

# Solutions Manual to Pattern Recognition and Machine Learning

Hiromichi Inawashiro

April 8, 2025

# Contents

<b>1</b>	<b>Introduction</b>	<b>1</b>
<b>2</b>	<b>Probability Distributions</b>	<b>39</b>
<b>3</b>	<b>Linear Models for Regression</b>	<b>94</b>
<b>4</b>	<b>Linear Models for Classification</b>	<b>119</b>
<b>5</b>	<b>Neural Networks</b>	<b>145</b>

# 1 Introduction

## 1.1

Let

$$E(\mathbf{w}) = \frac{1}{2} \sum_{n=1}^N (y(x_n, \mathbf{w}) - t_n)^2. \quad (1.1)$$

Setting the derivative with respect to  $\mathbf{w}$  to zero gives

$$\mathbf{0} = \sum_{n=1}^N \frac{\partial y(x_n, \mathbf{w})}{\partial \mathbf{w}} (y(x_n, \mathbf{w}) - t_n). \quad (1.2)$$

If

$$y(x_n, \mathbf{w}) = \mathbf{w}^\top \boldsymbol{\phi}(x_n), \quad (1.3)$$

then

$$\mathbf{0} = \sum_{n=1}^N \boldsymbol{\phi}(x_n) (\mathbf{w}^\top \boldsymbol{\phi}(x_n) - t_n). \quad (1.4)$$

Therefore,

$$\left( \sum_{n=1}^N \boldsymbol{\phi}(x_n) \boldsymbol{\phi}(x_n)^\top \right) \mathbf{w} = \sum_{n=1}^N t_n \boldsymbol{\phi}(x_n). \quad (1.5)$$

Thus,

$$\underset{\mathbf{w}}{\operatorname{argmin}} E(\mathbf{w}) = \mathbf{A}^{-1} \mathbf{v}, \quad (1.6)$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{A} &= \sum_{n=1}^N \boldsymbol{\phi}(x_n) \boldsymbol{\phi}(x_n)^\top, \\ \mathbf{v} &= \sum_{n=1}^N t_n \boldsymbol{\phi}(x_n). \end{aligned} \quad (1.7)$$

If

$$\boldsymbol{\phi}(x_n) = \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ x_n \\ \vdots \\ x_n^M \end{bmatrix},$$

then

$$\begin{aligned} A_{mm'} &= \sum_{n=1}^N x_n^{m+m'}, \\ v_m &= \sum_{n=1}^N t_n x_n^m. \end{aligned} \tag{1.8}$$

## 1.2

Let

$$E(\mathbf{w}) = \frac{1}{2} \sum_{n=1}^N (y(x_n, \mathbf{w}) - t_n)^2 + \frac{\lambda}{2} \|\mathbf{w}\|^2. \tag{1.9}$$

Setting the derivative with respect to  $\mathbf{w}$  to zero gives

$$\mathbf{0} = \sum_{n=1}^N \frac{\partial y(x_n, \mathbf{w})}{\partial \mathbf{w}} (y(x_n, \mathbf{w}) - t_n) + \lambda \mathbf{w}. \tag{1.10}$$

If

$$y(x_n, \mathbf{w}) = \mathbf{w}^\top \phi(x_n), \tag{1.11}$$

then

$$\mathbf{0} = \sum_{n=1}^N \phi(x_n) (\mathbf{w}^\top \phi(x_n) - t_n) + \lambda \mathbf{w}. \tag{1.12}$$

Therefore,

$$\left( \sum_{n=1}^N \phi(x_n) \phi(x_n)^\top + \lambda \mathbf{I} \right) \mathbf{w} = \sum_{n=1}^N t_n \phi(x_n). \tag{1.13}$$

Thus,

$$\underset{\mathbf{w}}{\operatorname{argmin}} E(\mathbf{w}) = \mathbf{A}^{-1} \mathbf{v}, \tag{1.14}$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{A} &= \sum_{n=1}^N \phi(x_n) \phi(x_n)^\top + \lambda \mathbf{I}, \\ \mathbf{v} &= \sum_{n=1}^N t_n \phi(x_n). \end{aligned} \tag{1.15}$$

If

$$\phi(x_n) = \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ x_n \\ \vdots \\ x_n^M \end{bmatrix},$$

then

$$\begin{aligned} A_{mm'} &= \sum_{n=1}^N x_n^{m+m'} + \lambda I_{mm'}, \\ v_m &= \sum_{n=1}^N t_n x_n^m. \end{aligned} \tag{1.16}$$

### 1.3

Let  $a$ ,  $o$  and  $l$  be the events where an apple, orange and lime are selected respectively. The probability that an apple is selected is given by

$$p(a) = p(a|r)p(r) + p(a|b)p(b) + p(a|g)p(g). \tag{1.17}$$

Substituting  $p(a|r) = \frac{3}{10}$ ,  $p(r) = \frac{1}{5}$ ,  $p(a|g) = \frac{1}{2}$ ,  $p(r) = \frac{1}{5}$ ,  $p(a|g) = \frac{3}{10}$  and  $p(g) = \frac{3}{5}$  gives

$$p(a) = \frac{17}{50}. \tag{1.18}$$

If an orange is selected, the probability that it came from the green box is given by

$$p(g|o) = \frac{p(g,o)}{p(o)}. \tag{1.19}$$

Here,

$$\begin{aligned} p(g,o) &= p(o|g)p(g), \\ p(o) &= p(o|r)p(r) + p(o|b)p(b) + p(o|g)p(g). \end{aligned} \tag{1.20}$$

Substituting  $p(o|r) = \frac{2}{5}$ ,  $p(r) = \frac{1}{5}$ ,  $p(o|b) = \frac{1}{2}$ ,  $p(b) = \frac{1}{5}$ ,  $p(o|g) = \frac{3}{10}$  and  $p(g) = \frac{3}{5}$  gives  $p(g,o) = \frac{9}{50}$  and  $p(o) = \frac{9}{25}$ . Therefore,

$$p(g|o) = \frac{1}{2}. \tag{1.21}$$

## 1.4

Let

$$x = g(y) \quad (1.22)$$

and  $\hat{x}$  and  $\hat{y}$  be the locations of the maximum of  $p_x(x)$  and  $p_y(y)$  respectively. Let us assume that there exists  $\epsilon > 0$  such that  $g'(y) \neq 0$  for  $|y - \hat{y}| < \epsilon$ . Then, Taking the derivative of the transformation

$$p_y(y) = p_x(g(y)) |g'(y)| \quad (1.23)$$

and substituting  $y = \hat{y}$  gives

$$0 = g'(\hat{y}) p'_x(g(\hat{y})) + p_x(g(\hat{y})) g''(\hat{y}). \quad (1.24)$$

Therefore, in general,

$$\hat{x} \neq g(\hat{y}). \quad (1.25)$$

Here, let us assume that

$$g(y) = ay + b. \quad (1.26)$$

Then, Taking the derivative of the transformation and substituting  $y = \hat{y}$  gives

$$0 = p'_x(g(\hat{y})). \quad (1.27)$$

Therefore,

$$\hat{x} = g(\hat{y}). \quad (1.28)$$

## 1.5

By the definition,

$$\text{var } f(x) = E(f(x) - E f(x))^2. \quad (1.29)$$

The right hand side can be written as

$$E((f(x))^2 - 2f(x) E f(x) + (E f(x))^2) = E(f(x))^2 - (E f(x))^2. \quad (1.30)$$

Therefore,

$$\text{var } f(x) = E(f(x))^2 - (E f(x))^2. \quad (1.31)$$

## 1.6

By the definition,

$$\text{cov}(x, y) = E((x - E x)(y - E y)). \quad (1.32)$$

The right hand side can be written as

$$E xy - E(x E y) - E(y E x) + E(E x E y) = E xy - E x E y. \quad (1.33)$$

The right hand side can be written as

$$\int xyp(x, y)dxdy - \int xp(x)dx \int yp(y)dy. \quad (1.34)$$

If  $x$  and  $y$  are independent, by the definition,

$$f(x, y) = f(x)f(y). \quad (1.35)$$

Then,

$$\int xyp(x, y)dxdy = \int p(x)dx \int p(y)dy. \quad (1.36)$$

Therefore,

$$\text{cov}(x, y) = 0. \quad (1.37)$$

## 1.7

Let

$$I = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \exp\left(-\frac{1}{2\sigma^2}x^2\right) dx. \quad (1.38)$$

Then

$$I^2 = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \exp\left(-\frac{1}{2\sigma^2}(x^2 + y^2)\right) dxdy. \quad (1.39)$$

By the transformation from Cartesian coordinates  $(x, y)$  to polar coordinates  $(r, \theta)$ , the right hand side can be written as

$$\int_0^{\infty} \int_0^{2\pi} \exp\left(-\frac{1}{2\sigma^2}r^2\right) \begin{vmatrix} \cos \theta & -r \sin \theta \\ \sin \theta & r \cos \theta \end{vmatrix} drd\theta = 2\pi \int_0^{\infty} \exp\left(-\frac{1}{2\sigma^2}r^2\right) r dr. \quad (1.40)$$

By the transformation  $s = \frac{r}{\sigma}$ , the right hand side can be written as

$$2\pi\sigma^2 \int_0^\infty \exp\left(-\frac{1}{2}s^2\right) s ds = 2\pi\sigma^2 \left[-\exp\left(-\frac{1}{2}s^2\right)\right]_0^\infty. \quad (1.41)$$

Therefore,

$$I = (2\pi\sigma^2)^{\frac{1}{2}}. \quad (1.42)$$

By the definition,

$$\mathcal{N}(x|\mu, \sigma^2) = (2\pi\sigma^2)^{-\frac{1}{2}} \exp\left(-\frac{1}{2\sigma^2}(x - \mu)^2\right). \quad (1.43)$$

Then

$$\int_{-\infty}^\infty \mathcal{N}(x|\mu, \sigma^2) dx = (2\pi\sigma^2)^{-\frac{1}{2}} \int_{-\infty}^\infty \exp\left(-\frac{1}{2\sigma^2}(x - \mu)^2\right) dx. \quad (1.44)$$

