Solutions Manual to Pattern Recognition and Machine Learning

Hiromichi Inawashiro November 8, 2024

Contents

1	Introduction	1
2	Probability Distributions	39
3	Linear Models for Regression	94

1 Introduction

1.1

Let

$$E(\mathbf{w}) = \frac{1}{2} \sum_{n=1}^{N} (y(x_n, \mathbf{w}) - t_n)^2.$$
 (1.1)

Setting the derivative to zero gives

$$\mathbf{0} = \sum_{n=1}^{N} \frac{\partial y(x_n, \mathbf{w})}{\partial \mathbf{w}} \left(y(x_n, \mathbf{w}) - t_n \right). \tag{1.2}$$

Substituting

$$y(x_n, \mathbf{w}) = \sum_{j=0}^{M} w_j x_n^j \tag{1.3}$$

gives

$$0 = \sum_{n=1}^{N} x_n^i \left(\sum_{j=0}^{M} w_j x_n^j - t_n \right). \tag{1.4}$$

Therefore,

$$\underset{w_j}{\operatorname{argmin}} E(\mathbf{w}) = \left\{ w_j \mid \sum_{i=0}^M A_{ij} w_j = T_i \right\}, \tag{1.5}$$

where

$$A_{ij} = \sum_{n=1}^{N} x_n^{i+j},$$

$$T_i = \sum_{n=1}^{N} x_n^{i} t_n.$$
(1.6)

1.2

Let

$$\tilde{E}(\mathbf{w}) = \frac{1}{2} \sum_{n=1}^{N} (y(x_n, \mathbf{w}) - t_n)^2 + \frac{\lambda}{2} ||\mathbf{w}||^2.$$
 (1.7)

Setting the derivative to zero gives

$$\mathbf{0} = \sum_{n=1}^{N} \frac{\partial y(x_n, \mathbf{w})}{\partial \mathbf{w}} (y(x_n, \mathbf{w}) - t_n) + \lambda \mathbf{w}.$$
 (1.8)

Substituting

$$y(x_n, \mathbf{w}) = \sum_{j=0}^{M} w_j x_n^j \tag{1.9}$$

gives

$$0 = \sum_{n=1}^{N} x_n^i \left(\sum_{j=0}^{M} w_j x_n^j - t_n \right) + \lambda w_i.$$
 (1.10)

Therefore,

$$\underset{w_j}{\operatorname{argmin}} E(\mathbf{w}) = \left\{ w_j \mid \sum_{j=0}^M \tilde{A}_{ij} w_j = T_i \right\}, \tag{1.11}$$

where

$$\tilde{A}_{ij} = \sum_{n=1}^{N} x_n^{i+j} + \lambda \delta_{ij},$$

$$T_i = \sum_{n=1}^{N} x_n^i t_n.$$
(1.12)

1.3

Let a, o and l be the events where an apple, orange and lime are selected respectively. The probability that an apple is selected is given by

$$p(a) = p(a|r)p(r) + p(a|b)p(b) + p(a|g)p(g).$$
(1.13)

Substituting $p(a|r) = \frac{3}{10}$, $p(r) = \frac{1}{5}$, $p(a|g) = \frac{1}{2}$, $p(r) = \frac{1}{5}$, $p(a|g) = \frac{3}{10}$ and $p(g) = \frac{3}{5}$ gives

$$p(a) = \frac{17}{50}. (1.14)$$

If an orange is selected, the probability that it came from the geen box is given by

$$p(g|o) = \frac{p(g,o)}{p(o)}.$$
 (1.15)

Here,

$$p(g, o) = p(o|g)p(g),$$

$$p(o) = p(o|r)p(r) + p(o|b)p(b) + p(o|g)p(g).$$
(1.16)

Substituting $p(o|r) = \frac{2}{5}$, $p(r) = \frac{1}{5}$, $p(o|b) = \frac{1}{2}$, $p(b) = \frac{1}{5}$, $p(o|g) = \frac{3}{10}$ and $p(g) = \frac{3}{5}$ gives $p(g, o) = \frac{9}{50}$ and $p(o) = \frac{9}{25}$. Therefore,

$$p(g|o) = \frac{1}{2}. (1.17)$$

1.4

Let

$$x = g(y) \tag{1.18}$$

and \hat{x} and \hat{y} be the locations of the maximum of $p_x(x)$ and $p_y(y)$ respectively. Let us assume that there exists $\epsilon > 0$ such that $g'(y) \neq 0$ for $|y - \hat{y}| < \epsilon$. Then, Taking the derivative of the transoformation

$$p_y(y) = p_x(g(y))|g'(y)|$$
 (1.19)

and substituting $y = \hat{y}$ gives

$$0 = g'(\hat{y})p'_x(g(\hat{y})) + p_x(g(\hat{y}))g''(\hat{y}).$$
(1.20)

Therefore, in general,

$$\hat{x} \neq g\left(\hat{y}\right). \tag{1.21}$$

Here, let us assume that

$$g(y) = ay + b. (1.22)$$

Then, Taking the derivative of the transformation and substituting $y = \hat{y}$ gives

$$0 = p_x'\left(g\left(\hat{y}\right)\right). \tag{1.23}$$

$$\hat{x} = g\left(\hat{y}\right). \tag{1.24}$$

By the definition,

$$\operatorname{var} f(x) = \operatorname{E} (f(x) - \operatorname{E} f(x))^{2}.$$
 (1.25)

The right hand side can be written as

$$E((f(x))^{2} - 2f(x) E f(x) + (E f(x))^{2}) = E(f(x))^{2} - (E f(x))^{2}.$$
 (1.26)

Therefore,

$$var f(x) = E(f(x))^{2} - (E f(x))^{2}.$$
 (1.27)

1.6

By the definition,

$$cov(x,y) = E((x - Ex)(y - Ey)).$$
(1.28)

The right hand side can be written as

$$E xy - E (x E y) - E (y E x) + E (E x E y) = E xy - E x E y.$$
 (1.29)

The right hand side can be written as

$$\int xyp(x,y)dxdy - \int xp(x)dx \int yp(y)dy.$$
 (1.30)

If x and y are independent, by the definition,

$$f(x,y) = f(x)f(y). \tag{1.31}$$

Then,

$$\int xyp(x,y)dxdy = \int p(x)dx \int p(y)dy.$$
 (1.32)

$$cov(x,y) = 0. (1.33)$$

Let

$$I = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \exp\left(-\frac{1}{2\sigma^2}x^2\right) dx. \tag{1.34}$$

Then

$$I^{2} = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \exp\left(-\frac{1}{2\sigma^{2}}\left(x^{2} + y^{2}\right)\right) dx dy. \tag{1.35}$$

By the transformation from Cartesian coordinates (x, y) to polar coordinates (r, θ) , the right hand side can be written as

$$\int_0^\infty \int_0^{2\pi} \exp\left(-\frac{1}{2\sigma^2}r^2\right) \begin{vmatrix} \cos\theta & -r\sin\theta \\ \sin\theta & r\cos\theta \end{vmatrix} dr d\theta = 2\pi \int_0^\infty \exp\left(-\frac{1}{2\sigma^2}r^2\right) r dr. \tag{1.36}$$

By the transformation $s = \frac{r}{\sigma}$, the right hand side can be written as

$$2\pi\sigma^2 \int_0^\infty \exp\left(-\frac{1}{2}s^2\right) s ds = 2\pi\sigma^2 \left[-\exp\left(-\frac{1}{2}s^2\right)\right]_0^\infty. \tag{1.37}$$

Therefore,

$$I = \left(2\pi\sigma^2\right)^{\frac{1}{2}}.\tag{1.38}$$

By the definition,

$$\mathcal{N}\left(x|\mu,\sigma^2\right) = \left(2\pi\sigma^2\right)^{-\frac{1}{2}} \exp\left(-\frac{1}{2\sigma^2}(x-\mu)^2\right). \tag{1.39}$$

Then

$$\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \mathcal{N}\left(x|\mu,\sigma^2\right) dx = \left(2\pi\sigma^2\right)^{-\frac{1}{2}} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \exp\left(-\frac{1}{2\sigma^2}(x-\mu)^2\right) dx. \tag{1.40}$$

By the transformation $t = x - \mu$, the right hand side can be written as

$$(2\pi\sigma^2)^{-\frac{1}{2}} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \exp\left(-\frac{1}{2\sigma^2}t^2\right) dt = (2\pi\sigma^2)^{-\frac{1}{2}} I.$$
 (1.41)

$$\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \mathcal{N}\left(x|\mu,\sigma^2\right) dx = 1. \tag{1.42}$$

Let x be a variable such that

$$p(x) = \mathcal{N}(x|\mu, \sigma^2). \tag{1.43}$$

Then

$$E x = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} x \mathcal{N}(x|\mu, \sigma^2) dx.$$
 (1.44)

By the definition, the right hand side can be written as

$$(2\pi\sigma^2)^{-\frac{1}{2}} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} x \exp\left(-\frac{1}{2\sigma^2}(x-\mu)^2\right) dx.$$
 (1.45)

By the transformation $y = x - \mu$, it can be written as

$$\left(2\pi\sigma^2\right)^{-\frac{1}{2}} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} (y+\mu) \exp\left(-\frac{1}{2\sigma^2}y^2\right) dy. \tag{1.46}$$

Since

$$(2\pi\sigma^2)^{-\frac{1}{2}} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} y \exp\left(-\frac{1}{2\sigma^2}y^2\right) dy = 0,$$
 (1.47)

and

$$(2\pi\sigma^2)^{-\frac{1}{2}} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \mu \exp\left(-\frac{1}{2\sigma^2}y^2\right) dy = \mu \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \mathcal{N}\left(y|\mu,\sigma^2\right) dy, \tag{1.48}$$

we have

$$\mathbf{E} x = \mu. \tag{1.49}$$

By the definition,

$$\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \mathcal{N}\left(x|\mu,\sigma^2\right) dx = 1 \tag{1.50}$$

can be written as

$$(2\pi\sigma^2)^{-\frac{1}{2}} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \exp\left(-\frac{1}{2\sigma^2}(x-\mu)^2\right) dx = 1.$$
 (1.51)

Taking the derivative with respect to σ^2 gives

$$(2\pi)^{-\frac{1}{2}} \left(-\frac{1}{2}\right) (\sigma^2)^{-\frac{3}{2}} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \exp\left(-\frac{1}{2\sigma^2} (x-\mu)^2\right) dx + (2\pi\sigma^2)^{-\frac{1}{2}} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \frac{1}{2} (\sigma^2)^{-2} (x-\mu)^2 \exp\left(-\frac{1}{2\sigma^2} (x-\mu)^2\right) dx = 0.$$
 (1.52)

The left hand side can be written as

$$-\frac{1}{2} (\sigma^{2})^{-1} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \mathcal{N}(x|\mu, \sigma^{2}) dx + \frac{1}{2} (\sigma^{2})^{-2} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} (x-\mu)^{2} \mathcal{N}(x|\mu, \sigma^{2}) dx$$

$$= -\frac{1}{2} (\sigma^{2})^{-1} + \frac{1}{2} (\sigma^{2})^{-2} \operatorname{var} x.$$
(1.53)

Therefore,

$$var x = \sigma^2. (1.54)$$

1.9

Let

$$\mathcal{N}\left(x|\mu,\sigma^2\right) = \left(2\pi\sigma^2\right)^{-\frac{1}{2}} \exp\left(-\frac{1}{2\sigma^2}(x-\mu)^2\right). \tag{1.55}$$

Setting its derivative with respect to x to zero gives

$$0 = (2\pi\sigma^2)^{-\frac{1}{2}} \left(-\frac{1}{\sigma^2} (x - \mu) \right) \exp\left(-\frac{1}{2\sigma^2} (x - \mu)^2 \right). \tag{1.56}$$

Therefore, the mode is given by μ .

Similarly, let

$$\mathcal{N}(\mathbf{x}|\boldsymbol{\mu},\boldsymbol{\Sigma}) = (2\pi)^{-\frac{D}{2}} \left(\det \boldsymbol{\Sigma}\right)^{-\frac{1}{2}} \exp\left(-\frac{1}{2}(\mathbf{x}-\boldsymbol{\mu})^{\mathsf{T}} \boldsymbol{\Sigma}^{-1}(\mathbf{x}-\boldsymbol{\mu})\right). \quad (1.57)$$

Setting its derivative with respect to \mathbf{x} to zero gives

$$\mathbf{0} = -(2\pi)^{-\frac{D}{2}} \left(\det \mathbf{\Sigma}\right)^{-\frac{1}{2}} \left(\mathbf{\Sigma}^{-1} + \left(\mathbf{\Sigma}^{-1}\right)^{\mathsf{T}}\right) (\mathbf{x} - \boldsymbol{\mu}) \exp\left(-\frac{1}{2} (\mathbf{x} - \boldsymbol{\mu})^{\mathsf{T}} \mathbf{\Sigma}^{-1} (\mathbf{x} - \boldsymbol{\mu})\right).$$
(1.58)

Therefore, the mode is given by μ .

1.10

By the definition,

$$E(x+y) = \int \int (x+y)p(x,y)dxdy.$$
 (1.59)

The right hand side can be written as

$$\int x \left(\int p(x,y)dy \right) dx + \int y \left(\int p(x,y)dx \right) dy = \int x p(x)dx + \int y p(y)dy.$$
(1.60)

By the definition, the right hand side can be written as

$$\mathbf{E}\,x + \mathbf{E}\,y. \tag{1.61}$$

Therefore,

$$E(x+y) = E x + E y. (1.62)$$

Similarly, by the definition,

$$var(x+y) = E(x+y - E(x+y))^{2}$$
(1.63)

By the result above and the definition, the right hand side can be written as

$$E(x - Ex)^{2} + 2E((x - Ex)(y - Ey)) + E(y - Ey)^{2}$$

$$= var x + 2 cov(x, y) + var y.$$
(1.64)

If x and y are independent, then

$$cov(x,y) = 0, (1.65)$$

by 1.6. Therefore,

$$var(x+y) = var x + var y. (1.66)$$

1.11

Let \mathbf{x} be a set of N variables such that

$$\ln p\left(\mathbf{x}|\mu,\sigma^{2}\right) = -\frac{N}{2}\ln\left(2\pi\sigma^{2}\right) - \frac{1}{2\sigma^{2}}\sum_{n=1}^{N}(x_{n}-\mu)^{2}.$$
 (1.67)

To maximise it with respect to μ and σ^2 , setting the partial derivatives to zero gives

$$0 = \frac{1}{\sigma^2} \sum_{n=1}^{N} (x_n - \mu),$$

$$0 = -\frac{N}{2\sigma^2} + \frac{1}{2(\sigma^2)^2} \sum_{n=1}^{N} (x_n - \mu)^2.$$
(1.68)

Therefore,

$$\mu_{\rm ML} = \frac{1}{N} \sum_{n=1}^{N} x_n,$$

$$\sigma_{\rm ML}^2 = \frac{1}{N} \sum_{n=1}^{N} (x_n - \mu_{\rm ML})^2.$$
(1.69)

1.12

Let x_m and x_n be independent variables. Then

$$\mathbf{E} \, x_m x_n = \mathbf{E} \, x_m \, \mathbf{E} \, x_n. \tag{1.70}$$

If they are samples from the Gaussian distribution with mean μ and variance σ^2 , the right hand side is given by μ^2 . On the other hand, by the definition,

$$E x_n^2 = \text{var } x_n + (E x_n)^2.$$
 (1.71)

If x_n is a sample from the Gaussian distribution with mean μ and variance σ^2 , the right hand side is given by $\sigma^2 + \mu^2$. Therefore,

$$E x_m x_n = \mu^2 + \delta_{mn} \sigma^2. \tag{1.72}$$

Here, since

$$\mu_{\rm ML} = \frac{1}{N} \sum_{n=1}^{N} x_n, \tag{1.73}$$

we have

$$E \mu_{ML} = \frac{1}{N} \sum_{n=1}^{N} E x_n.$$
 (1.74)

Therefore,

$$E \mu_{ML} = \mu. \tag{1.75}$$

Similarly, since

$$\sigma_{\rm ML}^2 = \frac{1}{N} \sum_{n=1}^{N} (x_n - \mu_{\rm ML})^2, \qquad (1.76)$$

we have

$$E \sigma_{ML}^2 = \frac{1}{N} \sum_{n=1}^{N} E (x_n - \mu_{ML})^2.$$
 (1.77)

The right hand side can be writen as

$$\frac{1}{N} \sum_{n=1}^{N} E\left(x_n^2 - 2\mu_{\text{ML}}x_n + \mu_{\text{ML}}^2\right) = \frac{1}{N} \sum_{n=1}^{N} E\left(x_n^2 - \frac{2}{N} E\left(\mu_{\text{ML}}\left(\sum_{n=1}^{N} x_n\right)\right) + E\mu_{\text{ML}}^2\right).$$
(1.78)

The first term of the right hand side can be written as

$$\frac{1}{N} \sum_{n=1}^{N} (\mu^2 + \sigma^2) = \mu^2 + \sigma^2, \tag{1.79}$$

while the second and third terms can be writen as

$$-2 \,\mathrm{E} \,\mu_{\mathrm{ML}}^2 + \mathrm{E} \,\mu_{\mathrm{ML}}^2 = - \,\mathrm{E} \,\mu_{\mathrm{ML}}^2. \tag{1.80}$$

Here,

$$E \mu_{ML}^2 = E \left(\frac{1}{N} \sum_{n=1}^{N} x_n\right)^2.$$
 (1.81)

The right hand side can be written as

$$\frac{1}{N^2} \sum_{n=1}^{N} \operatorname{E} x_n^2 + \frac{2}{N^2} \sum_{1 \le m \le n \le N} \operatorname{E} x_m x_n = \frac{1}{N} \left(\mu^2 + \sigma^2 \right) + \frac{N-1}{N} \mu^2.$$
 (1.82)

Therefore,

$$E \mu_{\rm ML}^2 = \mu^2 + \frac{1}{N} \sigma^2. \tag{1.83}$$

Thus,

$$E \sigma_{ML}^2 = \frac{N-1}{N} \sigma^2. \tag{1.84}$$

1.13

Let $\{x_n\}$ be a set of variables whose mean is μ and variance is σ^2 . Then

$$E\left(\frac{1}{N}\sum_{n=1}^{N}(x_n-\mu)^2\right) = \frac{1}{N}\sum_{n=1}^{N}E(x_n-\mu)^2.$$
 (1.85)

The right hand side can be writen as

$$\frac{1}{N} \sum_{n=1}^{N} E\left(x_n^2 - 2\mu x_n + \mu^2\right) = \frac{1}{N} \sum_{n=1}^{N} E x_n^2 - \frac{2\mu}{N} \sum_{n=1}^{N} E x_n + \mu^2.$$
 (1.86)

The first term of the right hand side can be written as

$$\frac{1}{N} \sum_{n=1}^{N} (\mu^2 + \sigma^2) = \mu^2 + \sigma^2, \tag{1.87}$$

while the second term can be writen as

$$-\frac{2\mu}{N}\sum_{n=1}^{N}\mu = -2\mu^2. \tag{1.88}$$

Therefore,

$$E\left(\frac{1}{N}\sum_{n=1}^{N}(x_{n}-\mu)^{2}\right) = \sigma^{2}.$$
(1.89)

1.14

Let

$$w_{ij}^{S} = \frac{1}{2}(w_{ij} + w_{ji}),$$

$$w_{ij}^{A} = \frac{1}{2}(w_{ij} - w_{ji}).$$
(1.90)

Then

$$w_{ij} = w_{ij}^{S} + w_{ij}^{A},$$

 $w_{ij}^{S} = w_{ji}^{S},$
 $w_{ij}^{A} = -w_{ji}^{A}.$ (1.91)

Here,

$$\sum_{i=1}^{D} \sum_{j=1}^{D} w_{ij}^{A} x_i x_j = \frac{1}{2} \sum_{i=1}^{D} \sum_{j=1}^{D} (w_{ij} - w_{ji}) x_i x_j.$$
 (1.92)

The right hand side can be written as

$$\frac{1}{2} \left(\sum_{i=1}^{D} \sum_{j=1}^{D} w_{ij} x_i x_j - \sum_{i=1}^{D} \sum_{j=1}^{D} w_{ji} x_i x_j \right) = 0.$$
 (1.93)

$$\sum_{i=1}^{D} \sum_{j=1}^{D} w_{ij}^{A} x_i x_j = 0. {(1.94)}$$

Additionally,

$$\sum_{i=1}^{D} \sum_{j=1}^{D} w_{ij} x_i x_j = \sum_{i=1}^{D} \sum_{j=1}^{D} \left(w_{ij}^{S} + w_{ij}^{A} \right) x_i x_j.$$
 (1.95)

The right hand side can be written as

$$\sum_{i=1}^{D} \sum_{j=1}^{D} w_{ij}^{S} x_i x_j + \sum_{i=1}^{D} \sum_{j=1}^{D} w_{ij}^{A} x_i x_j = \sum_{i=1}^{D} \sum_{j=1}^{D} w_{ij}^{S} x_i x_j,$$
 (1.96)

where the result above is used. Therefore,

$$\sum_{i=1}^{D} \sum_{j=1}^{D} w_{ij} x_i x_j = \sum_{i=1}^{D} \sum_{j=1}^{D} w_{ij}^{S} x_i x_j.$$
 (1.97)

Finally, since the matrix $w_{ij}^{\rm S}$ is a $D\times D$ symmetric matrix, its number of independent parameters is $\frac{D(D+1)}{2}$.

1.15

Let n(D, M) be the number of independent parameters of a polynomial in D dimensions and M orders. Then

$$n(1, M) = n(1, M - 1) = 1. (1.98)$$

Let us assume that

$$n(D, M) = \sum_{i=1}^{D} n(i, M - 1).$$
(1.99)

The independent terms of a polynomial in D+1 dimensions and M orders can be split into 1. the ones of a polynomial in D dimensions and M orders and 2. the ones generated by multiplying the ones in D+1 dimensions and M orders by the D+1th variable. Therefore,

$$n(D+1,M) = n(D,M) + n(D+1,M-1). (1.100)$$

Thus,

$$n(D+1,M) = \sum_{i=1}^{D+1} n(i,M-1).$$
 (1.101)

Hence, the assumption is proved by induction on D. Additionally,

$$\sum_{i=1}^{1} \frac{(i+M-2)!}{(i-1)!(M-1)!} = 1.$$
 (1.102)

Let us assume that

$$\sum_{i=1}^{D} \frac{(i+M-2)!}{(i-1)!(M-1)!} = \frac{(D+M-1)!}{(D-1)!M!}.$$
 (1.103)

Then

$$\sum_{i=1}^{D+1} \frac{(i+M-2)!}{(i-1)!(M-1)!} = \frac{(D+M-1)!}{(D-1)!M!} + \frac{(D+M-1)!}{D!(M-1)!}.$$
 (1.104)

The right hand side can be written as

$$\frac{D(D+M-1)! + M(D+M-1)!}{D!M!} = \frac{(D+M)!}{D!M!}.$$
 (1.105)

Therefore, the assumption is proved by induction on D.

Finally, by 1.14,

$$n(D,2) = \frac{D(D+1)}{2}. (1.106)$$

Let us assume that

$$n(D,M) = \frac{(D+M-1)!}{(D-1)!M!}. (1.107)$$

Then, by the result above,

$$n(D, M+1) = \sum_{i=1}^{D} n(i, M).$$
 (1.108)

By the assumption and result above, the right hand side can be written as

$$\sum_{i=1}^{D} \frac{(i+M-1)!}{(i-1)!M!} = \frac{(D+M)!}{(D-1)!(M+1)!}.$$
 (1.109)

Therefore, the assumption is proved by induction on M.

Let N(D, M) be the number of independent parameters in all of the terms up to and including the ones of D dimensions and M orders. Then, by 1.15,

$$N(D, M) = \sum_{m=0}^{M} n(D, m), \qquad (1.110)$$

where

$$n(D,m) = \frac{(D+m-1)!}{(D-1)!m!}. (1.111)$$

Additionally,

$$N(D,0) = 1. (1.112)$$

Let us assume that

$$\sum_{m=0}^{M} n(D,m) = \frac{(D+M)!}{D!M!}.$$
(1.113)

Then

$$\sum_{m=0}^{M+1} n(D,m) = \frac{(D+M)!}{D!M!} + \frac{(D+M)!}{(D-1)!(M+1)!}.$$
 (1.114)

The right hand side can be written as

$$\frac{(M+1)(D+M)! + D(D+M)!}{D!(M+1)!} = \frac{(D+M+1)!}{D!(M+1)!}.$$
 (1.115)

Therefore, the assumption is proved by induction on M. Thus,

$$N(D,M) = \frac{(D+M)!}{D!M!}. (1.116)$$

Additionally, by the approximation

$$n! \simeq n^n \exp(-n), \tag{1.117}$$

the right hand side can be approximated as

$$\frac{(D+M)^{D+M} \exp(-(D+M))}{D^D \exp(-D)M^M \exp(-M)} = \frac{(D+M)^{D+M}}{D^D M^M}.$$
 (1.118)

The right hand side can be written as

$$D^{M} \left(1 + \frac{M}{D} \right)^{D} \left(\frac{1}{M} + \frac{1}{D} \right)^{M} = M^{D} \left(1 + \frac{D}{M} \right)^{M} \left(\frac{1}{D} + \frac{1}{M} \right)^{D}. \quad (1.119)$$

Therefore, N(D, M) can be approximated as D^M for $D \gg M$ and as M^D for $M \gg D$.

Finally, by the result above,

$$N(10,3) = 286,$$

 $N(100,3) = 176851,$ (1.120)
 $N(1000,3) = 167668501.$

1.17

Let

$$\Gamma(x) = \int_0^\infty u^{x-1} \exp(-u) du. \tag{1.121}$$

Then

$$\Gamma(x+1) = \int_0^\infty u^x \exp(-u) du.$$
 (1.122)

The right hand side can be written as

$$[-u^x \exp(-u)]_{u=0}^{u=\infty} + \int_0^\infty x u^{x-1} \exp(-u) du = x\Gamma(x).$$
 (1.123)

Therefore,

$$\Gamma(x+1) = x\Gamma(x). \tag{1.124}$$

Since

$$\Gamma(1) = \int_0^1 \exp(-u)du,$$
 (1.125)

and the right hand side can be written as 1,

$$\Gamma(1) = 0!. \tag{1.126}$$

For a positive integer x, let us assume that

$$\Gamma(x) = (x - 1)!. \tag{1.127}$$

Then,

$$\Gamma(x+1) = x\Gamma(x), \tag{1.128}$$

where the right hand side can be written as x!. Therefore,

$$\Gamma(x+1) = x!. \tag{1.129}$$

Thus, the assumption is proved by induction on x.

1.18

Let us consider the transformation from Cartesian to polar coordinates

$$\prod_{i=1}^{D} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \exp(-x_i^2) dx_i = S_D \int_{0}^{\infty} \exp(-r^2) r^{D-1} dr,$$
 (1.130)

where S_D is the surface area of a sphere of unit raidus in D dimensions. By 1.7, the left hand side can be written as $\pi^{\frac{D}{2}}$. By the transformation $s=r^2$, the right hand side can be written as

$$\frac{S_D}{2} \int_0^\infty \exp(-s) s^{\frac{D-1}{2}} s^{-\frac{1}{2}} ds = \frac{S_D}{2} \Gamma\left(\frac{D}{2}\right). \tag{1.131}$$

Therefore,

$$S_D = \frac{2\pi^{\frac{D}{2}}}{\Gamma\left(\frac{D}{2}\right)}. (1.132)$$

Additionally, the volume of the sphere can can be written as

$$V_D = S_D \int_0^1 r^{D-1} dr. (1.133)$$

The right hand side can be written as

$$S_D \left[\frac{r^D}{D} \right]_{r=0}^{r=1} = \frac{S_D}{D}. \tag{1.134}$$

$$V_D = \frac{S_D}{D}. ag{1.135}$$

Finally, the results above reduce to

$$S_2 = \frac{2\pi}{\Gamma(1)},$$
 (1.136) $V_2 = \frac{S_2}{2}.$

Therefore,

$$S_2 = 2\pi,$$

 $V_2 = \pi.$ (1.137)

Similarly,

$$S_3 = \frac{2\pi^{\frac{3}{2}}}{\Gamma(\frac{3}{2})},$$

$$V_3 = \frac{S_3}{3}.$$
(1.138)

Therefore,

$$S_3 = 4\pi,$$

$$V_3 = \frac{4}{3}\pi.$$
(1.139)

1.19

The volume of a cube of side 2 in D dimensions is 2^{D} . Therefore, the ratio of the volume of the cocentric sphere of radius 1 divided by the volume of the cube is given by

$$\frac{V_D}{2^D} = \frac{\pi^{\frac{D}{2}}}{D2^{D-1}\Gamma(\frac{D}{2})},\tag{1.140}$$

by 1.18.

Additionally, by Stering's formula

$$\Gamma(x+1) \simeq (2\pi)^{\frac{1}{2}} \exp(-x)x^{\frac{x+1}{2}},$$
 (1.141)

the ratio can be approximated as

$$\frac{V_D}{2^D} \simeq \frac{\pi^{\frac{D}{2}}}{D2^{D-1}(2\pi)^{\frac{1}{2}} \exp\left(1 - \frac{D}{2}\right) \left(\frac{D}{2} - 1\right)^{\frac{D}{4}}}.$$
 (1.142)

The right hand side can be written as

$$\frac{1}{2e(2\pi)^{\frac{1}{2}}} \frac{1}{D} \left(\frac{e^2 \pi^2}{8D - 16} \right)^{\frac{D}{4}}.$$
 (1.143)

Therefore, the ratio goes to zero as $D \to \infty$.

Finally, the ratio of the distance from the center of the cube to one of the corners divided by the perpendicular distance to one of the sides is given by

$$\frac{\sqrt{\sum_{i=1}^{D} 1^2}}{1} = \sqrt{D}.\tag{1.144}$$

Therefore, the ration goes to ∞ as $D \to \infty$.

1.20

For a vector \mathbf{x} in D dimensions, let

$$p(\mathbf{x}) = (2\pi\sigma^2)^{-\frac{D}{2}} \exp\left(-\frac{\|\mathbf{x}\|^2}{2\sigma^2}\right). \tag{1.145}$$

Then

$$\int_{r \le \|\mathbf{x}\| \le r + \epsilon} p(\mathbf{x}) d\mathbf{x} = \int_{r}^{r + \epsilon} \int (2\pi\sigma^{2})^{-\frac{D}{2}} \exp\left(-\frac{r'^{2}}{2\sigma^{2}}\right) J dr' d\boldsymbol{\phi}, \qquad (1.146)$$

where ϕ is the vector of the angular components of the polar coordinate and J is the Jacobian of the transformation from the Cartesian to polar coordinate. For a sufficiently small ϵ , the right hand side can be approximated as

$$(2\pi\sigma^2)^{-\frac{D}{2}} \exp\left(-\frac{r^2}{2\sigma^2}\right) \int_r^{r+\epsilon} \int J dr' d\boldsymbol{\phi}$$

$$= (2\pi\sigma^2)^{-\frac{D}{2}} \exp\left(-\frac{r^2}{2\sigma^2}\right) \int_{r<\|\mathbf{x}\|< r+\epsilon} d\mathbf{x}.$$
(1.147)

$$\int_{r \le ||\mathbf{x}|| \le r + \epsilon} p(\mathbf{x}) d\mathbf{x} \simeq p(r) \epsilon, \qquad (1.148)$$

where

$$p(r) = (2\pi\sigma^2)^{-\frac{D}{2}} S_D r^{D-1} \exp\left(-\frac{r^2}{2\sigma^2}\right),$$
 (1.149)

and S_D is the surface area of a unit sphere in D dimensions.

