

The use of constant parameters in Monte Carlo engines

Issam Nasser
Marouane Idlimam
William Sauvé
Saad Belkhadir Mellas

Luigi BALLABIO

27 février 2017

Table des matières

1	Introduction	4
1.1	Background	4
1.2	Black-Scholes process with constant parameters	4
2	Result	6
2.1	Varying time steps	7
2.2	Varying required samples size	8
2.3	Adding a brownianBridge	9
3	Conclusion	10

Table des figures

1	Time steps =10 required Samples =10 000	7
2	Time steps =100 required Samples =10 000	8
3	Time steps =10 required Samples =20 000	8
4	Time steps =10 required Samples =10 000 brownianBridge=true	9

1 Introduction

1.1 Background

In Monte Carlo engines, repeated calls to the process methods may cause a performance hit; especially when the process is an instance of the GeneralizedBlackScholesProcess class, whose methods in turn make expensive method calls to the contained term structures.

The performance of the engine can be increased at the expense of some accuracy. We are creating a new class that models a Black-Scholes process with constant parameters (underlying value, risk-free rate, dividend yield, and volatility); then we modify the MCEuropeanEngine class so that it still takes a generic Black-Scholes process and an additional boolean parameter. If the boolean is false, the engine runs as usual; if it is true, the engine extracts the constant parameters from the original process (based on the exercise date of the option; for instance, the constant risk-free rate should be the zero-rate of the full risk-free curve at the exercise date) and runs the Monte Carlo simulation with an instance of the constant process.

1.2 Black-Scholes process with constant parameters

Here is an sample of constantBlackScholesModel code :

```
1  //! \name 1-D stochastic process interface
2  //@{
3  //! returns the initial value of the state variable
4
5  class constantBlackScholesModel : public StochasticProcess1D {
6  /*! This class describes the stochastic process \f$ S \f$ governed by
7  \f[
8  d\ln S(t) = (r(t) - q(t) ) dt + \sigma dW_t.
9  \f]
10  */
11  private:
12      Handle<Quote> underlyingValue_;
13      Handle<YieldTermStructure> riskFreeRate_;
14      Handle<YieldTermStructure> dividendYield_;
15      Handle<BlackVolTermStructure> blackVolatility_;
16      Real driftC_;
17      Real diffusionC_;
18      Date exerciseDate_;
19  public:
20
21      constantBlackScholesModel(
22          const Handle<Quote> underlyingValue,
23          const Date exerciseDate,
24          const Handle<YieldTermStructure>& riskFree,
25          const Handle<BlackVolTermStructure>& blackVol,
```

```

26     const Handle<YieldTermStructure>& dividendYield ,
27     boost::shared_ptr<discretization>& disc );
28
29     Real drift(Time t, Real x) const;
30
31     Real diffusion(Time t, Real x) const;
32
33
34     Real variance(const StochasticProcess1D&,
35     Time t0, Real x0, Time dt) const;
36
37     Real x0() const;
38 };

```

A few interesting information are about drift and diffusion methods, that model the process :

$$\frac{dS}{S} = (r(t) - q(t)) * dt + \sigma(t, x) * dW$$

the diffusion and drift are calculated inside the constructor, if it was the case we will end up doing a number of traits a lot of times and there would be no advantage in using a constant process. Then we store the result, so that we can simply return them from the methods.

```

1  constantBlackScholesModel::constantBlackScholesModel(
2      const Handle<Quote> underlyingValue ,
3      const Date exerciseDate ,
4      const Handle<YieldTermStructure>& riskFree ,
5      const Handle<BlackVolTermStructure>& blackVol ,
6      const Handle<YieldTermStructure>& dividendYield ,
7      boost::shared_ptr<discretization>& disc)
8      :StochasticProcess1D(disc), underlyingValue_(underlyingValue),
9      riskFreeRate_(riskFree), dividendYield_(dividendYield), blackVolatility_(
10     blackVol) {
11     exerciseDate_ = exerciseDate;
12     driftC_ = riskFreeRate_>zeroRate(exerciseDate_ , riskFreeRate_>dayCounter
13     (), Continuous,
14     NoFrequency, true) - dividendYield_>zeroRate(exerciseDate_ ,
15     riskFreeRate_>dayCounter(), Continuous,
16     NoFrequency, true);
17     diffusionC_ = blackVolatility_>blackVol(exerciseDate_ , underlyingValue->
18     value());
19 }
20
21 Real constantBlackScholesModel::drift(Time t, Real x) const {
22     return driftC_*x;
23 }
24
25 Real constantBlackScholesModel::diffusion(Time t, Real x) const {
26     return diffusionC_*x;
27 }