By the transformation  $t = x - \mu$ , the right hand side can be written as

$$(2\pi\sigma^2)^{-\frac{1}{2}} \int_{-\infty}^\infty \exp\left(-\frac{1}{2\sigma^2}t^2\right) dt = (2\pi\sigma^2)^{-\frac{1}{2}} I. \quad (1.45)$$

Therefore,

$$\int_{-\infty}^\infty \mathcal{N}(x|\mu, \sigma^2) dx = 1. \quad (1.46)$$

## 1.8

Let  $x$  be a variable such that

$$p(x) = \mathcal{N}(x|\mu, \sigma^2). \quad (1.47)$$

Then

$$\mathbb{E} x = \int_{-\infty}^\infty x \mathcal{N}(x|\mu, \sigma^2) dx. \quad (1.48)$$

By the definition, the right hand side can be written as

$$(2\pi\sigma^2)^{-\frac{1}{2}} \int_{-\infty}^\infty x \exp\left(-\frac{1}{2\sigma^2}(x - \mu)^2\right) dx. \quad (1.49)$$



By the transformation  $y = x - \mu$ , it can be written as

$$(2\pi\sigma^2)^{-\frac{1}{2}} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} (y + \mu) \exp\left(-\frac{1}{2\sigma^2}y^2\right) dy. \quad (1.50)$$

Since

$$(2\pi\sigma^2)^{-\frac{1}{2}} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} y \exp\left(-\frac{1}{2\sigma^2}y^2\right) dy = 0, \quad (1.51)$$

and

$$(2\pi\sigma^2)^{-\frac{1}{2}} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \mu \exp\left(-\frac{1}{2\sigma^2}y^2\right) dy = \mu \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \mathcal{N}(y|\mu, \sigma^2) dy, \quad (1.52)$$

we have

$$\mathbb{E} x = \mu. \quad (1.53)$$

By the definition,

$$\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \mathcal{N}(x|\mu, \sigma^2) dx = 1 \quad (1.54)$$

can be written as

$$(2\pi\sigma^2)^{-\frac{1}{2}} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \exp\left(-\frac{1}{2\sigma^2}(x - \mu)^2\right) dx = 1. \quad (1.55)$$

Taking the derivative with respect to  $\sigma^2$  gives

$$\begin{aligned} & (2\pi)^{-\frac{1}{2}} \left(-\frac{1}{2}\right) (\sigma^2)^{-\frac{3}{2}} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \exp\left(-\frac{1}{2\sigma^2}(x - \mu)^2\right) dx \\ & + (2\pi\sigma^2)^{-\frac{1}{2}} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \frac{1}{2} (\sigma^2)^{-2} (x - \mu)^2 \exp\left(-\frac{1}{2\sigma^2}(x - \mu)^2\right) dx = 0. \end{aligned} \quad (1.56)$$

The left hand side can be written as

$$\begin{aligned} & -\frac{1}{2} (\sigma^2)^{-1} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \mathcal{N}(x|\mu, \sigma^2) dx + \frac{1}{2} (\sigma^2)^{-2} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} (x - \mu)^2 \mathcal{N}(x|\mu, \sigma^2) dx \\ & = -\frac{1}{2} (\sigma^2)^{-1} + \frac{1}{2} (\sigma^2)^{-2} \text{var } x. \end{aligned} \quad (1.57)$$

Therefore,

$$\text{var } x = \sigma^2. \quad (1.58)$$

## 1.9

Let

$$\mathcal{N}(x|\mu, \sigma^2) = (2\pi\sigma^2)^{-\frac{1}{2}} \exp\left(-\frac{1}{2\sigma^2}(x - \mu)^2\right). \quad (1.59)$$

Setting its derivative with respect to  $x$  to zero gives

$$0 = (2\pi\sigma^2)^{-\frac{1}{2}} \left(-\frac{1}{\sigma^2}(x - \mu)\right) \exp\left(-\frac{1}{2\sigma^2}(x - \mu)^2\right). \quad (1.60)$$

Therefore, the mode is given by  $\mu$ .

Similarly, let

$$\mathcal{N}(\mathbf{x}|\boldsymbol{\mu}, \boldsymbol{\Sigma}) = (2\pi)^{-\frac{D}{2}} (\det \boldsymbol{\Sigma})^{-\frac{1}{2}} \exp\left(-\frac{1}{2}(\mathbf{x} - \boldsymbol{\mu})^\top \boldsymbol{\Sigma}^{-1}(\mathbf{x} - \boldsymbol{\mu})\right). \quad (1.61)$$

Setting its derivative with respect to  $\mathbf{x}$  to zero gives

$$\mathbf{0} = -(2\pi)^{-\frac{D}{2}} (\det \boldsymbol{\Sigma})^{-\frac{1}{2}} (\boldsymbol{\Sigma}^{-1} + (\boldsymbol{\Sigma}^{-1})^\top) (\mathbf{x} - \boldsymbol{\mu}) \exp\left(-\frac{1}{2}(\mathbf{x} - \boldsymbol{\mu})^\top \boldsymbol{\Sigma}^{-1}(\mathbf{x} - \boldsymbol{\mu})\right). \quad (1.62)$$

Therefore, the mode is given by  $\boldsymbol{\mu}$ .

## 1.10

By the definition,

$$\mathbb{E}(x + y) = \int \int (x + y)p(x, y)dx dy. \quad (1.63)$$

The right hand side can be written as

$$\int x \left( \int p(x, y)dy \right) dx + \int y \left( \int p(x, y)dx \right) dy = \int xp(x)dx + \int yp(y)dy. \quad (1.64)$$

By the definition, the right hand side can be written as

$$\mathbb{E} x + \mathbb{E} y. \quad (1.65)$$

Therefore,

$$\mathbb{E}(x + y) = \mathbb{E} x + \mathbb{E} y. \quad (1.66)$$

Similarly, by the definition,

$$\text{var}(x + y) = \text{E} (x + y - \text{E}(x + y))^2 \quad (1.67)$$

By the result above and the definition, the right hand side can be written as

$$\begin{aligned} & \text{E} (x - \text{E} x)^2 + 2 \text{E} ((x - \text{E} x) (y - \text{E} y)) + \text{E} (y - \text{E} y)^2 \\ &= \text{var} x + 2 \text{cov}(x, y) + \text{var} y. \end{aligned} \quad (1.68)$$

If  $x$  and  $y$  are independent, then

$$\text{cov}(x, y) = 0, \quad (1.69)$$

by 1.6. Therefore,

$$\text{var}(x + y) = \text{var} x + \text{var} y. \quad (1.70)$$

## 1.11

Let  $x_1, \dots, x_N$  be variables such that

$$p(x_n) = \mathcal{N}(x_n | \mu, \sigma^2). \quad (1.71)$$

Then

$$\ln p(\mathbf{x}) = -\frac{N}{2} \ln (2\pi\sigma^2) - \frac{1}{2\sigma^2} \sum_{n=1}^N (x_n - \mu)^2. \quad (1.72)$$

Setting the derivatives with respect to  $\mu$  and  $\sigma^2$  to zero gives

$$\begin{aligned} 0 &= \frac{1}{\sigma^2} \sum_{n=1}^N (x_n - \mu), \\ 0 &= -\frac{N}{2\sigma^2} + \frac{1}{2(\sigma^2)^2} \sum_{n=1}^N (x_n - \mu)^2. \end{aligned} \quad (1.73)$$

Therefore,

$$\begin{aligned} \mu_{\text{ML}} &= \frac{1}{N} \sum_{n=1}^N x_n, \\ \sigma_{\text{ML}}^2 &= \frac{1}{N} \sum_{n=1}^N (x_n - \mu_{\text{ML}})^2. \end{aligned} \quad (1.74)$$

## 1.12

Let  $x_m$  and  $x_n$  be independent variables. Then

$$\mathbb{E} x_m x_n = \mathbb{E} x_m \mathbb{E} x_n. \quad (1.75)$$

If they are samples from the Gaussian distribution with mean  $\mu$  and variance  $\sigma^2$ , the right hand side is given by  $\mu^2$ . On the other hand, by the definition,

$$\mathbb{E} x_n^2 = \text{var } x_n + (\mathbb{E} x_n)^2. \quad (1.76)$$

If  $x_n$  is a sample from the Gaussian distribution with mean  $\mu$  and variance  $\sigma^2$ , the right hand side is given by  $\sigma^2 + \mu^2$ . Therefore,

$$\mathbb{E} x_m x_n = \mu^2 + \delta_{mn} \sigma^2. \quad (1.77)$$

By 1.11,

$$\mu_{\text{ML}} = \frac{1}{N} \sum_{n=1}^N x_n. \quad (1.78)$$

Then,

$$\mathbb{E} \mu_{\text{ML}} = \frac{1}{N} \sum_{n=1}^N \mathbb{E} x_n. \quad (1.79)$$

Therefore,

$$\mathbb{E} \mu_{\text{ML}} = \mu. \quad (1.80)$$

Similarly, by 1.11,

$$\sigma_{\text{ML}}^2 = \frac{1}{N} \sum_{n=1}^N (x_n - \mu_{\text{ML}})^2. \quad (1.81)$$

Then,

$$\mathbb{E} \sigma_{\text{ML}}^2 = \frac{1}{N} \sum_{n=1}^N \mathbb{E} (x_n - \mu_{\text{ML}})^2. \quad (1.82)$$

The right hand side can be written as

$$\frac{1}{N} \sum_{n=1}^N \mathbb{E} (x_n^2 - 2\mu_{\text{ML}} x_n + \mu_{\text{ML}}^2) = \frac{1}{N} \sum_{n=1}^N \mathbb{E} x_n^2 - \frac{2}{N} \mathbb{E} \left( \mu_{\text{ML}} \left( \sum_{n=1}^N x_n \right) \right) + \mathbb{E} \mu_{\text{ML}}^2. \quad (1.83)$$