Additionally, setting the derivative of p(r) to zero gives

$$0 = (2\pi\sigma^2)^{-\frac{D}{2}} S_D \left((D-1)r^{D-2} - \frac{r^D}{\sigma^2} \right) \exp\left(-\frac{r^2}{2\sigma^2} \right).$$
 (1.150)

Therefore, p(r) is maximised at a sigle stationary point

$$\hat{r} = \sqrt{D - 1}\sigma. \tag{1.151}$$

Additionally, by the expression of p(r) above,

$$\frac{p(\hat{r}+\epsilon)}{p(\hat{r})} = \left(\frac{\hat{r}+\epsilon}{\hat{r}}\right)^{D-1} \exp\left(-\frac{2\hat{r}\epsilon+\epsilon^2}{2\sigma^2}\right). \tag{1.152}$$

Using the expression of \hat{r} above, the right hand side can be written as

$$\exp\left((D-1)\ln\left(1+\frac{\epsilon}{\hat{r}}\right) - \frac{2\hat{r}\epsilon + \epsilon^2}{2\sigma^2}\right)$$

$$= \exp\left(\frac{\hat{r}^2}{\sigma^2}\ln\left(1+\frac{\epsilon}{\hat{r}}\right) - \frac{2\hat{r}\epsilon + \epsilon^2}{2\sigma^2}\right). \tag{1.153}$$

By the Taylor series

$$\ln(1+x) = x - \frac{1}{2}x^2 + o(x^3), \qquad (1.154)$$

the right hand side can be approximated as

$$\exp\left(\frac{\hat{r}^2}{\sigma^2}\left(\frac{\epsilon}{\hat{r}} - \frac{\epsilon^2}{2\hat{r}^2}\right) - \frac{2\hat{r}\epsilon + \epsilon^2}{2\sigma^2}\right) = \exp\left(-\frac{\epsilon^2}{\sigma^2}\right). \tag{1.155}$$

Therefore,

$$p(\hat{r} + \epsilon) \simeq p(\hat{r}) \exp\left(-\frac{\epsilon^2}{\sigma^2}\right).$$
 (1.156)

Finally, let a vector of length \hat{r} be $\hat{\mathbf{r}}$. Then, by the definition of $p(\mathbf{x})$,

$$\frac{p(\mathbf{0})}{p(\hat{\mathbf{r}})} = \exp\left(\frac{\hat{r}^2}{2\sigma^2}\right). \tag{1.157}$$

Substituting the expression of \hat{r} above, the right hand side can be written as $\exp\left(\frac{D-1}{2}\right)$. Therefore,

$$\frac{p(\mathbf{0})}{p(\hat{\mathbf{r}})} = \exp\left(\frac{D-1}{2}\right). \tag{1.158}$$

If $0 \le a \le b$, then

$$0 \le a(b-a). \tag{1.159}$$

Therefore,

$$a \le (ab)^{\frac{1}{2}}. (1.160)$$

For a two-class classification problem of \mathbf{x} , let the classes be \mathcal{C}_1 and \mathcal{C}_2 and let the decision regions be \mathcal{R}_1 and \mathcal{R}_2 . Let us choose the decision regions to minimise the probability of misclassification. Then,

$$p(\mathbf{x}, \mathcal{C}_1) > p(\mathbf{x}, \mathcal{C}_2) \Rightarrow \mathbf{x} \in \mathcal{C}_1,$$
 (1.161)

and

$$p(\mathbf{x}, \mathcal{C}_2) > p(\mathbf{x}, \mathcal{C}_1) \Rightarrow \mathbf{x} \in \mathcal{C}_2.$$
 (1.162)

Then, using the inequality above,

$$\int_{\mathcal{R}_1} p(\mathbf{x}, \mathcal{C}_2) d\mathbf{x} \le \int_{\mathcal{R}_1} (p(\mathbf{x}, \mathcal{C}_1) p(\mathbf{x}, \mathcal{C}_2))^{\frac{1}{2}} d\mathbf{x}, \tag{1.163}$$

and

$$\int_{\mathcal{R}_2} p(\mathbf{x}, \mathcal{C}_1) d\mathbf{x} \le \int_{\mathcal{R}_2} \left(p(\mathbf{x}, \mathcal{C}_1) p(\mathbf{x}, \mathcal{C}_2) \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} d\mathbf{x}. \tag{1.164}$$

Therefore,

$$\int_{\mathcal{R}_1} p(\mathbf{x}, \mathcal{C}_2) d\mathbf{x} + \int_{\mathcal{R}_2} p(\mathbf{x}, \mathcal{C}_1) d\mathbf{x} \le \int \left(p(\mathbf{x}, \mathcal{C}_1) p(\mathbf{x}, \mathcal{C}_2) \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} d\mathbf{x}. \tag{1.165}$$

1.22

Let

$$EL = \sum_{k} \sum_{j} \int_{\mathcal{R}_{j}} L_{kj} p(\mathbf{x}, \mathcal{C}_{k}) d\mathbf{x}.$$
 (1.166)

If

$$L_{kj} = 1 - \delta_{kj}, \tag{1.167}$$

then the right hand side can be written as

$$\sum_{k} \sum_{j} \int_{\mathcal{R}_{j}} \left(p(\mathbf{x}, \mathcal{C}_{k}) - p(\mathbf{x}, \mathcal{C}_{j}) \right) d\mathbf{x} = \sum_{j} \int_{\mathcal{R}_{j}} \left(\sum_{k} p(\mathbf{x}, \mathcal{C}_{k}) - p(\mathbf{x}, \mathcal{C}_{j}) \right) d\mathbf{x}.$$
(1.168)

The right hand side can be written as

$$\sum_{j} \int_{\mathcal{R}_{j}} (p(\mathbf{x}) - p(\mathbf{x}, \mathcal{C}_{j})) d\mathbf{x} = 1 - \sum_{j} \int_{\mathcal{R}_{j}} p(\mathbf{x}, \mathcal{C}_{j}) d\mathbf{x}.$$
 (1.169)

Therefore,

$$EL = 1 - \sum_{j} \int_{\mathcal{R}_{j}} p(\mathcal{C}_{j}|\mathbf{x}) p(\mathbf{x}) d\mathbf{x}.$$
 (1.170)

Thus, minimising E L reduces to choosing the criterion to maximise the posterior probatility $p(C_i|\mathbf{x})$.

1.23

Let

$$EL = \sum_{k} \sum_{j} \int_{\mathcal{R}_{j}} L_{kj} p(\mathbf{x}, \mathcal{C}_{k}) d\mathbf{x}.$$
 (1.171)

The right hand side can be written as

$$\sum_{j} \int_{\mathcal{R}_{j}} \sum_{k} L_{kj} p(\mathbf{x}, \mathcal{C}_{k}) d\mathbf{x} = \sum_{j} \int_{\mathcal{R}_{j}} \left(\sum_{k} L_{kj} p(\mathcal{C}_{k} | \mathbf{x}) \right) p(\mathbf{x}) d\mathbf{x}.$$
 (1.172)

Therefore,

$$EL = \sum_{j} \int_{\mathcal{R}_{j}} \left(\sum_{k} L_{kj} p(\mathcal{C}_{k}|\mathbf{x}) \right) p(\mathbf{x}) d\mathbf{x}.$$
 (1.173)

Thus, minimising EL reduces to choosing to minimise $\sum_k L_{kj} p(\mathcal{C}_k | \mathbf{x})$.

1.24 (Incomplete)

Let

$$EL = \sum_{k} \sum_{j} \int_{\mathcal{R}_{j}} L_{kj} p(\mathbf{x}, \mathcal{C}_{k}) d\mathbf{x} + \lambda \int_{\forall kp(\mathcal{C}_{k}|\mathbf{x}) < \theta} p(\mathbf{x}) d\mathbf{x}.$$
 (1.174)

Let

$$EL(\mathbf{t}, \mathbf{y}(\mathbf{x})) = \int \int \|\mathbf{y}(\mathbf{x}) - \mathbf{t}\|^2 p(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{t}) d\mathbf{x} d\mathbf{t}.$$
 (1.175)

Setting the derivative with respect to $\mathbf{y}(\mathbf{x})$ to zero gives

$$\mathbf{0} = 2 \int (\mathbf{y}(\mathbf{x}) - \mathbf{t}) p(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{t}) d\mathbf{t}. \tag{1.176}$$

The integral of the right hand side can be written as

$$\mathbf{y}(\mathbf{x}) \int p(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{t}) d\mathbf{t} - \int \mathbf{t} p(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{t}) d\mathbf{t} = \mathbf{y}(\mathbf{x}) p(\mathbf{x}) - p(\mathbf{x}) \int \mathbf{t} p(\mathbf{t}|\mathbf{x}) d\mathbf{t}. \quad (1.177)$$

The integral in the second term of the right hand side can be written as $E_{\mathbf{t}}(\mathbf{t}|\mathbf{x})$. Therefore, the right hand side can be written as

$$\mathbf{0} = p(\mathbf{x}) \left(\mathbf{y}(\mathbf{x}) - \mathbf{E}_{\mathbf{t}}(\mathbf{t}|\mathbf{x}) \right). \tag{1.178}$$

Thus,

$$\underset{\mathbf{y}(\mathbf{x})}{\operatorname{argmin}} E L(\mathbf{t}, \mathbf{y}(\mathbf{x})) = E_{\mathbf{t}}(\mathbf{t}|\mathbf{x}). \tag{1.179}$$

Finally, for a single target variable t, it reduces to

$$\underset{\mathbf{y}(\mathbf{x})}{\operatorname{argmin}} E L(\mathbf{t}, \mathbf{y}(\mathbf{x})) = E_t(t|\mathbf{x}). \tag{1.180}$$

1.26

Let

$$E L(\mathbf{t}, \mathbf{y}(\mathbf{x})) = \int \int \|\mathbf{y}(\mathbf{x}) - \mathbf{t}\|^2 p(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{t}) d\mathbf{x} d\mathbf{t}.$$
 (1.181)

The right hand side can be written as

$$\int \int \|\mathbf{y}(\mathbf{x}) - \mathbf{E}_{\mathbf{t}}(\mathbf{t}|\mathbf{x}) + \mathbf{E}_{\mathbf{t}}(\mathbf{t}|\mathbf{x}) - \mathbf{t}\|^{2} p(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{t}) d\mathbf{x} d\mathbf{t}$$

$$= \int \int \|\mathbf{y}(\mathbf{x}) - \mathbf{E}_{\mathbf{t}}(\mathbf{t}|\mathbf{x})\|^{2} p(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{t}) d\mathbf{x} d\mathbf{t}$$

$$+ 2 \int \int (\mathbf{y}(\mathbf{x}) - \mathbf{E}_{\mathbf{t}}(\mathbf{t}|\mathbf{x}))^{\mathsf{T}} (\mathbf{E}_{\mathbf{t}}(\mathbf{t}|\mathbf{x}) - \mathbf{t}) p(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{t}) d\mathbf{x} d\mathbf{t}$$

$$+ \int \int \|\mathbf{E}_{\mathbf{t}}(\mathbf{t}|\mathbf{x}) - \mathbf{t}\|^{2} p(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{t}) d\mathbf{x} d\mathbf{t}.$$
(1.182)

Let us look at each term of the right hand side. The first term can be written as

$$\int \|\mathbf{y}(\mathbf{x}) - \mathbf{E}_{\mathbf{t}}(\mathbf{t}|\mathbf{x})\|^{2} \left(\int p(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{t}) d\mathbf{t} \right) d\mathbf{x} = \int \|\mathbf{y}(\mathbf{x}) - \mathbf{E}_{\mathbf{t}}(\mathbf{t}|\mathbf{x})\|^{2} p(\mathbf{x}) d\mathbf{x}.$$
(1.183)

The second term can be written as

$$2\int (\mathbf{y}(\mathbf{x}) - \mathbf{E}_{\mathbf{t}}(\mathbf{t}|\mathbf{x}))^{\mathsf{T}} \left(\int (\mathbf{E}_{\mathbf{t}}(\mathbf{t}|\mathbf{x}) - \mathbf{t}) p(\mathbf{t}|\mathbf{x}) d\mathbf{t} \right) p(\mathbf{x}) d\mathbf{x}.$$
 (1.184)

Since

$$\int E_{\mathbf{t}}(\mathbf{t}|\mathbf{x})p(\mathbf{t}|\mathbf{x})d\mathbf{t} = E_{\mathbf{t}}(\mathbf{t}|\mathbf{x})\frac{\int p(\mathbf{x},\mathbf{t})d\mathbf{t}}{p(\mathbf{x})},$$

$$\int \mathbf{t}p(\mathbf{t}|\mathbf{x})d\mathbf{t} = E_{\mathbf{t}}(\mathbf{t}|\mathbf{x}),$$
(1.185)

the second term is zero. The third term can be written as

$$\int \left(\int \|\mathbf{E}_{\mathbf{t}}(\mathbf{t}|\mathbf{x}) - \mathbf{t}\|^2 p(\mathbf{t}|\mathbf{x}) d\mathbf{t} \right) p(\mathbf{x}) d\mathbf{x} = \int \operatorname{var}_{\mathbf{t}}(\mathbf{t}|\mathbf{x}) p(\mathbf{x}) d\mathbf{x}.$$
 (1.186)

Therefore,

$$E L(\mathbf{t}, \mathbf{y}(\mathbf{x})) = \int \|\mathbf{y}(\mathbf{x}) - E_{\mathbf{t}}(\mathbf{t}|\mathbf{x})\|^{2} p(\mathbf{x}) d\mathbf{x} + \int var_{\mathbf{t}}(\mathbf{t}|\mathbf{x}) p(\mathbf{x}) d\mathbf{x}. \quad (1.187)$$

Thus,

$$\underset{\mathbf{y}(\mathbf{x})}{\operatorname{argmin}} E L(\mathbf{t}, \mathbf{y}(\mathbf{x})) = E_{\mathbf{t}}(\mathbf{t}|\mathbf{x}). \tag{1.188}$$

1.27 (Incomplete)

Let

$$EL_q = \int \int |y(\mathbf{x}) - t|^q p(\mathbf{x}, t) d\mathbf{x} dt.$$
 (1.189)

Setting the derivative with respect to $y(\mathbf{x})$ to zero gives

$$0 = qp(\mathbf{x}) \int |y(\mathbf{x}) - t|^{q-1} \operatorname{sign}(y(\mathbf{x}) - t)p(t|\mathbf{x})dt.$$
 (1.190)

Therefore,

$$\underset{y(\mathbf{x})}{\operatorname{argmin}} \operatorname{E} L_q = \left\{ y(\mathbf{x}) \mid \int |y(\mathbf{x}) - t|^{q-1} \operatorname{sign}(y(\mathbf{x}) - t) p(t|\mathbf{x}) dt = 0 \right\}.$$
(1.191)

Additionally, if q = 1, the integral can be written as

$$p(\mathbf{x}) \int \operatorname{sign}(y(\mathbf{x}) - t) p(t|\mathbf{x}) dt = p(\mathbf{x}) \left(\int_{y(\mathbf{x})}^{\infty} p(t|\mathbf{x}) dt - \int_{-\infty}^{y(\mathbf{x})} p(t|\mathbf{x}) dt \right).$$
(1.192)

Therefore,

$$\underset{y(\mathbf{x})}{\operatorname{argmin}} E L_1 = \operatorname{median}(t|\mathbf{x}). \tag{1.193}$$

Finally,

$$\lim_{q \to 0} \left(\underset{y(\mathbf{x})}{\operatorname{argmin}} \, \mathbf{E} \, L_q \right) = \operatorname{mode}(t|\mathbf{x})? \tag{1.194}$$

1.28

Let us assume that

$$p(x,y) = p(x)p(y) \Rightarrow h(x,y) = h(x) + h(y).$$
 (1.195)

Let h(p) be a function to relate h and p. Then

$$h\left(p^2\right) = 2h(p). \tag{1.196}$$

Let us assume that, for a positive integer n,

$$h\left(p^{n}\right) = nh(p). \tag{1.197}$$

Then, by the first assumption,

$$h(p^{n+1}) = h(p^n) + h(p).$$
 (1.198)

Therefore,

$$h(p^{n+1}) = (n+1)h(p).$$
 (1.199)

Thus, the second assumption is proved by induction on n.

Additionally, for positive integers m and n,

$$h\left(p^{n}\right) = h\left(p^{\frac{n}{m}m}\right). \tag{1.200}$$

By the second assumption, the left hand side can be written as nh(p). By the first assumption, the right hand side can be written as $mh(p^{\frac{n}{m}})$. Therefore,

$$h\left(p^{\frac{n}{m}}\right) = \frac{n}{m}h(p). \tag{1.201}$$

Finally, by the continuity, for a positive real number a,

$$h\left(p^{a}\right) = ah(p). \tag{1.202}$$

Taking the derivative with respect to a and substituting a = 1 gives

$$(p \ln p)h'(p) = h(p). \tag{1.203}$$

Therefore,

$$\int \frac{h'(p)}{h(p)} dp = \int \frac{1}{p \ln p} dp + \text{const}.$$
 (1.204)

Ignorting the constants, the left hand side can be written as $\ln h(p)$ and the right hand side can be written as $\ln(\ln p)$. Thus,

$$h(p) \propto \ln p. \tag{1.205}$$

1.29

Let x be an M-state discrete random variable. Then, by the definition,

$$H(x) = -\sum_{i=1}^{M} p(x_i) \ln p(x_i), \qquad (1.206)$$

where

$$\sum_{i=1}^{M} p(x_i) = 1. (1.207)$$

By Jensen's inequality,

$$\sum_{i=1}^{M} p(x_i) \ln \frac{1}{p(x_i)} \le \ln \left(\sum_{i=1}^{M} 1 \right).$$
 (1.208)

$$H(x) \le \ln M. \tag{1.209}$$

Let

$$p(x) = \mathcal{N}(x|\mu, \sigma^2),$$

$$q(x) = \mathcal{N}(x|m, s^2).$$
(1.210)

By the definition,

$$KL(p||q) = -\int p(x) \ln \frac{q(x)}{p(x)} dx. \qquad (1.211)$$

The right hand side can be written as

$$-\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} p(x) \ln \frac{(2\pi s^2)^{-\frac{1}{2}} \exp\left(-\frac{(x-m)^2}{2s^2}\right)}{(2\pi\sigma^2)^{-\frac{1}{2}} \exp\left(-\frac{(x-\mu)^2}{2\sigma^2}\right)} dx$$

$$= -\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} p(x) \left(-\frac{1}{2} \ln \frac{s^2}{\sigma^2} - \frac{(x-m)^2}{2s^2} + \frac{(x-\mu)^2}{2\sigma^2}\right) dx.$$
(1.212)

The right hand side can be written as

$$\ln \frac{s}{\sigma} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} p(x)dx + \frac{1}{2s^2} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} (x-m)^2 p(x)dx - \frac{1}{2\sigma^2} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} (x-\mu)^2 p(x)dx. \quad (1.213)$$

The first term can be written as $\ln \frac{s}{\sigma}$. The second term can be written as

$$\frac{1}{2s^2} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} (x - \mu + \mu - m)^2 p(x) dx = \frac{\sigma^2 + (\mu - m)^2}{2s^2}.$$
 (1.214)

The third term can be written as $-\frac{1}{2}$. Therefore,

$$KL(p||q) = \ln \frac{s}{\sigma} + \frac{\sigma^2 + (\mu - m)^2}{2s^2} - \frac{1}{2}.$$
 (1.215)

1.31

Let \mathbf{x} and \mathbf{y} be two variables. Then, by the definition,

$$H(\mathbf{x}) = -\int p(\mathbf{x}) \ln p(\mathbf{x}) d\mathbf{x},$$

$$H(\mathbf{y}) = -\int p(\mathbf{y}) \ln p(\mathbf{y}) d\mathbf{y},$$

$$H(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y}) = -\int \int p(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y}) \ln p(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y}) d\mathbf{x} d\mathbf{y}.$$
(1.216)

Note that

$$H(\mathbf{x}) = -\int \left(\int p(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y}) d\mathbf{y}\right) \ln p(\mathbf{x}) d\mathbf{x},$$

$$H(\mathbf{y}) = -\int \left(\int p(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y}) d\mathbf{x}\right) \ln p(\mathbf{y}) d\mathbf{y}.$$
(1.217)

Therefore,

$$H(\mathbf{x}) + H(\mathbf{y}) - H(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y}) = -\int \int p(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y}) \ln \frac{p(\mathbf{x})p(\mathbf{y})}{p(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y})} d\mathbf{x} d\mathbf{y}.$$
 (1.218)

Since

$$\int \int p(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y}) d\mathbf{x} d\mathbf{y} = 1, \tag{1.219}$$

Jensen's inequality can be used to write that

$$-\int \int p(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y}) \ln \frac{p(\mathbf{x})p(\mathbf{y})}{p(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y})} d\mathbf{x} d\mathbf{y} \ge -\ln \left(\int \int p(\mathbf{x})p(\mathbf{y}) d\mathbf{x} d\mathbf{y} \right). \quad (1.220)$$

The right hand side can be written as

$$-\ln\left(\int p(\mathbf{x})d\mathbf{x}\int p(\mathbf{y})d\mathbf{y}\right) = 0. \tag{1.221}$$

Thus,

$$H(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y}) \le H(\mathbf{x}) + H(\mathbf{y}). \tag{1.222}$$

1.32

Let \mathbf{x} be a vector of continuous variables and

$$\mathbf{y} = \mathbf{A}\mathbf{x},\tag{1.223}$$

where \mathbf{A} is a nonsingular matrix. By the definition,

$$H(\mathbf{y}) = -\int p_y(\mathbf{y}) \ln p_y(\mathbf{y}) d\mathbf{y}. \tag{1.224}$$

By the transformation

$$p_y(\mathbf{y}) = p_x(\mathbf{A}\mathbf{x}) |\det \mathbf{A}^{-1}|, \qquad (1.225)$$

the right hand side can be written as

$$-\int p_x(\mathbf{A}\mathbf{x}) \ln p_x(\mathbf{A}\mathbf{x}) |\det \mathbf{A}| d\mathbf{x} - \ln \left| \det \mathbf{A}^{-1} \right| \int p_y(\mathbf{y}) d\mathbf{y}. \tag{1.226}$$

By the transformation

$$\mathbf{x}' = \mathbf{A}\mathbf{x},\tag{1.227}$$

the first term can be written as

$$-\int p_x(\mathbf{x}') \ln p_x(\mathbf{x}') d\mathbf{x}' = \mathbf{H}(\mathbf{x}), \qquad (1.228)$$

and the second term can be written as

$$-\ln\left|\det\mathbf{A}^{-1}\right| = \ln\left|\det\mathbf{A}\right|. \tag{1.229}$$

Therefore,

$$H(\mathbf{y}) = H(\mathbf{x}) + \ln|\det \mathbf{A}|. \tag{1.230}$$

1.33

Let x and y be two discrete variables. By the definition,

$$H(y|x) = -\sum_{i} \sum_{j} p(x_i, y_j) \ln p(y_j|x_i).$$
 (1.231)

If H(y|x) is zero, then

$$0 = -\sum_{i} p(x_i) \sum_{i} p(y_j | x_i) \ln p(y_j | x_i).$$
 (1.232)

Since

$$p(x_i) \ge 0, p(y_i|x_i) \ln p(y_i|x_i) < 0.$$
 (1.233)

for all i and j, the equation reduces to

$$p(y_j|x_i) \ln p(y_j|x_i) = 0. (1.234)$$

Therefore, $p(y_j|x_i)$ is zero or one. Thus, since

$$\sum_{j} p(y_j|x_i) = 1, \tag{1.235}$$

 $p(y_j|x_i)$ is one for a unique x_i and zero for others.

Let

$$L(p(x)) = -\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} p(x) \ln p(x) dx + \lambda_1 \left(\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} p(x) dx - 1 \right) + \lambda_2 \left(\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} x p(x) dx - \mu \right) + \lambda_3 \left(\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} (x - \mu)^2 p(x) dx - \sigma^2 \right).$$

$$(1.236)$$

Setting the derivtive with respect to p(x) to zero gives

$$0 = -\ln p(x) - 1 + \lambda_1 + \lambda_2 x + \lambda_3 (x - \mu)^2. \tag{1.237}$$

Therefore,

$$p(x) = \exp(-1 + \lambda_1 + \lambda_2 x + \lambda_3 (x - \mu)^2).$$
 (1.238)

Therefore,

$$p(x) = \exp\left(-1 + \lambda_1 - \frac{\lambda_2^2}{4\lambda_3} + \lambda_3 \left(x - \left(\mu - \frac{\lambda_2}{2\lambda_3}\right)\right)^2\right). \tag{1.239}$$

Substituting it to

$$\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} p(x)dx = 1,$$

$$\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} xp(x)dx = \mu,$$

$$\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} (x - \mu)^2 p(x)dx = \sigma^2,$$
(1.240)

gives

$$\exp\left(-1 + \lambda_1 - \frac{\lambda_2^2}{4\lambda_3}\right) \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \exp\left(\lambda_3 \left(x - \left(\mu - \frac{\lambda_2}{2\lambda_3}\right)\right)^2\right) dx = 1,$$

$$\exp\left(-1 + \lambda_1 - \frac{\lambda_2^2}{4\lambda_3}\right) \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} x \exp\left(\lambda_3 \left(x - \left(\mu - \frac{\lambda_2}{2\lambda_3}\right)\right)^2\right) dx = \mu,$$

$$\exp\left(-1 + \lambda_1 - \frac{\lambda_2^2}{4\lambda_3}\right) \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} (x - \mu)^2 \exp\left(\lambda_3 \left(x - \left(\mu - \frac{\lambda_2}{2\lambda_3}\right)\right)^2\right) dx = \sigma^2.$$

$$(1.241)$$

By the transformation

$$y = \sqrt{-\lambda_3} \left(x - \left(\mu - \frac{\lambda_2}{2\lambda_3} \right) \right), \tag{1.242}$$

they can be written as

$$\exp\left(-1 + \lambda_{1} - \frac{\lambda_{2}^{2}}{4\lambda_{3}}\right) \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \exp\left(-y^{2}\right) (-\lambda_{3})^{-\frac{1}{2}} dy = 1,$$

$$\exp\left(-1 + \lambda_{1} - \frac{\lambda_{2}^{2}}{4\lambda_{3}}\right) \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \left((-\lambda_{3})^{-\frac{1}{2}} y + \mu - \frac{\lambda_{2}}{2\lambda_{3}}\right) \exp\left(-y^{2}\right) (-\lambda_{3})^{-\frac{1}{2}} dy = \mu,$$

$$\exp\left(-1 + \lambda_{1} - \frac{\lambda_{2}^{2}}{4\lambda_{3}}\right) \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \left((-\lambda_{3})^{-\frac{1}{2}} y - \frac{\lambda_{2}}{2\lambda_{3}}\right)^{2} \exp\left(-y^{2}\right) (-\lambda_{3})^{-\frac{1}{2}} dy = \sigma^{2}.$$

$$(1.243)$$

Since

$$\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \exp(-y^2) dy = \Gamma\left(\frac{1}{2}\right),$$

$$\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} y \exp(-y^2) dy = 0,$$

$$\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} y^2 \exp(-y^2) dy = \Gamma\left(\frac{3}{2}\right),$$
(1.244)

they can be written as

$$\exp\left(-1 + \lambda_{1} - \frac{\lambda_{2}^{2}}{4\lambda_{3}}\right) (-\lambda_{3})^{-\frac{1}{2}} \Gamma\left(\frac{1}{2}\right) = 1,$$

$$\exp\left(-1 + \lambda_{1} - \frac{\lambda_{2}^{2}}{4\lambda_{3}}\right) \left(\mu - \frac{\lambda_{2}}{2\lambda_{3}}\right) (-\lambda_{3})^{-\frac{1}{2}} \Gamma\left(\frac{1}{2}\right) = \mu,$$

$$\exp\left(-1 + \lambda_{1} - \frac{\lambda_{2}^{2}}{4\lambda_{3}}\right) \left((-\lambda_{3})^{-\frac{3}{2}} \Gamma\left(\frac{3}{2}\right) + (-\lambda_{3})^{-\frac{1}{2}} \frac{\lambda_{2}^{2}}{4\lambda_{3}^{2}} \Gamma\left(\frac{1}{2}\right)\right) = \sigma^{2}.$$

$$(1.245)$$

Therefore,

$$\lambda_1 = 1 - \frac{1}{2} \ln \left(2\pi \sigma^2 \right),$$

$$\lambda_2 = 0,$$

$$\lambda_3 = -\frac{1}{2\sigma^2}.$$
(1.246)

Thus,

$$p(x) = (2\pi\sigma^2)^{-\frac{1}{2}} \exp\left(-\frac{1}{2\sigma^2}(x-\mu)^2\right).$$
 (1.247)

Let x be a variable such that

$$p(x) = \mathcal{N}\left(x|\mu, \sigma^2\right). \tag{1.248}$$

Then, by the definition,

$$H(x) = -\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \mathcal{N}\left(x|\mu,\sigma^2\right) \ln \mathcal{N}\left(x|\mu,\sigma^2\right) dx. \tag{1.249}$$

The right hand side can be written as

$$-\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \mathcal{N}\left(x|\mu,\sigma^2\right) \left(-\frac{1}{2}\ln\left(2\pi\sigma^2\right) - \frac{1}{2\sigma^2}(x-\mu)^2\right) dx$$

$$= \frac{1}{2}\ln\left(2\pi\sigma^2\right) \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \mathcal{N}\left(x|\mu,\sigma^2\right) dx + \frac{1}{2\sigma^2} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} (x-\mu)^2 \mathcal{N}\left(x|\mu,\sigma^2\right) dx.$$
(1.250)

Therefore,

$$H(x) = \frac{1}{2} (1 + \ln(2\pi\sigma^2)).$$
 (1.251)

1.36 (Incomplete)

Let f be a strictly convex function. Then, by the definition,

$$f(\lambda a + (1 - \lambda)b) \le \lambda f(a) + (1 - \lambda)f(b), \tag{1.252}$$

where $a \leq b$ and $0 \leq \lambda \leq 1$. Let

$$x = \lambda a + (1 - \lambda)b. \tag{1.253}$$

Then, the inequality can be written as

$$f(x) \le \frac{b-x}{b-a}f(a) + \frac{x-a}{b-a}f(b).$$
 (1.254)

Let

$$g(x) = \frac{b-x}{b-a}f(a) + \frac{x-a}{b-a}f(b) - f(x). \tag{1.255}$$

Then,

$$g(x) \ge 0. \tag{1.256}$$

Additionally, for x > a,

$$g(x) = (x - a) \left(\frac{f(b) - f(a)}{b - a} - \frac{f(x) - f(a)}{x - a} \right).$$
 (1.257)

By the mean value theorem, there exists c and y such that $a \leq c \leq b$, $a \leq y \leq x$ and

$$f'(c) = \frac{f(b) - f(a)}{b - a},$$

$$f'(y) = \frac{f(x) - f(a)}{x - a}.$$
(1.258)

Then, for x > a, the inequality reduces to

$$f'(y) \le f'(c). \tag{1.259}$$

1.37

Let \mathbf{x} and \mathbf{y} be two variables. Then, by the definition,

$$H(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y}) = -\int \int p(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y}) \ln p(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y}) d\mathbf{x} d\mathbf{y}.$$
 (1.260)

The right hand side can be written as

$$-\int \int p(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y}) \left(\ln p(\mathbf{y}|\mathbf{x}) + \ln p(\mathbf{x}) \right) d\mathbf{x} d\mathbf{y}$$

$$= -\int \int p(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y}) \ln p(\mathbf{y}|\mathbf{x}) d\mathbf{x} d\mathbf{y} - \int \left(\int p(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y}) d\mathbf{y} \right) \ln p(\mathbf{x}) d\mathbf{x}.$$
(1.261)

By the definition, the first term of the right hand side can be written as $H(\mathbf{y}|\mathbf{x})$ and the second term can be written as $H(\mathbf{x})$. Therefore,

$$H(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y}) = H(\mathbf{y}|\mathbf{x}) + H(\mathbf{x}). \tag{1.262}$$

1.38

Let f be a strictly convex function. Then, by the definition,

$$f(\lambda x_1 + (1 - \lambda)x_2) \le \lambda f(x_1) + (1 - \lambda)f(x_2),$$
 (1.263)

where $0 \le \lambda \le 1$. Let us assume that

$$f\left(\sum_{i=1}^{M} \lambda_i x_i\right) \le \sum_{i=1}^{M} \lambda_i f(x_i), \tag{1.264}$$

where $\lambda_i \geq 0$ and

$$\sum_{i=1}^{M} \lambda_i = 1. \tag{1.265}$$

Here, let $\lambda_i \geq 0$ and

$$\sum_{i=1}^{M+1} \lambda_i = 1. \tag{1.266}$$

Then, by the definition,

$$f\left(\sum_{i=1}^{M+1} \lambda_i x_i\right) \le \lambda_{M+1} f(x_{M+1}) + (1 - \lambda_{M+1}) f\left(\sum_{i=1}^{M} \frac{\lambda_i}{1 - \lambda_{M+1}} x_i\right). \quad (1.267)$$

By the assumption,

$$f\left(\sum_{i=1}^{M} \frac{\lambda_i}{1 - \lambda_{M+1}} x_i\right) \le \sum_{i=1}^{M} \frac{\lambda_i}{1 - \lambda_{M+1}} f(x_i).$$
 (1.268)

Therefore,

$$f\left(\sum_{i=1}^{M+1} \lambda_i x_i\right) \le \lambda_{M+1} f(x_{M+1}) + (1 - \lambda_{M+1}) \sum_{i=1}^{M} \frac{\lambda_i}{1 - \lambda_{M+1}} f(x_i). \quad (1.269)$$

Thus,

$$f\left(\sum_{i=1}^{M+1} \lambda_i x_i\right) \le \sum_{i=1}^{M+1} \lambda_i f(x_i). \tag{1.270}$$

Hence, the assumption is proved by induction on M.

