

Introduction to Quantum Mechanics 3

Shankar Balasubramanian*

May 16, 2014

1 Introduction

This lecture is a continuation of the previous two lectures given on quantum mechanics. Our purpose is to introduce quantum mechanics at a basic level, so we will not be introducing advanced topics. However, we hope that these lectures inspire you to examine these more advanced topics in your free time.

2 Commutators and Properties

Matrices, in general, do not commute: try it out with an arbitrary pair of matrices. Thus, we define the commutator of two matrices \hat{A} and \hat{B} as

$$[\hat{A}, \hat{B}] = \hat{A}\hat{B} - \hat{B}\hat{A}$$

There are several properties of commutators. Here is a list of the most important ones:

$$[\hat{A}, \hat{B}] + [\hat{B}, \hat{A}] = 0$$

$$[\hat{A}, \hat{A}] = 0$$

$$[\hat{A}, \hat{B} + \hat{C}] = [\hat{A}, \hat{B}] + [\hat{A}, \hat{C}]$$

$$[\hat{A} + \hat{B}, \hat{C}] = [\hat{A}, \hat{C}] + [\hat{B}, \hat{C}]$$

$$[\hat{A}, \hat{B} + \hat{C}] = [\hat{A}, \hat{B}] + [\hat{A}, \hat{C}]$$

$$[\hat{A}, \hat{B}\hat{C}] = [\hat{A}, \hat{B}]\hat{C} + \hat{B}[\hat{A}, \hat{C}]$$

$$[\hat{A}\hat{B}, \hat{C}] = \hat{A}[\hat{B}, \hat{C}] + [\hat{A}, \hat{C}]\hat{B}$$

Let's also develop a conjecture on what it means for two matrices to commute (commutator is 0). If such matrices commute, we can say that

$$[\hat{A}, \hat{B}]|\psi\rangle = 0$$

$$\hat{A}\hat{B}|\psi\rangle = \hat{B}\hat{A}|\psi\rangle$$

if we conveniently assume that $|\psi\rangle$ is an eigen-vector of both \hat{A} and \hat{B} with eigen-values a and b , respectively, we see that

$$ab|\psi\rangle = ba|\psi\rangle$$

an identically true statement. This suggests that operators which commute with each other share at least one eigen-state. Operators that share more than one eigen-state in common are said to be **degenerate**.

*With contributions from Arun Kannan

3 Commutators of Angular Momentum

Recall the rotation matrices in three dimensions

$$\begin{aligned}\hat{S}_z &= \begin{pmatrix} \cos \phi & -\sin \phi & 0 \\ \sin \phi & \cos \phi & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \\ \hat{S}_x &= \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & \cos \phi & -\sin \phi \\ 0 & \sin \phi & \cos \phi \end{pmatrix} \\ \hat{S}_y &= \begin{pmatrix} \cos \phi & 0 & \sin \phi \\ 0 & 1 & 0 \\ -\sin \phi & 0 & \cos \phi \end{pmatrix}\end{aligned}$$

If we use small angle approximations for sine and cosine, we get

$$\begin{aligned}\hat{S}_z &= \begin{pmatrix} 1 - \frac{\Delta\phi^2}{2} & -\Delta\phi & 0 \\ \Delta\phi & 1 - \frac{\Delta\phi^2}{2} & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \\ \hat{S}_x &= \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 - \frac{\Delta\phi^2}{2} & -\Delta\phi \\ 0 & \Delta\phi & 1 - \frac{\Delta\phi^2}{2} \end{pmatrix} \\ \hat{S}_y &= \begin{pmatrix} 1 - \frac{\Delta\phi^2}{2} & 0 & \Delta\phi \\ 0 & 1 & 0 \\ -\Delta\phi & 0 & 1 - \frac{\Delta\phi^2}{2} \end{pmatrix}\end{aligned}$$

Taking the commutator of \hat{S}_x and \hat{S}_y , we get:

$$[\hat{S}_x, \hat{S}_y] = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & -\Delta\phi^2 & 0 \\ \Delta\phi^2 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} = \hat{S}_z (\Delta\phi^2) - \hat{I}$$

Where we retain powers of $\Delta\phi^2$ or lower, and $\hat{S}_z (\Delta\phi^2)$ is the rotation operator for $\Delta\phi^2$ in the z direction. We know that the rotation matrices, as defined in quantum mechanics, are $\hat{S}_n = e^{\frac{-i\hat{J}_n\Delta\phi}{\hbar}}$. We can thus say that

$$\begin{aligned}\hat{S}_x &= e^{\frac{-i\hat{J}_x\Delta\phi}{\hbar}} \\ \hat{S}_y &= e^{\frac{-i\hat{J}_y\Delta\phi}{\hbar}} \\ \hat{S}_z &= e^{\frac{-i\hat{J}_z\Delta\phi}{\hbar}}\end{aligned}$$