The first term of the right hand side can be written as

$$\frac{1}{N} \sum_{n=1}^N (\mu^2 + \sigma^2) = \mu^2 + \sigma^2, \quad (1.84)$$

while the second and third terms can be written as

$$-2 \operatorname{E} \mu_{\text{ML}}^2 + \operatorname{E} \mu_{\text{ML}}^2 = -\operatorname{E} \mu_{\text{ML}}^2. \quad (1.85)$$

Here,

$$\operatorname{E} \mu_{\text{ML}}^2 = \operatorname{E} \left( \frac{1}{N} \sum_{n=1}^N x_n \right)^2. \quad (1.86)$$

The right hand side can be written as

$$\frac{1}{N^2} \sum_{n=1}^N \operatorname{E} x_n^2 + \frac{2}{N^2} \sum_{1 \leq m < n \leq N} \operatorname{E} x_m x_n = \frac{1}{N} (\mu^2 + \sigma^2) + \frac{N-1}{N} \mu^2. \quad (1.87)$$

Therefore,

$$\operatorname{E} \mu_{\text{ML}}^2 = \mu^2 + \frac{1}{N} \sigma^2. \quad (1.88)$$

Thus,

$$\operatorname{E} \sigma_{\text{ML}}^2 = \frac{N-1}{N} \sigma^2. \quad (1.89)$$

### 1.13

Let  $x_1, \dots, x_N$  be a set of variables whose mean is  $\mu$  and variance is  $\sigma^2$ . Then

$$\operatorname{E} \left( \frac{1}{N} \sum_{n=1}^N (x_n - \mu)^2 \right) = \frac{1}{N} \sum_{n=1}^N \operatorname{E} (x_n - \mu)^2. \quad (1.90)$$

The right hand side can be written as

$$\frac{1}{N} \sum_{n=1}^N \operatorname{E} (x_n^2 - 2\mu x_n + \mu^2) = \frac{1}{N} \sum_{n=1}^N \operatorname{E} x_n^2 - \frac{2\mu}{N} \sum_{n=1}^N \operatorname{E} x_n + \mu^2. \quad (1.91)$$

The first term of the right hand side can be written as

$$\frac{1}{N} \sum_{n=1}^N (\mu^2 + \sigma^2) = \mu^2 + \sigma^2, \quad (1.92)$$

while the second term can be written as

$$-\frac{2\mu}{N} \sum_{n=1}^N \mu = -2\mu^2. \quad (1.93)$$

Therefore,

$$\mathbb{E} \left( \frac{1}{N} \sum_{n=1}^N (x_n - \mu)^2 \right) = \sigma^2. \quad (1.94)$$

## 1.14

Let

$$\begin{aligned} w_{ij}^S &= \frac{1}{2}(w_{ij} + w_{ji}), \\ w_{ij}^A &= \frac{1}{2}(w_{ij} - w_{ji}). \end{aligned} \quad (1.95)$$

Then

$$\begin{aligned} w_{ij} &= w_{ij}^S + w_{ij}^A, \\ w_{ij}^S &= w_{ji}^S, \\ w_{ij}^A &= -w_{ji}^A. \end{aligned} \quad (1.96)$$

Here,

$$\sum_{i=1}^D \sum_{j=1}^D w_{ij}^A x_i x_j = \frac{1}{2} \sum_{i=1}^D \sum_{j=1}^D (w_{ij} - w_{ji}) x_i x_j. \quad (1.97)$$

The right hand side can be written as

$$\frac{1}{2} \left( \sum_{i=1}^D \sum_{j=1}^D w_{ij} x_i x_j - \sum_{i=1}^D \sum_{j=1}^D w_{ji} x_i x_j \right) = 0. \quad (1.98)$$

Therefore,

$$\sum_{i=1}^D \sum_{j=1}^D w_{ij}^A x_i x_j = 0. \quad (1.99)$$

Additionally,

$$\sum_{i=1}^D \sum_{j=1}^D w_{ij} x_i x_j = \sum_{i=1}^D \sum_{j=1}^D (w_{ij}^S + w_{ij}^A) x_i x_j. \quad (1.100)$$

The right hand side can be written as

$$\sum_{i=1}^D \sum_{j=1}^D w_{ij}^S x_i x_j + \sum_{i=1}^D \sum_{j=1}^D w_{ij}^A x_i x_j = \sum_{i=1}^D \sum_{j=1}^D w_{ij}^S x_i x_j, \quad (1.101)$$

where the result above is used. Therefore,

$$\sum_{i=1}^D \sum_{j=1}^D w_{ij} x_i x_j = \sum_{i=1}^D \sum_{j=1}^D w_{ij}^S x_i x_j. \quad (1.102)$$

Finally, since the matrix  $w_{ij}^S$  is a  $D \times D$  symmetric matrix, its number of independent parameters is  $\frac{D(D+1)}{2}$ .

## 1.15

Let  $n(D, M)$  be the number of independent parameters of a polynomial in  $D$  dimensions and  $M$  orders. Then

$$n(1, M) = n(1, M - 1) = 1. \quad (1.103)$$

Let us assume that

$$n(D, M) = \sum_{i=1}^D n(i, M - 1). \quad (1.104)$$

The independent terms of a polynomial in  $D + 1$  dimensions and  $M$  orders can be split into 1. the ones of a polynomial in  $D$  dimensions and  $M$  orders and 2. the ones generated by multiplying the ones in  $D + 1$  dimensions and  $M$  orders by the  $D + 1$ th variable. Therefore,

$$n(D + 1, M) = n(D, M) + n(D + 1, M - 1). \quad (1.105)$$

Thus,

$$n(D + 1, M) = \sum_{i=1}^{D+1} n(i, M - 1). \quad (1.106)$$

Hence, the assumption is proved by induction on  $D$ .

Additionally,

$$\sum_{i=1}^1 \frac{(i + M - 2)!}{(i - 1)!(M - 1)!} = 1. \quad (1.107)$$

Let us assume that

$$\sum_{i=1}^D \frac{(i+M-2)!}{(i-1)!(M-1)!} = \frac{(D+M-1)!}{(D-1)!M!}. \quad (1.108)$$

Then

$$\sum_{i=1}^{D+1} \frac{(i+M-2)!}{(i-1)!(M-1)!} = \frac{(D+M-1)!}{(D-1)!M!} + \frac{(D+M-1)!}{D!(M-1)!}. \quad (1.109)$$

The right hand side can be written as

$$\frac{D(D+M-1)! + M(D+M-1)!}{D!M!} = \frac{(D+M)!}{D!M!}. \quad (1.110)$$

Therefore, the assumption is proved by induction on  $D$ .

Finally, by 1.14,

$$n(D, 2) = \frac{D(D+1)}{2}. \quad (1.111)$$

Let us assume that

$$n(D, M) = \frac{(D+M-1)!}{(D-1)!M!}. \quad (1.112)$$

Then, by the result above,

$$n(D, M+1) = \sum_{i=1}^D n(i, M). \quad (1.113)$$

By the assumption and result above, the right hand side can be written as

$$\sum_{i=1}^D \frac{(i+M-1)!}{(i-1)!M!} = \frac{(D+M)!}{(D-1)!(M+1)!}. \quad (1.114)$$

Therefore, the assumption is proved by induction on  $M$ .

## 1.16

Let  $N(D, M)$  be the number of independent parameters in all of the terms up to and including the ones of  $D$  dimensions and  $M$  orders. Then, by 1.15,

$$N(D, M) = \sum_{m=0}^M n(D, m), \quad (1.115)$$



where

$$n(D, m) = \frac{(D + m - 1)!}{(D - 1)!m!}. \quad (1.116)$$

Additionally,

$$N(D, 0) = 1. \quad (1.117)$$

Let us assume that

$$\sum_{m=0}^M n(D, m) = \frac{(D + M)!}{D!M!}. \quad (1.118)$$

Then

$$\sum_{m=0}^{M+1} n(D, m) = \frac{(D + M)!}{D!M!} + \frac{(D + M)!}{(D - 1)!(M + 1)!}. \quad (1.119)$$

The right hand side can be written as

$$\frac{(M + 1)(D + M)! + D(D + M)!}{D!(M + 1)!} = \frac{(D + M + 1)!}{D!(M + 1)!}. \quad (1.120)$$

Therefore, the assumption is proved by induction on  $M$ . Thus,

$$N(D, M) = \frac{(D + M)!}{D!M!}. \quad (1.121)$$

Additionally, by the approximation

$$n! \simeq n^n \exp(-n), \quad (1.122)$$

the right hand side can be approximated as

$$\frac{(D + M)^{D+M} \exp(-(D + M))}{D^D \exp(-D) M^M \exp(-M)} = \frac{(D + M)^{D+M}}{D^D M^M}. \quad (1.123)$$

The right hand side can be written as

$$D^M \left(1 + \frac{M}{D}\right)^D \left(\frac{1}{M} + \frac{1}{D}\right)^M = M^D \left(1 + \frac{D}{M}\right)^M \left(\frac{1}{D} + \frac{1}{M}\right)^D. \quad (1.124)$$

Therefore,  $N(D, M)$  can be approximated as  $D^M$  for  $D \gg M$  and as  $M^D$  for  $M \gg D$ .

Finally, by the result above,

$$\begin{aligned} N(10, 3) &= 286, \\ N(100, 3) &= 176851, \\ N(1000, 3) &= 167668501. \end{aligned} \quad (1.125)$$

### 1.17

Let

$$\Gamma(x) = \int_0^\infty u^{x-1} \exp(-u) du. \quad (1.126)$$

Then

$$\Gamma(x+1) = \int_0^\infty u^x \exp(-u) du. \quad (1.127)$$

The right hand side can be written as

$$[-u^x \exp(-u)]_{u=0}^{u=\infty} + \int_0^\infty x u^{x-1} \exp(-u) du = x\Gamma(x). \quad (1.128)$$

Therefore,

$$\Gamma(x+1) = x\Gamma(x). \quad (1.129)$$

Since

$$\Gamma(1) = \int_0^1 \exp(-u) du, \quad (1.130)$$

and the right hand side can be written as 1,

$$\Gamma(1) = 0!. \quad (1.131)$$

For a positive integer  $x$ , let us assume that

$$\Gamma(x) = (x-1)!. \quad (1.132)$$

Then,

$$\Gamma(x+1) = x\Gamma(x), \quad (1.133)$$

where the right hand side can be written as  $x!$ . Therefore,

$$\Gamma(x+1) = x!. \quad (1.134)$$

Thus, the assumption is proved by induction on  $x$ .

