

## Exercise 5 (6.16)

### Data

=====

VALIDATION

Value expected without barrier 18.656769422297188

REPORT on Tally stat. collector ==> Value without barrier (validation)

num. obs.	min	max	average	standard dev.
10000	18.657	18.657	18.657	0.000

REPORT on Tally stat. collector ==> Value without barrier (validation)

num. obs.	min	max	average	standard dev.
10000	0.000	118.004	18.663	17.952

=====

BARRIERS

REPORT on Tally stat. collector ==> barrier = 0.0

num. obs.	min	max	average	standard dev.
10000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000

REPORT on Tally stat. collector ==> barrier = 0.0 with CMC

num. obs.	min	max	average	standard dev.
10000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000

REPORT on Tally stat. collector ==> barrier = 75.0

num. obs.	min	max	average	standard dev.
10000	0.000	15.080	0.014	0.311

REPORT on Tally stat. collector ==> barrier = 75.0 with CMC

num. obs.	min	max	average	standard dev.
10000	0.000	2.128	0.020	0.131

REPORT on Tally stat. collector ==> barrier = 80.0

num. obs.	min	max	average	standard dev.
10000	0.000	34.577	0.104	1.138

```
REPORT on Tally stat. collector ==> barrier = 80.0 with CMC
num. obs.      min      max      average      standard dev.
10000          0.000      4.438      0.130      0.495
```

```
REPORT on Tally stat. collector ==> barrier = 90.0
num. obs.      min      max      average      standard dev.
10000          0.000     56.555      2.031      6.006
```

```
REPORT on Tally stat. collector ==> barrier = 90.0 with CMC
num. obs.      min      max      average      standard dev.
10000          0.000     10.152      2.116      3.133
```

```
REPORT on Tally stat. collector ==> barrier = 95.0
num. obs.      min      max      average      standard dev.
10000          0.000     79.248      5.172      9.963
```

```
REPORT on Tally stat. collector ==> barrier = 95.0 with CMC
num. obs.      min      max      average      standard dev.
10000          0.000     13.812      5.361      5.203
```

I used the equation as described by Okten *et al.* (On pricing discrete barrier options using condigional expectation and importance sampling in Monte Carlo, Okten G, Salta E, Goncu A., 2008), end of p.485.

Basically, the value is

$$X_e = \begin{cases} BSM(S(t_k), t_k, T), & \text{if the barrier is crossed at time } t_k \\ 0, & \text{otherwise} \end{cases} \quad (1)$$

the idea is that we know the expectation of the European call option, so if the barrier is crossed ( becomes an Euopen call option) we calculate directly the exact value of the option's expected payoff on the remaining trajectory instead of continuing.

It still is Monte Carlo but in the event of  $s_0 < \text{barrier}$ , then it is exactly the same as calculating by BSM (Black-Scholes).

As can be seen in the data, the improvement is much better when the barrier is hit early and frequently (as said, in the extreme case of starting under the barrier, it is equivalent to direct calculation by BSM).

So the experiments match the expectation (the CMC is always a better estimator).