If we do a Taylor series expansion to the second powers of the angle and use the commutation relationship, we get

$$\begin{aligned}& \left\{ 1 - \frac{i\hat{J}_x\Delta\phi}{\hbar} - \frac{1}{2} \left(\frac{i\hat{J}_x\Delta\phi}{\hbar} \right)^2 \right\} \left\{ 1 - \frac{i\hat{J}_y\Delta\phi}{\hbar} - \frac{1}{2} \left(\frac{i\hat{J}_y\Delta\phi}{\hbar} \right)^2 \right\} - \\ & \left\{ 1 - \frac{i\hat{J}_y\Delta\phi}{\hbar} - \frac{1}{2} \left(\frac{i\hat{J}_y\Delta\phi}{\hbar} \right)^2 \right\} \left\{ 1 - \frac{i\hat{J}_x\Delta\phi}{\hbar} - \frac{1}{2} \left(\frac{i\hat{J}_x\Delta\phi}{\hbar} \right)^2 \right\} = \left(1 - \frac{i\hat{J}_z\Delta\phi^2}{\hbar} \right) - 1\end{aligned}$$

Equating terms of 2nd order, we get

$$\begin{aligned}\hat{J}_x\hat{J}_y - \hat{J}_y\hat{J}_x &= i\hbar\hat{J}_z \\ [\hat{J}_x, \hat{J}_y] &= i\hbar\hat{J}_z\end{aligned}$$

Similarly, through cyclic permutation of indices

$$\begin{aligned} [\hat{J}_y, \hat{J}_z] &= i\hbar \hat{J}_x \\ [\hat{J}_z, \hat{J}_x] &= i\hbar \hat{J}_y \end{aligned}$$

4 Eigenstates and Eigenvalues of Angular Momentum

We will start by defining the following operator

$$\hat{\mathbf{J}}^2 = \hat{J}_x^2 + \hat{J}_y^2 + \hat{J}_z^2$$

Using properties of the commutator and the relationships we derived

$$\begin{aligned} [\hat{J}_z, \hat{\mathbf{J}}^2] &= [\hat{J}_z, \hat{J}_x^2] + [\hat{J}_z, \hat{J}_y^2] + [\hat{J}_z, \hat{J}_z^2] \\ &= \hat{J}_x[\hat{J}_z, \hat{J}_x] + [\hat{J}_z, \hat{J}_x]\hat{J}_x + \hat{J}_y[\hat{J}_z, \hat{J}_y] + [\hat{J}_z, \hat{J}_y]\hat{J}_y + [\hat{J}_z, \hat{J}_z]\hat{J}_z \\ &= i\hbar \left([\hat{J}_x, \hat{J}_y] + [\hat{J}_y, \hat{J}_x] \right) = 0 \end{aligned}$$

Because the commutator is 0, $\hat{\mathbf{J}}^2$ and \hat{J}_z share a common eigenstate. This means that the states are called $|\lambda, m\rangle$, where the following is true:

$$\hat{\mathbf{J}}^2|\lambda, m\rangle = \lambda\hbar^2|\lambda, m\rangle$$

$$\hat{J}_z^2|\lambda, m\rangle = m\hbar|\lambda, m\rangle$$

5 Raising and Lowering Operators

Let us consider the matrix

$$\hat{J}_{\pm} = \hat{J}_x \pm i\hat{J}_y$$

Take a moment to prove the following

$$\begin{aligned} \hat{J}_+^\dagger &= \hat{J}_- \\ [\hat{J}_z, \hat{J}_{\pm}] &= \pm\hat{J}_{\pm} \end{aligned}$$

Next, we must set out to determine the action of the operator on an eigenstate of \hat{J}_z

$$\begin{aligned} \hat{J}_z\hat{J}_+|\lambda, m\rangle &= \left([\hat{J}_z, \hat{J}_+] + \hat{J}_+\hat{J}_z\right)|\lambda, m\rangle \\ \hat{J}_z\hat{J}_+|\lambda, m\rangle &= \left(\hbar\hat{J}_+ + \hat{J}_+m\hbar\right)|\lambda, m\rangle \\ \hat{J}_z\hat{J}_+|\lambda, m\rangle &= \hbar(m+1)\left\{\hat{J}_+|\lambda, m\rangle\right\} \end{aligned}$$