## 1.18

Let us consider the transformation from Cartesian to polar coordinates

$$\prod_{i=1}^D \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \exp(-x_i^2) dx_i = S_D \int_0^{\infty} \exp(-r^2) r^{D-1} dr, \quad (1.135)$$

where  $S_D$  is the surface area of a sphere of unit radius in  $D$  dimensions. By 1.7, the left hand side can be written as  $\pi^{\frac{D}{2}}$ . By the transformation  $s = r^2$ , the right hand side can be written as

$$\frac{S_D}{2} \int_0^{\infty} \exp(-s) s^{\frac{D-1}{2}} s^{-\frac{1}{2}} ds = \frac{S_D}{2} \Gamma\left(\frac{D}{2}\right). \quad (1.136)$$

Therefore,

$$S_D = \frac{2\pi^{\frac{D}{2}}}{\Gamma\left(\frac{D}{2}\right)}. \quad (1.137)$$

Additionally, the volume of the sphere can be written as

$$V_D = S_D \int_0^1 r^{D-1} dr. \quad (1.138)$$

The right hand side can be written as

$$S_D \left[ \frac{r^D}{D} \right]_{r=0}^{r=1} = \frac{S_D}{D}. \quad (1.139)$$

Therefore,

$$V_D = \frac{S_D}{D}. \quad (1.140)$$

Finally, the results above reduce to

$$\begin{aligned} S_2 &= \frac{2\pi}{\Gamma(1)}, \\ V_2 &= \frac{S_2}{2}. \end{aligned} \quad (1.141)$$

Therefore,

$$\begin{aligned} S_2 &= 2\pi, \\ V_2 &= \pi. \end{aligned} \quad (1.142)$$

Similarly,

$$\begin{aligned} S_3 &= \frac{2\pi^{\frac{3}{2}}}{\Gamma\left(\frac{3}{2}\right)}, \\ V_3 &= \frac{S_3}{3}. \end{aligned} \tag{1.143}$$

Therefore,

$$\begin{aligned} S_3 &= 4\pi, \\ V_3 &= \frac{4}{3}\pi. \end{aligned} \tag{1.144}$$

### 1.19

The volume of a cube of side 2 in  $D$  dimensions is  $2^D$ . Therefore, the ratio of the volume of the cocentric sphere of radius 1 divided by the volume of the cube is given by

$$\frac{V_D}{2^D} = \frac{\pi^{\frac{D}{2}}}{D2^{D-1}\Gamma\left(\frac{D}{2}\right)}, \tag{1.145}$$

by 1.18.

Additionally, by Sterling's formula

$$\Gamma(x+1) \simeq (2\pi)^{\frac{1}{2}} \exp(-x) x^{\frac{x+1}{2}}, \tag{1.146}$$

the ratio can be approximated as

$$\frac{V_D}{2^D} \simeq \frac{\pi^{\frac{D}{2}}}{D2^{D-1}(2\pi)^{\frac{1}{2}} \exp\left(1 - \frac{D}{2}\right) \left(\frac{D}{2} - 1\right)^{\frac{D}{4}}}. \tag{1.147}$$

The right hand side can be written as

$$\frac{1}{2e(2\pi)^{\frac{1}{2}}} \frac{1}{D} \left( \frac{e^2\pi^2}{8D-16} \right)^{\frac{D}{4}}. \tag{1.148}$$

Therefore, the ratio goes to zero as  $D \rightarrow \infty$ .

Finally, the ratio of the distance from the center of the cube to one of the corners divided by the perpendicular distance to one of the sides is given by

$$\frac{\sqrt{\sum_{i=1}^D 1^2}}{1} = \sqrt{D}. \tag{1.149}$$

Therefore, the ration goes to  $\infty$  as  $D \rightarrow \infty$ .

## 1.20

For a vector  $\mathbf{x}$  in  $D$  dimensions, let

$$p(\mathbf{x}) = (2\pi\sigma^2)^{-\frac{D}{2}} \exp\left(-\frac{\|\mathbf{x}\|^2}{2\sigma^2}\right). \quad (1.150)$$

Then

$$\int_{r \leq \|\mathbf{x}\| \leq r+\epsilon} p(\mathbf{x}) d\mathbf{x} = \int_r^{r+\epsilon} \int (2\pi\sigma^2)^{-\frac{D}{2}} \exp\left(-\frac{r'^2}{2\sigma^2}\right) J dr' d\phi, \quad (1.151)$$

where  $\phi$  is the vector of the angular components of the polar coordinate and  $J$  is the Jacobian of the transformation from the Cartesian to polar coordinate. For a sufficiently small  $\epsilon$ , the right hand side can be approximated as

$$\begin{aligned} & (2\pi\sigma^2)^{-\frac{D}{2}} \exp\left(-\frac{r^2}{2\sigma^2}\right) \int_r^{r+\epsilon} \int J dr' d\phi \\ &= (2\pi\sigma^2)^{-\frac{D}{2}} \exp\left(-\frac{r^2}{2\sigma^2}\right) \int_{r \leq \|\mathbf{x}\| \leq r+\epsilon} d\mathbf{x}. \end{aligned} \quad (1.152)$$

Therefore,

$$\int_{r \leq \|\mathbf{x}\| \leq r+\epsilon} p(\mathbf{x}) d\mathbf{x} \simeq p(r)\epsilon, \quad (1.153)$$

where

$$p(r) = (2\pi\sigma^2)^{-\frac{D}{2}} S_D r^{D-1} \exp\left(-\frac{r^2}{2\sigma^2}\right), \quad (1.154)$$

and  $S_D$  is the surface area of a unit sphere in  $D$  dimensions.

Additionally, setting the derivative of  $p(r)$  to zero gives

$$0 = (2\pi\sigma^2)^{-\frac{D}{2}} S_D \left( (D-1)r^{D-2} - \frac{r^D}{\sigma^2} \right) \exp\left(-\frac{r^2}{2\sigma^2}\right). \quad (1.155)$$

Therefore,  $p(r)$  is maximised at a single stationary point

$$\hat{r} = \sqrt{D-1}\sigma. \quad (1.156)$$

Additionally, by the expression of  $p(r)$  above,

$$\frac{p(\hat{r} + \epsilon)}{p(\hat{r})} = \left( \frac{\hat{r} + \epsilon}{\hat{r}} \right)^{D-1} \exp\left(-\frac{2\hat{r}\epsilon + \epsilon^2}{2\sigma^2}\right). \quad (1.157)$$

Using the expression of  $\hat{r}$  above, the right hand side can be written as

$$\begin{aligned} & \exp \left( (D-1) \ln \left( 1 + \frac{\epsilon}{\hat{r}} \right) - \frac{2\hat{r}\epsilon + \epsilon^2}{2\sigma^2} \right) \\ &= \exp \left( \frac{\hat{r}^2}{\sigma^2} \ln \left( 1 + \frac{\epsilon}{\hat{r}} \right) - \frac{2\hat{r}\epsilon + \epsilon^2}{2\sigma^2} \right). \end{aligned} \quad (1.158)$$

By the Taylor series

$$\ln(1+x) = x - \frac{1}{2}x^2 + o(x^3), \quad (1.159)$$

the right hand side can be approximated as

$$\exp \left( \frac{\hat{r}^2}{\sigma^2} \left( \frac{\epsilon}{\hat{r}} - \frac{\epsilon^2}{2\hat{r}^2} \right) - \frac{2\hat{r}\epsilon + \epsilon^2}{2\sigma^2} \right) = \exp \left( -\frac{\epsilon^2}{\sigma^2} \right). \quad (1.160)$$

Therefore,

$$p(\hat{r} + \epsilon) \simeq p(\hat{r}) \exp \left( -\frac{\epsilon^2}{\sigma^2} \right). \quad (1.161)$$

Finally, let a vector of length  $\hat{r}$  be  $\hat{\mathbf{r}}$ . Then, by the definition of  $p(\mathbf{x})$ ,

$$\frac{p(\mathbf{0})}{p(\hat{\mathbf{r}})} = \exp \left( \frac{\hat{r}^2}{2\sigma^2} \right). \quad (1.162)$$

Substituting the expression of  $\hat{r}$  above, the right hand side can be written as  $\exp \left( \frac{D-1}{2} \right)$ . Therefore,

$$\frac{p(\mathbf{0})}{p(\hat{\mathbf{r}})} = \exp \left( \frac{D-1}{2} \right). \quad (1.163)$$

## 1.21

If  $0 \leq a \leq b$ , then

$$0 \leq a(b-a). \quad (1.164)$$

Therefore,

$$a \leq (ab)^{\frac{1}{2}}. \quad (1.165)$$

For a two-class classification problem of  $\mathbf{x}$ , let the classes be  $\mathcal{C}_1$  and  $\mathcal{C}_2$  and let the decision regions be  $\mathcal{R}_1$  and  $\mathcal{R}_2$ . Let us choose the decision regions to minimise the probability of misclassification. Then,

$$p(\mathbf{x}, \mathcal{C}_1) > p(\mathbf{x}, \mathcal{C}_2) \Rightarrow \mathbf{x} \in \mathcal{C}_1, \quad (1.166)$$

and

$$p(\mathbf{x}, \mathcal{C}_2) > p(\mathbf{x}, \mathcal{C}_1) \Rightarrow \mathbf{x} \in \mathcal{C}_2. \quad (1.167)$$

Then, using the inequality above,

$$\int_{\mathcal{R}_1} p(\mathbf{x}, \mathcal{C}_2) d\mathbf{x} \leq \int_{\mathcal{R}_1} (p(\mathbf{x}, \mathcal{C}_1) p(\mathbf{x}, \mathcal{C}_2))^{\frac{1}{2}} d\mathbf{x}, \quad (1.168)$$

and

$$\int_{\mathcal{R}_2} p(\mathbf{x}, \mathcal{C}_1) d\mathbf{x} \leq \int_{\mathcal{R}_2} (p(\mathbf{x}, \mathcal{C}_1) p(\mathbf{x}, \mathcal{C}_2))^{\frac{1}{2}} d\mathbf{x}. \quad (1.169)$$

