Bayesian Inference and Hypothesis Testing

Christos Dimitrakakis

October 28, 2024

Conditional Probability and the Theorem of Bayes

Recall the definition of Conditional probability:

$$P(A|B) = P(A \cap B)/P(B)$$

i.e. the probability of A happening if B happens.

Recall the definition of Conditional probability:

$$P(A|B) = P(A \cap B)/P(B)$$

i.e. the probability of A happening if B happens.

▶ It is also true that:

$$P(B|A) = P(A \cap B)/P(A)$$

Recall the definition of Conditional probability:

$$P(A|B) = P(A \cap B)/P(B)$$

i.e. the probability of A happening if B happens.

▶ It is also true that:

$$P(B|A) = P(A \cap B)/P(A)$$

Combining the two equations, reverse the conditioning:

$$P(A|B) = P(B|A)P(A)/P(B)$$

2/1

Recall the definition of Conditional probability:

$$P(A|B) = P(A \cap B)/P(B)$$

i.e. the probability of A happening if B happens.

It is also true that:

$$P(B|A) = P(A \cap B)/P(A)$$

► Combining the two equations, reverse the conditioning:

$$P(A|B) = P(B|A)P(A)/P(B)$$

► So we can reverse the order of conditioning, i.e. relate to the probability of A given B to that of B given A.

The cards problem

- 1. Print out a number of cards, with either [A|A], [A|B] or [B|B] on their sides.
- 2. If you have an A, what is the probability of an A on the other side?
- 3. Have the students perform the experiment with:
 - 3.1 Draw a random card.
 - 3.2 Count the number of people with A.
 - 3.3 What is the probability that somebody with an A on one side will have an A on the other?
 - 3.4 Half of the people should have an A?

The cards problem

- 1. Print out a number of cards, with either [A|A], [A|B] or [B|B] on their sides.
- 2. If you have an A, what is the probability of an A on the other side?
- 3. Have the students perform the experiment with:
 - 3.1 Draw a random card.
 - 3.2 Count the number of people with A.
 - 3.3 What is the probability that somebody with an A on one side will have an A on the other?
 - 3.4 Half of the people should have an A?

The prior and posterior probabilities

```
A A 2/6 A observed 2/3
A B 1/6 A observed 1/3
B A 1/6
B B 2/6
```

Simple Bayesian hypothesis testing

A murder occurred in a house over Christmas. There were n people inside, plus the victim. Person X, the victim's son, is accused of a murder.

- A murder occurred in a house over Christmas. There were *n* people inside, plus the victim. Person X, the victim's son, is accused of a murder.
- ► There are two possibilities:

- A murder occurred in a house over Christmas. There were *n* people inside, plus the victim. Person X, the victim's son, is accused of a murder.
- ► There are two possibilities:
 - $ightharpoonup H_0$: They are innocent.

- A murder occurred in a house over Christmas. There were *n* people inside, plus the victim. Person X, the victim's son, is accused of a murder.
- ► There are two possibilities:
 - $ightharpoonup H_0$: They are innocent.
 - $ightharpoonup H_1$: They are guilty.

- A murder occurred in a house over Christmas. There were *n* people inside, plus the victim. Person X, the victim's son, is accused of a murder.
- ► There are two possibilities:
 - $ightharpoonup H_0$: They are innocent.
 - $ightharpoonup H_1$: They are guilty.

- A murder occurred in a house over Christmas. There were *n* people inside, plus the victim. Person X, the victim's son, is accused of a murder.
- ► There are two possibilities:
 - \blacktriangleright H_0 : They are innocent.
 - $ightharpoonup H_1$: They are guilty.

What is your belief that they have committed the crime?

- A murder occurred in a house over Christmas. There were *n* people inside, plus the victim. Person X, the victim's son, is accused of a murder.
- ► There are two possibilities:
 - \blacktriangleright H_0 : They are innocent.
 - $ightharpoonup H_1$: They are guilty.

What is your belief that they have committed the crime?

Prior elicitation

▶ All those that think the accused is guilty, raise their hand.