Let x and y be two binary variables where

$$p(x = 0, y = 0) = \frac{1}{3},$$

$$p(x = 0, y = 1) = \frac{1}{3},$$

$$p(x = 1, y = 0) = 0,$$

$$p(x = 1, y = 1) = \frac{1}{3}.$$
(1.271)

(a)

By the definition,

$$H(x) = -\sum p(x) \ln p(x).$$
 (1.272)

By the distribution,

$$p(x = 0) = \frac{2}{3},$$

$$p(x = 1) = \frac{1}{3}.$$
(1.273)

Therefore,

$$H(x) = \ln 3 - \frac{2}{3} \ln 2. \tag{1.274}$$

(b)

By the definition,

$$H(y) = -\sum p(y) \ln p(y).$$
 (1.275)

By the distribution,

$$p(y=0) = \frac{1}{3},$$

$$p(y=1) = \frac{2}{3}.$$
(1.276)

$$H(y) = \ln 3 - \frac{2}{3} \ln 2. \tag{1.277}$$

(c)

By the definition,

$$H(y|x) = -\sum p(x,y) \ln p(y|x).$$
 (1.278)

By the definition,

$$p(y = 0|x = 0) = \frac{p(x = 0, y = 0)}{p(x = 0)},$$

$$p(y = 0|x = 1) = \frac{p(x = 1, y = 0)}{p(x = 1)},$$

$$p(y = 1|x = 0) = \frac{p(x = 0, y = 1)}{p(x = 0)},$$

$$p(y = 1|x = 1) = \frac{p(x = 1, y = 1)}{p(x = 1)}.$$

$$(1.279)$$

Then, by the distribution,

$$p(y = 0|x = 0) = \frac{1}{2},$$

$$p(y = 0|x = 1) = 0,$$

$$p(y = 1|x = 0) = \frac{1}{2},$$

$$p(y = 1|x = 1) = 1.$$
(1.280)

Therefore,

$$H(y|x) = \frac{2}{3}\ln 2. \tag{1.281}$$

(d)

By the definition,

$$H(x|y) = -\sum p(x,y) \ln p(x|y).$$
 (1.282)

By the definition,

$$p(x = 0|y = 0) = \frac{p(x = 0, y = 0)}{p(y = 0)},$$

$$p(x = 0|y = 1) = \frac{p(x = 0, y = 1)}{p(y = 1)},$$

$$p(x = 1|y = 0) = \frac{p(x = 1, y = 0)}{p(y = 0)},$$

$$p(x = 1|y = 1) = \frac{p(x = 1, y = 1)}{p(y = 1)}.$$
(1.283)

Then, by the distribution,

$$p(x = 0|y = 0) = 1,$$

$$p(x = 0|y = 1) = \frac{1}{2},$$

$$p(x = 1|y = 0) = 0,$$

$$p(x = 1|y = 1) = \frac{1}{2}.$$
(1.284)

Therefore,

$$H(x|y) = \frac{2}{3} \ln 2. \tag{1.285}$$

(e)

By the definition,

$$H(x,y) = -\sum p(x,y) \ln p(x,y).$$
 (1.286)

Therefore,

$$H(x,y) = \ln 3.$$
 (1.287)

(f)

By the definition,

$$I(x,y) = -\sum p(x,y) \ln \frac{p(x)p(y)}{p(x,y)}.$$
 (1.288)

By the distribution, the right hand side can be written as

$$H(x) + H(y) - H(x, y).$$
 (1.289)

Therefore,

$$I(x,y) = \ln 3 - \frac{4}{3} \ln 2. \tag{1.290}$$

1.40

Let $\{x_i\}$ be a set of points where $x_i > 0$, and let $\{\lambda_i\}$ be a set of coefficients where $\lambda_i \geq 0$ and

$$\sum_{i=1}^{M} \lambda_i = 1. (1.291)$$

By Jensen's inequality,

$$\sum_{i=1}^{M} \lambda_i \ln x_i \le \ln \left(\sum_{i=1}^{M} \lambda_i x_i \right). \tag{1.292}$$

Therefore,

$$\prod_{i=1}^{M} x_i^{\lambda_i} \le \sum_{i=1}^{M} \lambda_i x_i. \tag{1.293}$$

Substituting

$$\lambda_i = \frac{1}{M} \tag{1.294}$$

gives

$$\left(\prod_{i=1}^{M} x_i\right)^{\frac{1}{M}} \le frac1M \sum_{i=1}^{M} x_i. \tag{1.295}$$

1.41

Let \mathbf{x} and \mathbf{y} be continuous variables. Then, by the definitnion,

$$I(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y}) = -\int \int p(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y}) \ln \frac{p(\mathbf{x})p(\mathbf{y})}{p(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y})} d\mathbf{x} d\mathbf{y}.$$
 (1.296)

The right hand side can be written as

$$-\int \int p(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y}) \left(\ln p(\mathbf{x}) + \ln \frac{p(\mathbf{y})}{p(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y})} \right) d\mathbf{x} d\mathbf{y}$$

$$= -\int \left(\int p(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y}) d\mathbf{y} \right) \ln p(\mathbf{x}) d\mathbf{x} + \int \int p(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y}) \ln p(\mathbf{x}|\mathbf{y}) d\mathbf{x} d\mathbf{y}.$$
(1.297)

By the definition, the first term of the right hand side can be written as $H(\mathbf{x})$ and the second term can be written as $-H(\mathbf{x}|\mathbf{y})$. Therefore,

$$I(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y}) = H(\mathbf{x}) - H(\mathbf{x}|\mathbf{y}). \tag{1.298}$$

By the definition,

$$I(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y}) = I(\mathbf{y}, \mathbf{x}). \tag{1.299}$$

Thus,

$$I(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y}) = H(\mathbf{y}) - H(\mathbf{y}|\mathbf{x}). \tag{1.300}$$

2 Probability Distributions

2.1

Let x be a variable such that

$$p(x|\mu) = \mu^x (1-\mu)^{1-x}, \tag{2.1}$$

where $x \in \{0, 1\}$. Then,

$$\sum_{x} p(x|\mu) = 1. \tag{2.2}$$

By the definition,

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathbf{E} \, x &= \mu, \\
\mathbf{E} \, x^2 &= \mu,
\end{aligned} \tag{2.3}$$

Since

$$\operatorname{var} x = \operatorname{E} x^{2} - (\operatorname{E} x)^{2},$$
 (2.4)

we have

$$\operatorname{var} x = \mu(1 - \mu). \tag{2.5}$$

By the definition,

$$H(x) = -\sum_{x} p(x|\mu) \ln p(x|\mu).$$
 (2.6)

Therefore,

$$H(x) = -\mu \ln \mu - (1 - \mu) \ln(1 - \mu). \tag{2.7}$$

2.2

Let x be a variable such that

$$p(x|\mu) = \left(\frac{1-\mu}{2}\right)^{\frac{1-x}{2}} \left(\frac{1+\mu}{2}\right)^{\frac{1+x}{2}},\tag{2.8}$$

where $x \in \{-1, 1\}$. Then,

$$\sum_{x} p(x|\mu) = 1. \tag{2.9}$$

By the definition,

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathbf{E} \, x &= \mu, \\
\mathbf{E} \, x^2 &= 1,
\end{aligned} \tag{2.10}$$

Since

$$var x = E x^{2} - (E x)^{2}, (2.11)$$

we have

$$var x = 1 - \mu^2. (2.12)$$

By the definition,

$$H(x) = -\sum_{x} p(x|\mu) \ln p(x|\mu).$$
 (2.13)

Therefore,

$$H(x) = -\frac{1-\mu}{2} \ln \frac{1-\mu}{2} - \frac{1+\mu}{2} \ln \frac{1+\mu}{2}.$$
 (2.14)

2.3

By the definition,

$$\binom{N}{m} = \frac{N!}{m!(N-m)!},$$

$$\binom{N}{m-1} = \frac{N!}{(m-1)!(N-m+1)!}$$
(2.15)

Therefore,

$$\binom{N}{m} + \binom{N}{m-1} = \frac{(N-m+1)N! + mN!}{m!(N-m+1)!}.$$
 (2.16)

By the definition, the right hand side can be written as

$$\frac{(N+1)!}{m!(N+1-m)!} = \binom{N+1}{m}.$$
 (2.17)

Thus,

$$\binom{N}{m} + \binom{N}{m-1} = \binom{N+1}{m}. \tag{2.18}$$

Note that

$$1 + x = \sum_{m=0}^{1} {1 \choose m} x^{m}.$$
 (2.19)

Let us assume that

$$(1+x)^N = \sum_{m=0}^N \binom{N}{m} x^m.$$
 (2.20)

Then,

$$(1+x)^{N+1} = \sum_{m=0}^{N} {N \choose m} x^m + \sum_{m=0}^{N} {N \choose m} x^{m+1}.$$
 (2.21)

By the result above, the right hand side can be written as

$$\sum_{m=0}^{N} {N \choose m} x^m + \sum_{m=1}^{N+1} {N \choose m-1} x^m = 1 + x^{N+1} + \sum_{m=1}^{N} {N+1 \choose m} x^m.$$
 (2.22)

Therefore,

$$(1+x)^{N+1} = \sum_{m=0}^{N+1} {N+1 \choose m} x^m.$$
 (2.23)

Thus, the assumption is proved by induction on N.

Finally, let m be a variable such that

$$p(m|\mu) = \binom{N}{m} \mu^m (1-\mu)^{N-m}.$$
 (2.24)

Then

$$\sum_{m=0}^{N} p(m|\mu) = \sum_{m=0}^{N} {N \choose m} \mu^{m} (1-\mu)^{N-m}.$$
 (2.25)

By the result above, the right hand side can be written as

$$(1-\mu)^N \sum_{m=0}^N \binom{N}{m} \left(\frac{\mu}{1-\mu}\right)^m = (1-\mu)^N \left(1 + \frac{\mu}{1-\mu}\right)^N.$$
 (2.26)

Therefore,

$$\sum_{m=0}^{N} p(m|\mu) = 1. (2.27)$$

2.4

Let m be a variable such that

$$p(m|\mu) = \binom{N}{m} \mu^m (1-\mu)^{N-m}.$$
 (2.28)

Then

$$E m = \sum_{m=0}^{N} m \binom{N}{m} \mu^m (1-\mu)^{N-m}.$$
 (2.29)

Taking the derivative of

$$\sum_{m=0}^{N} \binom{N}{m} \mu^m (1-\mu)^{N-m} = 1 \tag{2.30}$$

with respect to μ gives

$$\sum_{m=0}^{N} m \binom{N}{m} \mu^{m-1} (1-\mu)^{N-m} - \sum_{m=0}^{N} (N-m) \binom{N}{m} \mu^{m} (1-\mu)^{N-m-1} = 0. \quad (2.31)$$

The first term of the left hand side can be written as $\frac{1}{\mu} \to m$. Since

$$(N-m)\binom{N}{m} = N\binom{N-1}{m},\tag{2.32}$$

the second term of the left hand side can be written as

$$-N\sum_{m=0}^{N-1} {N-1 \choose m} \mu^m (1-\mu)^{N-m-1} = -N.$$
 (2.33)

Therefore,

$$E m = N\mu. (2.34)$$

Taking the second derivative of

$$\sum_{m=0}^{N} \binom{N}{m} \mu^m (1-\mu)^{N-m} = 1$$
 (2.35)

with respect to μ gives

$$\sum_{m=0}^{N} m(m-1) \binom{N}{m} \mu^{m-2} (1-\mu)^{N-m}$$

$$-2 \sum_{m=0}^{N} m(N-m) \binom{N}{m} \mu^{m-1} (1-\mu)^{N-m-1}$$

$$+ \sum_{m=0}^{N} (N-m)(N-m-1) \binom{N}{m} \mu^{m} (1-\mu)^{N-m-2} = 0.$$
(2.36)

The first term of the left hand side can be written as $\frac{1}{\mu^2} \operatorname{E} m(m-1)$. Since

$$m(N-m)\binom{N}{m} = N(N-1)\binom{N-2}{m-1},$$

$$(N-m)(N-m-1)\binom{N}{m} = N(N-1)\binom{N-2}{m},$$
(2.37)

the second and third term of the left hand side can be written as

$$-2N(N-1)\sum_{m=1}^{N-1} \binom{N-2}{m-1} \mu^{m-1} (1-\mu)^{N-m-1} = -2N(N-1),$$

$$N(N-1)\sum_{m=0}^{N} \binom{N-2}{m} \mu^{m} (1-\mu)^{N-m-2} = N(N-1).$$
(2.38)

Therefore,

$$E m(m-1) = N(N-1)\mu^{2}. (2.39)$$

Thus, since

$$var m = E m(m-1) + E m - (E m)^{2}, (2.40)$$

we have

$$\operatorname{var} m = N\mu(1-\mu). \tag{2.41}$$

2.5

By the definition,

$$\Gamma(a)\Gamma(b) = \int_0^\infty x^{a-1} \exp(-x) dx \int_0^\infty y^{b-1} \exp(-y) dy.$$
 (2.42)

By the transformation t = x + y, the right hand side can be written as

$$\int_{0}^{\infty} x^{a-1} \left(\int_{x}^{\infty} (t-x)^{b-1} \exp(-t) dt \right) dx$$

$$= \int_{0}^{\infty} \left(\int_{0}^{t} x^{a-1} (t-x)^{b-1} dx \right) \exp(-t) dt.$$
(2.43)

By the transformation $x = t\mu$, the right hand side can be written as

$$\int_{0}^{\infty} \left(\int_{0}^{1} (t\mu)^{a-1} t^{b-1} (1-\mu)^{b-1} t d\mu \right) \exp(-t) dt$$

$$= \int_{0}^{1} \mu^{a-1} (1-\mu)^{b-1} d\mu \int_{0}^{\infty} t^{a+b-1} \exp(-t) dt.$$
(2.44)

By the definition, the second integral of the right hand side can be written as $\Gamma(a+b)$. Therefore,

$$\int_0^1 \mu^{a-1} (1-\mu)^{b-1} d\mu = \frac{\Gamma(a)\Gamma(b)}{\Gamma(a+b)}.$$
 (2.45)

2.6

Let μ be a variable such that

$$p(\mu|a,b) = \frac{\Gamma(a+b)}{\Gamma(a)\Gamma(b)} \mu^{a-1} (1-\mu)^{b-1}.$$
 (2.46)

Then

Since

$$\int_{0}^{1} \mu^{a} (1-\mu)^{b-1} d\mu = \frac{\Gamma(a+1)\Gamma(b)}{\Gamma(a+b+1)},$$

$$\int_{0}^{1} \mu^{a+1} (1-\mu)^{b-1} d\mu = \frac{\Gamma(a+2)\Gamma(b)}{\Gamma(a+b+2)},$$
(2.48)

we have

$$E \mu = \frac{a}{a+b},$$

$$E \mu^2 = \frac{a(a+1)}{(a+b)(a+b+1)}.$$
(2.49)

Since

$$\operatorname{var} \mu = \operatorname{E} \mu^2 - (\operatorname{E} \mu)^2,$$
 (2.50)

we have

$$var \mu = \frac{ab}{(a+b)^2(a+b+1)}.$$
 (2.51)

Since

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial \mu} p(\mu|a,b) = \frac{\Gamma(a+b)}{\Gamma(a)\Gamma(b)} \mu^{a-1} (1-\mu)^{b-1} \left(\frac{a-1}{\mu} - \frac{b-1}{1-\mu} \right), \tag{2.52}$$

we have

$$\operatorname{mode} \mu = \frac{a - 1}{a + b - 2}.$$
 (2.53)

Let m and l be a variable such that

$$p(m, l|\mu) = \binom{m+l}{m} \mu^m (1-\mu)^l,$$
 (2.54)

where

$$p(\mu|a,b) = \frac{\Gamma(a+b)}{\Gamma(a)\Gamma(b)} \mu^{a-1} (1-\mu)^{b-1}.$$
 (2.55)

By 2.6,

$$E(\mu|a,b) = \frac{a}{a+b}. (2.56)$$

Note that

$$\mu_{\rm ML} = \frac{m}{m+l}.\tag{2.57}$$

Since

$$p(\mu|m, l, a, b) \propto p(m, l|\mu)p(\mu|a, b), \tag{2.58}$$

we have

$$p(\mu|m,l,a,b) = \frac{\Gamma(m+l+a+b)}{\Gamma(m+a)\Gamma(l+b)} \mu^{m+a-1} (1-\mu)^{l+b-1}.$$
 (2.59)

Therefore, by 2.6,

$$E(\mu|m, l, a, b) = \frac{m+a}{m+l+a+b}.$$
 (2.60)

Thus,

$$E(\mu|m, l, a, b) = \lambda \mu_{ML} + (1 - \lambda) E(\mu|a, b),$$
 (2.61)

where

$$\lambda = \frac{m+l}{m+l+a+b}. (2.62)$$

2.8

Let x and y be variables. Then, by the definition,

$$\mathbf{E}\,x = \int x p(x) dx. \tag{2.63}$$

The right hand side can be written as

$$\int x \left(\int p(x,y) dy \right) dx = \int \left(\int x p(x|y) dx \right) p(y) dy. \tag{2.64}$$

Therefore,

$$E x = E_y (E_x(x|y)). (2.65)$$

Additionally, by the definition,

$$\operatorname{var} x = \operatorname{E} (x - \operatorname{E} x)^{2}. \tag{2.66}$$

By the result above, the right hand side can be written as

$$E_{y} \left(E_{x} \left((x - E_{x}(x|y) + E_{x}(x|y) - E_{x})^{2} | y \right) \right)$$

$$= E_{y} \left(E_{x} \left((x - E_{x}(x|y))^{2} | y \right) \right)$$

$$+ 2 E_{y} \left(\left((E_{x}(x|y) - E_{x}) E_{x} \left(x - E_{x}(x|y) \right) | y \right) \right)$$

$$+ E_{y} \left(\left(E_{x}(x|y) - E_{x} \right)^{2} | y \right).$$
(2.67)

Let us look at each term of the right hand side. By the definition, the first term can be written as $E_y(\operatorname{var}_x(x|y))$. The second term can be written as

$$2 E_y ((E_x(x|y) - E_x) (E_x(x|y) - E_x(x|y))) = 0.$$
 (2.68)

By the result above, the third term can be written as

$$E_y (E_x(x|y) - E_y (E_x(x|y)))^2 = var_y (E_x(x|y)).$$
 (2.69)

Therefore,

$$\operatorname{var} x = \operatorname{E}_{y} \left(\operatorname{var}_{x}(x|y) \right) + \operatorname{var}_{y} \left(\operatorname{E}_{x}(x|y) \right). \tag{2.70}$$

2.9

For a vector $\boldsymbol{\mu}$ in 2 dimensions, 2.5 gives

$$\int_{\substack{\mu_1 + \mu_2 = 1 \\ \mu_1 > 0, \mu_2 > 0}} \mu_1^{\alpha_1 - 1} \mu_2^{\alpha_2 - 1} d\boldsymbol{\mu} = \frac{\Gamma(\alpha_1) \Gamma(\alpha_2)}{\Gamma(\alpha_1 + \alpha_2)}.$$

For a vector $\boldsymbol{\mu}$ in M dimensions, let us assume that

$$\int_{\sum_{k=1}^{M} \mu_k = 1} \prod_{k=1}^{M} \mu_k^{\alpha_k - 1} d\mu = \frac{\prod_{k=1}^{M} \Gamma(\alpha_k)}{\Gamma(\sum_{k=1}^{M} \alpha_k)}.$$

Under the constraint

$$\sum_{k=1}^{M+1} \mu_k = 1, \tag{2.71}$$

we have

$$\int_{0}^{1-\sum_{k=1}^{M-1}\mu_{k}} \prod_{k=1}^{M+1} \mu_{k}^{\alpha_{k}-1} d\mu_{M+1}
= \left(\prod_{k=1}^{M-1}\mu_{k}^{\alpha_{k}-1}\right) \int_{0}^{1-\sum_{k=1}^{M-1}\mu_{k}} \mu_{M+1}^{\alpha_{M+1}-1} \left(1-\sum_{k=1}^{M-1}\mu_{k}-\mu_{M+1}\right)^{\alpha_{M}-1} d\mu_{M+1}.$$
(2.72)

By the transformation

$$\mu'_{M+1} = \frac{\mu_{M+1}}{1 - \sum_{k=1}^{M-1} \mu_k},\tag{2.73}$$

the integral of the right hand side can be written as

$$\int_{0}^{1} \left(\left(1 - \sum_{k=1}^{M-1} \mu_{k} \right) \mu'_{M+1} \right)^{\alpha_{M+1}-1} \left(\left(1 - \sum_{k=1}^{M-1} \mu_{k} \right) \left(1 - \mu'_{M+1} \right) \right)^{\alpha_{M}-1} \left(1 - \sum_{k=1}^{M-1} \mu_{k} \right) d\mu'_{M+1} \\
= \left(1 - \sum_{k=1}^{M-1} \mu_{k} \right)^{\alpha_{M}+\alpha_{M+1}-1} \int_{0}^{1} \mu'_{M+1}^{\alpha_{M+1}-1} (1 - \mu'_{M+1})^{\alpha_{M}-1} d\mu'_{M+1}. \tag{2.74}$$

By 2.5, the integral of the right hand side can be written as

$$\frac{\Gamma(\alpha_M)\Gamma(\alpha_{M+1})}{\Gamma(\alpha_M + \alpha_{M+1})}. (2.75)$$

Therefore,

$$\int_{0}^{1-\sum_{k=1}^{M-1}\mu_{k}} \prod_{k=1}^{M+1} \mu_{k}^{\alpha_{k}-1} d\mu_{M+1} = \left(\prod_{k=1}^{M-1}\mu_{k}^{\alpha_{k}-1}\right) \left(1-\sum_{k=1}^{M-1}\mu_{k}\right)^{\alpha_{M}+\alpha_{M+1}-1} \frac{\Gamma(\alpha_{M})\Gamma(\alpha_{M+1})}{\Gamma(\alpha_{M}+\alpha_{M+1})}.$$
(2.76)

By the assumption,

$$\int_{\sum_{k=1}^{M} \mu_{k}=1} \left(\prod_{k=1}^{M-1} \mu_{k}^{\alpha_{k}-1} \right) \mu_{M}^{\alpha_{M}+\alpha_{M+1}-1} d\boldsymbol{\mu} = \frac{\left(\prod_{k=1}^{M-1} \Gamma(\alpha_{k}) \right) \Gamma(\alpha_{M}+\alpha_{M+1})}{\Gamma(\sum_{k=1}^{M+1} \alpha_{k})}.$$

Thus, for a vector $\boldsymbol{\mu}$ in M+1 dimensions,

$$\int_{\sum_{k=1}^{M+1} \mu_k > 0} \prod_{k=1}^{M+1} \mu_k^{\alpha_k - 1} d\boldsymbol{\mu} = \frac{\Gamma(\alpha_M) \Gamma(\alpha_{M+1})}{\Gamma(\alpha_M + \alpha_{M+1})} \frac{(\prod_{k=1}^{M-1} \Gamma(\alpha_k)) \Gamma(\alpha_M + \alpha_{M+1})}{\Gamma(\sum_{k=1}^{M+1} \alpha_k)}.$$

The right hand side can be written as

$$\frac{\prod_{k=1}^{M+1} \Gamma(\alpha_k)}{\Gamma(\sum_{k=1}^{M+1} \alpha_k)}.$$
(2.77)

Hence, the assumption is proved by induction on M.

