hot air (3) hot water/steam.
- Multiple linear regression model (MLRM) predicts $\widehat{price} = \hat{\beta_0} + \hat{\beta_1} living Area + \hat{\beta_2} heating hotair + \hat{\beta_3} heating hotwater/steam.$
- $\hat{\beta}_0$ and $\hat{\beta}_1$ have the same interpretation as before.
- What about $\hat{\beta}_2$? it is the difference between the predicted price of a hot air-heated house and the predicted price of an electric-heated house (reference level) with the same living area:

$$[\hat{\beta_0} + \hat{\beta_1} livingArea + \hat{\beta_2}] - [\hat{\beta_0} + \hat{\beta_1} livingArea] = \hat{\beta_2}.$$

$$\widehat{price}_{\mathsf{hot \, air}}$$

$$\widehat{price}_{\mathsf{electric}}$$







 It can be shown that the OLS coefficient estimates for an MLRM are unbiased:



• It can be shown that the OLS coefficient estimates for an MLRM are unbiased: $E[\hat{\beta} - \beta] = 0$.



- It can be shown that the OLS coefficient estimates for an MLRM are unbiased: $E[\hat{\beta} \beta] = 0$.
- The variances of the OLS coefficient estimates are the diagonal elements of the covariance matrix of the random vector $\hat{\beta}$:



- It can be shown that the OLS coefficient estimates for an MLRM are unbiased: $E[\hat{\beta} \beta] = 0$.
- The variances of the OLS coefficient estimates are the diagonal elements of the covariance matrix of the random vector $\hat{\beta}$:

$$\mathsf{cov}(\hat{\boldsymbol{\beta}}) = \sigma^2 \left(\mathbf{X}^{\mathrm{T}} \mathbf{X} \right)^{-1},$$



- It can be shown that the OLS coefficient estimates for an MLRM are unbiased: $E[\hat{\beta} \beta] = 0$.
- The variances of the OLS coefficient estimates are the diagonal elements of the covariance matrix of the random vector $\hat{\beta}$:

$$\mathsf{cov}(\hat{oldsymbol{eta}}) = \sigma^2 \left(\mathbf{X}^\mathrm{T}\mathbf{X}\right)^{-1}, \text{ where } \sigma^2 pprox rac{1}{n-(p+1)} \underbrace{\sum_{i=1}^{n} \left(y^{(i)} - \hat{y}^{(i)}
ight)^2}_{RSS}.$$



- It can be shown that the OLS coefficient estimates for an MLRM are unbiased: $E[\hat{\beta} \beta] = 0$.
- The variances of the OLS coefficient estimates are the diagonal elements of the covariance matrix of the random vector $\hat{\beta}$:

$$\mathsf{cov}(\hat{oldsymbol{eta}}) = \sigma^2 \left(\mathbf{X}^\mathrm{T}\mathbf{X}\right)^{-1}, \text{ where } \sigma^2 pprox rac{1}{n-(p+1)} \underbrace{\sum_{i=1}^{n} \left(y^{(i)} - \hat{y}^{(i)}
ight)^2}_{RSS}.$$

• The standard deviations of the OLS coefficient estimates,



- It can be shown that the OLS coefficient estimates for an MLRM are unbiased: $E[\hat{\beta} \beta] = 0$.
- The variances of the OLS coefficient estimates are the diagonal elements of the covariance matrix of the random vector $\hat{\beta}$:

$$\mathsf{cov}(\hat{oldsymbol{eta}}) = \sigma^2 \left(\mathbf{X}^\mathrm{T}\mathbf{X}\right)^{-1}, \text{ where } \sigma^2 pprox rac{1}{n-(p+1)} \underbrace{\sum_{i=1}^{n} \left(y^{(i)} - \hat{y}^{(i)}
ight)^2}_{RSS}.$$

• The standard deviations of the OLS coefficient estimates, also called their standard errors (SE),



- It can be shown that the OLS coefficient estimates for an MLRM are unbiased: $E[\hat{\beta} \beta] = 0$.
- The variances of the OLS coefficient estimates are the diagonal elements of the covariance matrix of the random vector $\hat{\beta}$:

$$\mathsf{cov}(\hat{oldsymbol{eta}}) = \sigma^2 \left(\mathbf{X}^\mathrm{T}\mathbf{X}
ight)^{-1}, \ ext{where} \ \sigma^2 pprox rac{1}{n-(p+1)} \underbrace{\sum_{i=1}^{n} \left(y^{(i)} - \hat{y}^{(i)}
ight)^2}_{RSS}.$$

• The standard deviations of the OLS coefficient estimates, also called their standard errors (SE), can be used to calculate confidence intervals (CI) for population coefficient parameters β_i :



- It can be shown that the OLS coefficient estimates for an MLRM are unbiased: $E[\hat{\beta} \beta] = 0$.
- The variances of the OLS coefficient estimates are the diagonal elements of the covariance matrix of the random vector $\hat{\beta}$:

$$\mathsf{cov}(\hat{oldsymbol{eta}}) = \sigma^2 \left(\mathbf{X}^\mathrm{T}\mathbf{X}
ight)^{-1}, \ ext{where} \ \sigma^2 pprox rac{1}{n-(p+1)} \underbrace{\sum_{i=1}^{n} \left(y^{(i)} - \hat{y}^{(i)}
ight)^2}_{RSS}.$$

• The standard deviations of the OLS coefficient estimates, also called their standard errors (SE), can be used to calculate confidence intervals (CI) for population coefficient parameters β_j : a 95% CI for β_j is