```

Then we modify the MCEuropeanEngine class so it still takes a genericBlack-Scholesprocess and an additional boolean parameter like described bellow :

```

1 boost::shared_ptr<path_generator_type> pathGenerator() const {
2     Size dimensions = process_>factors();
3
4     TimeGrid grid = this->timeGrid();
5     typename RNG::rsg_type generator =
6         RNG::make_sequence_generator(dimensions*(grid.size() - 1), seed_);
7     if (this->useConstantProcess_) {
8         boost::shared_ptr<GeneralizedBlackScholesProcess> process =
9             boost::dynamic_pointer_cast<GeneralizedBlackScholesProcess>(
10                 this->process_);
11         return boost::shared_ptr<path_generator_type>(
12             new path_generator_type(
13
14                 boost::shared_ptr<constantBlackScholesModel>(
15                     new constantBlackScholesModel(process->stateVariable(), this->
16                     arguments_.exercise->lastDate(), process->riskFreeRate(),
17
18                     process->blackVolatility(), process->dividendYield(), boost::
19                     shared_ptr<StochasticProcess1D::discretization>(new EulerDiscretization)))
20
21                     ,
22                     grid,
23                     generator,
24                     brownianBridge_)
25             );
26     }
27     else {
28         return boost::shared_ptr<path_generator_type>(
29             new path_generator_type(process_, grid,
30                 generator, brownianBridge_));
31     }
32 }

```

2 Result

Comparing the results(value,accuracy,time steps, number of samples) .

```

1 // constructor
2 MCEuropeanEngine_2(
3     const boost::shared_ptr<GeneralizedBlackScholesProcess>& process,
4     Size timeSteps,
5     Size timeStepsPerYear,
6     bool brownianBridge,
7     bool antitheticVariate,
8     Size requiredSamples,

```

```

9      Real requiredTolerance ,
10      Size maxSamples ,
11      BigNatural seed);

1 //Specification
2 //Specify (1) SStrike,(2) current stock price ,(3) date count convention ,(4)
  current date
3 // (5) Maturity Dtae, (6) interest Rate, (7) dividend Yield, (8) Calendar
  for specific market
4 // (9) Volatility, (10) Option Type
5 Real strike = 100;
6 Real underlying = 90;
7 DayCounter dayCounter = Actual365Fixed();
8 Date settlementDate(28, February, 2017);
9 Date exerciceDate(28, February, 2018);
10 Rate riskFreeRate = 0.05;
11 Spread dividendYield = 0.00;
12 Calendar calendar = TARGET();
13 Volatility volatility = 0.20;
14 Option::Type type(Option::Call);

```

2.1 Varying time steps

```

-----
OptionPrice = europeanOption.NPV()=2.3101
-----

MCEuropeanEngine with GeneralizedBlackScholesProcess
Option Price 2.32722
Error Estimation 0.0678483
-----

MCEuropeanEngine with constantBlackScholesModel
Option Price 2.16885
Error Estimation 0.0648435
-----

```

FIGURE 1 – Time steps =10 required Samples =10 000

```

-----
OptionPrice = europeanOption.NPV()=2.3101
-----

MCEuropeanEngine with GeneralizedBlackScholesProcess
Option Price 2.28236
Error Estimation 0.0663561
-----

MCEuropeanEngine with constantBlackScholesModel
Option Price 2.26522
Error Estimation 0.0659828
-----

```

FIGURE 2 – Time steps =100 required Samples =10 000

The error of estimation is in both cases better with the black-Scholes Process with Constant parameters. The execution Time Too.