2.10

Let μ be a variable such that

$$p(\boldsymbol{\mu}|\boldsymbol{\alpha}) = \frac{\Gamma(\sum_{k=1}^{K} \alpha_k)}{\prod_{k=1}^{K} \Gamma(\alpha_k)} \prod_{k=1}^{K} \mu_k^{\alpha_k - 1}.$$
 (2.78)

Then

$$E \mu_{j} = \int \mu_{j} p(\boldsymbol{\mu}|\boldsymbol{\alpha}) d\boldsymbol{\mu},$$

$$E \mu_{j}^{2} = \int \mu_{j}^{2} p(\boldsymbol{\mu}|\boldsymbol{\alpha}) d\boldsymbol{\mu},$$

$$E \mu_{j} \mu_{l} = \int \mu_{j} \mu_{l} p(\boldsymbol{\mu}|\boldsymbol{\alpha}) d\boldsymbol{\mu}.$$

$$(2.79)$$

If $j \neq l$, then the right hand sides can be written as

$$\frac{\Gamma(\sum_{k=1}^{K} \alpha_k)}{\prod_{k=1}^{K} \Gamma(\alpha_k)} \frac{\frac{\Gamma(\alpha_j+1)}{\Gamma(\alpha_j)} \prod_{k=1}^{K} \Gamma(\alpha_k)}{\Gamma(\sum_{k=1}^{K} \alpha_k + 1)} = \frac{\alpha_j}{\sum_{k=1}^{K} \alpha_k},$$

$$\frac{\Gamma(\sum_{k=1}^{K} \alpha_k)}{\prod_{k=1}^{K} \Gamma(\alpha_k)} \frac{\frac{\Gamma(\alpha_j+2)}{\Gamma(\alpha_j)} \prod_{k=1}^{K} \Gamma(\alpha_k)}{\Gamma(\sum_{k=1}^{K} \alpha_k + 2)} = \frac{\alpha_j(\alpha_j+1)}{\sum_{k=1}^{K} \alpha_k(\sum_{k=1}^{K} \alpha_k + 1)}, \quad (2.80)$$

$$\frac{\Gamma(\sum_{k=1}^{K} \alpha_k)}{\prod_{k=1}^{K} \Gamma(\alpha_k)} \frac{\frac{\Gamma(\alpha_j+1)\Gamma(\alpha_l+1)}{\Gamma(\alpha_j)\Gamma(\alpha_l)} \prod_{k=1}^{K} \Gamma(\alpha_k)}{\Gamma(\sum_{k=1}^{K} \alpha_k + 2)} = \frac{\alpha_j \alpha_l}{\sum_{k=1}^{K} \alpha_k(\sum_{k=1}^{K} \alpha_k + 1)}.$$

$$E \mu_{j} = \frac{\alpha_{j}}{\sum_{k=1}^{K} \alpha_{k}}.$$

$$E \mu_{j}^{2} = \frac{\alpha_{j}(\alpha_{j}+1)}{\sum_{k=1}^{K} \alpha_{k} \left(\sum_{k=1}^{K} \alpha_{k}+1\right)},$$

$$E \mu_{j} \mu_{l} = \frac{\alpha_{j} \alpha_{l}}{\sum_{k=1}^{K} \alpha_{k} \left(\sum_{k=1}^{K} \alpha_{k}+1\right)}.$$

$$(2.81)$$

Since

$$\operatorname{var} \mu_{j} = \operatorname{E} \mu_{j}^{2} - (\operatorname{E} \mu_{j})^{2},$$

$$\operatorname{cov} (\mu_{j}, \mu_{l}) = \operatorname{E} \mu_{j} \mu_{l} - \operatorname{E} \mu_{j} \operatorname{E} \mu_{l},$$
(2.82)

we have

$$\operatorname{var} \mu_{j} = \frac{\alpha_{j} \left(\sum_{k=1}^{K} \alpha_{k} - \alpha_{j}\right)}{\sum_{k=1}^{K} \alpha_{k}\right)^{2} \left(\sum_{k=1}^{K} \alpha_{k} + 1\right)},$$

$$\operatorname{cov} \left(\mu_{j}, \mu_{l}\right) = -\frac{\alpha_{j} \alpha_{l}}{\left(\sum_{k=1}^{K} \alpha_{k}\right)^{2} \left(\sum_{k=1}^{K} \alpha_{k} + 1\right)}.$$
(2.83)

2.11

Let μ be a variable such that

$$p(\boldsymbol{\mu}|\boldsymbol{\alpha}) = \frac{\Gamma\left(\sum_{k=1}^{K} \alpha_k\right)}{\prod_{k=1}^{K} \Gamma(\alpha_k)} \prod_{k=1}^{K} \mu_k^{\alpha_k - 1}.$$
 (2.84)

Then

$$E \ln \mu_j = \int (\ln \mu_j) p(\boldsymbol{\mu}|\boldsymbol{\alpha}) d\boldsymbol{\mu}. \qquad (2.85)$$

Since

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial \alpha_j} p(\boldsymbol{\mu}|\boldsymbol{\alpha}) = \left(\frac{\Gamma'\left(\sum_{k=1}^K \alpha_k\right)}{\Gamma\left(\sum_{k=1}^K \alpha_k\right)} - \frac{\Gamma'(\alpha_j)}{\Gamma(\alpha_j)} + \ln \mu_j\right) p(\boldsymbol{\mu}|\boldsymbol{\alpha}), \tag{2.86}$$

we have

$$E \ln \mu_j = \frac{\partial}{\partial \alpha_j} \int p(\boldsymbol{\mu}|\boldsymbol{\alpha}) d\boldsymbol{\mu} + \left(\psi(\alpha_j) - \psi\left(\sum_{k=1}^K \alpha_k\right)\right) \int p(\boldsymbol{\mu}|\boldsymbol{\alpha}) d\boldsymbol{\mu}, \quad (2.87)$$

where

$$\psi(a) = \frac{d}{da} \ln \Gamma(a). \tag{2.88}$$

$$E \ln \mu_j = \psi(\alpha_j) - \psi\left(\sum_{k=1}^K \alpha_k\right). \tag{2.89}$$

Let x be a variable such that

$$p(x|a,b) = \frac{1}{b-a},\tag{2.90}$$

where a < b. Then

$$\int_{a}^{b} p(x|a,b)dx = 1. (2.91)$$

Note that

$$E x = \int_{a}^{b} x p(x|a,b) dx,$$

$$E x^{2} = \int_{a}^{b} x^{2} p(x|a,b) dx.$$
(2.92)

The right hand sides can be written as

$$\frac{1}{b-a} \int_{a}^{b} x dx = \frac{1}{2} (a+b),$$

$$\frac{1}{b-a} \int_{a}^{b} x^{2} dx = \frac{1}{3} (a^{2} + ab + b^{2}).$$
(2.93)

Therefore,

$$E x = \frac{1}{2}(a+b),$$

$$E x^{2} = \frac{1}{3}(a^{2} + ab + b^{2}).$$
(2.94)

Since

$$\operatorname{var} x = \operatorname{E} x^2 - (\operatorname{E} x)^2,$$
 (2.95)

we have

$$var x = \frac{1}{12}(b-a)^2. (2.96)$$

2.13

Let \mathbf{x} be a variable in D dimensions and

$$p(\mathbf{x}) = \mathcal{N}(\mathbf{x}|\boldsymbol{\mu}, \boldsymbol{\Sigma}),$$

$$q(\mathbf{x}) = \mathcal{N}(\mathbf{x}|\mathbf{m}, \mathbf{L}).$$
(2.97)

Then, by the definition,

$$KL(p||q) = -\int \mathcal{N}(\mathbf{x}|\boldsymbol{\mu}, \boldsymbol{\Sigma}) \ln \frac{\mathcal{N}(\mathbf{x}|\mathbf{m}, \mathbf{L})}{\mathcal{N}(\mathbf{x}|\boldsymbol{\mu}, \boldsymbol{\Sigma})} d\mathbf{x}.$$
 (2.98)

Since

$$\ln \frac{\mathcal{N}(\mathbf{x}|\mathbf{m}, \mathbf{L})}{\mathcal{N}(\mathbf{x}|\boldsymbol{\mu}, \boldsymbol{\Sigma})} = \ln \frac{(2\pi)^{-\frac{D}{2}} \left(|\det \mathbf{L}| \right)^{-\frac{1}{2}} \exp \left(-\frac{1}{2} (\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{m})^{\mathsf{T}} \mathbf{L}^{-1} (\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{m}) \right)}{(2\pi)^{-\frac{D}{2}} \left(|\det \boldsymbol{\Sigma}| \right)^{-\frac{1}{2}} \exp \left(-\frac{1}{2} (\mathbf{x} - \boldsymbol{\mu})^{\mathsf{T}} \boldsymbol{\Sigma}^{-1} (\mathbf{x} - \boldsymbol{\mu}) \right)},$$
(2.99)

The right hand side can be written as

$$\frac{1}{2} \ln \left| \frac{\det \mathbf{L}}{\det \mathbf{\Sigma}} \right| \int \mathcal{N}(\mathbf{x}|\boldsymbol{\mu}, \boldsymbol{\Sigma}) d\mathbf{x}
+ \frac{1}{2} \int (\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{m})^{\mathsf{T}} \mathbf{L}^{-1}(\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{m}) \mathcal{N}(\mathbf{x}|\boldsymbol{\mu}, \boldsymbol{\Sigma}) d\mathbf{x}
- \frac{1}{2} \int (\mathbf{x} - \boldsymbol{\mu})^{\mathsf{T}} \boldsymbol{\Sigma}^{-1}(\mathbf{x} - \boldsymbol{\mu}) \mathcal{N}(\mathbf{x}|\boldsymbol{\mu}, \boldsymbol{\Sigma}) d\mathbf{x}.$$
(2.100)

Let us look at each term. Since

$$\int \mathcal{N}(\mathbf{x}|\boldsymbol{\mu}, \boldsymbol{\Sigma}) d\mathbf{x} = 1, \qquad (2.101)$$

the first term can be written as $\frac{1}{2} \ln \left| \frac{\det \mathbf{L}}{\det \Sigma} \right|$. Since

$$(\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{m})^{\mathsf{T}} \mathbf{L}^{-1} (\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{m}) = (\mathbf{x} - \boldsymbol{\mu} + \boldsymbol{\mu} - \mathbf{m})^{\mathsf{T}} \mathbf{L}^{-1} (\mathbf{x} - \boldsymbol{\mu} + \boldsymbol{\mu} - \mathbf{m}), \quad (2.102)$$

the second term can be written as

$$\frac{1}{2} \int (\mathbf{x} - \boldsymbol{\mu})^{\mathsf{T}} \mathbf{L}^{-1} (\mathbf{x} - \boldsymbol{\mu}) \mathcal{N}(\mathbf{x} | \boldsymbol{\mu}, \boldsymbol{\Sigma}) d\mathbf{x}
+ (\boldsymbol{\mu} - \mathbf{m})^{\mathsf{T}} \mathbf{L}^{-1} \int (\mathbf{x} - \boldsymbol{\mu}) \mathcal{N}(\mathbf{x} | \boldsymbol{\mu}, \boldsymbol{\Sigma}) d\mathbf{x}
+ \frac{1}{2} (\boldsymbol{\mu} - \mathbf{m})^{\mathsf{T}} \mathbf{L}^{-1} (\boldsymbol{\mu} - \mathbf{m}) \int \mathcal{N}(\mathbf{x} | \boldsymbol{\mu}, \boldsymbol{\Sigma}) d\mathbf{x}.$$
(2.103)

Since

$$\int \mathcal{N}(\mathbf{x}|\boldsymbol{\mu}, \boldsymbol{\Sigma}) d\mathbf{x} = 1,$$

$$\int \mathbf{x} \mathcal{N}(\mathbf{x}|\boldsymbol{\mu}, \boldsymbol{\Sigma}) d\mathbf{x} = \boldsymbol{\mu},$$

$$\int (\mathbf{x} - \boldsymbol{\mu}) (\mathbf{x} - \boldsymbol{\mu})^{\mathsf{T}} \mathcal{N}(\mathbf{x}|\boldsymbol{\mu}, \boldsymbol{\Sigma}) d\mathbf{x} = \boldsymbol{\Sigma},$$
(2.104)

it can be written as

$$\frac{1}{2}\operatorname{tr}\left(\mathbf{L}^{-1}\mathbf{\Sigma}\right) + \frac{1}{2}(\boldsymbol{\mu} - \mathbf{m})^{\mathsf{T}}\mathbf{L}^{-1}(\boldsymbol{\mu} - \mathbf{m}). \tag{2.105}$$

Since

$$\int (\mathbf{x} - \boldsymbol{\mu})(\mathbf{x} - \boldsymbol{\mu})^{\mathsf{T}} \mathcal{N}(\mathbf{x}|\boldsymbol{\mu}, \boldsymbol{\Sigma}) d\mathbf{x} = \boldsymbol{\Sigma},$$
 (2.106)

the third term can be written as

$$-\frac{1}{2}\operatorname{tr}\left(\mathbf{\Sigma}^{-1}\mathbf{\Sigma}\right) = -\frac{D}{2}.\tag{2.107}$$

Therefore,

$$KL(p||q) = \frac{1}{2} \left(\ln \left| \frac{\det \mathbf{L}}{\det \mathbf{\Sigma}} \right| + \operatorname{tr} \left(\mathbf{L}^{-1} \mathbf{\Sigma} \right) + (\boldsymbol{\mu} - \mathbf{m})^{\mathsf{T}} \mathbf{L}^{-1} (\boldsymbol{\mu} - \mathbf{m}) - D \right).$$
(2.108)

2.14

Let \mathbf{x} be a variable in D dimensions and

$$\begin{split} L(p(\mathbf{x})) &= -\int p(\mathbf{x}) \ln p(\mathbf{x}) d\mathbf{x} + \lambda \left(\int p(\mathbf{x}) d\mathbf{x} - 1 \right) \\ &+ \mathbf{l}^{\mathsf{T}} \left(\int \mathbf{x} p(\mathbf{x}) d\mathbf{x} - \boldsymbol{\mu} \right) + \mathbf{m}^{\mathsf{T}} \left(\int (\mathbf{x} - \boldsymbol{\mu}) (\mathbf{x} - \boldsymbol{\mu})^{\mathsf{T}} p(\mathbf{x}) d\mathbf{x} - \boldsymbol{\Sigma} \right) \mathbf{m}. \end{split} \tag{2.109}$$

Then

$$\frac{\delta L(p(\mathbf{x}))}{\delta p(\mathbf{x})} = -\ln p(\mathbf{x}) - 1 + \lambda + \mathbf{l}^{\mathsf{T}}\mathbf{x} + \mathbf{m}^{\mathsf{T}}(\mathbf{x} - \boldsymbol{\mu})(\mathbf{x} - \boldsymbol{\mu})^{\mathsf{T}}\mathbf{m}.$$
(2.110)

Setting the left hand side to zero gives

$$p(\mathbf{x}) = \exp\left(-1 + \lambda + \mathbf{l}^{\mathsf{T}}\mathbf{x} + \mathbf{m}^{\mathsf{T}}(\mathbf{x} - \boldsymbol{\mu})(\mathbf{x} - \boldsymbol{\mu})^{\mathsf{T}}\mathbf{m}\right), \tag{2.111}$$

so that

$$p(\mathbf{x}) = \exp\left(-1 + \lambda - \mathbf{l}^{\mathsf{T}}\mathbf{M}\mathbf{l} + (\mathbf{x} - \boldsymbol{\mu} - \mathbf{M}\mathbf{l})^{\mathsf{T}}\mathbf{M}^{-1}(\mathbf{x} - \boldsymbol{\mu} - \mathbf{M}\mathbf{l})\right), (2.112)$$

where

$$\mathbf{M} = (\mathbf{m}\mathbf{m}^{\mathsf{T}})^{-1}. \tag{2.113}$$

Substituting it to

$$\int p(\mathbf{x})d\mathbf{x} = 1,$$

$$\int \mathbf{x}p(\mathbf{x})d\mathbf{x} = \boldsymbol{\mu},$$

$$\int (\mathbf{x} - \boldsymbol{\mu})(\mathbf{x} - \boldsymbol{\mu})^{\mathsf{T}}p(\mathbf{x})d\mathbf{x} = \boldsymbol{\Sigma},$$
(2.114)

and the transformation

$$y = x - \mu - Ml \tag{2.115}$$

gives

$$\exp(-1 + \lambda - \mathbf{l}^{\mathsf{T}}\mathbf{M}\mathbf{l}) \int \exp(-\mathbf{y}^{\mathsf{T}}\mathbf{M}^{-1}\mathbf{y}) d\mathbf{y} = 1,$$

$$\exp(-1 + \lambda - \mathbf{l}^{\mathsf{T}}\mathbf{M}\mathbf{l}) \int (\mathbf{y} + \boldsymbol{\mu} + \mathbf{M}\mathbf{l}) \exp(-\mathbf{y}^{\mathsf{T}}\mathbf{M}^{-1}\mathbf{y}) d\mathbf{y} = \boldsymbol{\mu},$$

$$\exp(-1 + \lambda - \mathbf{l}^{\mathsf{T}}\mathbf{M}\mathbf{l}) \int (\mathbf{y} + \mathbf{M}\mathbf{l}) (\mathbf{y} + \mathbf{M}\mathbf{l})^{\mathsf{T}} \exp(-\mathbf{y}^{\mathsf{T}}\mathbf{M}^{-1}\mathbf{y}) d\mathbf{y} = \boldsymbol{\Sigma}.$$
(2.116)

Since

$$\int \exp(-\mathbf{y}^{\mathsf{T}}\mathbf{y}) d\mathbf{y} = \left(\Gamma\left(\frac{1}{2}\right)\right)^{D},$$

$$\int \mathbf{y} \exp(-\mathbf{y}^{\mathsf{T}}\mathbf{y}) d\mathbf{y} = \mathbf{0},$$

$$\int \mathbf{y} \mathbf{y}^{\mathsf{T}} \exp(-\mathbf{y}^{\mathsf{T}}\mathbf{y}) d\mathbf{y} = \Gamma\left(\frac{3}{2}\right) \left(\Gamma\left(\frac{1}{2}\right)\right)^{D-1} \mathbf{I},$$
(2.117)

they can be written as

$$\begin{split} \exp\left(-1+\lambda-\mathbf{l}^{\intercal}\mathbf{M}\mathbf{l}\right)\left(\Gamma\left(\frac{1}{2}\right)\right)^{D}\left(\det\mathbf{M}\right)^{\frac{1}{2}}&=1,\\ \exp\left(-1+\lambda-\mathbf{l}^{\intercal}\mathbf{M}\mathbf{l}\right)\left(\boldsymbol{\mu}+\mathbf{M}\mathbf{l}\right)\left(\Gamma\left(\frac{1}{2}\right)\right)^{D}\left(\det\mathbf{M}\right)^{\frac{1}{2}}&=\boldsymbol{\mu},\\ \exp\left(-1+\lambda-\mathbf{l}^{\intercal}\mathbf{M}\mathbf{l}\right)\left(\Gamma\left(\frac{3}{2}\right)\left(\Gamma\left(\frac{1}{2}\right)\right)^{D-1}\mathbf{M}+\mathbf{M}\mathbf{l}(\mathbf{M}\mathbf{l})^{\intercal}\left(\Gamma\left(\frac{1}{2}\right)\right)^{D}\right)\left(\det\mathbf{M}\right)^{\frac{1}{2}}&=\boldsymbol{\Sigma}. \end{split}$$

Therefore,

$$\lambda = 1 - \frac{D}{2} \ln \pi - \frac{1}{2} \ln(\det \mathbf{M}),$$

$$\mathbf{l} = \mathbf{0},$$

$$\mathbf{M} = 2\Sigma.$$
(2.119)

Thus,

$$p(\mathbf{x}) = (2\pi)^{-\frac{D}{2}} (\det \mathbf{\Sigma})^{-\frac{1}{2}} \exp\left(-\frac{1}{2}(\mathbf{x} - \boldsymbol{\mu})^{\mathsf{T}} \mathbf{\Sigma}^{-1} (\mathbf{x} - \boldsymbol{\mu})\right). \tag{2.120}$$

2.15

Let \mathbf{x} be a variable in D dimensions such that

$$p(\mathbf{x}) = \mathcal{N}(\mathbf{x}|\boldsymbol{\mu}, \boldsymbol{\Sigma}). \tag{2.121}$$

Then, by the definition,

$$H(\mathbf{x}) = -\int \mathcal{N}(\mathbf{x}|\mu, \mathbf{\Sigma}) \ln \mathcal{N}(\mathbf{x}|\mu, \mathbf{\Sigma}) d\mathbf{x}.$$
 (2.122)

The right hand side can be written as

$$-\int \mathcal{N}(\mathbf{x}|\boldsymbol{\mu}, \boldsymbol{\Sigma}) \left(-\frac{D}{2} \ln(2\pi) - \frac{1}{2} \ln|\det \boldsymbol{\Sigma}| - \frac{1}{2} (\mathbf{x} - \boldsymbol{\mu})^{\mathsf{T}} \boldsymbol{\Sigma}^{-1} (\mathbf{x} - \boldsymbol{\mu}) \right) d\mathbf{x}$$

$$= \left(\frac{D}{2} \ln(2\pi) + \frac{1}{2} \ln|\det \boldsymbol{\Sigma}| \right) \int \mathcal{N}(\mathbf{x}|\boldsymbol{\mu}, \boldsymbol{\Sigma}) d\mathbf{x}$$

$$+ \frac{1}{2} \int (\mathbf{x} - \boldsymbol{\mu})^{\mathsf{T}} \boldsymbol{\Sigma}^{-1} (\mathbf{x} - \boldsymbol{\mu}) \mathcal{N}(\mathbf{x}|\boldsymbol{\mu}, \boldsymbol{\Sigma}) d\mathbf{x}.$$
(2.123)

Since

$$\int \mathcal{N}(\mathbf{x}|\boldsymbol{\mu}, \boldsymbol{\Sigma}) d\mathbf{x} = 1,$$

$$\int (\mathbf{x} - \boldsymbol{\mu}) (\mathbf{x} - \boldsymbol{\mu})^{\mathsf{T}} \mathcal{N}(\mathbf{x}|\boldsymbol{\mu}, \boldsymbol{\Sigma}) d\mathbf{x} = \boldsymbol{\Sigma},$$
(2.124)

the first and second term of the right hand side can be written as

$$\frac{D}{2}\ln(2\pi) + \frac{1}{2}\ln|\det\mathbf{\Sigma}| \tag{2.125}$$

and

$$\frac{1}{2}\operatorname{tr}\left(\mathbf{\Sigma}^{-1}\mathbf{\Sigma}\right) = \frac{D}{2}.\tag{2.126}$$

Therefore,

$$H(\mathbf{x}) = \frac{D}{2} (1 + \ln(2\pi)) + \frac{1}{2} \ln|\det \Sigma|.$$
 (2.127)

2.16

Let x be a variable such that

$$x = x_1 + x_2, (2.128)$$

where

$$p(x_1) = \mathcal{N}\left(x_1|\mu_1, \tau_1^{-1}\right), p(x_2) = \mathcal{N}\left(x_2|\mu_2, \tau_2^{-1}\right).$$
 (2.129)

Then

$$p(x) = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} p(x|x_2)p(x_2)dx_2.$$
 (2.130)

The right hand side can be written as

$$\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \mathcal{N}\left(x|\mu_1 + x_2, \tau_1^{-1}\right) \mathcal{N}\left(x_2|\mu_2, \tau_2^{-1}\right) dx_2$$

$$= \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \left(\frac{\tau_1}{2\pi}\right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \exp\left(-\frac{\tau_1}{2}(x - \mu_1 - x_2)^2\right) \left(\frac{\tau_2}{2\pi}\right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \exp\left(-\frac{\tau_2}{2}(x_2 - \mu_2)^2\right) dx_2.$$
(2.131)

The logarithm of the integrand except the terms independent of x and z is given by

$$-\frac{\tau_1 + \tau_2}{2} \left(x_2 - \frac{\tau_1(x - \mu_1) + \tau_2 \mu_2}{\tau_1 + \tau_2} \right)^2 - \frac{\tau_1}{2} (x - \mu_1)^2 - \frac{\tau_2}{2} \mu_2^2$$

$$+ \frac{\tau_1 + \tau_2}{2} \left(\frac{\tau_1(x - \mu_1) + \tau_2 \mu_2}{\tau_1 + \tau_2} \right)^2$$

$$= -\frac{\tau_1 + \tau_2}{2} \left(x_2 - \frac{\tau_1(x - \mu_1) + \tau_2 \mu_2}{\tau_1 + \tau_2} \right)^2 - \frac{\tau_1 \tau_2}{2(\tau_1 + \tau_2)} (x - \mu_1 - \mu_2)^2.$$
(2.132)

$$p(x) = \mathcal{N}\left(x \mid \mu_1 + \mu_2, \tau_1^{-1} + \tau_2^{-1}\right). \tag{2.133}$$

Thus, by 1.35,

$$H(x) = \frac{1}{2} \left(1 + \ln(2\pi) + \ln\left(\tau_1^{-1} + \tau_2^{-1}\right) \right). \tag{2.134}$$

2.17

Let Σ be a matrix and

$$\mathbf{S} = \frac{1}{2} \left(\mathbf{\Sigma}^{-1} + \left(\mathbf{\Sigma}^{-1} \right)^{\mathsf{T}} \right),$$

$$\mathbf{A} = \frac{1}{2} \left(\mathbf{\Sigma}^{-1} - \left(\mathbf{\Sigma}^{-1} \right)^{\mathsf{T}} \right).$$
(2.135)

Then

$$\mathbf{\Sigma}^{-1} = \mathbf{S} + \mathbf{A}.\tag{2.136}$$

Therefore,

$$(\mathbf{x} - \boldsymbol{\mu})^{\mathsf{T}} \mathbf{\Sigma}^{-1} (\mathbf{x} - \boldsymbol{\mu}) = (\mathbf{x} - \boldsymbol{\mu})^{\mathsf{T}} \mathbf{S} (\mathbf{x} - \boldsymbol{\mu}) + (\mathbf{x} - \boldsymbol{\mu})^{\mathsf{T}} \mathbf{A} (\mathbf{x} - \boldsymbol{\mu}).$$
 (2.137)

The second term of the right hand side can be written as

$$\frac{1}{2}(\mathbf{x} - \boldsymbol{\mu})^{\mathsf{T}} \boldsymbol{\Sigma}^{-1} (\mathbf{x} - \boldsymbol{\mu}) - \frac{1}{2}(\mathbf{x} - \boldsymbol{\mu})^{\mathsf{T}} (\boldsymbol{\Sigma}^{-1})^{\mathsf{T}} (\mathbf{x} - \boldsymbol{\mu}). \tag{2.138}$$

The second term of the right hand side can be written as

$$-\frac{1}{2} \left(\mathbf{\Sigma}^{-1} (\mathbf{x} - \boldsymbol{\mu}) \right)^{\mathsf{T}} (\mathbf{x} - \boldsymbol{\mu}) = -\frac{1}{2} (\mathbf{x} - \boldsymbol{\mu})^{\mathsf{T}} \mathbf{\Sigma}^{-1} (\mathbf{x} - \boldsymbol{\mu}). \tag{2.139}$$

Thus,

$$(\mathbf{x} - \boldsymbol{\mu})^{\mathsf{T}} \mathbf{A} (\mathbf{x} - \boldsymbol{\mu}) = 0. \tag{2.140}$$

Hence

$$(\mathbf{x} - \boldsymbol{\mu})^{\mathsf{T}} \mathbf{\Sigma}^{-1} (\mathbf{x} - \boldsymbol{\mu}) = (\mathbf{x} - \boldsymbol{\mu})^{\mathsf{T}} \mathbf{S} (\mathbf{x} - \boldsymbol{\mu}).$$
 (2.141)

2.18

Let Σ be a $D \times D$ real symmetric matrix such that

$$\Sigma \mathbf{u}_i = \lambda_i \mathbf{u}_i, \tag{2.142}$$

where $i = 1, \dots, D$ and \mathbf{u}_i are unit vectors. Taking the inner product with $\overline{\mathbf{u}_i}$ on both sides gives

$$\overline{\mathbf{u}_i}^{\mathsf{T}} \mathbf{\Sigma} \mathbf{u}_i = \lambda_i. \tag{2.143}$$

Since Σ is real and symmetric, the left hand side can be written as

$$\overline{\mathbf{u}}_{i}^{\mathsf{T}} \overline{\mathbf{\Sigma}}^{\mathsf{T}} \mathbf{u}_{i} = \left(\overline{\mathbf{\Sigma}} \overline{\mathbf{u}}_{i}\right)^{\mathsf{T}} \mathbf{u}_{i}. \tag{2.144}$$

The right hand side can be written as

$$\overline{\lambda}_i \overline{\mathbf{u}}_i^{\mathsf{T}} \mathbf{u}_i = \overline{\lambda}_i. \tag{2.145}$$

Therefore,

$$\lambda_i = \overline{\lambda}_i. \tag{2.146}$$

Additionally, for $i \neq j$, taking the inner product with \mathbf{u}_j on n both sides of the original equation gives

$$\mathbf{u}_{j}^{\mathsf{T}} \mathbf{\Sigma} \mathbf{u}_{i} = \lambda_{i} \mathbf{u}_{j}^{\mathsf{T}} \mathbf{u}_{i}. \tag{2.147}$$

Since Σ is symmetric, the left hand side can be written as

$$\mathbf{u}_i^{\mathsf{T}} \mathbf{\Sigma}^{\mathsf{T}} \mathbf{u}_i = (\mathbf{\Sigma} \mathbf{u}_i)^{\mathsf{T}} \mathbf{u}_i. \tag{2.148}$$

The right hand side can be written as $\lambda_j \mathbf{u}_i^{\mathsf{T}} \mathbf{u}_i$. Therefore,

$$\lambda_i \mathbf{u}_i^{\mathsf{T}} \mathbf{u}_i = \lambda_j \mathbf{u}_i^{\mathsf{T}} \mathbf{u}_i. \tag{2.149}$$

Thus, if $\lambda_i \neq \lambda_j$, then

$$\mathbf{u}_j^{\mathsf{T}} \mathbf{u}_i = 0. \tag{2.150}$$

2.19

Let Σ be a $D \times D$ real symmetric matrix such that

$$\Sigma \mathbf{u}_i = \lambda_i \mathbf{u}_i, \tag{2.151}$$

where $i = 1, \dots, D$ and \mathbf{u}_i are unit vectors. Let

$$\Lambda = \operatorname{diag}(\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_D),
\mathbf{U} = [\mathbf{u}_1 \dots \mathbf{u}_D].$$
(2.152)

Then

$$\Sigma \mathbf{U} = \mathbf{U} \mathbf{\Lambda}.\tag{2.153}$$

By 2.18,

$$\mathbf{U}^{\dagger}\mathbf{U} = \mathbf{I}.\tag{2.154}$$

Therefore,

$$\Sigma = \mathbf{U}\Lambda\mathbf{U}^{\mathsf{T}}, \Sigma^{-1} = \mathbf{U}\Lambda^{-1}\mathbf{U}^{\mathsf{T}},$$
(2.155)

Thus,

$$\Sigma = \sum_{i=1}^{D} \lambda_i \mathbf{u}_i \mathbf{u}_i^{\mathsf{T}},$$

$$\Sigma^{-1} = \sum_{i=1}^{D} \frac{1}{\lambda_i} \mathbf{u}_i \mathbf{u}_i^{\mathsf{T}}.$$
(2.156)

2.20

Let Σ be a $D \times D$ real symmetric matrix such that

$$\Sigma \mathbf{u}_i = \lambda_i \mathbf{u}_i, \tag{2.157}$$

where $i = 1, \dots, D$ and \mathbf{u}_i are unit vectors. Let

$$\Lambda = \operatorname{diag}(\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_D),
\mathbf{U} = [\mathbf{u}_1 \dots \mathbf{u}_D].$$
(2.158)

By 2.19,

$$\mathbf{a}^{\mathsf{T}} \mathbf{\Sigma} \mathbf{a} = \mathbf{b}^{\mathsf{T}} \mathbf{\Lambda} \mathbf{b},\tag{2.159}$$

where

$$\mathbf{b} = \mathbf{U}^{\mathsf{T}} \mathbf{a}.\tag{2.160}$$

The right hand side can be written as $\sum_{i=1}^{D} \lambda_i b_i^2$. Therefore, the necessary and sufficient condition for

$$\mathbf{a}^{\mathsf{T}} \mathbf{\Sigma} \mathbf{a} > 0 \tag{2.161}$$

for any real vector \mathbf{a} is

$$\lambda_i > 0. \tag{2.162}$$

Let Σ be a $D \times D$ real symmetric matrix. Then the number of independent parameters is $\frac{D(D+1)}{2}$.