- A murder occurred in a house over Christmas. There were *n* people inside, plus the victim. Person X, the victim's son, is accused of a murder.
- There are two possibilities:
 - $ightharpoonup H_0$: They are innocent.
 - ► *H*₁: They are guilty.

What is your belief that they have committed the crime?

Prior elicitation

- ▶ All those that think the accused is guilty, raise their hand.
- Divide by the number of people in class

- A murder occurred in a house over Christmas. There were *n* people inside, plus the victim. Person X, the victim's son, is accused of a murder.
- There are two possibilities:
 - $ightharpoonup H_0$: They are innocent.
 - ► *H*₁: They are guilty.

What is your belief that they have committed the crime?

Prior elicitation

- ▶ All those that think the accused is guilty, raise their hand.
- Divide by the number of people in class
- ▶ Let us call this $P(H_1)$.

- A murder occurred in a house over Christmas. There were *n* people inside, plus the victim. Person X, the victim's son, is accused of a murder.
- There are two possibilities:
 - $ightharpoonup H_0$: They are innocent.
 - ► *H*₁: They are guilty.

What is your belief that they have committed the crime?

Prior elicitation

- ▶ All those that think the accused is guilty, raise their hand.
- Divide by the number of people in class
- ▶ Let us call this $P(H_1)$.
- This is a purely subjective measure!

▶ Let us now do a DNA test on the suspect

▶ Let us now do a DNA test on the suspect

DNA test properties

D: Test is positive

▶ Let us now do a DNA test on the suspect

DNA test properties

- D: Test is positive
- ▶ $P(D|H_0) = 10\%$: False positive rate

▶ Let us now do a DNA test on the suspect

DNA test properties

- D: Test is positive
- ▶ $P(D|H_0) = 10\%$: False positive rate
- ▶ $P(D|H_1) = 100\%$: True positive rate

▶ Let us now do a DNA test on the suspect

DNA test properties

- D: Test is positive
- ▶ $P(D|H_0) = 10\%$: False positive rate
- ▶ $P(D|H_1) = 100\%$: True positive rate

▶ Let us now do a DNA test on the suspect

DNA test properties

- D: Test is positive
- $P(D|H_0) = 10\%$: False positive rate
- ▶ $P(D|H_1) = 100\%$: True positive rate

Run the test

▶ The result is either positive or negative $(\neg D)$.

Let us now do a DNA test on the suspect

DNA test properties

- ▶ *D*: Test is positive
- ▶ $P(D|H_0) = 10\%$: False positive rate
- ▶ $P(D|H_1) = 100\%$: True positive rate

Run the test

- ▶ The result is either positive or negative $(\neg D)$.
- ▶ What is your belief now that the suspect is guilty?

► Run a DNA test on everybody in the house.

- ▶ Run a DNA test on everybody in the house.
- ▶ What is different from before?

- ▶ Run a DNA test on everybody in the house.
- ▶ What is different from before?
- ► Who has a positive test?

- ▶ Run a DNA test on everybody in the house.
- ▶ What is different from before?
- ► Who has a positive test?
- ▶ What is your belief that the people with the positive test are guilty?

Prior: $P(H_i)$.

$$P(D) = P(D \cap H_0) + P(D \cap H_1)$$
 (1)

$$= P(D|H_0)P(H_0) + P(D|H_1)P(H_1)$$
 (2)

- ▶ Posterior: $P(H_0|D) = \frac{P(D|H_0)P(H_0)}{P(D|H_0)P(H_0)+P(D|H_1)P(H_1)}$
- Assuming $P(D|H_1) = 1$, and setting $P(H_0) = q$, this gives

$$P(H_0|D) = \frac{0.1q}{0.1q + 1 - q} = \frac{q}{10 - 9q}$$

The posterior can always be updated with more data!



- ightharpoonup Prior: $P(H_i)$.
- Likelihood $P(D|H_i)$.

$$P(D) = P(D \cap H_0) + P(D \cap H_1) \tag{1}$$

$$= P(D|H_0)P(H_0) + P(D|H_1)P(H_1)$$
 (2)

- ▶ Posterior: $P(H_0|D) = \frac{P(D|H_0)P(H_0)}{P(D|H_0)P(H_0)+P(D|H_1)P(H_1)}$
- ▶ Assuming $P(D|H_1) = 1$, and setting $P(H_0) = q$, this gives

$$P(H_0|D) = \frac{0.1q}{0.1q + 1 - q} = \frac{q}{10 - 9q}$$

▶ The posterior can always be updated with more data!