This suggests that $\hat{J}_+|\lambda, m\rangle$ is an eigen state of \hat{J}_z with an eigen value $(m+1)\hbar$. Since the normal eigen value associated with the angular momentum eigen state is incremented by a multiple of Planck's constant, this operator is known as the **Raising Operator**. Similarly

$$\hat{J}_z\hat{J}_-|\lambda, m\rangle = \hbar(m-1)\left\{\hat{J}_-|\lambda, m\rangle\right\}$$

This operator is known as the **Lowering Operator**.

6 Possible Values of Angular Momentum

It turns out that Angular Momentum is quantized, or, in other words, can exist only in discrete values. We will explore this. We know that a particle with a certain angular momentum is bounded by an upper and lower value: that is, spinning as fast as possible in either direction. Because of the argument of symmetry, we can bound all possible values of angular momentum between $[-j, j]$. However, we have yet to find out how sparse the effects of quantization is. We know that, if we are at a state of maximum angular momentum,

$$\hat{J}_+ |\lambda, j\rangle = 0$$

because the raising operator cannot raise the state above this threshold. This means that

$$\begin{aligned}\hat{J}_- \hat{J}_+ |\lambda, j\rangle &= 0 \\ (\hat{\mathbf{J}}^2 - \hat{J}_z^2 + \hbar \hat{J}_z) |\lambda, j\rangle &= 0 \\ (\lambda - j^2 - j) \hbar^2 |\lambda, j\rangle &= 0 \\ \lambda &= j(j+1)\end{aligned}$$

Starting from the lowering operator, we obtain something similar

$$\lambda = j'(j' - 1)$$

Setting these values equal to each other

$$\begin{aligned}j'(j' - 1) &= j(j+1) \\ 1) \rightarrow j' &= -j \\ 2) \rightarrow j' &= j+1\end{aligned}$$

The second case violates the assumption of a bound angular momentum, so we have rigorously proved that the angular momentum ranges from $[-j, j]$. The raising or lowering operator changes $j \rightarrow j+1$ or $j \rightarrow j-1$ for any j not equal to the maximum or minimum values. This asserts that $j - (-j) = 2j$ must be divisible by 1, or, in other words, an integer. This gives us half integer possibilities for the maximum and minimum angular momentum. As a result, we can deduce that

$$\begin{aligned}\hat{\mathbf{J}}^2 |\lambda, j\rangle &= j(j+1) \hbar^2 |\lambda, j\rangle \\ \hat{J}_z |\lambda, j\rangle &= m \hbar |\lambda, j\rangle\end{aligned}$$

For example, if we were to take a spin 3/2 particle, the maximum and minimum angular momentum (in the z direction) are $\frac{3}{2}$ and $-\frac{3}{2}$, respectively. Thus the allowed values are

$$-\frac{3}{2}\hbar, -\frac{1}{2}\hbar, \frac{1}{2}\hbar, \frac{3}{2}\hbar$$

7 Uncertainties in Angular Momentum

So far, we have discussed the various values that the angular momentum of a particle can take on, but we have yet to quantify the uncertainty of a measurement. Indeed, we will set out to prove the more general form of the Heisenburg Uncertainty Principle. Let us first assume **Hermitian** operators which satisfy

$$[\hat{A}, \hat{B}] = i\hat{C}$$

Let us now make use of a simple, yet powerful inequality called the Cauchy-Schwarz inequality. For inner products, this states that

$$\langle \alpha | \alpha \rangle \langle \beta | \beta \rangle \geq |\langle \alpha | \beta \rangle|^2$$

In our Cartesian coordinate system, where the inner products are now dot products, we can argue that

$$(\mathbf{a} \cdot \mathbf{a})(\mathbf{b} \cdot \mathbf{b}) \geq |(\mathbf{a} \cdot \mathbf{b})|^2$$

$$a^2 b^2 \geq a^2 b^2 \cos^2 \theta_{ab}$$

which makes sense. However, this is not the way to prove the statement in a Hilbert Space (see problem 2). Nevertheless, let's choose

$$\begin{aligned} |\alpha\rangle &= (\hat{A} - \langle A \rangle) |\psi\rangle \\ |\beta\rangle &= (\hat{B} - \langle B \rangle) |\psi\rangle \end{aligned}$$