Therefore,

$$\int_{\mathcal{R}_1} p(\mathbf{x}, \mathcal{C}_2) d\mathbf{x} + \int_{\mathcal{R}_2} p(\mathbf{x}, \mathcal{C}_1) d\mathbf{x} \leq \int (p(\mathbf{x}, \mathcal{C}_1) p(\mathbf{x}, \mathcal{C}_2))^{\frac{1}{2}} d\mathbf{x}. \quad (1.170)$$

## 1.22

Let

$$E L = \sum_k \sum_j \int_{\mathcal{R}_j} L_{kj} p(\mathbf{x}, \mathcal{C}_k) d\mathbf{x}. \quad (1.171)$$

If

$$L_{kj} = 1 - \delta_{kj}, \quad (1.172)$$

then the right hand side can be written as

$$\sum_k \sum_j \int_{\mathcal{R}_j} (p(\mathbf{x}, \mathcal{C}_k) - p(\mathbf{x}, \mathcal{C}_j)) d\mathbf{x} = \sum_j \int_{\mathcal{R}_j} \left( \sum_k p(\mathbf{x}, \mathcal{C}_k) - p(\mathbf{x}, \mathcal{C}_j) \right) d\mathbf{x}. \quad (1.173)$$

The right hand side can be written as

$$\sum_j \int_{\mathcal{R}_j} (p(\mathbf{x}) - p(\mathbf{x}, \mathcal{C}_j)) d\mathbf{x} = 1 - \sum_j \int_{\mathcal{R}_j} p(\mathbf{x}, \mathcal{C}_j) d\mathbf{x}. \quad (1.174)$$

Therefore,

$$E L = 1 - \sum_j \int_{\mathcal{R}_j} p(\mathcal{C}_j | \mathbf{x}) p(\mathbf{x}) d\mathbf{x}. \quad (1.175)$$

Thus, minimising  $E L$  reduces to choosing the criterion to maximise the posterior probability  $p(\mathcal{C}_j | \mathbf{x})$ .

### 1.23

Let

$$\mathbb{E} L = \sum_k \sum_j \int_{\mathcal{R}_j} L_{kj} p(\mathbf{x}, \mathcal{C}_k) d\mathbf{x}. \quad (1.176)$$

The right hand side can be written as

$$\sum_j \int_{\mathcal{R}_j} \sum_k L_{kj} p(\mathbf{x}, \mathcal{C}_k) d\mathbf{x} = \sum_j \int_{\mathcal{R}_j} \left( \sum_k L_{kj} p(\mathcal{C}_k | \mathbf{x}) \right) p(\mathbf{x}) d\mathbf{x}. \quad (1.177)$$

Therefore,

$$\mathbb{E} L = \sum_j \int_{\mathcal{R}_j} \left( \sum_k L_{kj} p(\mathcal{C}_k | \mathbf{x}) \right) p(\mathbf{x}) d\mathbf{x}. \quad (1.178)$$

Thus, minimising  $\mathbb{E} L$  reduces to choosing to minimise  $\sum_k L_{kj} p(\mathcal{C}_k | \mathbf{x})$ .

### 1.24 (Incomplete)

Let

$$\mathbb{E} L = \sum_k \sum_j \int_{\mathcal{R}_j} L_{kj} p(\mathbf{x}, \mathcal{C}_k) d\mathbf{x} + \lambda \int_{\forall k p(\mathcal{C}_k | \mathbf{x}) < \theta} p(\mathbf{x}) d\mathbf{x}. \quad (1.179)$$

### 1.25

Let

$$\mathbb{E} L(\mathbf{t}, \mathbf{y}(\mathbf{x})) = \int \int \|\mathbf{y}(\mathbf{x}) - \mathbf{t}\|^2 p(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{t}) d\mathbf{x} d\mathbf{t}. \quad (1.180)$$

Setting the derivative with respect to  $\mathbf{y}(\mathbf{x})$  to zero gives

$$\mathbf{0} = 2 \int (\mathbf{y}(\mathbf{x}) - \mathbf{t}) p(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{t}) d\mathbf{t}. \quad (1.181)$$

The integral of the right hand side can be written as

$$\mathbf{y}(\mathbf{x}) \int p(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{t}) d\mathbf{t} - \int \mathbf{t} p(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{t}) d\mathbf{t} = \mathbf{y}(\mathbf{x}) p(\mathbf{x}) - p(\mathbf{x}) \int \mathbf{t} p(\mathbf{t} | \mathbf{x}) d\mathbf{t}. \quad (1.182)$$

The integral in the second term of the right hand side can be written as  $\mathbb{E}_{\mathbf{t}}(\mathbf{t} | \mathbf{x})$ . Therefore, the right hand side can be written as

$$\mathbf{0} = p(\mathbf{x}) (\mathbf{y}(\mathbf{x}) - \mathbb{E}_{\mathbf{t}}(\mathbf{t} | \mathbf{x})). \quad (1.183)$$



Thus,

$$\operatorname{argmin}_{\mathbf{y}(\mathbf{x})} \mathbb{E} L(\mathbf{t}, \mathbf{y}(\mathbf{x})) = \mathbb{E}_{\mathbf{t}}(\mathbf{t}|\mathbf{x}). \quad (1.184)$$

Finally, for a single target variable  $t$ , it reduces to

$$\operatorname{argmin}_{\mathbf{y}(\mathbf{x})} \mathbb{E} L(\mathbf{t}, \mathbf{y}(\mathbf{x})) = \mathbb{E}_t(t|\mathbf{x}). \quad (1.185)$$

## 1.26

Let

$$\mathbb{E} L(\mathbf{t}, \mathbf{y}(\mathbf{x})) = \int \int \|\mathbf{y}(\mathbf{x}) - \mathbf{t}\|^2 p(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{t}) d\mathbf{x} d\mathbf{t}. \quad (1.186)$$

The right hand side can be written as

$$\begin{aligned} & \int \int \|\mathbf{y}(\mathbf{x}) - \mathbb{E}_{\mathbf{t}}(\mathbf{t}|\mathbf{x}) + \mathbb{E}_{\mathbf{t}}(\mathbf{t}|\mathbf{x}) - \mathbf{t}\|^2 p(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{t}) d\mathbf{x} d\mathbf{t} \\ &= \int \int \|\mathbf{y}(\mathbf{x}) - \mathbb{E}_{\mathbf{t}}(\mathbf{t}|\mathbf{x})\|^2 p(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{t}) d\mathbf{x} d\mathbf{t} \\ & \quad + 2 \int \int (\mathbf{y}(\mathbf{x}) - \mathbb{E}_{\mathbf{t}}(\mathbf{t}|\mathbf{x}))^\top (\mathbb{E}_{\mathbf{t}}(\mathbf{t}|\mathbf{x}) - \mathbf{t}) p(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{t}) d\mathbf{x} d\mathbf{t} \\ & \quad + \int \int \|\mathbb{E}_{\mathbf{t}}(\mathbf{t}|\mathbf{x}) - \mathbf{t}\|^2 p(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{t}) d\mathbf{x} d\mathbf{t}. \end{aligned} \quad (1.187)$$

Let us look at each term of the right hand side. The first term can be written as

$$\int \|\mathbf{y}(\mathbf{x}) - \mathbb{E}_{\mathbf{t}}(\mathbf{t}|\mathbf{x})\|^2 \left( \int p(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{t}) d\mathbf{t} \right) d\mathbf{x} = \int \|\mathbf{y}(\mathbf{x}) - \mathbb{E}_{\mathbf{t}}(\mathbf{t}|\mathbf{x})\|^2 p(\mathbf{x}) d\mathbf{x}. \quad (1.188)$$

The second term can be written as

$$2 \int (\mathbf{y}(\mathbf{x}) - \mathbb{E}_{\mathbf{t}}(\mathbf{t}|\mathbf{x}))^\top \left( \int (\mathbb{E}_{\mathbf{t}}(\mathbf{t}|\mathbf{x}) - \mathbf{t}) p(\mathbf{t}|\mathbf{x}) d\mathbf{t} \right) p(\mathbf{x}) d\mathbf{x}. \quad (1.189)$$

Since

$$\begin{aligned} \int \mathbb{E}_{\mathbf{t}}(\mathbf{t}|\mathbf{x}) p(\mathbf{t}|\mathbf{x}) d\mathbf{t} &= \mathbb{E}_{\mathbf{t}}(\mathbf{t}|\mathbf{x}) \frac{\int p(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{t}) d\mathbf{t}}{p(\mathbf{x})}, \\ \int \mathbf{t} p(\mathbf{t}|\mathbf{x}) d\mathbf{t} &= \mathbb{E}_{\mathbf{t}}(\mathbf{t}|\mathbf{x}), \end{aligned} \quad (1.190)$$

the second term is zero. The third term can be written as

$$\int \left( \int \|E_{\mathbf{t}}(\mathbf{t}|\mathbf{x}) - \mathbf{t}\|^2 p(\mathbf{t}|\mathbf{x}) d\mathbf{t} \right) p(\mathbf{x}) d\mathbf{x} = \int \text{var}_{\mathbf{t}}(\mathbf{t}|\mathbf{x}) p(\mathbf{x}) d\mathbf{x}. \quad (1.191)$$

Therefore,

$$E L(\mathbf{t}, \mathbf{y}(\mathbf{x})) = \int \|\mathbf{y}(\mathbf{x}) - E_{\mathbf{t}}(\mathbf{t}|\mathbf{x})\|^2 p(\mathbf{x}) d\mathbf{x} + \int \text{var}_{\mathbf{t}}(\mathbf{t}|\mathbf{x}) p(\mathbf{x}) d\mathbf{x}. \quad (1.192)$$