2.22

Let Σ be a $D \times D$ symmetric matrix and

$$\Sigma \Lambda = I. \tag{2.163}$$

Taking the transpose of the both sides gives

$$\mathbf{\Lambda}^{\mathsf{T}} \mathbf{\Sigma} = \mathbf{I}.\tag{2.164}$$

Therefore,

$$\mathbf{\Lambda}^{\mathsf{T}} = \mathbf{\Lambda}.\tag{2.165}$$

2.23

Let Σ be a $D \times D$ real symmetric matrix such that

$$\Sigma \mathbf{u}_i = \lambda_i \mathbf{u}_i, \tag{2.166}$$

where $i = 1, \dots, D$ and \mathbf{u}_i are unit vectors. Let

$$\mathbf{\Lambda}' = \operatorname{diag}\left(\lambda_1^{-\frac{1}{2}}, \cdots, \lambda_D^{-\frac{1}{2}}\right),
\mathbf{U} = [\mathbf{u}_1 \cdots \mathbf{u}_D].$$
(2.167)

By 2.19,

$$\int_{(\mathbf{x}-\boldsymbol{\mu})^{\mathsf{T}} \mathbf{\Sigma}^{-1}(\mathbf{x}-\boldsymbol{\mu}) = \Delta} d\mathbf{x} = \int_{(\mathbf{x}-\boldsymbol{\mu})^{\mathsf{T}} \mathbf{U} \mathbf{\Lambda}' \mathbf{\Lambda}'^{\mathsf{T}} \mathbf{U}^{\mathsf{T}}(\mathbf{x}-\boldsymbol{\mu}) = \Delta} d\mathbf{x}.$$
 (2.168)

By the transformation

$$\mathbf{y} = \mathbf{\Lambda}^{\prime \mathsf{T}} \mathbf{U}^{\mathsf{T}} (\mathbf{x} - \boldsymbol{\mu}) \tag{2.169}$$

and the property

$$\mathbf{U}^{\mathsf{T}}\mathbf{U} = \mathbf{I},\tag{2.170}$$

the right hand side can be written as

$$\int_{\|\mathbf{y}\|^2 = \Delta} \left| \det \left(\mathbf{U} \mathbf{\Lambda}'^{-1} \right) \right| d\mathbf{y} = \left| \det \mathbf{\Sigma} \right|^{\frac{1}{2}} \int_{\|\mathbf{y}\|^2 = \Delta} d\mathbf{y}. \tag{2.171}$$

Therefore,

$$\int_{(\mathbf{x}-\boldsymbol{\mu})^{\mathsf{T}}\boldsymbol{\Sigma}^{-1}(\mathbf{x}-\boldsymbol{\mu})=\Delta} d\mathbf{x} = |\det \boldsymbol{\Sigma}|^{\frac{1}{2}} \Delta^D V_D, \qquad (2.172)$$

where

$$V_D = \int_{\|\mathbf{x}\|=1} d\mathbf{x}.$$
 (2.173)

2.24

Let

$$\begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{X} & \mathbf{Y} \\ \mathbf{Z} & \mathbf{W} \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{A} & \mathbf{B} \\ \mathbf{C} & \mathbf{D} \end{bmatrix}^{-1}.$$

Then

$$XA + YC = I,$$

 $XB + YD = O,$
 $ZA + WC = O,$
 $ZB + WD = I.$ (2.174)

By the second and third equations,

$$\mathbf{Y} = -\mathbf{X}\mathbf{B}\mathbf{D}^{-1},$$

$$\mathbf{Z} = -\mathbf{W}\mathbf{C}\mathbf{A}^{-1}.$$
(2.175)

Substituting them to the first and fourth equation gives

$$\mathbf{X} \left(\mathbf{A} - \mathbf{B} \mathbf{D}^{-1} \mathbf{C} \right) = \mathbf{I},$$

$$\mathbf{W} \left(\mathbf{D} - \mathbf{C} \mathbf{A}^{-1} \mathbf{B} \right) = \mathbf{I}.$$
(2.176)

$$\begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{X} & \mathbf{Y} \\ \mathbf{Z} & \mathbf{W} \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} (\mathbf{A} - \mathbf{B}\mathbf{D}^{-1}\mathbf{C})^{-1} & -(\mathbf{A} - \mathbf{B}\mathbf{D}^{-1}\mathbf{C})^{-1}\mathbf{B}\mathbf{D}^{-1} \\ -(\mathbf{D} - \mathbf{C}\mathbf{A}^{-1}\mathbf{B})^{-1}\mathbf{C}\mathbf{A}^{-1} & (\mathbf{D} - \mathbf{C}\mathbf{A}^{-1}\mathbf{B})^{-1} \end{bmatrix}.$$

Let \mathbf{x} be a variable in D dimensions such that

$$p(\mathbf{x}) = \mathcal{N}(\mathbf{x}|\boldsymbol{\mu}, \boldsymbol{\Sigma}), \tag{2.177}$$

where

$$\mathbf{x} = egin{bmatrix} \mathbf{x}_a \ \mathbf{x}_b \ \mathbf{x}_c \end{bmatrix}, oldsymbol{\mu} = egin{bmatrix} oldsymbol{\mu}_a \ oldsymbol{\mu}_c \end{bmatrix}, oldsymbol{\Sigma} = egin{bmatrix} oldsymbol{\Sigma}_{aa} & oldsymbol{\Sigma}_{ab} & oldsymbol{\Sigma}_{ac} \ oldsymbol{\Sigma}_{ca} & oldsymbol{\Sigma}_{cb} & oldsymbol{\Sigma}_{cc} \end{bmatrix}.$$

Let

$$\Lambda = \Sigma^{-1},\tag{2.178}$$

where

$$oldsymbol{\Lambda} = egin{bmatrix} oldsymbol{\Lambda}_{aa} & oldsymbol{\Lambda}_{ab} & oldsymbol{\Lambda}_{ac} \ oldsymbol{\Lambda}_{ba} & oldsymbol{\Lambda}_{bb} & oldsymbol{\Lambda}_{bc} \ oldsymbol{\Lambda}_{ca} & oldsymbol{\Lambda}_{cb} & oldsymbol{\Lambda}_{cc} \end{bmatrix}.$$

Then

$$-\frac{1}{2}(\mathbf{x}-\boldsymbol{\mu})^{\mathsf{T}}\boldsymbol{\Sigma}^{-1}(\mathbf{x}-\boldsymbol{\mu})$$

$$=-\frac{1}{2}(\mathbf{x}_{a}-\boldsymbol{\mu}_{a})^{\mathsf{T}}\boldsymbol{\Lambda}_{aa}(\mathbf{x}_{a}-\boldsymbol{\mu}_{a})-\frac{1}{2}(\mathbf{x}_{a}-\boldsymbol{\mu}_{a})^{\mathsf{T}}\boldsymbol{\Lambda}_{ab}(\mathbf{x}_{b}-\boldsymbol{\mu}_{b})-\frac{1}{2}(\mathbf{x}_{a}-\boldsymbol{\mu}_{a})^{\mathsf{T}}\boldsymbol{\Lambda}_{ac}(\mathbf{x}_{c}-\boldsymbol{\mu}_{c})$$

$$-\frac{1}{2}(\mathbf{x}_{b}-\boldsymbol{\mu}_{b})^{\mathsf{T}}\boldsymbol{\Lambda}_{ba}(\mathbf{x}_{a}-\boldsymbol{\mu}_{a})-\frac{1}{2}(\mathbf{x}_{b}-\boldsymbol{\mu}_{b})^{\mathsf{T}}\boldsymbol{\Lambda}_{bb}(\mathbf{x}_{b}-\boldsymbol{\mu}_{b})-\frac{1}{2}(\mathbf{x}_{b}-\boldsymbol{\mu}_{b})^{\mathsf{T}}\boldsymbol{\Lambda}_{bc}(\mathbf{x}_{c}-\boldsymbol{\mu}_{c})$$

$$-\frac{1}{2}(\mathbf{x}_{c}-\boldsymbol{\mu}_{c})^{\mathsf{T}}\boldsymbol{\Lambda}_{ca}(\mathbf{x}_{a}-\boldsymbol{\mu}_{a})-\frac{1}{2}(\mathbf{x}_{c}-\boldsymbol{\mu}_{c})^{\mathsf{T}}\boldsymbol{\Lambda}_{cb}(\mathbf{x}_{b}-\boldsymbol{\mu}_{b})-\frac{1}{2}(\mathbf{x}_{c}-\boldsymbol{\mu}_{c})^{\mathsf{T}}\boldsymbol{\Lambda}_{cc}(\mathbf{x}_{c}-\boldsymbol{\mu}_{c}).$$

$$(2.179)$$

Excluding the terms independent of \mathbf{x}_a , the right hand side can be written as

$$-\frac{1}{2}(\mathbf{x}_a - \boldsymbol{\mu}_{a|b,c})^{\mathsf{T}} \boldsymbol{\Sigma}_{a|b,c}^{-1}(\mathbf{x}_a - \boldsymbol{\mu}_{a|b,c}), \qquad (2.180)$$

where

$$\mu_{a|b,c} = \mu_a - \Lambda_{aa}^{-1} \Lambda_{ab} \left(\mathbf{x}_b - \mu_b \right) - \Lambda_{aa}^{-1} \Lambda_{ac} \left(\mathbf{x}_c - \mu_c \right),$$

$$\Sigma_{a|b,c} = \Lambda_{aa}^{-1}.$$
(2.181)

$$p(\mathbf{x}_a|\mathbf{x}_b, \mathbf{x}_c) = \mathcal{N}(\mathbf{x}_a|\boldsymbol{\mu}_{a|b,c}, \boldsymbol{\Sigma}_{a|b,c}). \tag{2.182}$$

Multiplying both sides by $p(\mathbf{x}_c)$ and integrating both sides with respect to \mathbf{x}_c gives

$$p(\mathbf{x}_a|\mathbf{x}_b) = \int \mathcal{N}(\mathbf{x}_a|\boldsymbol{\mu}_{a|b,c}, \boldsymbol{\Sigma}_{a|b,c}) p(\mathbf{x}_c) d\mathbf{x}_c.$$
 (2.183)

Thus,

$$p(\mathbf{x}_a|\mathbf{x}_b) = \mathcal{N}(\mathbf{x}_a|\boldsymbol{\mu}_{a|b}, \boldsymbol{\Sigma}_{a|b}), \tag{2.184}$$

where

$$\boldsymbol{\mu}_{a|b} = \boldsymbol{\mu}_a - \boldsymbol{\Lambda}_{aa}^{-1} \boldsymbol{\Lambda}_{ab} \left(\mathbf{x}_b - \boldsymbol{\mu}_b \right) + \boldsymbol{\Lambda}_{aa}^{-1} \boldsymbol{\Lambda}_{ac} \boldsymbol{\mu}_c,$$

$$\boldsymbol{\Sigma}_{a|b} = \boldsymbol{\Lambda}_{aa}^{-1}.$$
 (2.185)

2.26

We have

$$\left(\mathbf{A}^{-1} - \mathbf{A}^{-1}\mathbf{B} \left(\mathbf{C}^{-1} + \mathbf{D}\mathbf{A}^{-1}\mathbf{B}\right)^{-1}\mathbf{D}\mathbf{A}^{-1}\right) (\mathbf{A} + \mathbf{B}\mathbf{C}\mathbf{D})$$

$$= \mathbf{I} - \mathbf{A}^{-1}\mathbf{B} \left(\mathbf{C}^{-1} + \mathbf{D}\mathbf{A}^{-1}\mathbf{B}\right)^{-1}\mathbf{D} + \mathbf{A}^{-1}\mathbf{B}\mathbf{C}\mathbf{D}$$

$$- \mathbf{A}^{-1}\mathbf{B} \left(\mathbf{C}^{-1} + \mathbf{D}\mathbf{A}^{-1}\mathbf{B}\right)^{-1}\mathbf{D}\mathbf{A}^{-1}\mathbf{B}\mathbf{C}\mathbf{D}.$$
(2.186)

The right hand side except the first term can be written as

$$\mathbf{A}^{-1}\mathbf{B}\left(\mathbf{C} - \left(\mathbf{C}^{-1} + \mathbf{D}\mathbf{A}^{-1}\mathbf{B}\right)^{-1}\left(\mathbf{I} + \mathbf{D}\mathbf{A}^{-1}\mathbf{B}\mathbf{C}\right)\right)\mathbf{D}$$

$$= \mathbf{A}^{-1}\mathbf{B}\left(\mathbf{C} - \left(\mathbf{C}^{-1} + \mathbf{D}\mathbf{A}^{-1}\mathbf{B}\right)^{-1}\left(\mathbf{C}^{-1} + \mathbf{D}\mathbf{A}^{-1}\mathbf{B}\right)\mathbf{C}\right)\mathbf{D}.$$
(2.187)

The right hand sidde can be written as

$$\mathbf{A}^{-1}\mathbf{B}\left(\mathbf{C} - \mathbf{C}\right)\mathbf{D} = \mathbf{O}.\tag{2.188}$$

Therefore,

$$\left(\mathbf{A}^{-1} - \mathbf{A}^{-1}\mathbf{B}\left(\mathbf{C}^{-1} + \mathbf{D}\mathbf{A}^{-1}\mathbf{B}\right)^{-1}\mathbf{D}\mathbf{A}^{-1}\right)\left(\mathbf{A} + \mathbf{B}\mathbf{C}\mathbf{D}\right) = \mathbf{I}.$$
 (2.189)

Thus,

$$(\mathbf{A} + \mathbf{BCD})^{-1} = \mathbf{A}^{-1} - \mathbf{A}^{-1}\mathbf{B} (\mathbf{C}^{-1} + \mathbf{D}\mathbf{A}^{-1}\mathbf{B})^{-1}\mathbf{D}\mathbf{A}^{-1}.$$
 (2.190)

Let \mathbf{x} and \mathbf{z} be two variables. Then

$$E(\mathbf{x} + \mathbf{z}) = \int \int (\mathbf{x} + \mathbf{z}) p(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{z}) d\mathbf{x} d\mathbf{z}.$$
 (2.191)

The right hand side can be written as

$$\int \mathbf{x} \left(\int p(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{z}) d\mathbf{z} \right) d\mathbf{x} + \int \mathbf{z} \left(\int p(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{z}) d\mathbf{x} \right) d\mathbf{z} = \int \mathbf{x} p(\mathbf{x}) d\mathbf{x} + \int \mathbf{z} p(\mathbf{z}) d\mathbf{z}.$$
(2.192)

The right hand side can be written as $E \mathbf{x} + E \mathbf{z}$. Therefore,

$$E(\mathbf{x} + \mathbf{z}) = E\mathbf{x} + E\mathbf{z}. \tag{2.193}$$

Additionally,

$$cov(\mathbf{x} + \mathbf{z}) = \int \int (\mathbf{x} + \mathbf{z} - E(\mathbf{x} + \mathbf{z})) (\mathbf{x} + \mathbf{z} - E(\mathbf{x} + \mathbf{z}))^{\mathsf{T}} p(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{z}) d\mathbf{x} d\mathbf{z}.$$
(2.194)

The right hand side can be written as

$$\int \int (\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{E} \mathbf{x}) (\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{E} \mathbf{x})^{\mathsf{T}} p(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{z}) d\mathbf{x} d\mathbf{z} + \int \int (\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{E} \mathbf{x}) (\mathbf{z} - \mathbf{E} \mathbf{z})^{\mathsf{T}} p(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{z}) d\mathbf{x} d\mathbf{z}
+ \int \int (\mathbf{z} - \mathbf{E} \mathbf{z}) (\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{E} \mathbf{x})^{\mathsf{T}} p(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{z}) d\mathbf{x} d\mathbf{z} + \int \int (\mathbf{z} - \mathbf{E} \mathbf{z}) (\mathbf{z} - \mathbf{E} \mathbf{z})^{\mathsf{T}} p(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{z}) d\mathbf{x} d\mathbf{z}.$$
(2.195)

The first and fourth terms can be written as $\cos \mathbf{z}$ and $\cos \mathbf{z}$. If \mathbf{x} and \mathbf{z} are independent, the second and third terms can be written as

$$\int (\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{E} \mathbf{x}) p(\mathbf{x}) d\mathbf{x} \int (\mathbf{z} - \mathbf{E} \mathbf{z})^{\mathsf{T}} p(\mathbf{z}) d\mathbf{z} = \mathbf{O},$$

$$\int (\mathbf{z} - \mathbf{E} \mathbf{z}) p(\mathbf{z}) d\mathbf{z} \int (\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{E} \mathbf{x})^{\mathsf{T}} p(\mathbf{x}) d\mathbf{x} = \mathbf{O}.$$
(2.196)

$$cov(\mathbf{x} + \mathbf{z}) = cov \mathbf{x} + cov \mathbf{z}. \tag{2.197}$$

Let \mathbf{x} and \mathbf{y} be Gaussian variables and

$$\mathbf{z} = \begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{x} \\ \mathbf{y} \end{bmatrix},$$

where

$$\mathrm{E}\,\mathbf{z} = egin{bmatrix} oldsymbol{\mu} \ \mathbf{A}oldsymbol{\mu} + \mathbf{b} \end{bmatrix}$$

and

$$\cos \mathbf{z} = \begin{bmatrix} \boldsymbol{\Lambda}^{-1} & \boldsymbol{\Lambda}^{-1} \mathbf{A}^{\mathsf{T}} \\ \mathbf{A}\boldsymbol{\Lambda}^{-1} & \mathbf{L}^{-1} + \mathbf{A}\boldsymbol{\Lambda}^{-1} \mathbf{A}^{\mathsf{T}} \end{bmatrix}.$$

Then, by 2.29,

$$(\cos \mathbf{z})^{-1} = \begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{\Lambda} + \mathbf{A}^{\mathsf{T}} \mathbf{L} \mathbf{A} & -\mathbf{A}^{\mathsf{T}} \mathbf{L} \\ -\mathbf{L} \mathbf{A} & \mathbf{L} \end{bmatrix}.$$

Then, $\ln p(\mathbf{z})$ except the terms independent of \mathbf{x} and \mathbf{y} is given by

$$-\frac{1}{2}(\mathbf{x} - \boldsymbol{\mu})^{\mathsf{T}} (\boldsymbol{\Lambda} + \mathbf{A}^{\mathsf{T}} \mathbf{L} \mathbf{A}) (\mathbf{x} - \boldsymbol{\mu}) + \frac{1}{2}(\mathbf{x} - \boldsymbol{\mu})^{\mathsf{T}} \mathbf{A}^{\mathsf{T}} \mathbf{L} (\mathbf{y} - \mathbf{A} \boldsymbol{\mu} - \mathbf{b})$$

$$+ \frac{1}{2}(\mathbf{y} - \mathbf{A} \boldsymbol{\mu} - \mathbf{b})^{\mathsf{T}} \mathbf{L} \mathbf{A} (\mathbf{x} - \boldsymbol{\mu}) - \frac{1}{2}(\mathbf{y} - \mathbf{A} \boldsymbol{\mu} - \mathbf{b})^{\mathsf{T}} \mathbf{L} (\mathbf{y} - \mathbf{A} \boldsymbol{\mu} - \mathbf{b})$$

$$= -\frac{1}{2}(\mathbf{x} - \boldsymbol{\mu})^{\mathsf{T}} (\boldsymbol{\Lambda} + \mathbf{A}^{\mathsf{T}} \mathbf{L} \mathbf{A}) (\mathbf{x} - \boldsymbol{\mu}) +$$

$$-\frac{1}{2}(\mathbf{y} - \mathbf{A} \boldsymbol{\mu} - \mathbf{b} - \mathbf{A} (\mathbf{x} - \boldsymbol{\mu}))^{\mathsf{T}} \mathbf{L} (\mathbf{y} - \mathbf{A} \boldsymbol{\mu} - \mathbf{b} - \mathbf{A} (\mathbf{x} - \boldsymbol{\mu}))$$

$$-\frac{1}{2}(\mathbf{x} - \boldsymbol{\mu})^{\mathsf{T}} \mathbf{A}^{\mathsf{T}} \mathbf{L} \mathbf{A} (\mathbf{x} - \boldsymbol{\mu}).$$
(2.198)

The right hand side can be written as

$$-\frac{1}{2}(\mathbf{x} - \boldsymbol{\mu})^{\mathsf{T}} \boldsymbol{\Lambda} (\mathbf{x} - \boldsymbol{\mu}) - \frac{1}{2} (\mathbf{y} - \mathbf{A}\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{b})^{\mathsf{T}} \mathbf{L} (\mathbf{y} - \mathbf{A}\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{b}). \tag{2.199}$$

$$p(\mathbf{x}) = \mathcal{N}\left(\mathbf{x}|\boldsymbol{\mu}, \boldsymbol{\Lambda}^{-1}\right),$$

$$p(\mathbf{y}|\mathbf{x}) = \mathcal{N}\left(\mathbf{y}|\mathbf{A}\mathbf{x} + \mathbf{b}, \mathbf{L}^{-1}\right).$$
(2.200)

Let

$$\mathbf{R} = \begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{\Lambda} + \mathbf{A}^\intercal \mathbf{L} \mathbf{A} & -\mathbf{A}^\intercal \mathbf{L} \\ -\mathbf{L} \mathbf{A} & \mathbf{L} \end{bmatrix}.$$

Then, by 2.24,

$$\mathbf{R}^{-1} = \begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{\Lambda}^{-1} & \mathbf{\Lambda}^{-1} \mathbf{A}^{\mathsf{T}} \\ \mathbf{A} \mathbf{\Lambda}^{-1} & \mathbf{L}^{-1} + \mathbf{A} \mathbf{\Lambda}^{-1} \mathbf{A}^{\mathsf{T}} \end{bmatrix}.$$

2.30

Let

$$\mathbf{R}^{-1} = \begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{\Lambda}^{-1} & \mathbf{\Lambda}^{-1} \mathbf{A}^{\mathsf{T}} \\ \mathbf{A} \mathbf{\Lambda}^{-1} & \mathbf{L}^{-1} + \mathbf{A} \mathbf{\Lambda}^{-1} \mathbf{A}^{\mathsf{T}} \end{bmatrix}.$$

Then

$$\mathbf{R}^{-1}egin{bmatrix} \mathbf{\Lambda}oldsymbol{\mu} - \mathbf{A}^{\intercal}\mathbf{L}\mathbf{b} \ \mathbf{L}\mathbf{b} \end{bmatrix} = egin{bmatrix} oldsymbol{\mu} \ \mathbf{A}oldsymbol{\mu} + \mathbf{b} \end{bmatrix}.$$

2.31

Let \mathbf{y} be a variable such that

$$\mathbf{y} = \mathbf{x} + \mathbf{z},\tag{2.201}$$

where

$$p(\mathbf{x}) = \mathcal{N}(\mathbf{x}|\boldsymbol{\mu}_{\mathbf{x}}, \boldsymbol{\Sigma}_{\mathbf{x}}),$$

$$p(\mathbf{z}) = \mathcal{N}(\mathbf{z}|\boldsymbol{\mu}_{\mathbf{z}}, \boldsymbol{\Sigma}_{\mathbf{z}}).$$
(2.202)

By the definition,

$$p(\mathbf{y}) = \int p(\mathbf{y}|\mathbf{x})p(\mathbf{x})d\mathbf{x}.$$
 (2.203)

The right hand side can be written as

$$\int \mathcal{N}(\mathbf{y}|\mathbf{x} + \boldsymbol{\mu}_{\mathbf{z}}, \boldsymbol{\Sigma}_{\mathbf{z}}) \,\mathcal{N}(\mathbf{x}|\boldsymbol{\mu}_{\mathbf{x}}, \boldsymbol{\Sigma}_{\mathbf{x}}) \, d\mathbf{x}. \tag{2.204}$$

The logarithm of the integrand except the terms independent of \mathbf{x} and \mathbf{y} is given by

$$-\frac{1}{2}\left(\mathbf{y} - \mathbf{x} - \boldsymbol{\mu}_{\mathbf{z}}\right)^{\mathsf{T}} \boldsymbol{\Sigma}_{\mathbf{z}}^{-1} \left(\mathbf{y} - \mathbf{x} - \boldsymbol{\mu}_{\mathbf{z}}\right) - \frac{1}{2} (\mathbf{x} - \boldsymbol{\mu}_{\mathbf{x}})^{\mathsf{T}} \boldsymbol{\Sigma}_{\mathbf{x}}^{-1} (\mathbf{x} - \boldsymbol{\mu}_{\mathbf{x}}). \quad (2.205)$$

The first and second order terms can be written as

$$-\mathbf{x}^{\mathsf{T}} \left(\mathbf{\Sigma}_{\mathbf{z}}^{-1} \boldsymbol{\mu}_{\mathbf{z}} - \mathbf{\Sigma}_{\mathbf{x}}^{-1} \boldsymbol{\mu}_{\mathbf{x}} \right) + \mathbf{y}^{\mathsf{T}} \mathbf{\Sigma}_{\mathbf{z}}^{-1} \boldsymbol{\mu}_{\mathbf{z}} = \mathbf{u}^{\mathsf{T}} \mathbf{v}$$
 (2.206)

and

$$-\frac{1}{2}\mathbf{x}^{\mathsf{T}}\left(\mathbf{\Sigma}_{\mathbf{x}}^{-1} + \mathbf{\Sigma}_{\mathbf{z}}^{-1}\right)\mathbf{x} + \frac{1}{2}\mathbf{x}^{\mathsf{T}}\mathbf{\Sigma}_{\mathbf{z}}^{-1}\mathbf{y} + \frac{1}{2}\mathbf{y}^{\mathsf{T}}\mathbf{\Sigma}_{\mathbf{z}}^{-1}\mathbf{x} - \frac{1}{2}\mathbf{y}^{\mathsf{T}}\mathbf{\Sigma}_{\mathbf{z}}^{-1}\mathbf{y} = -\frac{1}{2}\mathbf{u}^{\mathsf{T}}\mathbf{R}\mathbf{u},$$
(2.207)

respectively, where

$$\mathbf{u} = \begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{x} \\ \mathbf{y} \end{bmatrix}, \mathbf{v} = \begin{bmatrix} \boldsymbol{\Sigma}_{\mathbf{x}}^{-1} \boldsymbol{\mu}_{\mathbf{x}} - \boldsymbol{\Sigma}_{\mathbf{z}}^{-1} \boldsymbol{\mu}_{\mathbf{z}} \\ \boldsymbol{\Sigma}_{\mathbf{z}}^{-1} \boldsymbol{\mu}_{\mathbf{z}} \end{bmatrix}, \mathbf{R} = \begin{bmatrix} \boldsymbol{\Sigma}_{\mathbf{x}}^{-1} & -\boldsymbol{\Sigma}_{\mathbf{z}}^{-1} \\ -\boldsymbol{\Sigma}_{\mathbf{z}}^{-1} & \boldsymbol{\Sigma}_{\mathbf{z}}^{-1} \end{bmatrix}.$$

Therefore, the logarithm of the integrand except the terms independent of ${\bf u}$ can be written as

$$-\frac{1}{2} \left(\mathbf{u} - \mathbf{R}^{-1} \mathbf{v} \right)^{\mathsf{T}} \mathbf{R} \left(\mathbf{u} - \mathbf{R}^{-1} \mathbf{v} \right), \qquad (2.208)$$

where

$$\mathbf{R}^{-1} = \begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{\Sigma_x} & \mathbf{\Sigma_x} \\ \mathbf{\Sigma_x} & \mathbf{\Sigma_x} + \mathbf{\Sigma_z} \end{bmatrix}, \mathbf{R}^{-1}\mathbf{v} = \begin{bmatrix} \boldsymbol{\mu_x} \\ \boldsymbol{\mu_x} + \boldsymbol{\mu_z} \end{bmatrix}.$$

by 2.29 and 2.30. Thus,

$$p(\mathbf{y}) = \mathcal{N}\left(\mathbf{y}|\boldsymbol{\mu}_{\mathbf{x}} + \boldsymbol{\mu}_{\mathbf{z}}, \boldsymbol{\Sigma}_{\mathbf{x}} + \boldsymbol{\Sigma}_{\mathbf{z}}\right). \tag{2.209}$$

2.32

Let \mathbf{x} and \mathbf{y} be variables such that

$$p(\mathbf{x}) = \mathcal{N}\left(\mathbf{x}|\boldsymbol{\mu}, \boldsymbol{\Lambda}^{-1}\right),$$

$$p(\mathbf{y}|\mathbf{x}) = \mathcal{N}\left(\mathbf{y}|\mathbf{A}\mathbf{x} + \mathbf{b}, \mathbf{L}^{-1}\right).$$
(2.210)

By the definition,

$$p(\mathbf{y}|\mathbf{x})p(\mathbf{x}) = p(\mathbf{x}|\mathbf{y})p(\mathbf{y}). \tag{2.211}$$

The logarithm of the left hand side except the terms independent of \mathbf{x} and \mathbf{y} is given by

$$-\frac{1}{2}(\mathbf{y} - \mathbf{A}\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{b})^{\mathsf{T}} \mathbf{L}(\mathbf{y} - \mathbf{A}\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{b}) - \frac{1}{2}(\mathbf{x} - \boldsymbol{\mu})^{\mathsf{T}} \boldsymbol{\Lambda}(\mathbf{x} - \boldsymbol{\mu}). \tag{2.212}$$

Since the first term can be written as

$$-\frac{1}{2}(\mathbf{y} - \mathbf{A}(\mathbf{x} - \boldsymbol{\mu}) - \mathbf{A}\boldsymbol{\mu} - \mathbf{b})^{\mathsf{T}} \mathbf{L}(\mathbf{y} - \mathbf{A}(\mathbf{x} - \boldsymbol{\mu}) - \mathbf{A}\boldsymbol{\mu} - \mathbf{b})$$

$$= -\frac{1}{2}(\mathbf{x} - \boldsymbol{\mu})^{\mathsf{T}} \mathbf{A}^{\mathsf{T}} \mathbf{L} \mathbf{A}(\mathbf{x} - \boldsymbol{\mu}) + (\mathbf{x} - \boldsymbol{\mu})^{\mathsf{T}} \mathbf{A}^{\mathsf{T}} \mathbf{L}(\mathbf{y} - \mathbf{A}\boldsymbol{\mu} - \mathbf{b})$$

$$-\frac{1}{2}(\mathbf{y} - \mathbf{A}\boldsymbol{\mu} - \mathbf{b})^{\mathsf{T}} \mathbf{L}(\mathbf{y} - \mathbf{A}\boldsymbol{\mu} - \mathbf{b}),$$
(2.213)

the logarithm except the terms independent of \mathbf{x} and \mathbf{y} can be written as

$$-\frac{1}{2}(\mathbf{x} - \boldsymbol{\mu} - \mathbf{z})^{\mathsf{T}} (\boldsymbol{\Lambda} + \mathbf{A}^{\mathsf{T}} \mathbf{L} \mathbf{A}) (\mathbf{x} - \boldsymbol{\mu} - \mathbf{z}) + \frac{1}{2} \mathbf{z}^{\mathsf{T}} (\mathbf{A}^{\mathsf{T}} \mathbf{L} \mathbf{A} + \boldsymbol{\Lambda}) \mathbf{z}$$

$$-\frac{1}{2} (\mathbf{y} - \mathbf{A} \boldsymbol{\mu} - \mathbf{b})^{\mathsf{T}} \mathbf{L} (\mathbf{y} - \mathbf{A} \boldsymbol{\mu} - \mathbf{b})$$

$$= -\frac{1}{2} (\mathbf{x} - \boldsymbol{\mu} - \mathbf{z})^{\mathsf{T}} (\boldsymbol{\Lambda} + \mathbf{A}^{\mathsf{T}} \mathbf{L} \mathbf{A}) (\mathbf{x} - \boldsymbol{\mu} - \mathbf{z})$$

$$-\frac{1}{2} (\mathbf{y} - \mathbf{A} \boldsymbol{\mu} - \mathbf{b})^{\mathsf{T}} \mathbf{M} (\mathbf{y} - \mathbf{A} \boldsymbol{\mu} - \mathbf{b}),$$

$$(2.214)$$

where

$$\mathbf{z} = (\mathbf{\Lambda} + \mathbf{A}^{\mathsf{T}} \mathbf{L} \mathbf{A})^{-1} \mathbf{A}^{\mathsf{T}} \mathbf{L} (\mathbf{y} - \mathbf{A} \boldsymbol{\mu} - \mathbf{b}),$$

$$\mathbf{M} = \mathbf{L} - \mathbf{L} \mathbf{A} (\mathbf{\Lambda} + \mathbf{A}^{\mathsf{T}} \mathbf{L} \mathbf{A})^{-1} \mathbf{A}^{\mathsf{T}} \mathbf{L}.$$
(2.215)

we have

$$\mu + \mathbf{z} = (\mathbf{\Lambda} + \mathbf{A}^{\mathsf{T}} \mathbf{L} \mathbf{A})^{-1} (\mathbf{A}^{\mathsf{T}} \mathbf{L} (\mathbf{y} - \mathbf{b}) + \mathbf{\Lambda} \mu).$$
 (2.216)

By 2.26,

$$(\mathbf{\Lambda} + \mathbf{A}^{\mathsf{T}} \mathbf{L} \mathbf{A})^{-1} = \mathbf{\Lambda}^{-1} - \mathbf{\Lambda}^{-1} \mathbf{A}^{\mathsf{T}} \left(\mathbf{L}^{-1} + \mathbf{A} \mathbf{\Lambda}^{-1} \mathbf{A}^{\mathsf{T}} \right)^{-1} \mathbf{A} \mathbf{\Lambda}^{-1}. \tag{2.217}$$

Therefore,

$$\mathbf{M} = \left(\mathbf{L}^{-1} + \mathbf{A}\boldsymbol{\Lambda}^{-1}\mathbf{A}^{\mathsf{T}}\right)^{-1}.\tag{2.218}$$

Thus,

$$p(\mathbf{x}|\mathbf{y}) = \mathcal{N}\left(\mathbf{x}|\left(\mathbf{\Lambda} + \mathbf{A}^{\mathsf{T}}\mathbf{L}\mathbf{A}\right)^{-1}\left(\mathbf{A}^{\mathsf{T}}\mathbf{L}(\mathbf{y} - \mathbf{b}) + \mathbf{\Lambda}\boldsymbol{\mu}\right), \left(\mathbf{\Lambda} + \mathbf{A}^{\mathsf{T}}\mathbf{L}\mathbf{A}\right)^{-1}\right),$$
$$p(\mathbf{y}) = \mathcal{N}\left(\mathbf{y}|\mathbf{A}\boldsymbol{\mu} + \mathbf{b}, \mathbf{L}^{-1} + \mathbf{A}\boldsymbol{\Lambda}^{-1}\mathbf{A}^{\mathsf{T}}\right).$$
(2.219)

2.33

Refer to 2.32.