- ightharpoonup Prior: $P(H_i)$.
- Likelihood $P(D|H_i)$.
- ▶ Posterior: $P(H_i|D) = P(D \cap H_i)/P(D) = P(D|H_i)P(H_i)/P(D)$

$$P(D) = P(D \cap H_0) + P(D \cap H_1)$$
 (1)

$$= P(D|H_0)P(H_0) + P(D|H_1)P(H_1)$$
 (2)

- ▶ Posterior: $P(H_0|D) = \frac{P(D|H_0)P(H_0)}{P(D|H_0)P(H_0)+P(D|H_1)P(H_1)}$
- Assuming $P(D|H_1) = 1$, and setting $P(H_0) = q$, this gives

$$P(H_0|D) = \frac{0.1q}{0.1q + 1 - q} = \frac{q}{10 - 9q}$$

The posterior can always be updated with more data!



- ightharpoonup Prior: $P(H_i)$.
- Likelihood $P(D|H_i)$.
- ▶ Posterior: $P(H_i|D) = P(D \cap H_i)/P(D) = P(D|H_i)P(H_i)/P(D)$
- Marginal probability:

$$P(D) = P(D \cap H_0) + P(D \cap H_1)$$
 (1)

$$= P(D|H_0)P(H_0) + P(D|H_1)P(H_1)$$
 (2)

- ▶ Posterior: $P(H_0|D) = \frac{P(D|H_0)P(H_0)}{P(D|H_0)P(H_0) + P(D|H_1)P(H_1)}$
- Assuming $P(D|H_1) = 1$, and setting $P(H_0) = q$, this gives

$$P(H_0|D) = \frac{0.1q}{0.1q + 1 - q} = \frac{q}{10 - 9q}$$

The posterior can always be updated with more data!



Python example

```
def get_posterior(prior, data, likelihood):
^{\sim}Imarginal = prior * likelihood [data][0] + (1 - prior) * l
^^lposterior = prior * likelihood[data][0] / marginal
^^ Ireturn posterior
import numpy as np
prior = 0.9 \# Pr(H1)
likelihood = np.zeros([2, 2])
likelihood [0][0] = 0.9 \# Pr(F|H0)
likelihood [1][0] = 0.1 # Pr(T/H0)
likelihood [0][1] = 0 \# Pr(F|H1)
likelihood [1][1] = 1 # Pr(T/H1)
data = 1
return get_posterior(prior, data, likelihood)
```

Types of hypothesis testing problems

Simple Hypothesis Test

Example: DNA evidence, Covid tests

- ightharpoonup Two hypothesese H_0, H_1
- \triangleright $P(D|H_i)$ is defined for all i

Multiple Hypotheses Test

Example: Model selection

- $ightharpoonup H_i$: One of many mutually exclusive models
- \triangleright $P(D|H_i)$ is defined for all i

Null Hypothesis Test

Example: Are men's and women's heights the same?

- $ightharpoonup H_0$: The 'null' hypothesis
- \triangleright $P(D|H_0)$ is defined
- The alternative is undefined



Problem definition

▶ Defining the models $P(D|H_i)$ incorrectly.

Problem definition

- ▶ Defining the models $P(D|H_i)$ incorrectly.
- Using an "unreasonable" prior $P(H_i)$

Problem definition

- ▶ Defining the models $P(D|H_i)$ incorrectly.
- Using an "unreasonable" prior $P(H_i)$

Problem definition

- ▶ Defining the models $P(D|H_i)$ incorrectly.
- Using an "unreasonable" prior $P(H_i)$

The garden of many paths

► Having a huge hypothesis space

Problem definition

- ▶ Defining the models $P(D|H_i)$ incorrectly.
- ▶ Using an "unreasonable" prior $P(H_i)$

The garden of many paths

- ► Having a huge hypothesis space
- ▶ Selecting the relevant hypothesis after seeing the data