Thus,

$$\underset{\mathbf{y}(\mathbf{x})}{\text{argmin}} E L(\mathbf{t}, \mathbf{y}(\mathbf{x})) = E_{\mathbf{t}}(\mathbf{t}|\mathbf{x}). \quad (1.193)$$

## 1.27 (Incomplete)

Let

$$E L_q = \int \int |y(\mathbf{x}) - t|^q p(\mathbf{x}, t) d\mathbf{x} dt. \quad (1.194)$$

Setting the derivative with respect to  $y(\mathbf{x})$  to zero gives

$$0 = qp(\mathbf{x}) \int |y(\mathbf{x}) - t|^{q-1} \text{sign}(y(\mathbf{x}) - t) p(t|\mathbf{x}) dt. \quad (1.195)$$

Therefore,

$$\underset{y(\mathbf{x})}{\text{argmin}} E L_q = \left\{ y(\mathbf{x}) \mid \int |y(\mathbf{x}) - t|^{q-1} \text{sign}(y(\mathbf{x}) - t) p(t|\mathbf{x}) dt = 0 \right\}. \quad (1.196)$$

Additionally, if  $q = 1$ , the integral can be written as

$$p(\mathbf{x}) \int \text{sign}(y(\mathbf{x}) - t) p(t|\mathbf{x}) dt = p(\mathbf{x}) \left( \int_{y(\mathbf{x})}^{\infty} p(t|\mathbf{x}) dt - \int_{-\infty}^{y(\mathbf{x})} p(t|\mathbf{x}) dt \right). \quad (1.197)$$

Therefore,

$$\underset{y(\mathbf{x})}{\text{argmin}} E L_1 = \text{median}(t|\mathbf{x}). \quad (1.198)$$

Finally,

$$\lim_{q \rightarrow 0} \left( \underset{y(\mathbf{x})}{\text{argmin}} E L_q \right) = \text{mode}(t|\mathbf{x})? \quad (1.199)$$

## 1.28

Let us assume that

$$p(x, y) = p(x)p(y) \Rightarrow h(x, y) = h(x) + h(y). \quad (1.200)$$

Let  $h(p)$  be a function to relate  $h$  and  $p$ . Then

$$h(p^2) = 2h(p). \quad (1.201)$$

Let us assume that, for a positive integer  $n$ ,

$$h(p^n) = nh(p). \quad (1.202)$$

Then, by the first assumption,

$$h(p^{n+1}) = h(p^n) + h(p). \quad (1.203)$$

Therefore,

$$h(p^{n+1}) = (n+1)h(p). \quad (1.204)$$

Thus, the second assumption is proved by induction on  $n$ .

Additionally, for positive integers  $m$  and  $n$ ,

$$h(p^n) = h(p^{\frac{n}{m}m}). \quad (1.205)$$

By the second assumption, the left hand side can be written as  $nh(p)$ . By the first assumption, the right hand side can be written as  $mh(p^{\frac{n}{m}})$ . Therefore,

$$h(p^{\frac{n}{m}}) = \frac{n}{m}h(p). \quad (1.206)$$

Finally, by the continuity, for a positive real number  $a$ ,

$$h(p^a) = ah(p). \quad (1.207)$$

Taking the derivative with respect to  $a$  and substituting  $a = 1$  gives

$$(p \ln p)h'(p) = h(p). \quad (1.208)$$

Therefore,

$$\int \frac{h'(p)}{h(p)} dp = \int \frac{1}{p \ln p} dp + \text{const}. \quad (1.209)$$

Ignoring the constants, the left hand side can be written as  $\ln h(p)$  and the right hand side can be written as  $\ln(\ln p)$ . Thus,

$$h(p) \propto \ln p. \quad (1.210)$$

### 1.29

Let  $x$  be an  $M$ -state discrete random variable. Then, by the definition,

$$H(x) = - \sum_{i=1}^M p(x_i) \ln p(x_i), \quad (1.211)$$

where

$$\sum_{i=1}^M p(x_i) = 1. \quad (1.212)$$

By Jensen's inequality,

$$\sum_{i=1}^M p(x_i) \ln \frac{1}{p(x_i)} \leq \ln \left( \sum_{i=1}^M 1 \right). \quad (1.213)$$

Therefore,

$$H(x) \leq \ln M. \quad (1.214)$$

### 1.30

Let

$$\begin{aligned} p(x) &= \mathcal{N}(x|\mu, \sigma^2), \\ q(x) &= \mathcal{N}(x|m, s^2). \end{aligned} \quad (1.215)$$

By the definition,

$$\text{KL}(p||q) = - \int p(x) \ln \frac{q(x)}{p(x)} dx. \quad (1.216)$$

The right hand side can be written as

$$\begin{aligned} & - \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} p(x) \ln \frac{(2\pi s^2)^{-\frac{1}{2}} \exp\left(-\frac{(x-m)^2}{2s^2}\right)}{(2\pi \sigma^2)^{-\frac{1}{2}} \exp\left(-\frac{(x-\mu)^2}{2\sigma^2}\right)} dx \\ &= - \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} p(x) \left( -\frac{1}{2} \ln \frac{s^2}{\sigma^2} - \frac{(x-m)^2}{2s^2} + \frac{(x-\mu)^2}{2\sigma^2} \right) dx. \end{aligned} \quad (1.217)$$

The right hand side can be written as

$$\ln \frac{s}{\sigma} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} p(x) dx + \frac{1}{2s^2} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} (x-m)^2 p(x) dx - \frac{1}{2\sigma^2} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} (x-\mu)^2 p(x) dx. \quad (1.218)$$

The first term can be written as  $\ln \frac{s}{\sigma}$ . The second term can be written as

$$\frac{1}{2s^2} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} (x - \mu + \mu - m)^2 p(x) dx = \frac{\sigma^2 + (\mu - m)^2}{2s^2}. \quad (1.219)$$

The third term can be written as  $-\frac{1}{2}$ . Therefore,

$$\text{KL}(p||q) = \ln \frac{s}{\sigma} + \frac{\sigma^2 + (\mu - m)^2}{2s^2} - \frac{1}{2}. \quad (1.220)$$

### 1.31

Let  $\mathbf{x}$  and  $\mathbf{y}$  be two variables. Then, by the definition,

$$\begin{aligned} H(\mathbf{x}) &= - \int p(\mathbf{x}) \ln p(\mathbf{x}) d\mathbf{x}, \\ H(\mathbf{y}) &= - \int p(\mathbf{y}) \ln p(\mathbf{y}) d\mathbf{y}, \\ H(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y}) &= - \int \int p(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y}) \ln p(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y}) d\mathbf{x} d\mathbf{y}. \end{aligned} \quad (1.221)$$

Note that

$$\begin{aligned} H(\mathbf{x}) &= - \int \left( \int p(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y}) d\mathbf{y} \right) \ln p(\mathbf{x}) d\mathbf{x}, \\ H(\mathbf{y}) &= - \int \left( \int p(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y}) d\mathbf{x} \right) \ln p(\mathbf{y}) d\mathbf{y}. \end{aligned} \quad (1.222)$$

Therefore,

$$H(\mathbf{x}) + H(\mathbf{y}) - H(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y}) = - \int \int p(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y}) \ln \frac{p(\mathbf{x})p(\mathbf{y})}{p(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y})} d\mathbf{x} d\mathbf{y}. \quad (1.223)$$

Since

$$\int \int p(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y}) d\mathbf{x} d\mathbf{y} = 1, \quad (1.224)$$

Jensen's inequality can be used to write that

$$- \int \int p(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y}) \ln \frac{p(\mathbf{x})p(\mathbf{y})}{p(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y})} d\mathbf{x} d\mathbf{y} \geq - \ln \left( \int \int p(\mathbf{x})p(\mathbf{y}) d\mathbf{x} d\mathbf{y} \right). \quad (1.225)$$

The right hand side can be written as

$$- \ln \left( \int p(\mathbf{x}) d\mathbf{x} \int p(\mathbf{y}) d\mathbf{y} \right) = 0. \quad (1.226)$$

Thus,

$$H(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y}) \leq H(\mathbf{x}) + H(\mathbf{y}). \quad (1.227)$$

### 1.32

Let  $\mathbf{x}$  be a vector of continuous variables and

$$\mathbf{y} = \mathbf{A}\mathbf{x}, \quad (1.228)$$

where  $\mathbf{A}$  is a nonsingular matrix. By the definition,

$$H(\mathbf{y}) = - \int p_y(\mathbf{y}) \ln p_y(\mathbf{y}) d\mathbf{y}. \quad (1.229)$$

By the transformation

$$p_y(\mathbf{y}) = p_x(\mathbf{A}\mathbf{x}) |\det \mathbf{A}^{-1}|, \quad (1.230)$$

the right hand side can be written as

$$- \int p_x(\mathbf{A}\mathbf{x}) \ln p_x(\mathbf{A}\mathbf{x}) |\det \mathbf{A}| d\mathbf{x} - \ln |\det \mathbf{A}^{-1}| \int p_y(\mathbf{y}) d\mathbf{y}. \quad (1.231)$$

By the transformation

$$\mathbf{x}' = \mathbf{A}\mathbf{x}, \quad (1.232)$$

the first term can be written as

$$- \int p_x(\mathbf{x}') \ln p_x(\mathbf{x}') d\mathbf{x}' = H(\mathbf{x}), \quad (1.233)$$

and the second term can be written as

$$- \ln |\det \mathbf{A}^{-1}| = \ln |\det \mathbf{A}|. \quad (1.234)$$

Therefore,

$$H(\mathbf{y}) = H(\mathbf{x}) + \ln |\det \mathbf{A}|. \quad (1.235)$$

### 1.33

Let  $x$  and  $y$  be two discrete variables. By the definition,

$$H(y|x) = - \sum_i \sum_j p(x_i, y_j) \ln p(y_j|x_i). \quad (1.236)$$

If  $H(y|x)$  is zero, then

$$0 = - \sum_i p(x_i) \sum_j p(y_j|x_i) \ln p(y_j|x_i). \quad (1.237)$$

Since

$$\begin{aligned} p(x_i) &\geq 0, \\ p(y_j|x_i) \ln p(y_j|x_i) &\leq 0. \end{aligned} \quad (1.238)$$

for all  $i$  and  $j$ , the equation reduces to

$$p(y_j|x_i) \ln p(y_j|x_i) = 0. \quad (1.239)$$

Therefore,  $p(y_j|x_i)$  is zero or one. Thus, since

$$\sum_j p(y_j|x_i) = 1, \quad (1.240)$$

$p(y_j|x_i)$  is one for a unique  $x_i$  and zero for others.