Let X be a set of N variables such that

$$\ln p\left(\mathbf{X}|\boldsymbol{\mu},\boldsymbol{\Sigma}\right) = -\frac{ND}{2}\ln(2\pi) - \frac{N}{2}\ln(\det\boldsymbol{\Sigma}) - \frac{1}{2}\sum_{n=1}^{N}(\mathbf{x}_{n} - \boldsymbol{\mu})^{\mathsf{T}}\boldsymbol{\Sigma}^{-1}(\mathbf{x}_{n} - \boldsymbol{\mu}).$$
(2.220)

To maximise it with respect to μ and Σ , setting the partial derivatives to zero gives

$$\mathbf{0} = \sum_{n=1}^{N} \left(\mathbf{\Sigma}^{-1} + \left(\mathbf{\Sigma}^{-1} \right)^{\mathsf{T}} \right) (\mathbf{x}_{n} - \boldsymbol{\mu}),$$

$$\mathbf{O} = -\frac{N}{2} \left(\mathbf{\Sigma}^{-1} \right)^{\mathsf{T}} + \frac{1}{2} \left(\mathbf{\Sigma}^{-1} \right)^{2} \sum_{n=1}^{N} (\mathbf{x}_{n} - \boldsymbol{\mu}) (\mathbf{x}_{n} - \boldsymbol{\mu})^{\mathsf{T}}.$$

$$(2.221)$$

Therefore,

$$\mu_{\text{ML}} = \frac{1}{N} \sum_{n=1}^{N} \mathbf{x}_{n},$$

$$\Sigma_{\text{ML}} = \frac{1}{N} \sum_{n=1}^{N} (\mathbf{x}_{n} - \boldsymbol{\mu}_{\text{ML}}) (\mathbf{x}_{n} - \boldsymbol{\mu}_{\text{ML}})^{\mathsf{T}}.$$
(2.222)

2.35

Let \mathbf{x} be a variable such that

$$p(\mathbf{x}) = \mathcal{N}(\mathbf{x}|\boldsymbol{\mu}, \boldsymbol{\Sigma}).$$
 (2.223)

Then

$$\mathbf{E} \mathbf{x} \mathbf{x}^{\mathsf{T}} = \int \mathbf{x} \mathbf{x}^{\mathsf{T}} \mathcal{N} \left(\mathbf{x} | \boldsymbol{\mu}, \boldsymbol{\Sigma} \right) d\mathbf{x}. \tag{2.224}$$

The right hand side can be written as

$$\int (\mathbf{x} - \boldsymbol{\mu} + \boldsymbol{\mu})(\mathbf{x} - \boldsymbol{\mu} + \boldsymbol{\mu})^{\mathsf{T}} \mathcal{N} (\mathbf{x} | \boldsymbol{\mu}, \boldsymbol{\Sigma}) d\mathbf{x}$$

$$= \int (\mathbf{x} - \boldsymbol{\mu})(\mathbf{x} - \boldsymbol{\mu})^{\mathsf{T}} \mathcal{N} (\mathbf{x} | \boldsymbol{\mu}, \boldsymbol{\Sigma}) d\mathbf{x} + \boldsymbol{\mu} \int (\mathbf{x} - \boldsymbol{\mu})^{\mathsf{T}} \mathcal{N} (\mathbf{x} | \boldsymbol{\mu}, \boldsymbol{\Sigma}) d\mathbf{x} \quad (2.225)$$

$$+ \left(\int (\mathbf{x} - \boldsymbol{\mu}) \mathcal{N} (\mathbf{x} | \boldsymbol{\mu}, \boldsymbol{\Sigma}) d\mathbf{x} \right) \boldsymbol{\mu}^{\mathsf{T}} + \boldsymbol{\mu} \boldsymbol{\mu}^{\mathsf{T}} \int \mathcal{N} (\mathbf{x} | \boldsymbol{\mu}, \boldsymbol{\Sigma}) d\mathbf{x}.$$

Since

$$\int \mathcal{N}(\mathbf{x}|\boldsymbol{\mu}, \boldsymbol{\Sigma}) d\mathbf{x} = 1,$$

$$\int \mathbf{x} \mathcal{N}(\mathbf{x}|\boldsymbol{\mu}, \boldsymbol{\Sigma}) d\mathbf{x} = \boldsymbol{\mu},$$

$$\int (\mathbf{x} - \boldsymbol{\mu})(\mathbf{x} - \boldsymbol{\mu})^{\mathsf{T}} \mathcal{N}(\mathbf{x}|\boldsymbol{\mu}, \boldsymbol{\Sigma}) d\mathbf{x} = \boldsymbol{\Sigma},$$
(2.226)

the right hand side can be written as $\Sigma + \mu \mu^{\dagger}$. Therefore,

$$\mathbf{E} \mathbf{x} \mathbf{x}^{\mathsf{T}} = \mathbf{\Sigma} + \boldsymbol{\mu} \boldsymbol{\mu}^{\mathsf{T}}. \tag{2.227}$$

Additionally, let \mathbf{x}_n and \mathbf{x}_m be variables such that

$$p(\mathbf{x}_n) = \mathcal{N}(\mathbf{x}_n | \boldsymbol{\mu}, \boldsymbol{\Sigma}),$$

$$p(\mathbf{x}_m) = \mathcal{N}(\mathbf{x}_m | \boldsymbol{\mu}, \boldsymbol{\Sigma}).$$
(2.228)

If $n \neq m$, then

$$\mathbf{E} \, \mathbf{x}_n \mathbf{x}_m^{\mathsf{T}} = \mathbf{E} \, \mathbf{x}_n \, \mathbf{E} \, \mathbf{x}_m^{\mathsf{T}}. \tag{2.229}$$

The right hand side can be written as $\mu\mu^{\dagger}$. Therefore,

$$\mathbf{E} \, \mathbf{x}_n \mathbf{x}_m^{\mathsf{T}} = \delta_{nm} \mathbf{\Sigma} + \boldsymbol{\mu} \boldsymbol{\mu}^{\mathsf{T}}. \tag{2.230}$$

Finally, let $\mathbf{x}_1, \cdots, \mathbf{x}_N$ be variables such that

$$p(\mathbf{x}_n) = \mathcal{N}\left(\mathbf{x}_n | \boldsymbol{\mu}, \boldsymbol{\Sigma}\right). \tag{2.231}$$

By 2.34,

$$\boldsymbol{\mu}_{\mathrm{ML}} = \frac{1}{N} \sum_{n=1}^{N} \mathbf{x}_{n},$$

$$\boldsymbol{\Sigma}_{\mathrm{ML}} = \frac{1}{N} \sum_{n=1}^{N} (\mathbf{x}_{n} - \boldsymbol{\mu}_{\mathrm{ML}}) (\mathbf{x}_{n} - \boldsymbol{\mu}_{\mathrm{ML}})^{\mathsf{T}}.$$
(2.232)

Then

$$E \Sigma_{\mathrm{ML}} = \frac{1}{N} \sum_{n=1}^{N} E(\mathbf{x}_{n} - \boldsymbol{\mu}_{\mathrm{ML}}) (\mathbf{x}_{n} - \boldsymbol{\mu}_{\mathrm{ML}})^{\mathsf{T}}.$$
 (2.233)

The right hand side can be written as

$$\frac{1}{N} \sum_{n=1}^{N} \mathbf{E} \mathbf{x}_{n} \mathbf{x}_{n}^{\mathsf{T}} - \frac{1}{N^{2}} \sum_{n=1}^{N} \mathbf{E} \left(\sum_{n=1}^{N} \mathbf{x}_{n} \right) \mathbf{x}_{n}^{\mathsf{T}} - \frac{1}{N^{2}} \sum_{n=1}^{N} \mathbf{E} \mathbf{x}_{n} \left(\sum_{n=1}^{N} \mathbf{x}_{n} \right)^{\mathsf{T}} + \frac{1}{N^{3}} \sum_{n=1}^{N} \mathbf{E} \left(\sum_{n=1}^{N} \mathbf{x}_{n} \right) \left(\sum_{n=1}^{N} \mathbf{x}_{n} \right)^{\mathsf{T}}.$$
(2.234)

The first term can be written as $\Sigma + \mu \mu^{\dagger}$. The second and third terms can be written as

$$-\frac{1}{N}\left((\mathbf{\Sigma} + \boldsymbol{\mu}\boldsymbol{\mu}^{\mathsf{T}}) + (N-1)\boldsymbol{\mu}\boldsymbol{\mu}^{\mathsf{T}}\right) = -\frac{1}{N}\mathbf{\Sigma} - \boldsymbol{\mu}\boldsymbol{\mu}^{\mathsf{T}}.$$
 (2.235)

The fourth term can be written as

$$\frac{1}{N^2} \left(N \left(\mathbf{\Sigma} + \boldsymbol{\mu} \boldsymbol{\mu}^{\mathsf{T}} \right) + N(N-1) \boldsymbol{\mu} \boldsymbol{\mu}^{\mathsf{T}} \right) = \frac{1}{N} \mathbf{\Sigma} + \boldsymbol{\mu} \boldsymbol{\mu}^{\mathsf{T}}. \tag{2.236}$$

Therefore,

$$E \Sigma_{\rm ML} = \frac{N-1}{N} \Sigma. \tag{2.237}$$

2.36

Let x_1, \dots, x_N be variables such that

$$p(x_n) = \mathcal{N}\left(x_n | \mu, \sigma^2\right). \tag{2.238}$$

Let us assume that μ is known. Then, by 2.34,

$$\sigma_{\rm ML}^{2(N)} = \frac{1}{N} \sum_{n=1}^{N} (x_n - \mu)^2.$$
 (2.239)

The right hand side can be written as

$$\frac{1}{N}(x_N - \mu)^2 + \frac{1}{N} \sum_{n=1}^{N-1} (x_n - \mu)^2 = \frac{1}{N}(x_N - \mu)^2 + \frac{N-1}{N} \sigma_{\text{ML}}^{2(N-1)}. \quad (2.240)$$

$$\sigma_{\rm ML}^{2(N)} = \sigma_{\rm ML}^{2(N-1)} + \frac{1}{N} \left((x_N - \mu)^2 - \sigma_{\rm ML}^{2(N-1)} \right).$$
 (2.241)

Since

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial \sigma^2} \left(-\ln p \left(x_n | \sigma^2 \right) \right) = \frac{1}{2\sigma^2} - \frac{1}{2 \left(\sigma^2 \right)^2} (x_n - \mu)^2, \tag{2.242}$$

we have

$$\sigma_{\rm ML}^{2 (N)} = \sigma_{\rm ML}^{2 (N-1)} - \frac{\sigma_{\rm ML}^{2 (N-1)}}{N} \frac{\partial}{\partial \sigma_{\rm ML}^{2 (N-1)}} \left(-\ln p \left(x_N | \sigma_{\rm ML}^{2 (N-1)} \right) \right). \tag{2.243}$$

2.37

Let $\mathbf{x}_1, \dots, \mathbf{x}_N$ be variables such that

$$p(\mathbf{x}_n) = \mathcal{N}\left(\mathbf{x}_n | \boldsymbol{\mu}, \boldsymbol{\Sigma}\right). \tag{2.244}$$

Let us assume that μ is known. Then, by 2.34,

$$\Sigma_{\mathrm{ML}}^{(N)} = \frac{1}{N} \sum_{n=1}^{N} (\mathbf{x}_n - \boldsymbol{\mu}) (\mathbf{x}_n - \boldsymbol{\mu})^{\mathsf{T}}.$$
 (2.245)

The right hand side can be written as

$$\frac{1}{N}(\mathbf{x}_N - \boldsymbol{\mu})(\mathbf{x}_N - \boldsymbol{\mu})^{\mathsf{T}} + \frac{1}{N} \sum_{n=1}^{N-1} (\mathbf{x}_n - \boldsymbol{\mu})(\mathbf{x}_n - \boldsymbol{\mu})^{\mathsf{T}}
= \frac{1}{N} (\mathbf{x}_N - \boldsymbol{\mu})(\mathbf{x}_N - \boldsymbol{\mu})^{\mathsf{T}} + \frac{N-1}{N} \boldsymbol{\Sigma}_{\mathrm{ML}}^{(N-1)}.$$
(2.246)

Therefore,

$$\Sigma_{\mathrm{ML}}^{(N)} = \Sigma_{\mathrm{ML}}^{(N-1)} + \frac{1}{N} \left((\mathbf{x}_N - \boldsymbol{\mu}) (\mathbf{x}_N - \boldsymbol{\mu})^{\mathsf{T}} - \Sigma_{\mathrm{ML}}^{(N-1)} \right). \tag{2.247}$$

Since

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial \mathbf{\Sigma}} \left(-\ln p(x_n | \mathbf{\Sigma}) \right) = -\frac{1}{2} \left(\mathbf{\Sigma}^{-1} \right)^{\mathsf{T}} + \frac{1}{2} \left(\mathbf{\Sigma}^{-1} \right)^2 (\mathbf{x}_N - \boldsymbol{\mu}) (\mathbf{x}_N - \boldsymbol{\mu})^{\mathsf{T}}, \quad (2.248)$$

we have

$$\Sigma_{\mathrm{ML}}^{(N)} = \Sigma_{\mathrm{ML}}^{(N-1)} - \frac{\Sigma_{\mathrm{ML}}^{(N-1)}}{N} \frac{\partial}{\partial \Sigma_{\mathrm{ML}}^{(N-1)}} \left(-\ln p \left(\mathbf{x}_N | \Sigma_{\mathrm{ML}}^{(N-1)} \right) \right). \tag{2.249}$$

Let x_1, \dots, x_N be variables such that

$$p(x_n|\mu) = \mathcal{N}\left(x_n|\mu, \sigma^2\right),$$

$$p(\mu) = \mathcal{N}\left(\mu|\mu_0, \sigma_0^2\right).$$
(2.250)

By the definition,

$$p(\mu|\mathbf{x})p(\mathbf{x}) = p(\mathbf{x}|\mu)p(\mu). \tag{2.251}$$

The logarithm of the right hand side except the terms independent of \mathbf{x} and μ can be written as

$$-\frac{1}{2\sigma^2} \sum_{n=1}^{N} (x_n - \mu)^2 - \frac{1}{2\sigma_0^2} (\mu - \mu_0)^2.$$
 (2.252)

The first term can be written as

$$-\frac{1}{2\sigma^2} \sum_{n=1}^{N} (x_n - \mu_{\text{ML}} + \mu_{\text{ML}} - \mu)^2 = -\frac{1}{2\sigma^2} \sum_{n=1}^{N} (x_n - \mu_{\text{ML}})^2 - \frac{N}{2\sigma^2} (\mu_{\text{ML}} - \mu)^2.$$
(2.253)

where

$$\mu_{\rm ML} = \frac{1}{N} \sum_{n=1}^{N} x_n, \tag{2.254}$$

as derived in 2.34. Therefore, the logarithm except the terms independent of ${\bf x}$ and μ can be written as

$$-\frac{1}{2\sigma^2} \sum_{n=1}^{N} (x_n - \mu_{\text{ML}})^2 - \frac{N}{2\sigma^2} (\mu_{\text{ML}} - \mu)^2 - \frac{1}{2\sigma_0^2} (\mu - \mu_0)^2$$

$$= -\frac{1}{2\sigma^2} \sum_{n=1}^{N} (x_n - \mu_{\text{ML}})^2 - \frac{1}{2\sigma_N^2} (\mu - \mu_N)^2 + \frac{\mu_N^2}{2\sigma_N^2},$$
(2.255)

where

$$\mu_{N} = \frac{N\sigma_{0}^{2}}{N\sigma_{0}^{2} + \sigma^{2}} \mu_{ML} + \frac{\sigma^{2}}{N\sigma_{0}^{2} + \sigma^{2}} \mu_{0},$$

$$\sigma_{N}^{2} = \frac{\sigma^{2}\sigma_{0}^{2}}{N\sigma_{0}^{2} + \sigma^{2}}.$$
(2.256)

Therefore,

$$p(\mu|\mathbf{x}) = \mathcal{N}\left(\mu \mid \frac{N\sigma_0^2}{N\sigma_0^2 + \sigma^2}\mu_{\rm ML} + \frac{\sigma^2}{N\sigma_0^2 + \sigma^2}\mu_0, \frac{\sigma^2\sigma_0^2}{N\sigma_0^2 + \sigma^2}\right).$$
(2.257)

2.39 (Incomplete)

Let x_1, \dots, x_N be variables such that

$$p(x_n|\mu) = \mathcal{N}\left(x_n|\mu, \sigma^2\right),$$

$$p(\mu) = \mathcal{N}\left(\mu|\mu_0, \sigma_0^2\right).$$
(2.258)

Then, by 2.38,

$$p(\mu|\mathbf{x}) = \mathcal{N}\left(\mu|\mu_N, \sigma_N^2\right), \qquad (2.259)$$

where

$$\mu_N = \frac{\sigma_0^2}{N\sigma_0^2 + \sigma^2} \sum_{n=1}^N x_n + \frac{\sigma^2}{N\sigma_0^2 + \sigma^2} \mu_0,$$

$$\sigma_N^2 = \frac{\sigma^2 \sigma_0^2}{N\sigma_0^2 + \sigma^2}.$$
(2.260)

Then

$$\mu_N = \frac{(N-1)\sigma_0^2 + \sigma^2}{N\sigma_0^2 + \sigma^2} \mu_{N-1} + \frac{\sigma_0^2}{N\sigma_0^2 + \sigma^2} x_N + \frac{\sigma^2 - \sigma_0^2}{N\sigma_0^2 + \sigma^2} \mu_0,$$

$$\sigma_N^2 = \frac{(N-1)\sigma_0^2 + \sigma^2}{N\sigma_0^2 + \sigma^2} \sigma_{N-1}^2.$$
(2.261)

Additionally, we have

$$p(\mu|x_1,\dots,x_{N-1}) = \mathcal{N}\left(\mu|\mu_{N-1},\sigma_{N-1}^2\right),$$

$$p(x_N|\mu) = \mathcal{N}\left(x_N|\mu,\sigma^2\right).$$
 (2.262)

Then, $\ln p(\mu|x_1,\dots,x_{N-1}) + \ln p(x_N|\mu)$ except the terms independent of μ or x_N can be written as

$$-\frac{1}{2\sigma_{N-1}^{2}}(\mu-\mu_{N-1})^{2} - \frac{1}{2\sigma^{2}}(x_{N}-\mu)^{2}$$

$$= -\frac{1}{2\frac{\sigma_{N-1}^{2}\sigma^{2}}{\sigma_{N-1}^{2}+\sigma^{2}}}\left(\mu - \frac{\sigma^{2}}{\sigma_{N-1}^{2}+\sigma^{2}}\mu_{N-1} - \frac{\sigma_{N-1}^{2}}{\sigma_{N-1}^{2}+\sigma^{2}}x_{N}\right)^{2}$$

$$+\frac{1}{2\frac{\sigma_{N-1}^{2}\sigma^{2}}{\sigma_{N-1}^{2}+\sigma^{2}}}\left(\frac{\sigma^{2}}{\sigma_{N-1}^{2}+\sigma^{2}}\mu_{N-1} + \frac{\sigma_{N-1}^{2}}{\sigma_{N-1}^{2}+\sigma^{2}}x_{N}\right) - \frac{\mu_{N-1}^{2}}{2\sigma_{N-1}^{2}} - \frac{x_{N}^{2}}{2\sigma^{2}}.$$
(2.263)

Therefoere,

$$\mu_{N} = \frac{\sigma^{2}}{\sigma_{N-1}^{2} + \sigma^{2}} \mu_{N-1} + \frac{\sigma_{N-1}^{2}}{\sigma_{N-1}^{2} + \sigma^{2}} x_{N},$$

$$\sigma_{N}^{2} = \frac{\sigma_{N-1}^{2} \sigma^{2}}{\sigma_{N-1}^{2} + \sigma^{2}}.$$
(2.264)

2.40

Let $\mathbf{x}_1, \dots, \mathbf{x}_N$ be variables such that

$$p(\mathbf{x}_n|\boldsymbol{\mu}) = \mathcal{N}\left(\mathbf{x}_n|\boldsymbol{\mu}, \boldsymbol{\Sigma}\right),$$

$$p(\boldsymbol{\mu}) = \mathcal{N}\left(\boldsymbol{\mu}|\boldsymbol{\mu}_0, \boldsymbol{\Sigma}\right).$$
(2.265)

By the definition,

$$p(\boldsymbol{\mu}|\mathbf{X})p(\mathbf{X}) = p(\mathbf{X}|\boldsymbol{\mu})p(\boldsymbol{\mu}). \tag{2.266}$$

The logarithm of the right hand side excpt the terms independent of X and μ can be written as

$$-\frac{1}{2}\sum_{n=1}^{N}(\mathbf{x}_{n}-\boldsymbol{\mu})^{\mathsf{T}}\boldsymbol{\Sigma}^{-1}(\mathbf{x}_{n}-\boldsymbol{\mu})-\frac{1}{2}(\boldsymbol{\mu}-\boldsymbol{\mu}_{0})\boldsymbol{\Sigma}_{0}^{-1}(\boldsymbol{\mu}-\boldsymbol{\mu}_{0})^{\mathsf{T}}.$$
 (2.267)

The first term can be written as

$$-\frac{1}{2}\sum_{n=1}^{N}(\mathbf{x}_{n}-\boldsymbol{\mu}_{\mathrm{ML}}+\boldsymbol{\mu}_{\mathrm{ML}}-\boldsymbol{\mu})^{\mathsf{T}}\boldsymbol{\Sigma}^{-1}(\mathbf{x}_{n}-\boldsymbol{\mu}_{\mathrm{ML}}+\boldsymbol{\mu}_{\mathrm{ML}}-\boldsymbol{\mu})$$

$$=-\frac{1}{2}\sum_{n=1}^{N}(\mathbf{x}_{n}-\boldsymbol{\mu}_{\mathrm{ML}})^{\mathsf{T}}\boldsymbol{\Sigma}^{-1}(\mathbf{x}_{n}-\boldsymbol{\mu}_{\mathrm{ML}})-\frac{N}{2}(\boldsymbol{\mu}_{\mathrm{ML}}-\boldsymbol{\mu})^{\mathsf{T}}\boldsymbol{\Sigma}^{-1}(\boldsymbol{\mu}_{\mathrm{ML}}-\boldsymbol{\mu}).$$
(2.268)

$$\boldsymbol{\mu}_{\mathrm{ML}} = \frac{1}{N} \sum_{n=1}^{N} \mathbf{x}_{n}, \qquad (2.269)$$

as derived in 2.34. Therefore, the logarithm except the terms independent of X and μ can be written as

$$-\frac{1}{2}\sum_{n=1}^{N}(\mathbf{x}_{n}-\boldsymbol{\mu}_{\mathrm{ML}})^{\mathsf{T}}\boldsymbol{\Sigma}^{-1}(\mathbf{x}_{n}-\boldsymbol{\mu}_{\mathrm{ML}}) - \frac{N}{2}(\boldsymbol{\mu}_{\mathrm{ML}}-\boldsymbol{\mu})^{\mathsf{T}}\boldsymbol{\Sigma}^{-1}(\boldsymbol{\mu}_{\mathrm{ML}}-\boldsymbol{\mu})$$

$$-\frac{1}{2}(\boldsymbol{\mu}-\boldsymbol{\mu}_{0})\boldsymbol{\Sigma}_{0}^{-1}(\boldsymbol{\mu}-\boldsymbol{\mu}_{0})^{\mathsf{T}}$$

$$=-\frac{1}{2}\sum_{n=1}^{N}(\mathbf{x}_{n}-\boldsymbol{\mu}_{\mathrm{ML}})^{\mathsf{T}}\boldsymbol{\Sigma}^{-1}(\mathbf{x}_{n}-\boldsymbol{\mu}_{\mathrm{ML}}) - \frac{1}{2}(\boldsymbol{\mu}-\boldsymbol{\mu}_{N})^{\mathsf{T}}\boldsymbol{\Sigma}_{N}^{-1}(\boldsymbol{\mu}-\boldsymbol{\mu}_{N})$$

$$+\frac{1}{2}\boldsymbol{\mu}_{N}^{\mathsf{T}}\boldsymbol{\Sigma}_{N}^{-1}\boldsymbol{\mu}_{N},$$

$$(2.270)$$

where

$$\mu_{N} = (N\Sigma_{0}^{-1} + \Sigma^{-1})^{-1} (N\Sigma_{0}^{-1}\mu_{ML} + \Sigma^{-1}\mu_{0}),$$

$$\Sigma_{N} = (N\Sigma_{0}^{-1} + \Sigma^{-1})^{-1}.$$
(2.271)

Therefore,

$$p(\boldsymbol{\mu}|\mathbf{X}) = \mathcal{N}\left(\boldsymbol{\mu} \mid \left(N\boldsymbol{\Sigma}_{0}^{-1} + \boldsymbol{\Sigma}^{-1}\right)^{-1} \left(N\boldsymbol{\Sigma}_{0}^{-1}\boldsymbol{\mu}_{\mathrm{ML}} + \boldsymbol{\Sigma}^{-1}\boldsymbol{\mu}_{0}\right), \left(N\boldsymbol{\Sigma}_{0}^{-1} + \boldsymbol{\Sigma}^{-1}\right)^{-1}\right).$$
(2.272)

2.41

By the definition,

$$Gam(\lambda|a,b) = \frac{b^a}{\Gamma(a)} \lambda^{a-1} \exp(-b\lambda).$$
 (2.273)

Then

$$\int_0^\infty \operatorname{Gam}(\lambda|a,b)d\lambda = \frac{b^a}{\Gamma(a)} \int_0^\infty \lambda^{a-1} \exp(-b\lambda)d\lambda. \tag{2.274}$$

By the transformation

$$\lambda' = b\lambda, \tag{2.275}$$

the right hand side can be written as

$$\frac{b^a}{\Gamma(a)} \int_0^\infty \left(\frac{\lambda'}{b}\right)^{a-1} \exp(-\lambda') \frac{1}{b} d\lambda' = \frac{1}{\Gamma(a)} \int_0^\infty {\lambda'}^{a-1} \exp(-\lambda') d\lambda'. \quad (2.276)$$

The right hand side can be written as

$$\frac{1}{\Gamma(a)}\Gamma(a) = 1. \tag{2.277}$$

Therefore,

$$\int_{0}^{\infty} \operatorname{Gam}(\lambda|a,b)d\lambda = 1. \tag{2.278}$$

2.42

Let λ be a variable such that

$$p(\lambda) = \operatorname{Gam}(\lambda|a, b). \tag{2.279}$$

By the definition,

$$Gam(\lambda|a,b) = \frac{b^a}{\Gamma(a)} \lambda^{a-1} \exp(-b\lambda).$$
 (2.280)

Then

$$E \lambda = \frac{b^a}{\Gamma(a)} \int_0^\infty \lambda^a \exp\left(-\frac{\lambda}{b}\right) d\lambda. \tag{2.281}$$

By the transformation

$$\lambda' = b\lambda, \tag{2.282}$$

the right hand side can be written as

$$\frac{b^a}{\Gamma(a)} \int_0^\infty \left(\frac{\lambda'}{b}\right)^a \exp(-\lambda') \frac{1}{b} d\lambda' = \frac{1}{b\Gamma(a)} \int_0^\infty {\lambda'}^a \exp(-\lambda') d\lambda'. \tag{2.283}$$

The right hand side can be written as

$$\frac{1}{b\Gamma(a)}\Gamma(a+1) = \frac{a}{b}.$$
 (2.284)

Therefore,

$$\mathbf{E}\,\lambda = \frac{a}{b}.\tag{2.285}$$

Additionally,

$$E \lambda^{2} = \frac{b^{a}}{\Gamma(a)} \int_{0}^{\infty} \lambda^{a+1} \exp\left(-\frac{\lambda}{b}\right) d\lambda.$$
 (2.286)

By the transformation

$$\lambda' = b\lambda, \tag{2.287}$$

the right hand side can be written as

$$\frac{b^a}{\Gamma(a)} \int_0^\infty \left(\frac{\lambda'}{b}\right)^{a+1} \exp(-\lambda') \frac{1}{b} d\lambda' = \frac{1}{b^2 \Gamma(a)} \int_0^\infty \lambda'^{a+1} \exp(-\lambda') d\lambda'. \quad (2.288)$$

The right hand side can be written as

$$\frac{1}{b^2\Gamma(a)}\Gamma(a+2) = \frac{a(a+1)}{b^2}.$$
 (2.289)

Therefore,

$$E \lambda^2 = \frac{a(a+1)}{b^2}. (2.290)$$

By the definition,

$$\operatorname{var} \lambda = \operatorname{E} \lambda^2 - (\operatorname{E} \lambda)^2. \tag{2.291}$$

Therefore,

$$\operatorname{var} \lambda = \frac{a}{b^2}.\tag{2.292}$$

Finally, setting the derivative of $\operatorname{Gam}(\lambda|a,b)$ with respect to λ to zero gives

$$0 = \frac{b^a}{\Gamma(a)} \left(\frac{a-1}{\lambda} - b \right) \lambda^{a-1} \exp\left(-\frac{\lambda}{b} \right). \tag{2.293}$$

Therefore,

$$\operatorname{mode} \lambda = \frac{a-1}{b}.\tag{2.294}$$

2.43

Let

$$p\left(x|\sigma^2,q\right) = \frac{q}{2\Gamma\left(\frac{1}{q}\right)} \left(2\sigma^2\right)^{-\frac{1}{q}} \exp\left(-\frac{|x|^q}{2\sigma^2}\right). \tag{2.295}$$

Then

$$\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} p\left(x|\sigma^2, q\right) dx = \frac{q}{\Gamma(\frac{1}{q})} \left(2\sigma^2\right)^{-\frac{1}{q}} \int_{0}^{\infty} \exp\left(-\frac{x^q}{2\sigma^2}\right) dx. \tag{2.296}$$

By the transformation

$$x' = \frac{x^q}{2\sigma^2},\tag{2.297}$$

the right hand side can be written as

$$\frac{q}{\Gamma(\frac{1}{q})} \left(2\sigma^{2}\right)^{-\frac{1}{q}} \int_{0}^{\infty} \exp(-x') \left(2\sigma^{2}\right)^{\frac{1}{q}} \frac{1}{q} x^{\frac{1}{q}-1} dx'
= \frac{1}{\Gamma(\frac{1}{q})} \int_{0}^{\infty} x^{\frac{1}{q}-1} \exp(-x') dx'.$$
(2.298)

