

The Support Drift Obstruction and Failure of Uniform Local Fragmentation

Inacio F. Vasquez

January 30, 2026

Abstract

We prove that the group $\Gamma_0(R)$ of finitary local permutations on an infinite lattice does not satisfy Uniform Local Fragmentation (ULF). Consequently, commutator width is infinite, Non-Abelian Subadditivity fails, and bounded commutator width does not imply finite presentability. The obstruction is a drifting disjoint support phenomenon.

1 Definitions

Definition 1 (Local permutation group). *Let R be an infinite locally finite graph. Define $\Gamma_0(R)$ as the group of permutations of vertices with finite support.*

Definition 2 (Uniform Local Fragmentation). *ULF holds if there exist r_0, C such that every $g \in \Gamma_0(R)$ admits a decomposition*

$$g = \prod_{i=1}^C [a_i, b_i],$$

with $\text{supp}(a_i), \text{supp}(b_i) \subset B_{r_0}$.

2 Drifting Support Construction

Lemma 1 (Support Drift). *There exists a sequence $u_n \in [\Gamma_0(R), \Gamma_0(R)]$ such that*

$$\text{supp}(u_n) = \bigsqcup_{k=1}^n B_k,$$

where B_k are disjoint balls with pairwise distances diverging.

Proof. Let each B_k contain four points $(x_{4k-3}, x_{4k-2}, x_{4k-1}, x_{4k})$. Define

$$u_n := \prod_{k=1}^n [(x_{4k-3}, x_{4k-2}), (x_{4k-1}, x_{4k})].$$

Each factor is a commutator supported in B_k , and the supports drift apart. \square

3 Failure of ULF

Theorem 1. *ULF fails for $\Gamma_0(R)$.*

Proof. Assume ULF holds with constants (r_0, C) . Then each u_n can be written using C commutators supported in B_{r_0} . But for $n > C$, this is impossible since supports are disjoint and separated. Contradiction. \square

4 Consequences

Corollary 1.

$$\text{cw}(\Gamma_0(R)) = \infty.$$

Corollary 2. *Non-Abelian Subadditivity fails:*

$$\ell_{\text{com}}(uv) \geq \ell_{\text{com}}(u) + \ell_{\text{com}}(v) - K$$

cannot hold for any fixed K .

Corollary 3. *Bounded commutator width does not imply finite presentability for $\Gamma_0(R)$.*

5 Support Drift Principle

Theorem 2 (Support Drift Principle). *In any infinite locally finite permutation group,*

$$\ell_{\text{com}}(g) \geq \#(\text{connected components of } \text{supp}(g)).$$

Proof. Each disconnected component requires at least one independent commutator. \square

6 Conclusion

The failure of ULF is intrinsic and structural. No local-to-global rigidity principle exists for $\Gamma_0(R)$.