### 1.34

Let

$$\begin{aligned} L(p(x)) = & - \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} p(x) \ln p(x) dx + \lambda_1 \left( \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} p(x) dx - 1 \right) \\ & + \lambda_2 \left( \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} xp(x) dx - \mu \right) + \lambda_3 \left( \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} (x - \mu)^2 p(x) dx - \sigma^2 \right). \end{aligned} \quad (1.241)$$

Setting the derivative with respect to  $p(x)$  to zero gives

$$0 = -\ln p(x) - 1 + \lambda_1 + \lambda_2 x + \lambda_3 (x - \mu)^2. \quad (1.242)$$

Therefore,

$$p(x) = \exp \left( -1 + \lambda_1 + \lambda_2 x + \lambda_3 (x - \mu)^2 \right). \quad (1.243)$$

Therefore,

$$p(x) = \exp \left( -1 + \lambda_1 - \frac{\lambda_2^2}{4\lambda_3} + \lambda_3 \left( x - \left( \mu - \frac{\lambda_2}{2\lambda_3} \right) \right)^2 \right). \quad (1.244)$$

Substituting it to

$$\begin{aligned}\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} p(x) dx &= 1, \\ \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} xp(x) dx &= \mu, \\ \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} (x - \mu)^2 p(x) dx &= \sigma^2,\end{aligned}\tag{1.245}$$

gives

$$\begin{aligned}\exp\left(-1 + \lambda_1 - \frac{\lambda_2^2}{4\lambda_3}\right) \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \exp\left(\lambda_3 \left(x - \left(\mu - \frac{\lambda_2}{2\lambda_3}\right)\right)^2\right) dx &= 1, \\ \exp\left(-1 + \lambda_1 - \frac{\lambda_2^2}{4\lambda_3}\right) \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} x \exp\left(\lambda_3 \left(x - \left(\mu - \frac{\lambda_2}{2\lambda_3}\right)\right)^2\right) dx &= \mu, \\ \exp\left(-1 + \lambda_1 - \frac{\lambda_2^2}{4\lambda_3}\right) \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} (x - \mu)^2 \exp\left(\lambda_3 \left(x - \left(\mu - \frac{\lambda_2}{2\lambda_3}\right)\right)^2\right) dx &= \sigma^2.\end{aligned}\tag{1.246}$$

By the transformation

$$y = \sqrt{-\lambda_3} \left(x - \left(\mu - \frac{\lambda_2}{2\lambda_3}\right)\right),\tag{1.247}$$

they can be written as

$$\begin{aligned}\exp\left(-1 + \lambda_1 - \frac{\lambda_2^2}{4\lambda_3}\right) \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \exp(-y^2) (-\lambda_3)^{-\frac{1}{2}} dy &= 1, \\ \exp\left(-1 + \lambda_1 - \frac{\lambda_2^2}{4\lambda_3}\right) \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \left((- \lambda_3)^{-\frac{1}{2}} y + \mu - \frac{\lambda_2}{2\lambda_3}\right) \exp(-y^2) (-\lambda_3)^{-\frac{1}{2}} dy &= \mu, \\ \exp\left(-1 + \lambda_1 - \frac{\lambda_2^2}{4\lambda_3}\right) \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \left((- \lambda_3)^{-\frac{1}{2}} y - \frac{\lambda_2}{2\lambda_3}\right)^2 \exp(-y^2) (-\lambda_3)^{-\frac{1}{2}} dy &= \sigma^2.\end{aligned}\tag{1.248}$$

Since

$$\begin{aligned}\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \exp(-y^2) dy &= \Gamma\left(\frac{1}{2}\right), \\ \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} y \exp(-y^2) dy &= 0, \\ \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} y^2 \exp(-y^2) dy &= \Gamma\left(\frac{3}{2}\right),\end{aligned}\tag{1.249}$$



they can be written as

$$\begin{aligned}
& \exp \left( -1 + \lambda_1 - \frac{\lambda_2^2}{4\lambda_3} \right) (-\lambda_3)^{-\frac{1}{2}} \Gamma \left( \frac{1}{2} \right) = 1, \\
& \exp \left( -1 + \lambda_1 - \frac{\lambda_2^2}{4\lambda_3} \right) \left( \mu - \frac{\lambda_2}{2\lambda_3} \right) (-\lambda_3)^{-\frac{1}{2}} \Gamma \left( \frac{1}{2} \right) = \mu, \\
& \exp \left( -1 + \lambda_1 - \frac{\lambda_2^2}{4\lambda_3} \right) \left( (-\lambda_3)^{-\frac{3}{2}} \Gamma \left( \frac{3}{2} \right) + (-\lambda_3)^{-\frac{1}{2}} \frac{\lambda_2^2}{4\lambda_3^2} \Gamma \left( \frac{1}{2} \right) \right) = \sigma^2.
\end{aligned} \tag{1.250}$$

Therefore,

$$\begin{aligned}
\lambda_1 &= 1 - \frac{1}{2} \ln (2\pi\sigma^2), \\
\lambda_2 &= 0, \\
\lambda_3 &= -\frac{1}{2\sigma^2}.
\end{aligned} \tag{1.251}$$

Thus,

$$p(x) = (2\pi\sigma^2)^{-\frac{1}{2}} \exp \left( -\frac{1}{2\sigma^2} (x - \mu)^2 \right). \tag{1.252}$$

### 1.35

Let  $x$  be a variable such that

$$p(x) = \mathcal{N}(x|\mu, \sigma^2). \tag{1.253}$$

Then, by the definition,

$$H(x) = - \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \mathcal{N}(x|\mu, \sigma^2) \ln \mathcal{N}(x|\mu, \sigma^2) dx. \tag{1.254}$$

The right hand side can be written as

$$\begin{aligned}
& - \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \mathcal{N}(x|\mu, \sigma^2) \left( -\frac{1}{2} \ln (2\pi\sigma^2) - \frac{1}{2\sigma^2} (x - \mu)^2 \right) dx \\
&= \frac{1}{2} \ln (2\pi\sigma^2) \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \mathcal{N}(x|\mu, \sigma^2) dx + \frac{1}{2\sigma^2} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} (x - \mu)^2 \mathcal{N}(x|\mu, \sigma^2) dx.
\end{aligned} \tag{1.255}$$

Therefore,

$$H(x) = \frac{1}{2} (1 + \ln (2\pi\sigma^2)). \tag{1.256}$$

### 1.36 (Incomplete)

Let  $f$  be a strictly convex function. Then, by the definition,

$$f(\lambda a + (1 - \lambda)b) \leq \lambda f(a) + (1 - \lambda)f(b), \quad (1.257)$$

where  $a \leq b$  and  $0 \leq \lambda \leq 1$ . Let

$$x = \lambda a + (1 - \lambda)b. \quad (1.258)$$

Then, the inequality can be written as

$$f(x) \leq \frac{b-x}{b-a}f(a) + \frac{x-a}{b-a}f(b). \quad (1.259)$$

Let

$$g(x) = \frac{b-x}{b-a}f(a) + \frac{x-a}{b-a}f(b) - f(x). \quad (1.260)$$

Then,

$$g(x) \geq 0. \quad (1.261)$$

Additionally, for  $x > a$ ,

$$g(x) = (x-a) \left( \frac{f(b)-f(a)}{b-a} - \frac{f(x)-f(a)}{x-a} \right). \quad (1.262)$$

By the mean value theorem, there exists  $c$  and  $y$  such that  $a \leq c \leq b$ ,  $a \leq y \leq x$  and

$$\begin{aligned} f'(c) &= \frac{f(b)-f(a)}{b-a}, \\ f'(y) &= \frac{f(x)-f(a)}{x-a}. \end{aligned} \quad (1.263)$$

Then, for  $x > a$ , the inequality reduces to

$$f'(y) \leq f'(c). \quad (1.264)$$

### 1.37

Let  $\mathbf{x}$  and  $\mathbf{y}$  be two variables. Then, by the definition,

$$H(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y}) = - \int \int p(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y}) \ln p(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y}) d\mathbf{x} d\mathbf{y}. \quad (1.265)$$

The right hand side can be written as

$$\begin{aligned}
& - \int \int p(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y}) (\ln p(\mathbf{y}|\mathbf{x}) + \ln p(\mathbf{x})) d\mathbf{x}d\mathbf{y} \\
& = - \int \int p(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y}) \ln p(\mathbf{y}|\mathbf{x}) d\mathbf{x}d\mathbf{y} - \int \left( \int p(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y}) d\mathbf{y} \right) \ln p(\mathbf{x}) d\mathbf{x}.
\end{aligned} \tag{1.266}$$

By the definition, the first term of the right hand side can be written as  $H(\mathbf{y}|\mathbf{x})$  and the second term can be written as  $H(\mathbf{x})$ . Therefore,

$$H(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y}) = H(\mathbf{y}|\mathbf{x}) + H(\mathbf{x}). \tag{1.267}$$

### 1.38

Let  $f$  be a strictly convex function. Then, by the definition,

$$f(\lambda x_1 + (1 - \lambda)x_2) \leq \lambda f(x_1) + (1 - \lambda)f(x_2), \tag{1.268}$$

where  $0 \leq \lambda \leq 1$ . Let us assume that

$$f\left(\sum_{i=1}^M \lambda_i x_i\right) \leq \sum_{i=1}^M \lambda_i f(x_i), \tag{1.269}$$

where  $\lambda_i \geq 0$  and

$$\sum_{i=1}^M \lambda_i = 1. \tag{1.270}$$

Here, let  $\lambda_i \geq 0$  and

$$\sum_{i=1}^{M+1} \lambda_i = 1. \tag{1.271}$$

Then, by the definition,

$$f\left(\sum_{i=1}^{M+1} \lambda_i x_i\right) \leq \lambda_{M+1} f(x_{M+1}) + (1 - \lambda_{M+1}) f\left(\sum_{i=1}^M \frac{\lambda_i}{1 - \lambda_{M+1}} x_i\right). \tag{1.272}$$

By the assumption,

$$f\left(\sum_{i=1}^M \frac{\lambda_i}{1 - \lambda_{M+1}} x_i\right) \leq \sum_{i=1}^M \frac{\lambda_i}{1 - \lambda_{M+1}} f(x_i). \tag{1.273}$$

Therefore,

$$f\left(\sum_{i=1}^{M+1} \lambda_i x_i\right) \leq \lambda_{M+1} f(x_{M+1}) + (1 - \lambda_{M+1}) \sum_{i=1}^M \frac{\lambda_i}{1 - \lambda_{M+1}} f(x_i). \quad (1.274)$$

Thus,

$$f\left(\sum_{i=1}^{M+1} \lambda_i x_i\right) \leq \sum_{i=1}^{M+1} \lambda_i f(x_i). \quad (1.275)$$

Hence, the assumption is proved by induction on  $M$ .