The right hand side can be written as

$$\frac{1}{\Gamma(\frac{1}{q})}\Gamma\left(\frac{1}{q}\right) = 1. \tag{2.299}$$

Therefore,

$$\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} p(x|\sigma^2, q) dx = 1.$$
 (2.300)

Additionally,

$$p\left(x|\sigma^2,2\right) = \frac{1}{\Gamma(\frac{1}{2})} \left(2\sigma^2\right)^{-\frac{1}{2}} \exp\left(-\frac{x^2}{2\sigma^2}\right). \tag{2.301}$$

Therefore,

$$p(x|\sigma^2, 2) = \mathcal{N}(x|0, \sigma^2). \tag{2.302}$$

Finally, let $\mathbf{t} = (t_1, \dots, t_N)^{\mathsf{T}}$ and $\mathbf{X} = \{x_1, \dots, x_N\}$ such that

$$t_n = y(\mathbf{x}_n, \mathbf{w}) + \epsilon_n, \tag{2.303}$$

where

$$p(\epsilon_n) = p(\epsilon_n | \sigma^2, q).$$
 (2.304)

Therefore, the logarithm of $p(\epsilon_n)$ except the terms independent of **w** and σ^2 can be written as

$$-\frac{|\epsilon_n|^q}{2\sigma^2} - \frac{1}{q}\ln\left(2\sigma^2\right). \tag{2.305}$$

Thus, the logarithm of $p(\mathbf{t}|\mathbf{X}, \mathbf{w}, \sigma^2)$ except the terms independent of \mathbf{w} and σ^2 can be written as

$$-\frac{1}{2\sigma^2} \sum_{n=1}^{N} \left| y(\mathbf{x}_n, \mathbf{w}) - t_n \right|^q - \frac{N}{q} \ln\left(2\sigma^2\right). \tag{2.306}$$

Let x_1, \dots, x_N be variables such that

$$p(x_n|\mu,\tau) = \mathcal{N}\left(x_n|\mu,\tau^{-1}\right),$$

$$p(\mu,\tau) = \mathcal{N}\left(\mu|\mu_0,(\beta\tau)^{-1}\right) \operatorname{Gam}(\tau|a,b).$$
(2.307)

By the definition,

$$p(\mu, \tau | \mathbf{x}) p(\mathbf{x}) = p(\mathbf{x} | \mu, \tau) p(\mu, \tau). \tag{2.308}$$

The right hand side except the terms independent of \mathbf{x} , μ and τ can be written as

$$\tau^{\frac{N}{2}} \exp\left(-\frac{\tau}{2} \sum_{n=1}^{N} (x_n - \mu)^2\right) \tau^{\frac{1}{2}} \exp\left(-\frac{\beta \tau}{2} (\mu - \mu_0)^2\right) \tau^{a-1} \exp(-b\tau)$$

$$= \tau^{a + \frac{N-1}{2}} \exp\left(-\frac{N\tau}{2} (\bar{x} - \mu)^2 - \frac{\beta \tau}{2} (\mu - \mu_0)^2 - b\tau - \frac{\tau}{2} \sum_{n=1}^{N} (x_n - \bar{x})^2\right).$$
(2.309)

where

$$\bar{x} = \frac{1}{N} \sum_{n=1}^{N} x_n. \tag{2.310}$$

Since

$$-\frac{N\tau}{2}(\bar{x}-\mu)^2 - \frac{\beta\tau}{2}(\mu-\mu_0)^2 = -\frac{(N+\beta)\tau}{2}\left(\mu - \frac{N\bar{x}+\beta\mu_0}{N+\beta}\right)^2 - \frac{N\beta\tau(\bar{x}-\mu_0)^2}{2(N+\beta)},$$
(2.311)

the right hand side can be written as

$$\tau^{a+\frac{N-1}{2}} \exp\left(-\frac{(N+\beta)\tau}{2} \left(\mu - \frac{N\bar{x} + \beta\mu_0}{N+\beta}\right)^2 - \left(b + \frac{N\beta(\bar{x} - \mu_0)^2}{2(N+\beta)} + \frac{1}{2} \sum_{n=1}^{N} (x_n - \bar{x})^2\right)\tau\right).$$
(2.312)

Therefore,

$$p(\mu, \tau | \mathbf{x}) = \mathcal{N}\left(\mu \mid \frac{N\bar{x} + \beta\mu_0}{N + \beta}, ((N + \beta)\tau)^{-1}\right)$$

$$\operatorname{Gam}\left(\tau \mid a + \frac{N+1}{2}, b + \frac{N\beta(\bar{x} - \mu_0)^2}{2(N+\beta)} + \frac{1}{2}\sum_{n=1}^{N}(x_n - \bar{x})^2\right).$$
(2.313)

Let \mathbf{x} be a variable in D dimensions such that

$$p(\mathbf{x}) = \mathcal{N}\left(\mathbf{x}|\boldsymbol{\mu}, \boldsymbol{\Lambda}^{-1}\right). \tag{2.314}$$

Then

$$p(\mathbf{X}|\mathbf{\Lambda}) = \prod_{n=1}^{N} \mathcal{N}\left(\mathbf{x}|\boldsymbol{\mu}, \mathbf{\Lambda}^{-1}\right). \tag{2.315}$$

The right hand side exept the terms independent of Λ can be written as

$$(\det \mathbf{\Lambda})^{\frac{N}{2}} \exp \left(-\frac{1}{2} \sum_{n=1}^{N} (\mathbf{x}_n - \boldsymbol{\mu})^{\mathsf{T}} \mathbf{\Lambda} (\mathbf{x}_n - \boldsymbol{\mu}) \right) = (\det \mathbf{\Lambda})^{\frac{N}{2}} \exp \left(-\frac{1}{2} \operatorname{tr} (\mathbf{S} \mathbf{\Lambda}) \right),$$
(2.316)

where

$$\mathbf{S} = \sum_{n=1}^{N} (\mathbf{x}_n - \boldsymbol{\mu}) (\mathbf{x}_n - \boldsymbol{\mu})^{\mathsf{T}}.$$
 (2.317)

Therefore,

$$p(\mathbf{X}|\mathbf{\Lambda}) \propto (\det \mathbf{\Lambda})^{\frac{N}{2}} \exp\left(-\frac{1}{2}\operatorname{tr}(\mathbf{S}\mathbf{\Lambda})\right).$$
 (2.318)

Let us assume that a prior distribution of Λ is given by

$$W(\mathbf{\Lambda}|\mathbf{W},\nu) = B(\mathbf{W},\nu)(\det\mathbf{\Lambda})^{\frac{\nu-D-1}{2}} \exp\left(-\frac{1}{2}\operatorname{tr}\left(\mathbf{W}^{-1}\mathbf{\Lambda}\right)\right). \tag{2.319}$$

Then, by the definition,

$$p(\mathbf{\Lambda}|\mathbf{X}, \mathbf{W}, \nu) \propto p(\mathbf{X}|\mathbf{\Lambda})\mathcal{W}(\mathbf{\Lambda}|\mathbf{W}, \nu),$$
 (2.320)

where the right hand side except the terms independent of Λ can be written as

$$(\det \mathbf{\Lambda})^{\frac{\nu+N-D-1}{2}} \exp\left(-\frac{1}{2}\operatorname{tr}\left(\left(\mathbf{W}^{-1}+\mathbf{S}\right)\mathbf{\Lambda}\right)\right). \tag{2.321}$$

Therefore,

$$p(\mathbf{\Lambda}|\mathbf{X}, \mathbf{W}, \nu) = \mathcal{W}\left(\mathbf{\Lambda} \mid (\mathbf{W}^{-1} + \mathbf{S})^{-1}, \nu + N\right).$$
 (2.322)

Thus, W is a conjugate prior distribution of Λ .

Let x be a variable such that

$$p(x|\mu,\tau,a,b) = \mathcal{N}\left(x|\mu,\tau^{-1}\right) \operatorname{Gam}(\tau|a,b). \tag{2.323}$$

Then

$$p(x|\mu, a, b) = \int_0^\infty \mathcal{N}\left(x|\mu, \tau^{-1}\right) \operatorname{Gam}(\tau|a, b) d\tau. \tag{2.324}$$

The right hand side can be written as

$$\int_0^\infty \left(\frac{\tau}{2\pi}\right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \exp\left(-\frac{\tau}{2}(x-\mu)^2\right) \frac{b^a}{\Gamma(a)} \tau^{a-1} \exp(-b\tau) d\tau$$

$$= (2\pi)^{-\frac{1}{2}} \frac{b^a}{\Gamma(a)} \int_0^\infty \tau^{a-\frac{1}{2}} \exp\left(-\left(b + \frac{(x-\mu)^2}{2}\right)\tau\right) d\tau. \tag{2.325}$$

By the transformation

$$\tau' = \left(b + \frac{(x-\mu)^2}{2}\right)\tau,$$
 (2.326)

the integral of the right hand side can be written as

$$\int_0^\infty \left(\frac{\tau'}{b + \frac{(x-\mu)^2}{2}} \right)^{a - \frac{1}{2}} \exp(-\tau') \frac{d\tau'}{b + \frac{(x-\mu)^2}{2}} = \Gamma\left(a + \frac{1}{2}\right) \left(b + \frac{(x-\mu)^2}{2}\right)^{-a - \frac{1}{2}}.$$
(2.327)

Therefore,

$$p(x|\mu,\tau,a,b) = (2\pi)^{-\frac{1}{2}} \frac{\Gamma(a+\frac{1}{2})}{\Gamma(a)} b^a \left(b + \frac{(x-\mu)^2}{2}\right)^{-a-\frac{1}{2}}.$$
 (2.328)

Let

$$\nu = 2a,$$

$$\lambda = \frac{a}{b}.$$
(2.329)

Then

$$p(x|\mu,\lambda,\nu) = \frac{\Gamma(\frac{\nu+1}{2})}{\Gamma(\frac{\nu}{2})} \left(\frac{\lambda}{\pi\nu}\right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \left(1 + \frac{\lambda(x-\mu)^2}{\nu}\right)^{-\frac{\nu+1}{2}}.$$
 (2.330)

By the definition,

$$\operatorname{St}(x|\mu,\lambda,\nu) = \frac{\Gamma(\frac{\nu+1}{2})}{\Gamma(\frac{\nu}{2})} \left(\frac{\lambda}{\pi\nu}\right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \left(1 + \frac{\lambda(x-\mu)^2}{\nu}\right)^{-\frac{\nu+1}{2}}.$$
 (2.331)

By the transformation

$$y = \frac{\lambda(x-\mu)^2}{\nu},\tag{2.332}$$

the right hand side except the terms independent of x can be written as

$$(1+y)^{-\frac{\lambda(x-\mu)^2}{2y} - \frac{1}{2}}. (2.333)$$

In the limit $y \to \infty$, it becomes

$$\exp\left(-\frac{\lambda}{2}(x-\mu)^2\right). \tag{2.334}$$

Therefore, in the limit $\nu \to \infty$, $\operatorname{St}(x|\mu,\lambda,\nu)$ becomes $\mathcal{N}(x|\mu,\lambda^{-1})$.

2.48

Let \mathbf{x} be a variable in D dimensions such that

$$p(\mathbf{x}|\boldsymbol{\mu}, \boldsymbol{\Lambda}, \eta, \nu) = \mathcal{N}\left(\mathbf{x}|\boldsymbol{\mu}, (\eta \boldsymbol{\Lambda})^{-1}\right) \operatorname{Gam}\left(\eta \mid \frac{\nu}{2}, \frac{\nu}{2}\right). \tag{2.335}$$

Then

$$p(\mathbf{x}|\boldsymbol{\mu}, \boldsymbol{\Lambda}, \nu) = \int_0^\infty \mathcal{N}\left(\mathbf{x}|\boldsymbol{\mu}, (\eta \boldsymbol{\Lambda})^{-1}\right) \operatorname{Gam}\left(\eta \mid \frac{\nu}{2}, \frac{\nu}{2}\right) d\eta.$$
 (2.336)

The right hand side can be written as

$$\int_{0}^{\infty} (2\pi)^{-\frac{D}{2}} (\det(\eta \mathbf{\Lambda}))^{\frac{1}{2}} \exp\left(-\frac{1}{2} (\mathbf{x} - \boldsymbol{\mu})^{\mathsf{T}} \eta \mathbf{\Lambda} (\mathbf{x} - \boldsymbol{\mu})\right) \frac{(\frac{\nu}{2})^{\frac{\nu}{2}}}{\Gamma(\frac{\nu}{2})} \eta^{\frac{\nu}{2} - 1} \exp\left(-\frac{\nu}{2} \eta\right) d\eta$$

$$= (2\pi)^{-\frac{D}{2}} \frac{(\frac{\nu}{2})^{\frac{\nu}{2}}}{\Gamma(\frac{\nu}{2})} (\det \mathbf{\Lambda})^{\frac{1}{2}} \int_{0}^{\infty} \eta^{\frac{D+\nu}{2} - 1} \exp\left(-\frac{1}{2} (\nu + (\mathbf{x} - \boldsymbol{\mu})^{\mathsf{T}} \mathbf{\Lambda} (\mathbf{x} - \boldsymbol{\mu})) \eta\right) d\eta.$$
(2.337)

By the transformation

$$\eta' = \frac{1}{2} \left(\nu + (\mathbf{x} - \boldsymbol{\mu})^{\mathsf{T}} \boldsymbol{\Lambda} (\mathbf{x} - \boldsymbol{\mu}) \right) \eta, \tag{2.338}$$

the integral of the right hand side can be written as

$$\int_{0}^{\infty} \left(\frac{2\eta'}{\nu + (\mathbf{x} - \boldsymbol{\mu})^{\mathsf{T}} \boldsymbol{\Lambda} (\mathbf{x} - \boldsymbol{\mu})} \right)^{\frac{D+\nu}{2} - 1} \exp(-\eta') \frac{2}{\nu + (\mathbf{x} - \boldsymbol{\mu})^{\mathsf{T}} \boldsymbol{\Lambda} (\mathbf{x} - \boldsymbol{\mu})} d\eta'$$

$$= \left(\frac{2}{\nu + (\mathbf{x} - \boldsymbol{\mu})^{\mathsf{T}} \boldsymbol{\Lambda} (\mathbf{x} - \boldsymbol{\mu})} \right)^{\frac{D+\nu}{2}} \Gamma\left(\frac{D+\nu}{2} \right). \tag{2.339}$$

Therefore,

$$p(\mathbf{x}|\boldsymbol{\mu},\boldsymbol{\Lambda},\nu) = \frac{\Gamma(\frac{D+\nu}{2})}{\Gamma(\frac{\nu}{2})} \frac{(\det \boldsymbol{\Lambda})^{\frac{1}{2}}}{(\pi\nu)^{\frac{D}{2}}} \left(1 + \frac{(\mathbf{x}-\boldsymbol{\mu})^{\mathsf{T}}\boldsymbol{\Lambda}(\mathbf{x}-\boldsymbol{\mu})}{\nu}\right)^{-\frac{D+\nu}{2}}. \quad (2.340)$$

2.49

Let \mathbf{x} be a variable such that

$$p(\mathbf{x}|\boldsymbol{\mu}, \boldsymbol{\Lambda}, \nu) = \text{St}(\mathbf{x}|\boldsymbol{\mu}, \boldsymbol{\Lambda}, \nu). \tag{2.341}$$

By the definition,

$$\operatorname{St}(\mathbf{x}|\boldsymbol{\mu},\boldsymbol{\Lambda},\nu) = \int \mathcal{N}\left(\mathbf{x}|\boldsymbol{\mu},(\eta\boldsymbol{\Lambda})^{-1}\right) \operatorname{Gam}\left(\eta \mid \frac{\nu}{2}, \frac{\nu}{2}\right) d\eta. \tag{2.342}$$

First,

$$\mathbf{E} \mathbf{x} = \int \mathbf{x} \operatorname{St}(\mathbf{x} | \boldsymbol{\mu}, \boldsymbol{\Lambda}, \nu) d\mathbf{x}. \tag{2.343}$$

The right hand side can be written as

$$\int \mathbf{x} \left(\int \mathcal{N} \left(\mathbf{x} | \boldsymbol{\mu}, (\eta \boldsymbol{\Lambda})^{-1} \right) \operatorname{Gam} \left(\eta \mid \frac{\nu}{2}, \frac{\nu}{2} \right) d\eta \right) d\mathbf{x}$$

$$= \int \left(\int \mathbf{x} \mathcal{N} \left(\mathbf{x} | \boldsymbol{\mu}, (\eta \boldsymbol{\Lambda})^{-1} \right) d\mathbf{x} \right) \operatorname{Gam} \left(\eta \mid \frac{\nu}{2}, \frac{\nu}{2} \right) d\eta.$$
(2.344)

The right hand side can be written as

$$\boldsymbol{\mu} \int \operatorname{Gam}\left(\eta \mid \frac{\nu}{2}, \frac{\nu}{2}\right) d\eta = \boldsymbol{\mu}. \tag{2.345}$$

Therefore,

$$\mathbf{E}\,\mathbf{x} = \boldsymbol{\mu}.\tag{2.346}$$

Additionally,

$$\operatorname{cov} \mathbf{x} = \int (\mathbf{x} - \boldsymbol{\mu}) (\mathbf{x} - \boldsymbol{\mu})^{\mathsf{T}} \operatorname{St}(\mathbf{x} | \boldsymbol{\mu}, \boldsymbol{\Lambda}, \nu) d\mathbf{x}. \tag{2.347}$$

The right hand side can be written as

$$\int (\mathbf{x} - \boldsymbol{\mu}) (\mathbf{x} - \boldsymbol{\mu})^{\mathsf{T}} \left(\int \mathcal{N} \left(\mathbf{x} | \boldsymbol{\mu}, (\eta \boldsymbol{\Lambda})^{-1} \right) \operatorname{Gam} \left(\eta \mid \frac{\nu}{2}, \frac{\nu}{2} \right) d\eta \right) d\mathbf{x}$$

$$= \int \left(\int (\mathbf{x} - \boldsymbol{\mu}) (\mathbf{x} - \boldsymbol{\mu})^{\mathsf{T}} \mathcal{N} \left(\mathbf{x} | \boldsymbol{\mu}, (\eta \boldsymbol{\Lambda})^{-1} \right) d\mathbf{x} \right) \operatorname{Gam} \left(\eta \mid \frac{\nu}{2}, \frac{\nu}{2} \right) d\eta.$$
(2.348)

The right hand side can be written as

$$\int (\eta \mathbf{\Lambda})^{-1} \operatorname{Gam}\left(\eta \mid \frac{\nu}{2}, \frac{\nu}{2}\right) d\eta = \mathbf{\Lambda}^{-1} \frac{\left(\frac{\nu}{2}\right)^{\frac{\nu}{2}}}{\Gamma\left(\frac{\nu}{2}\right)} \int \eta^{\frac{\nu}{2}-2} \exp\left(-\frac{\nu}{2}\eta\right) d\eta. \quad (2.349)$$

By the transformation

$$\eta' = \frac{\nu}{2}\eta,\tag{2.350}$$

the integral of the right hand side can be written as

$$\int \left(\frac{2}{\nu}\eta'\right)^{-\frac{\nu}{2}-2} \exp(-\eta') \frac{2}{\nu} d\eta' = \left(\frac{2}{\nu}\right)^{\frac{\nu}{2}-1} \Gamma\left(\frac{\nu}{2}-1\right). \tag{2.351}$$

Therefore, the right hand side can be written as

$$\mathbf{\Lambda}^{-1} \frac{\left(\frac{\nu}{2}\right)^{\frac{\nu}{2}}}{\Gamma\left(\frac{\nu}{2}\right)} \left(\frac{2}{\nu}\right)^{\frac{\nu}{2}-1} \Gamma\left(\frac{\nu}{2}-1\right) = \frac{\frac{\nu}{2}}{\frac{\nu}{2}-1} \mathbf{\Lambda}^{-1}. \tag{2.352}$$

Thus,

$$\operatorname{cov} \mathbf{x} = \frac{\nu}{\nu - 2} \mathbf{\Lambda}^{-1}. \tag{2.353}$$

Finally, setting the derivative of $\mathrm{St}(\mathbf{x}|\boldsymbol{\mu},\boldsymbol{\Lambda},\nu)$ with respect to \mathbf{x} to zero gives

$$\mathbf{0} = -\frac{1}{2} \left(\mathbf{\Lambda} + \mathbf{\Lambda}^{\mathsf{T}} \right) \left(\mathbf{x} - \boldsymbol{\mu} \right) \int \eta \mathcal{N} \left(\mathbf{x} | \boldsymbol{\mu}, (\eta \mathbf{\Lambda})^{-1} \right) \operatorname{Gam} \left(\eta \mid \frac{\nu}{2}, \frac{\nu}{2} \right) d\eta. \quad (2.354)$$

Therefore,

$$mode \mathbf{x} = \boldsymbol{\mu}. \tag{2.355}$$

By the definition,

$$\operatorname{St}(\mathbf{x}|\boldsymbol{\mu},\boldsymbol{\Lambda},\nu) = \frac{\Gamma(\frac{D+\nu}{2})}{\Gamma(\frac{\nu}{2})} \frac{(\det \boldsymbol{\Lambda})^{\frac{1}{2}}}{(\pi\nu)^{\frac{D}{2}}} \left(1 + \frac{(\mathbf{x}-\boldsymbol{\mu})^{\mathsf{T}}\boldsymbol{\Lambda}(\mathbf{x}-\boldsymbol{\mu})}{\nu}\right)^{-\frac{D+\nu}{2}}. \quad (2.356)$$

By the transformation

$$y = \frac{(\mathbf{x} - \boldsymbol{\mu})^{\mathsf{T}} \boldsymbol{\Lambda} (\mathbf{x} - \boldsymbol{\mu})}{\nu}, \tag{2.357}$$

the right hand side except the terms independent of \mathbf{x} can be written as

$$(1+y)^{-\frac{(\mathbf{x}-\boldsymbol{\mu})^{\mathsf{T}}\boldsymbol{\Lambda}(\mathbf{x}-\boldsymbol{\mu})}{2y}-\frac{D}{2}}.$$
 (2.358)

In the limit $y \to \infty$, it becomes

$$\exp\left(-\frac{1}{2}(\mathbf{x}-\boldsymbol{\mu})^{\mathsf{T}}\boldsymbol{\Lambda}(\mathbf{x}-\boldsymbol{\mu})\right). \tag{2.359}$$

Therefore, in the limit $\nu \to \infty$, $\operatorname{St}(\mathbf{x}|\boldsymbol{\mu}, \boldsymbol{\Lambda}, \nu)$ becomes $\mathcal{N}(\mathbf{x}|\boldsymbol{\mu}, \boldsymbol{\Lambda}^{-1})$.

2.51

We have

$$\exp(iA)\exp(-iA) = 1. \tag{2.360}$$

The left hand side can be written as

$$(\cos A + i \sin A)(\cos A - i \sin A) = \cos^2 A + \sin^2 A. \tag{2.361}$$

Therefore,

$$\cos^2 A + \sin^2 A = 1. \tag{2.362}$$

Additionally,

$$\cos(A - B) = \operatorname{Re}\left(\exp\left(i(A - B)\right)\right). \tag{2.363}$$

The right hand side can be written as

$$\operatorname{Re}\left(\exp(iA)\exp(-iB)\right) = \operatorname{Re}\left((\cos A + i\sin A)(\cos B - i\sin B)\right). \tag{2.364}$$

The right hand side can be written as $\cos A \cos B + \sin A \sin B$. Therefore,

$$\cos(A - B) = \cos A \cos B + \sin A \sin B. \tag{2.365}$$

Finally,

$$\sin(A - B) = \text{Im} \left(\exp \left(i(A - B) \right) \right).$$
 (2.366)

The right hand side can be written as

$$\operatorname{Im}\left(\exp(iA)\exp(-iB)\right) = \left((\cos A + i\sin A)(\cos B - i\sin B)\right). \tag{2.367}$$

The right hand side can be written as $\sin A \cos B - \cos A \sin B$. Therefore,

$$\sin(A - B) = \sin A \cos B - \cos A \sin B. \tag{2.368}$$

2.52 (Incomplete)

Let θ be a variable such that

$$p(\theta|\theta_0, m) = \frac{1}{2\pi I_0(m)} \exp(m\cos(\theta - \theta_0)),$$
 (2.369)

where

$$I_0(m) = \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_0^{2\pi} \exp(m\cos\theta) d\theta. \tag{2.370}$$

By the Taylor series

$$\cos \alpha = 1 - \frac{1}{2}\alpha^2 + O\left(\alpha^4\right) \tag{2.371}$$

and the transformation

$$\xi = m^{\frac{1}{2}}(\theta - \theta_0), \tag{2.372}$$

we have

$$\exp(m\cos(\theta - \theta_0)) = \exp\left(m\left(1 - \frac{1}{2}(\theta - \theta_0)^2 + O\left((\theta - \theta_0)^4\right)\right)\right). (2.373)$$

2.53

Let θ_0 be a parameter such that

$$\sum_{n=1}^{N} \sin(\theta_n - \theta_0) = 0. \tag{2.374}$$

The left hand side can be written as

$$\sum_{n=1}^{N} (\sin \theta_n \cos \theta_0 - \cos \theta_n \sin \theta_0) = \cos \theta_0 \sum_{n=1}^{N} \sin \theta_n - \sin \theta_0 \sum_{n=1}^{N} \cos \theta_n. \quad (2.375)$$

Therefore,

$$\theta_0 = \arctan\left(\frac{\sum_{n=1}^N \sin \theta_n}{\sum_{n=1}^N \cos \theta_n}\right). \tag{2.376}$$

2.54

Let θ be a variable such that

$$p(\theta|\theta_0, m) = \frac{1}{2\pi I_0(m)} \exp(m\cos(\theta - \theta_0)),$$
 (2.377)

where

$$I_0(m) = \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_0^{2\pi} \exp(m\cos\theta) d\theta. \tag{2.378}$$

Setting the first and second derivatives with respect to θ to zero gives

$$0 = -m\sin(\theta - \theta_0)p(\theta|\theta_0, m),$$

$$0 = (m^2\sin^2(\theta - \theta_0) - m\cos(\theta - \theta_0))p(\theta|\theta_0, m).$$
(2.379)

Therefore,

$$\underset{\theta}{\operatorname{argmax}} p(\theta|\theta_0, m) = \theta_0,$$

$$\underset{\theta}{\operatorname{argmin}} p(\theta|\theta_0, m) = \theta_0 - \pi \operatorname{sgn}(\theta_0 - \pi).$$
(2.380)

2.55

Let

$$\theta_0^{\text{ML}} = \arctan\left(\frac{\sum_{n=1}^N \sin \theta_n}{\sum_{n=1}^N \cos \theta_n}\right). \tag{2.381}$$

Let

$$\bar{r}\cos\bar{\theta} = \frac{1}{N} \sum_{n=1}^{N} \cos\theta_n,$$

$$\bar{r}\sin\bar{\theta} = \frac{1}{N} \sum_{n=1}^{N} \sin\theta_n.$$
(2.382)

Then

$$\theta_0^{\rm ML} = \bar{\theta}. \tag{2.383}$$

Here,

$$\frac{1}{N} \sum_{n=1}^{N} \cos \left(\theta_n - \theta_0^{\text{ML}}\right) = \left(\frac{1}{N} \sum_{n=1}^{N} \cos \theta_n\right) \cos \theta_0^{\text{ML}} + \left(\frac{1}{N} \sum_{n=1}^{N} \sin \theta_n\right) \sin \theta_0^{\text{ML}}.$$
(2.384)

By the result above, the right hand side can be written as

$$\bar{r}\cos^2\bar{\theta} + \bar{r}\sin^2\bar{\theta} = \bar{r}. \tag{2.385}$$

Therefore,

$$\frac{1}{N} \sum_{n=1}^{N} \cos\left(\theta_n - \theta_0^{\mathrm{ML}}\right) = \bar{r}.$$
(2.386)

2.56

By the definition,

Beta
$$(\mu|a,b) = \frac{\Gamma(a+b)}{\Gamma(a)\Gamma(b)} \mu^{a-1} (1-\mu)^{b-1}.$$
 (2.387)

The right hand side can be written as

$$\frac{\Gamma(a+b)}{\Gamma(a)\Gamma(b)} \exp((a-1)\ln\mu + (b-1)\ln(1-\mu))$$
 (2.388)

Therefore, the natural parameters are given by

$$oldsymbol{\eta} = egin{bmatrix} a-1 \\ b-1 \end{bmatrix}.$$

Additionally, by the definition,

$$Gam(\lambda|a,b) = \frac{b^a}{\Gamma(a)} \lambda^{a-1} \exp(-b\lambda).$$
 (2.389)

The right hand side can be written as

$$\frac{b^a}{\Gamma(a)} \exp\left((a-1)\ln\lambda - b\lambda\right). \tag{2.390}$$

Therefore, the natural parameters are given by

$$oldsymbol{\eta} = \begin{bmatrix} a-1 \\ -b \end{bmatrix}.$$

Finally, for

$$p(\theta|\theta_0, m) = \frac{1}{2\pi I_0(m)} \exp(m\cos(\theta - \theta_0)),$$
 (2.391)

where

$$I_0(m) = \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_0^{2\pi} \exp(m\cos\theta) d\theta, \qquad (2.392)$$

the right hand side can be written as

$$\frac{1}{2\pi I_0(m)} \exp(m\cos\theta_0\cos\theta + m\sin\theta_0\sin\theta). \tag{2.393}$$

Therefore, the natural parameters are given by

$$\boldsymbol{\eta} = \begin{bmatrix} m\cos\theta_0 \\ m\sin\theta_0 \end{bmatrix}.$$

2.57

By the definition,

$$\mathcal{N}(\mathbf{x}|\boldsymbol{\mu}, \boldsymbol{\Sigma}) = (2\pi)^{-\frac{D}{2}} (\det \boldsymbol{\Sigma})^{-\frac{1}{2}} \exp\left(-\frac{1}{2} (\mathbf{x} - \boldsymbol{\mu})^{\mathsf{T}} \boldsymbol{\Sigma}^{-1} (\mathbf{x} - \boldsymbol{\mu})\right). \quad (2.394)$$

Therefore,

$$\mathcal{N}(\mathbf{x}|\boldsymbol{\mu}, \boldsymbol{\Sigma}) = h(\mathbf{x})g(\boldsymbol{\eta}) \exp\left(\boldsymbol{\eta}^{\mathsf{T}} \mathbf{u}(\mathbf{x})\right), \tag{2.395}$$

$$h(\mathbf{x}) = (2\pi)^{-\frac{D}{2}},$$

$$g(\boldsymbol{\eta}) = (\det(-2\boldsymbol{\eta}_2))^{-\frac{1}{2}} \exp\left(\frac{1}{4}\boldsymbol{\eta}_1^{\mathsf{T}}\boldsymbol{\eta}_2^{-1}\boldsymbol{\eta}_1\right),$$

$$\boldsymbol{\eta} = \begin{bmatrix} \boldsymbol{\Sigma}^{-1}\boldsymbol{\mu} \\ -\frac{1}{2}\boldsymbol{\Sigma}^{-1} \end{bmatrix},$$

$$\mathbf{u}(\mathbf{x}) = \begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{x} \\ \mathbf{x}\mathbf{x}^{\mathsf{T}} \end{bmatrix}.$$