2.2 Varying required samples size

```

-----
OptionPrice = europeanOption.NPV()=2.3101
-----

MCEuropeanEngine with GeneralizedBlackScholesProcess
Option Price 2.33464
Error Estimation 0.0487761
-----

MCEuropeanEngine with constantBlackScholesModel
Option Price 2.2838
Error Estimation 0.04641
-----

```

FIGURE 3 – Time steps =10 required Samples =20 000

Still in this case, the new method perform better.

2.3 Adding a brownianBridge

```
-----  
OptionPrice = europeanOption.NPV()=2.3101  
-----  
MCEuropeanEngine with GeneralizedBlackScholesProcess  
Option Price 2.24733  
Error Estimation 0.0665726  
-----  
MCEuropeanEngine with constantBlackScholesModel  
Option Price 2.25495  
Error Estimation 0.0662138  
-----
```

FIGURE 4 – Time steps =10 required Samples =10 000 brownianBridge=true

Still in this case, the new method performs better overall.

2.4 Time Taken for NPV Calculation

Varying time steps We can see that the time required to execute the NPV Method is lower using the constant parameters than it without it. What's more, when we multiply the time steps by 10, the time taken for execution move to 10.4s from a previous 1.43 for the usual Black-Scholes Process, the constant parameter one however just increased to 2.72s from 0.66s

```
-----
OptionPrice = europeanOption.NPV()=2.3101
-----
MCEuropeanEngine with GeneralizedBlackScholesProcess
Option Price 2.3371
Time taken: 1.43s
Error Estimation 0.0686385
-----
MCEuropeanEngine with constantBlackScholesModel
Option Price 2.33307
Time taken: 0.66s
Error Estimation 0.0680569
-----
```

FIGURE 5 – Time steps =10 required Samples =10 000

```
-----
OptionPrice = europeanOption.NPV()=2.3101
-----
MCEuropeanEngine with GeneralizedBlackScholesProcess
Option Price 2.38119
Time taken: 10.04s
Error Estimation 0.0692022
-----
MCEuropeanEngine with constantBlackScholesModel
Option Price 2.25427
Time taken: 2.72s
Error Estimation 0.0673335
-----
```

FIGURE 6 – Time steps =100 required Samples =10 000

Varying required samples size Again if we increase the required sample size, although the time taken does not move that much but it's still better in case of the use of constant parameters

```

-----
OptionPrice = europeanOption.NPV()=2.3101
-----
MCEuropeanEngine with GeneralizedBlackScholesProcess
Option Price 2.30407
Time taken: 2.81s
Error Estimation 0.0482368
-----
MCEuropeanEngine with constantBlackScholesModel
Option Price 2.30974
Time taken: 1.30s
Error Estimation 0.0474843
-----

```

FIGURE 7 – Time steps =10 required Samples =20 000

Adding a brownian bridge In this case too, the same previous comments are still valid.

```

-----
OptionPrice = europeanOption.NPV()=2.3101
-----
MCEuropeanEngine with GeneralizedBlackScholesProcess
Option Price 2.37345
Time taken: 2.13s
Error Estimation 0.068917
-----
MCEuropeanEngine with constantBlackScholesModel
Option Price 2.32892
Time taken: 1.38s
Error Estimation 0.0669418
-----

```

FIGURE 8 – Time steps =10 required Samples =10 000 brownianBridge=true

3 Conclusion

In this solution, we have constant rates, we use less calls for calculating drift and diffusion, so it is natural that the execution time is better, but at the same time we observe no relevant differences between the two implementations besides little variation of the error of estimation, but the time taken for execution is better when we use the constant parameters.