Now, we just plug this into our inequality

$$\begin{aligned} \langle \alpha | \alpha \rangle &= \langle \psi | (\hat{A} - \langle A \rangle)^2 | \psi \rangle = (\Delta A)^2 \\ \langle \beta | \beta \rangle &= \langle \psi | (\hat{B} - \langle B \rangle)^2 | \psi \rangle = (\Delta B)^2 \\ \langle \alpha | \beta \rangle &= \langle \psi | (\hat{A} - \langle A \rangle)(\hat{B} - \langle B \rangle) | \psi \rangle \end{aligned}$$

However, the last statement needs some pruning and simplification. Let us call $(\hat{A} - \langle A \rangle)(\hat{B} - \langle B \rangle) = \hat{Q}$. Thus, we can say that

$$\hat{Q} - \hat{Q}^\dagger = \hat{A}\hat{B} - \hat{B}\hat{A} = [\hat{A}, \hat{B}] = i\hat{C}$$

Because of the hermicity of \hat{A} and \hat{B} . However, $\frac{\hat{Q} - \hat{Q}^\dagger}{2}$ preserves, in a sense, the complex portion of the matrix, as how $\frac{a - a^*}{2} = \text{Im}(a)$. This means that $\frac{\hat{C}}{2}$ is the imaginary portion of the matrix. The quantity

$$\langle \alpha | \beta \rangle = \langle \psi | (\hat{A} - \langle A \rangle)(\hat{B} - \langle B \rangle) | \psi \rangle = \langle \psi | \hat{Q} | \psi \rangle$$

Gives the expected value for \hat{Q} . Thus, $|\langle \alpha | \beta \rangle|^2$ gives the sum of the squares of the "Real" and "Imaginary" parts of the matrix \hat{Q} , that is

$$|\langle \alpha | \beta \rangle|^2 = |\langle R \rangle|^2 + |\langle I \rangle|^2 \geq |\langle I \rangle|^2$$

From the Schwarz inequality (follow along carefully!), we also know that

$$\begin{aligned} \langle \alpha | \alpha \rangle \langle \beta | \beta \rangle &= (\Delta A)^2 (\Delta B)^2 \geq |\langle \alpha | \beta \rangle|^2 = |\langle R \rangle|^2 + |\langle I \rangle|^2 \geq |\langle I \rangle|^2 \\ (\Delta A)^2 (\Delta B)^2 &\geq |\langle I \rangle|^2 \end{aligned}$$

The imaginary part of the matrix \hat{Q} is $\frac{\hat{C}}{2}$, so we get

$$\begin{aligned} (\Delta A)^2 (\Delta B)^2 &\geq \frac{|\langle C \rangle|^2}{4} \\ (\Delta A)(\Delta B) &\geq \frac{|\langle C \rangle|}{2} \end{aligned}$$

which concludes the proof. Since, we know that

$$\begin{aligned} [\hat{J}_x, \hat{J}_y] &= i\hbar \hat{J}_z \\ [\hat{J}_y, \hat{J}_z] &= i\hbar \hat{J}_x \\ [\hat{J}_z, \hat{J}_x] &= i\hbar \hat{J}_y \end{aligned}$$

We can say that

$$\begin{aligned} \Delta J_x \Delta J_y &\geq \frac{\hbar}{2} |\langle J_z \rangle| \\ \Delta J_y \Delta J_z &\geq \frac{\hbar}{2} |\langle J_x \rangle| \\ \Delta J_z \Delta J_x &\geq \frac{\hbar}{2} |\langle J_y \rangle| \end{aligned}$$

8 Stern Gerlach Mechanics for Different Spin Particles

This is just an eigen-value problem, where we use matrix representations of the angular momentum operators to determine the eigen states and, thus, their respective probabilities.

9 Problems

1. Introduce $\cos \theta = \frac{J_z}{J}$. Determine this angle for a spin-1/2 particle, a spin-1 particle, a spin-3/2 particle and a macroscopic top.
2. Derive the Schwarz Inequality. If we get to it, I'll give you the hint.

$$\langle \alpha | \alpha \rangle \langle \beta | \beta \rangle \geq |\langle \alpha | \beta \rangle|^2$$

3. Verify the uncertainty principle for the following spin states

$$|\psi\rangle = | + z \rangle \tag{1}$$

$$|\psi\rangle = | + x \rangle \tag{2}$$

$$|\psi\rangle = | + y \rangle \tag{3}$$

$$|\psi\rangle = \frac{i}{2} | + z \rangle + \frac{\sqrt{3}}{2} | - z \rangle \tag{4}$$