- It can be shown that the OLS coefficient estimates for an MLRM are unbiased: $E[\hat{\beta} \beta] = 0$.
- The variances of the OLS coefficient estimates are the diagonal elements of the covariance matrix of the random vector $\hat{\beta}$:

$$\mathsf{cov}(\hat{\pmb{eta}}) = \sigma^2 \left(\mathbf{X}^\mathrm{T}\mathbf{X}\right)^{-1}, \text{ where } \sigma^2 pprox rac{1}{n-(p+1)} \underbrace{\sum_{i=1}^{n} \left(y^{(i)} - \hat{y}^{(i)}
ight)^2}_{RSS}.$$

• The standard deviations of the OLS coefficient estimates, also called their standard errors (SE), can be used to calculate confidence intervals (CI) for population coefficient parameters β_j : a 95% CI for β_j is $\left[\hat{\beta}_j - 1.96 \times SE(\hat{\beta}_j), \ \hat{\beta}_j + 1.96 \times SE(\hat{\beta}_j)\right]$.







 In order to check if there is a relationship between the response and a particular predictor, standard errors can be used to perform hypothesis tests on the population coefficient parameters.



- In order to check if there is a relationship between the response and a particular predictor, standard errors can be used to perform hypothesis tests on the population coefficient parameters.
- Recall that the p-value associated with the coefficient estimate $\hat{\beta}_j$ is a measure of how likely it is to observe that particular value of the estimate assuming that the null hypothesis about the corresponding population coefficient parameter ($\beta_j = 0$) is true.



- In order to check if there is a relationship between the response and a particular predictor, standard errors can be used to perform hypothesis tests on the population coefficient parameters.
- Recall that the p-value associated with the coefficient estimate $\hat{\beta}_j$ is a measure of how likely it is to observe that particular value of the estimate assuming that the null hypothesis about the corresponding population coefficient parameter ($\beta_j = 0$) is true.
- If the p-value is smaller than a threshold, typically 0.05, then



- In order to check if there is a relationship between the response and a particular predictor, standard errors can be used to perform hypothesis tests on the population coefficient parameters.
- Recall that the p-value associated with the coefficient estimate $\hat{\beta}_j$ is a measure of how likely it is to observe that particular value of the estimate assuming that the null hypothesis about the corresponding population coefficient parameter ($\beta_j = 0$) is true.
- If the p-value is smaller than a threshold, typically 0.05, then we reject the null hypothesis, and conclude that the jth predictor contributes to the linear model.



- In order to check if there is a relationship between the response and a particular predictor, standard errors can be used to perform hypothesis tests on the population coefficient parameters.
- Recall that the p-value associated with the coefficient estimate $\hat{\beta}_j$ is a measure of how likely it is to observe that particular value of the estimate assuming that the null hypothesis about the corresponding population coefficient parameter ($\beta_j = 0$) is true.
- If the p-value is smaller than a threshold, typically 0.05, then we reject the null hypothesis, and conclude that the jth predictor contributes to the linear model.
- A better hypothesis test, when the number of predictors is large, is the F test,



- In order to check if there is a relationship between the response and a particular predictor, standard errors can be used to perform hypothesis tests on the population coefficient parameters.
- Recall that the p-value associated with the coefficient estimate $\hat{\beta}_j$ is a measure of how likely it is to observe that particular value of the estimate assuming that the null hypothesis about the corresponding population coefficient parameter ($\beta_j = 0$) is true.
- If the p-value is smaller than a threshold, typically 0.05, then we reject the null hypothesis, and conclude that the jth predictor contributes to the linear model.
- A better hypothesis test, when the number of predictors is large, is the F test, in which the null hypothesis is that all population coefficients are zeros except the intercept.





• The R^2 statistic varies between 0 and 1, and is a measure of the variability in the response Y that the MLRM (built using the predictors X_1, X_2, \ldots, X_p) is able to explain.



- The R^2 statistic varies between 0 and 1, and is a measure of the variability in the response Y that the MLRM (built using the predictors X_1, X_2, \ldots, X_p) is able to explain.
- However, the R² statistic of a model can always be increased by adding more yet insignificant predictors.



- The R^2 statistic varies between 0 and 1, and is a measure of the variability in the response Y that the MLRM (built using the predictors X_1, X_2, \ldots, X_p) is able to explain.
- However, the R² statistic of a model can always be increased by adding more yet insignificant predictors.
- Models with too many predictors over fit the data and typically do not perform well on unseen data.



- The R^2 statistic varies between 0 and 1, and is a measure of the variability in the response Y that the MLRM (built using the predictors X_1, X_2, \ldots, X_p) is able to explain.
- However, the R² statistic of a model can always be increased by adding more yet insignificant predictors.
- Models with too many predictors over fit the data and typically do not perform well on unseen data.
- Adjusted R² statistic is a measure which penalizes the addition of predictors. It is the proportion of variance in the response explained by the linear model built using predictors that actually affect the response:



- The R^2 statistic varies between 0 and 1, and is a measure of the variability in the response Y that the MLRM (built using the predictors X_1, X_2, \ldots, X_p) is able to explain.
- However, the R² statistic of a model can always be increased by adding more yet insignificant predictors.
- Models with too many predictors over fit the data and typically do not perform well on unseen data.
- Adjusted R² statistic is a measure which penalizes the addition of predictors. It is the proportion of variance in the response explained by the linear model built using predictors that *actually* affect the response: $R_{\text{adi}}^2 = 1 \left[\frac{(1-R^2)(n-1)}{n-(p+1)}\right]$.