Let \mathbf{x} be a variable such that

$$p(\mathbf{x}|\boldsymbol{\eta}) = h(\mathbf{x})g(\boldsymbol{\eta}) \exp\left(\boldsymbol{\eta}^{\mathsf{T}}\mathbf{u}(\mathbf{x})\right). \tag{2.396}$$

Then, taking the first derivative of

$$\int p(\mathbf{x}|\boldsymbol{\eta})d\mathbf{x} = 1 \tag{2.397}$$

with respect to η gives

$$\nabla g(\boldsymbol{\eta}) \int h(\mathbf{x}) \exp(\boldsymbol{\eta}^{\mathsf{T}} \mathbf{u}(\mathbf{x})) d\mathbf{x} + g(\boldsymbol{\eta}) \int \mathbf{u}(\mathbf{x}) h(\mathbf{x}) \exp(\boldsymbol{\eta}^{\mathsf{T}} \mathbf{u}(\mathbf{x})) d\mathbf{x} = \mathbf{0}.$$
(2.398)

The left hand side can be written as

$$\frac{\nabla g(\boldsymbol{\eta})}{g(\boldsymbol{\eta})} \int p(\mathbf{x}|\boldsymbol{\eta}) d\mathbf{x} + \int \mathbf{u}(\mathbf{x}) p(\mathbf{x}|\boldsymbol{\eta}) d\mathbf{x} = \frac{\nabla g(\boldsymbol{\eta})}{g(\boldsymbol{\eta})} + \mathbf{E} \mathbf{u}(\mathbf{x}). \tag{2.399}$$

Therefore,

$$\mathbf{E}\,\mathbf{u}(\mathbf{x}) = -\frac{\nabla g(\boldsymbol{\eta})}{g(\boldsymbol{\eta})}.\tag{2.400}$$

Thus,

$$\mathbf{E}\,\mathbf{u}(\mathbf{x}) = -\nabla \ln g(\boldsymbol{\eta}). \tag{2.401}$$

Taking the second derivative with respect to η gives

$$\nabla \nabla g(\boldsymbol{\eta}) \int h(\mathbf{x}) \exp(\boldsymbol{\eta}^{\mathsf{T}} \mathbf{u}(\mathbf{x})) d\mathbf{x} + 2\nabla g(\boldsymbol{\eta}) \int \mathbf{u}(\mathbf{x})^{\mathsf{T}} h(\mathbf{x}) \exp(\boldsymbol{\eta}^{\mathsf{T}} \mathbf{u}(\mathbf{x})) d\mathbf{x}$$
$$+ g(\boldsymbol{\eta}) \int \mathbf{u}(\mathbf{x}) \mathbf{u}(\mathbf{x})^{\mathsf{T}} h(\mathbf{x}) \exp(\boldsymbol{\eta}^{\mathsf{T}} \mathbf{u}(\mathbf{x})) d\mathbf{x} = \mathbf{O}.$$
(2.402)

The left hand side can be written as

$$\frac{\nabla \nabla g(\boldsymbol{\eta})}{g(\boldsymbol{\eta})} \int p(\mathbf{x}|\boldsymbol{\eta}) d\mathbf{x} + \frac{2\nabla g(\boldsymbol{\eta})}{g(\boldsymbol{\eta})} \int \mathbf{u}(\mathbf{x})^{\mathsf{T}} p(\mathbf{x}|\boldsymbol{\eta}) d\mathbf{x} + \int \mathbf{u}(\mathbf{x}) \mathbf{u}(\mathbf{x})^{\mathsf{T}} p(\mathbf{x}|\boldsymbol{\eta}) d\mathbf{x}
= \frac{\nabla \nabla g(\boldsymbol{\eta})}{g(\boldsymbol{\eta})} - 2 \operatorname{E} \mathbf{u}(\mathbf{x}) \operatorname{E} \mathbf{u}(\mathbf{x})^{\mathsf{T}} + \operatorname{E} (\mathbf{u}(\mathbf{x}) \mathbf{u}(\mathbf{x})^{\mathsf{T}}).$$
(2.403)

Therefore,

$$E(\mathbf{u}(\mathbf{x})\mathbf{u}(\mathbf{x})^{\mathsf{T}}) = -\frac{\nabla \nabla g(\boldsymbol{\eta})}{g(\boldsymbol{\eta})} + \frac{2\nabla g(\boldsymbol{\eta})(\nabla g(\boldsymbol{\eta}))^{\mathsf{T}}}{g^2(\boldsymbol{\eta})}.$$
 (2.404)

By the definition,

$$\operatorname{cov} \mathbf{u}(\mathbf{x}) = \operatorname{E} (\mathbf{u}(\mathbf{x})\mathbf{u}(\mathbf{x})^{\mathsf{T}}) - \operatorname{E} \mathbf{u}(\mathbf{x}) \operatorname{E} \mathbf{u}(\mathbf{x})^{\mathsf{T}}. \tag{2.405}$$

Thus,

$$\operatorname{cov} \mathbf{u}(\mathbf{x}) = -\frac{\nabla \nabla g(\boldsymbol{\eta})}{g(\boldsymbol{\eta})} + \frac{\nabla g(\boldsymbol{\eta})(\nabla g(\boldsymbol{\eta}))^{\mathsf{T}}}{g^2(\boldsymbol{\eta})}.$$
 (2.406)

Hence,

$$\operatorname{cov} \mathbf{u}(\mathbf{x}) = -\nabla \nabla \ln g(\boldsymbol{\eta}). \tag{2.407}$$

2.59

Let

$$p(x|\sigma) = \frac{1}{\sigma} f\left(\frac{x}{\sigma}\right). \tag{2.408}$$

Then

$$\int p(x|\sigma)dx = \frac{1}{\sigma} \int f\left(\frac{x}{\sigma}\right) dx. \tag{2.409}$$

By the transformation

$$x' = \frac{x}{\sigma},\tag{2.410}$$

the right hand side can be written as

$$\frac{1}{\sigma} \int f(x')\sigma dx' = \int f(x')dx'. \tag{2.411}$$

Therefore, $p(x|\sigma)$ will be normalised if f(x) is normalised.

2.60

Let \mathbf{x} be a variable such that

$$\mathbf{x} \in \mathcal{R}_i \Rightarrow p(\mathbf{x}) = h_i,$$
 (2.412)

$$\int_{\mathcal{R}_i} d\mathbf{x} = \Delta_i. \tag{2.413}$$

Since

$$\int p(\mathbf{x})d\mathbf{x} = 1,\tag{2.414}$$

we have

$$\sum_{i} h_i \Delta_i = 1. \tag{2.415}$$

Let N be the total number of observations and n_i be the number of observations which fall in \mathcal{R}_i . Then, the logarithm of the likelihood is given by

$$\ln\left(\prod_{i} h_i^{n_i}\right) = \sum_{i} n_i \ln h_i, \tag{2.416}$$

where

$$\sum_{i} n_i = N. \tag{2.417}$$

Setting the derivatives of

$$\sum_{i} n_{i} \ln h_{i} + \lambda \left(\sum_{i} h_{i} \Delta_{i} - 1 \right) \tag{2.418}$$

with respect to h_i and λ to zero gives

$$\frac{n_i}{h_i} + \lambda \Delta_i = 0,$$

$$\sum_i h_i \Delta_i - 1 = 0.$$
(2.419)

Therefore,

$$\lambda = -N,$$

$$h_i = \frac{n_i}{N\Delta_i}.$$
(2.420)

Thus, the maximum likelihood estimator for the $\{h_i\}$ is $\frac{n_i}{N\Delta_i}$.

2.61 (Incomplete)

Let \mathbf{x} be a variable and $\mathbf{x}_1, \dots, \mathbf{x}_N$ be observations. Let

$$p(\mathbf{x}) = \frac{K}{NV(\mathbf{x})},\tag{2.421}$$

where

$$V(\mathbf{x}) = \int_{\|\mathbf{x}' - \mathbf{x}\| \le \|\mathbf{x}_{(K)} - \mathbf{x}\|} d\mathbf{x}', \qquad (2.422)$$

K is a constant and $\mathbf{x}_{(K)}$ is the Kth nearest observation from the point \mathbf{x} .

3 Linear Models for Regression

3.1

By the definition,

$$tanh a = \frac{\exp(a) - \exp(-a)}{\exp(a) + \exp(-a)}.$$
(3.1)

The right hand side can be written as

$$\frac{1 - \exp(-2a)}{1 + \exp(-2a)} = \frac{2}{1 + \exp(-2a)} - 1. \tag{3.2}$$

By the definition

$$\sigma(a) = \frac{1}{1 + \exp(-a)},\tag{3.3}$$

the right hand side can be written as

$$tanh a = 2\sigma(2a) - 1.$$
(3.4)

Let

$$y(x_n, \mathbf{w}) = w_0 + \sum_{j=1}^{M} w_j \sigma\left(\frac{x - \mu_j}{s}\right). \tag{3.5}$$

By the result above, the right hand side can be written as

$$w_0 + \sum_{j=1}^{M} w_j \frac{\tanh\left(\frac{x-\mu_j}{2s}\right) + 1}{2} = w_0 + \frac{1}{2} \sum_{j=1}^{M} w_j + \frac{1}{2} \sum_{j=1}^{M} w_j \tanh\left(\frac{x-\mu_j}{2s}\right).$$
 (3.6)

Therefore, $y(x_n, \mathbf{w})$ is equivalent to

$$y(x_n, \mathbf{u}) = u_0 + \sum_{j=1}^{M} u_j \tanh\left(\frac{x - \mu_j}{2s}\right), \qquad (3.7)$$

$$u_0 = w_0 + \frac{1}{2} \sum_{j=1}^{M} w_j,$$

$$u_j = \frac{w_j}{2}, \quad j = 1, \dots, M.$$
(3.8)

3.2 (Incomplete)

Let Φ be an $N \times M$ matarix. Then, for any vector \mathbf{v} in N dimensions,

$$\mathbf{\Phi} \left(\mathbf{\Phi}^{\mathsf{T}}\mathbf{\Phi}\right)^{-1} \mathbf{\Phi}^{\mathsf{T}}\mathbf{v} \tag{3.9}$$

is a projection of \mathbf{v} onto the space spanned by the columns of $\mathbf{\Phi}$? Additionally, for a vector \mathbf{t} in N dimensions,

$$(\mathbf{\Phi}^{\mathsf{T}}\mathbf{\Phi})^{-1}\mathbf{\Phi}^{\mathsf{T}}\mathbf{t} \tag{3.10}$$

is an orthogonal projection of ${\bf t}$ onto the space spanned by the columns of ${\bf \Phi} ?$

3.3

Let

$$E_D(\mathbf{w}) = \frac{1}{2} \sum_{n=1}^{N} r_n \left(t_n - \mathbf{w}^{\mathsf{T}} \boldsymbol{\phi}(\mathbf{x}_n) \right)^2.$$
 (3.11)

Setting the derivative to zero gives

$$\mathbf{0} = -\sum_{n=1}^{N} r_n \boldsymbol{\phi}(\mathbf{x}_n) \left(t_n - \mathbf{w}^{\mathsf{T}} \boldsymbol{\phi}(\mathbf{x}_n) \right). \tag{3.12}$$

The right hand side can be written as

$$-\sum_{n=1}^{N} r_n t_n \phi(\mathbf{x}_n) + \left(\sum_{n=1}^{N} r_n \phi(\mathbf{x}_n) \phi(\mathbf{x}_n)^{\mathsf{T}}\right) \mathbf{w}. \tag{3.13}$$

Therefore,

$$\underset{\mathbf{w}}{\operatorname{argmin}} E_D(\mathbf{w}) = \left(\mathbf{\Phi}'^{\mathsf{T}} \mathbf{\Phi}'\right)^{-1} \mathbf{\Phi}'^{\mathsf{T}} \mathbf{t}', \tag{3.14}$$

$$oldsymbol{\Phi}' = egin{bmatrix} \sqrt{r_1} oldsymbol{\phi}(\mathbf{x}_1)^\intercal \ dots \ \sqrt{r_N} oldsymbol{\phi}(\mathbf{x}_N)^\intercal \end{bmatrix}, oldsymbol{t}' = egin{bmatrix} \sqrt{r_1} t_1 \ dots \ \sqrt{r_N} t_N \end{bmatrix}.$$

3.4 (Incomplete)

Let

$$E_D(\mathbf{w}) = \frac{1}{2} \sum_{n=1}^{N} (y(\mathbf{x}_n, \mathbf{w}) - t_n)^2,$$
 (3.15)

where

$$y(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{w}) = w_0 + \sum_{i=1}^{D} w_i(x_i + \epsilon_i),$$

$$p(\epsilon_i) = \mathcal{N}\left(\epsilon_i | 0, \sigma^2\right).$$
(3.16)

Setting the derivative of $E_D(\mathbf{w})$ to zero gives

$$\mathbf{0} = \sum_{n=1}^{N} \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ \mathbf{x}_n + \boldsymbol{\epsilon}_n \end{bmatrix} (y(\mathbf{x}_n, \mathbf{w}) - t_n).$$

The right hand side can be written as

$$\sum_{n=1}^{N} \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ \mathbf{x}_n \end{bmatrix} (y(\mathbf{x}_n, \mathbf{w}) - t_n) + \sum_{n=1}^{N} \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ \boldsymbol{\epsilon}_n \end{bmatrix} (y(\mathbf{x}_n, \mathbf{w}) - t_n).$$

3.5

Let

$$E_D(\mathbf{w}) = \frac{1}{2} \sum_{n=1}^{N} (t_n - \mathbf{w}^{\mathsf{T}} \boldsymbol{\phi}(\mathbf{x}_n))^2.$$
 (3.17)

Then, the minimisation of $E_D(\mathbf{w})$ under the constraint

$$\sum_{j=1}^{M} |w_j|^q \le \eta \tag{3.18}$$

reduces to the minimisation of

$$E_D(\mathbf{w}) + \lambda \left(\sum_{j=1}^M |w_j|^q - \eta \right)$$
 (3.19)

with respect to \mathbf{w} and λ . Then,

$$\eta = \sum_{j=1}^{M} |w_j^*(\lambda)|^q,$$
 (3.20)

where

$$\mathbf{w}^*(\lambda) = \underset{\mathbf{w}}{\operatorname{argmin}} \left(E_D(\mathbf{w}) + \lambda \left(\sum_{j=1}^M |w_j|^q - \eta \right) \right). \tag{3.21}$$

3.6

Let $\mathbf{t}_1, \dots, \mathbf{t}_N$ be a variable in D dimensions such that

$$p(\mathbf{t}_n|\mathbf{W}, \mathbf{\Sigma}) = \mathcal{N}\left(\mathbf{t}_n|\mathbf{y}(\mathbf{x}_n, \mathbf{W}), \mathbf{\Sigma}\right), \tag{3.22}$$

where

$$\mathbf{y}(\mathbf{x}_n, \mathbf{W}) = \mathbf{W}^{\mathsf{T}} \boldsymbol{\phi}(\mathbf{x}_n). \tag{3.23}$$

Then

$$\ln \left(\prod_{n=1}^{N} p(\mathbf{t}_n | \mathbf{W}, \mathbf{\Sigma}) \right)$$

$$= -\frac{ND}{2} \ln(2\pi) - \frac{N}{2} \ln(\det \mathbf{\Sigma}) - \frac{1}{2} \sum_{n=1}^{N} (\mathbf{t}_n - \mathbf{W}^{\mathsf{T}} \boldsymbol{\phi}(\mathbf{x}_n))^{\mathsf{T}} \mathbf{\Sigma}^{-1} (\mathbf{t}_n - \mathbf{W}^{\mathsf{T}} \boldsymbol{\phi}(\mathbf{x}_n)).$$
(3.24)

Setting the derivatives with respect to W and Σ to zero gives

$$\mathbf{O} = -\frac{1}{2} \left(\mathbf{\Sigma}^{-1} + \left(\mathbf{\Sigma}^{-1} \right)^{\mathsf{T}} \right) \sum_{n=1}^{N} \left(\mathbf{t}_{n} - \mathbf{W}^{\mathsf{T}} \boldsymbol{\phi}(\mathbf{x}_{n}) \right) \left(\boldsymbol{\phi}(\mathbf{x}_{n}) \right)^{\mathsf{T}},$$

$$\mathbf{O} = -\frac{N}{2} \left(\mathbf{\Sigma}^{-1} \right)^{\mathsf{T}} + \frac{1}{2} \left(\mathbf{\Sigma}^{-1} \right)^{2} \sum_{n=1}^{N} \left(\mathbf{t}_{n} - \mathbf{W}^{\mathsf{T}} \boldsymbol{\phi}(\mathbf{x}_{n}) \right) \left(\mathbf{t}_{n} - \mathbf{W}^{\mathsf{T}} \boldsymbol{\phi}(\mathbf{x}_{n}) \right)^{\mathsf{T}}.$$
(3.25)

Therefore,

$$\mathbf{W}_{\mathrm{ML}} = (\mathbf{\Phi}^{\mathsf{T}}\mathbf{\Phi})^{-1} \mathbf{\Phi}^{\mathsf{T}}\mathbf{t},$$

$$\mathbf{\Sigma}_{\mathrm{ML}} = \frac{1}{N} \sum_{n=1}^{N} (\mathbf{t}_{n} - \mathbf{W}_{\mathrm{ML}}^{\mathsf{T}}\boldsymbol{\phi}(\mathbf{x}_{n})) (\mathbf{t}_{n} - \mathbf{W}_{\mathrm{ML}}^{\mathsf{T}}\boldsymbol{\phi}(\mathbf{x}_{n}))^{\mathsf{T}}.$$
(3.26)

$$oldsymbol{\Phi} = egin{bmatrix} oldsymbol{\phi}(\mathbf{x}_1)^\intercal \ dots \ oldsymbol{\phi}(\mathbf{x}_N)^\intercal \end{bmatrix}.$$

Let t be a variable such that

$$p(\mathbf{t}|\mathbf{X}, \mathbf{w}, \beta) = \prod_{n=1}^{N} \mathcal{N}\left(t_n | \mathbf{w}^{\mathsf{T}} \boldsymbol{\phi}(\mathbf{x}_n), \beta^{-1}\right),$$
$$p(\mathbf{w}) = \mathcal{N}(\mathbf{w} | \mathbf{m}_0, \mathbf{S}_0).$$
 (3.27)

By the definition,

$$p(\mathbf{t}|\mathbf{X}, \mathbf{w}, \beta)p(\mathbf{w}) = p(\mathbf{w}|\mathbf{X}, \mathbf{t}, \beta)p(\mathbf{t}). \tag{3.28}$$

The logarithm of the left hand side except the terms independent of \mathbf{t} and \mathbf{w} can be written as

$$-\frac{\beta}{2} \sum_{n=1}^{N} (t_n - \mathbf{w}^{\mathsf{T}} \boldsymbol{\phi}(\mathbf{x}_n))^2 - \frac{1}{2} (\mathbf{w} - \mathbf{m}_0)^{\mathsf{T}} \mathbf{S}_0^{-1} (\mathbf{w} - \mathbf{m}_0)$$

$$= -\frac{\beta}{2} (\mathbf{t} - \boldsymbol{\Phi} \mathbf{w})^{\mathsf{T}} (\mathbf{t} - \boldsymbol{\Phi} \mathbf{w}) - \frac{1}{2} (\mathbf{w} - \mathbf{m}_0)^{\mathsf{T}} \mathbf{S}_0^{-1} (\mathbf{w} - \mathbf{m}_0),$$
(3.29)

where

$$oldsymbol{\Phi} = egin{bmatrix} oldsymbol{\phi}(\mathbf{x}_1)^\intercal \ dots \ oldsymbol{\phi}(\mathbf{x}_N)^\intercal \end{bmatrix}.$$

The right hand side can be written as

$$-\frac{1}{2}\left(\mathbf{w}-\mathbf{m}_{N}\right)^{\mathsf{T}}\mathbf{S}_{N}^{-1}\left(\mathbf{w}-\mathbf{m}_{N}\right)+\frac{1}{2}\mathbf{m}_{N}^{\mathsf{T}}\mathbf{S}_{N}^{-1}\mathbf{m}_{N}-\frac{\beta}{2}\mathbf{t}^{\mathsf{T}}\mathbf{t}-\frac{1}{2}\mathbf{m}_{0}^{\mathsf{T}}\mathbf{S}_{0}^{-1}\mathbf{m}_{0} \quad (3.30)$$

where

$$\mathbf{m}_{N} = \mathbf{S}_{N} \left(\mathbf{S}_{0}^{-1} \mathbf{m}_{0} + \beta \mathbf{\Phi}^{\mathsf{T}} \mathbf{t} \right), \mathbf{S}_{N}^{-1} = \mathbf{S}_{0}^{-1} + \beta \mathbf{\Phi}^{\mathsf{T}} \mathbf{\Phi}.$$
 (3.31)

Therefore,

$$p(\mathbf{w}|\mathbf{X}, \mathbf{t}, \beta) = \mathcal{N}(\mathbf{w}|\mathbf{m}_N, \mathbf{S}_N).$$
 (3.32)

3.8

Let

$$p(\mathbf{w}|\mathbf{X}_{N}, \mathbf{t}_{N}, \beta) = \mathcal{N}(\mathbf{w}|\mathbf{m}_{N}, \mathbf{S}_{N}),$$

$$p(t_{N+1}|\mathbf{x}_{N+1}, \mathbf{w}, \beta) = \mathcal{N}(t_{N+1}|\mathbf{w}^{\mathsf{T}}\phi(\mathbf{x}_{N+1}), \beta^{-1}),$$
(3.33)

where

$$\mathbf{m}_{N} = \mathbf{S}_{N} \left(\mathbf{S}_{0}^{-1} \mathbf{m}_{0} + \beta \mathbf{\Phi}_{N}^{\mathsf{T}} \mathbf{t}_{N} \right), \mathbf{S}_{N}^{-1} = \mathbf{S}_{0}^{-1} + \beta \mathbf{\Phi}_{N}^{\mathsf{T}} \mathbf{\Phi}_{N}.$$

$$(3.34)$$

By the definition,

$$p(\mathbf{w}|\mathbf{X}_{N+1}, \mathbf{t}_{N+1}, \beta) \propto p(\mathbf{w}|\mathbf{X}_N, \mathbf{t}_N, \beta)p(t_{N+1}|\mathbf{x}_{N+1}, \mathbf{w}, \beta).$$
 (3.35)

The logarithm of the right hand side except the terms independent of \mathbf{X}_{N+1} and \mathbf{w} can be written as

$$-\frac{1}{2} (\mathbf{w} - \mathbf{m}_{N})^{\mathsf{T}} \mathbf{S}_{N}^{-1} (\mathbf{w} - \mathbf{m}_{N}) - \frac{\beta}{2} (t_{N+1} - \mathbf{w}^{\mathsf{T}} \boldsymbol{\phi}(\mathbf{x}_{N+1}))^{2}$$

$$= -\frac{1}{2} (\mathbf{w} - \boldsymbol{\mu}_{N+1})^{\mathsf{T}} \boldsymbol{\Lambda}_{N+1} (\mathbf{w} - \boldsymbol{\mu}_{N+1}) + \frac{1}{2} \boldsymbol{\mu}_{N+1}^{\mathsf{T}} \boldsymbol{\Lambda}_{N+1} \boldsymbol{\mu}_{N+1}$$

$$-\frac{1}{2} \mathbf{m}_{N}^{\mathsf{T}} \mathbf{S}_{N}^{-1} \mathbf{m}_{N} - \frac{\beta}{2} t_{N+1}^{2},$$
(3.36)

where

$$\boldsymbol{\mu}_{N+1} = \boldsymbol{\Lambda}_{N+1}^{-1} \left(\mathbf{S}_{N}^{-1} \mathbf{m}_{N} + \beta t_{N+1} \boldsymbol{\phi}(\mathbf{x}_{N+1}) \right), \boldsymbol{\Lambda}_{N+1} = \mathbf{S}_{N}^{-1} + \beta \boldsymbol{\phi}(\mathbf{x}_{N+1}) \boldsymbol{\phi}(\mathbf{x}_{N+1})^{\mathsf{T}}.$$
(3.37)

Therefore,

$$\mu_{N+1} = \mathbf{m}_{N+1},
\Lambda_{N+1} = \mathbf{S}_{N+1}^{-1}.$$
(3.38)

Thus,

$$p(\mathbf{w}|\mathbf{X}_{N+1}, \mathbf{t}_{N+1}, \beta) = \mathcal{N}(\mathbf{w}|\mathbf{m}_{N+1}, \mathbf{S}_{N+1}). \tag{3.39}$$

3.9 (Incomplete)

Let

$$p(\mathbf{w}|\mathbf{X}_{N}, \mathbf{t}_{N}, \beta) = \mathcal{N}(\mathbf{w}|\mathbf{m}_{N}, \mathbf{S}_{N}),$$

$$p(t_{N+1}|\mathbf{x}_{N+1}, \mathbf{w}, \beta) = \mathcal{N}(t_{N+1}|\mathbf{w}^{\mathsf{T}}\phi(\mathbf{x}_{N+1}), \beta^{-1}),$$
(3.40)

where

$$\mathbf{m}_{N} = \mathbf{S}_{N} \left(\mathbf{S}_{0}^{-1} \mathbf{m}_{0} + \beta \mathbf{\Phi}_{N}^{\mathsf{T}} \mathbf{t}_{N} \right), \mathbf{S}_{N}^{-1} = \mathbf{S}_{0}^{-1} + \beta \mathbf{\Phi}_{N}^{\mathsf{T}} \mathbf{\Phi}_{N}.$$

$$(3.41)$$

By the definition,

$$p(\mathbf{w}|\mathbf{X}_{N+1}, \mathbf{t}_{N+1}, \beta) \propto p(\mathbf{w}|\mathbf{X}_N, \mathbf{t}_N, \beta)p(t_{N+1}|\mathbf{x}_{N+1}, \mathbf{w}, \beta).$$
 (3.42)

The logarithm of the right hand side except the terms independent of \mathbf{X}_{N+1} and w can be written as

$$-\frac{1}{2} \left(\mathbf{w} - \mathbf{m}_N \right)^{\mathsf{T}} \mathbf{S}_N^{-1} \left(\mathbf{w} - \mathbf{m}_N \right) - \frac{\beta}{2} \left(t_{N+1} - \mathbf{w}^{\mathsf{T}} \boldsymbol{\phi}(\mathbf{x}_{N+1}) \right)^2. \tag{3.43}$$

$$-\frac{1}{2}\begin{bmatrix}\mathbf{w}\\t_{N+1}\end{bmatrix}^{\mathsf{T}}\begin{bmatrix}\mathbf{S}_{N}^{-1}+\beta\boldsymbol{\phi}(\mathbf{x}_{N+1})\boldsymbol{\phi}(\mathbf{x}_{N+1})^{\mathsf{T}} & -\beta\boldsymbol{\phi}(\mathbf{x}_{N+1})\\-\beta\boldsymbol{\phi}(\mathbf{x}_{N+1})^{\mathsf{T}} & \beta\end{bmatrix}\begin{bmatrix}\mathbf{w}\\t_{N+1}\end{bmatrix} + \frac{1}{2}\begin{bmatrix}\mathbf{w}\\t_{N+1}\end{bmatrix}^{\mathsf{T}}\begin{bmatrix}\mathbf{S}_{N}^{-1}\mathbf{m}_{N}\\0\end{bmatrix} - \frac{1}{2}\mathbf{m}_{N}^{\mathsf{T}}\mathbf{S}_{N}^{-1}$$

3.10

Let t be a variable such that

$$p(t|\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{w}) = \mathcal{N}\left(t|\mathbf{w}^{\mathsf{T}}\boldsymbol{\phi}(\mathbf{x}), \beta^{-1}\right),$$

$$p(\mathbf{w}|\mathbf{t}) = \mathcal{N}(\mathbf{w}|\mathbf{m}_{N}, \mathbf{S}_{N}).$$
(3.44)

By the definition, we have

$$p(t|\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{t}) = \int p(t|\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{w}) p(\mathbf{w}|\mathbf{t}) d\mathbf{w}.$$
 (3.45)

The logarithm of the integrand of the right hand side except the terms independent of t and \mathbf{w} can be written as

$$-\frac{\beta}{2} \left(t - \mathbf{w}^{\mathsf{T}} \boldsymbol{\phi}(\mathbf{x})\right)^{2} - \frac{1}{2} (\mathbf{w} - \mathbf{m}_{N})^{\mathsf{T}} \mathbf{S}_{N}^{-1} (\mathbf{w} - \mathbf{m}_{N}). \tag{3.46}$$

It can be written as

$$-\frac{1}{2} \begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{w} \\ t \end{bmatrix}^{\mathsf{T}} \begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{S}_{N}^{-1} + \beta \boldsymbol{\phi}(\mathbf{x}) \boldsymbol{\phi}(\mathbf{x})^{\mathsf{T}} & -\beta \boldsymbol{\phi}(\mathbf{x}) \\ -\beta \boldsymbol{\phi}(\mathbf{x})^{\mathsf{T}} & \beta \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{w} \\ t \end{bmatrix} + \begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{w} \\ t \end{bmatrix}^{\mathsf{T}} \begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{S}_{N}^{-1} \mathbf{m}_{N} \\ 0 \end{bmatrix} - \frac{1}{2} \mathbf{m}_{N}^{\mathsf{T}} \mathbf{S}_{N}^{-1} \mathbf{m}_{N}.$$

By 2.24,

$$\begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{S}_N^{-1} + \beta \boldsymbol{\phi}(\mathbf{x}) \boldsymbol{\phi}(\mathbf{x})^{\mathsf{T}} & -\beta \boldsymbol{\phi}(\mathbf{x}) \\ -\beta \boldsymbol{\phi}(\mathbf{x})^{\mathsf{T}} & \beta \end{bmatrix}^{-1} = \begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{S}_N & \mathbf{S}_N \boldsymbol{\phi}(\mathbf{x}) \\ \boldsymbol{\phi}(\mathbf{x})^{\mathsf{T}} \mathbf{S}_N & \frac{1}{\beta} + \boldsymbol{\phi}(\mathbf{x})^{\mathsf{T}} \mathbf{S}_N \boldsymbol{\phi}(\mathbf{x}) \end{bmatrix}.$$

Therefore.

$$\begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{S}_N^{-1} + \beta \boldsymbol{\phi}(\mathbf{x}) \boldsymbol{\phi}(\mathbf{x})^{\mathsf{T}} & \beta \boldsymbol{\phi}(\mathbf{x}) \\ \beta \boldsymbol{\phi}(\mathbf{x})^{\mathsf{T}} & \beta \end{bmatrix}^{-1} \begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{S}_N^{-1} \mathbf{m}_N \\ 0 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{m}_N \\ \mathbf{m}_N^{\mathsf{T}} \boldsymbol{\phi}(\mathbf{x}) \end{bmatrix}.$$

Thus,

$$p(t|\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{t}) = \mathcal{N}\left(t \mid \mathbf{m}_N^{\mathsf{T}} \boldsymbol{\phi}(\mathbf{x}), \frac{1}{\beta} + \boldsymbol{\phi}(\mathbf{x})^{\mathsf{T}} \mathbf{S}_N \boldsymbol{\phi}(\mathbf{x})\right). \tag{3.47}$$