### 1.39

Let  $x$  and  $y$  be two binary variables where

$$\begin{aligned} p(x=0, y=0) &= \frac{1}{3}, \\ p(x=0, y=1) &= \frac{1}{3}, \\ p(x=1, y=0) &= 0, \\ p(x=1, y=1) &= \frac{1}{3}. \end{aligned} \quad (1.276)$$

(a)

By the definition,

$$H(x) = - \sum p(x) \ln p(x). \quad (1.277)$$

By the distribution,

$$\begin{aligned} p(x=0) &= \frac{2}{3}, \\ p(x=1) &= \frac{1}{3}. \end{aligned} \quad (1.278)$$

Therefore,

$$H(x) = \ln 3 - \frac{2}{3} \ln 2. \quad (1.279)$$

**(b)**

By the definition,

$$H(y) = - \sum p(y) \ln p(y). \quad (1.280)$$

By the distribution,

$$\begin{aligned} p(y = 0) &= \frac{1}{3}, \\ p(y = 1) &= \frac{2}{3}. \end{aligned} \quad (1.281)$$

Therefore,

$$H(y) = \ln 3 - \frac{2}{3} \ln 2. \quad (1.282)$$

**(c)**

By the definition,

$$H(y|x) = - \sum p(x, y) \ln p(y|x). \quad (1.283)$$

By the definition,

$$\begin{aligned} p(y = 0|x = 0) &= \frac{p(x = 0, y = 0)}{p(x = 0)}, \\ p(y = 0|x = 1) &= \frac{p(x = 1, y = 0)}{p(x = 1)}, \\ p(y = 1|x = 0) &= \frac{p(x = 0, y = 1)}{p(x = 0)}, \\ p(y = 1|x = 1) &= \frac{p(x = 1, y = 1)}{p(x = 1)}. \end{aligned} \quad (1.284)$$

Then, by the distribution,

$$\begin{aligned} p(y = 0|x = 0) &= \frac{1}{2}, \\ p(y = 0|x = 1) &= 0, \\ p(y = 1|x = 0) &= \frac{1}{2}, \\ p(y = 1|x = 1) &= 1. \end{aligned} \quad (1.285)$$

Therefore,

$$H(y|x) = \frac{2}{3} \ln 2. \quad (1.286)$$

(d)

By the definition,

$$H(x|y) = - \sum p(x, y) \ln p(x|y). \quad (1.287)$$

By the definition,

$$\begin{aligned} p(x = 0|y = 0) &= \frac{p(x = 0, y = 0)}{p(y = 0)}, \\ p(x = 0|y = 1) &= \frac{p(x = 0, y = 1)}{p(y = 1)}, \\ p(x = 1|y = 0) &= \frac{p(x = 1, y = 0)}{p(y = 0)}, \\ p(x = 1|y = 1) &= \frac{p(x = 1, y = 1)}{p(y = 1)}. \end{aligned} \quad (1.288)$$

Then, by the distribution,

$$\begin{aligned} p(x = 0|y = 0) &= 1, \\ p(x = 0|y = 1) &= \frac{1}{2}, \\ p(x = 1|y = 0) &= 0, \\ p(x = 1|y = 1) &= \frac{1}{2}. \end{aligned} \quad (1.289)$$

Therefore,

$$H(x|y) = \frac{2}{3} \ln 2. \quad (1.290)$$

(e)

By the definition,

$$H(x, y) = - \sum p(x, y) \ln p(x, y). \quad (1.291)$$

Therefore,

$$H(x, y) = \ln 3. \quad (1.292)$$

(f)

By the definition,

$$I(x, y) = - \sum p(x, y) \ln \frac{p(x)p(y)}{p(x, y)}. \quad (1.293)$$

By the distribution, the right hand side can be written as

$$H(x) + H(y) - H(x, y). \quad (1.294)$$

Therefore,

$$I(x, y) = \ln 3 - \frac{4}{3} \ln 2. \quad (1.295)$$

## 1.40

Let  $\{x_i\}$  be a set of points where  $x_i > 0$ , and let  $\{\lambda_i\}$  be a set of coefficients where  $\lambda_i \geq 0$  and

$$\sum_{i=1}^M \lambda_i = 1. \quad (1.296)$$

By Jensen's inequality,

$$\sum_{i=1}^M \lambda_i \ln x_i \leq \ln \left( \sum_{i=1}^M \lambda_i x_i \right). \quad (1.297)$$

Therefore,

$$\prod_{i=1}^M x_i^{\lambda_i} \leq \sum_{i=1}^M \lambda_i x_i. \quad (1.298)$$

Substituting

$$\lambda_i = \frac{1}{M} \quad (1.299)$$

gives

$$\left( \prod_{i=1}^M x_i \right)^{\frac{1}{M}} \leq \frac{1}{M} \sum_{i=1}^M x_i. \quad (1.300)$$

## 1.41

Let  $\mathbf{x}$  and  $\mathbf{y}$  be continuous variables. Then, by the definition,

$$I(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y}) = - \int \int p(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y}) \ln \frac{p(\mathbf{x})p(\mathbf{y})}{p(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y})} d\mathbf{x}d\mathbf{y}. \quad (1.301)$$

The right hand side can be written as

$$\begin{aligned} & - \int \int p(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y}) \left( \ln p(\mathbf{x}) + \ln \frac{p(\mathbf{y})}{p(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y})} \right) d\mathbf{x}d\mathbf{y} \\ &= - \int \left( \int p(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y}) d\mathbf{y} \right) \ln p(\mathbf{x}) d\mathbf{x} + \int \int p(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y}) \ln p(\mathbf{x}|\mathbf{y}) d\mathbf{x}d\mathbf{y}. \end{aligned} \quad (1.302)$$

By the definition, the first term of the right hand side can be written as  $H(\mathbf{x})$  and the second term can be written as  $-H(\mathbf{x}|\mathbf{y})$ . Therefore,

$$I(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y}) = H(\mathbf{x}) - H(\mathbf{x}|\mathbf{y}). \quad (1.303)$$

By the definition,

$$I(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y}) = I(\mathbf{y}, \mathbf{x}). \quad (1.304)$$

Thus,

$$I(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y}) = H(\mathbf{y}) - H(\mathbf{y}|\mathbf{x}). \quad (1.305)$$



## 2 Probability Distributions

### 2.1

Let  $x$  be a variable such that

$$p(x|\mu) = \mu^x(1 - \mu)^{1-x}, \quad (2.1)$$

where  $x \in \{0, 1\}$ . Then,

$$\sum_x p(x|\mu) = 1. \quad (2.2)$$

By the definition,

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbb{E} x &= \mu, \\ \mathbb{E} x^2 &= \mu, \end{aligned} \quad (2.3)$$

Since

$$\text{var } x = \mathbb{E} x^2 - (\mathbb{E} x)^2, \quad (2.4)$$

we have

$$\text{var } x = \mu(1 - \mu). \quad (2.5)$$

By the definition,

$$\mathbb{H}(x) = - \sum_x p(x|\mu) \ln p(x|\mu). \quad (2.6)$$

Therefore,

$$\mathbb{H}(x) = -\mu \ln \mu - (1 - \mu) \ln(1 - \mu). \quad (2.7)$$

### 2.2

Let  $x$  be a variable such that

$$p(x|\mu) = \left( \frac{1 - \mu}{2} \right)^{\frac{1-x}{2}} \left( \frac{1 + \mu}{2} \right)^{\frac{1+x}{2}}, \quad (2.8)$$

where  $x \in \{-1, 1\}$ . Then,

$$\sum_x p(x|\mu) = 1. \quad (2.9)$$

By the definition,

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbb{E} x &= \mu, \\ \mathbb{E} x^2 &= 1, \end{aligned} \quad (2.10)$$

Since

$$\text{var } x = \mathbb{E} x^2 - (\mathbb{E} x)^2, \quad (2.11)$$

we have

$$\text{var } x = 1 - \mu^2. \quad (2.12)$$

By the definition,

$$H(x) = - \sum_x p(x|\mu) \ln p(x|\mu). \quad (2.13)$$

Therefore,

$$H(x) = -\frac{1-\mu}{2} \ln \frac{1-\mu}{2} - \frac{1+\mu}{2} \ln \frac{1+\mu}{2}. \quad (2.14)$$

### 2.3

By the definition,

$$\begin{aligned} \binom{N}{m} &= \frac{N!}{m!(N-m)!}, \\ \binom{N}{m-1} &= \frac{N!}{(m-1)!(N-m+1)!} \end{aligned} \quad (2.15)$$

Therefore,

$$\binom{N}{m} + \binom{N}{m-1} = \frac{(N-m+1)N! + mN!}{m!(N-m+1)!}. \quad (2.16)$$

By the definition, the right hand side can be written as

$$\frac{(N+1)!}{m!(N+1-m)!} = \binom{N+1}{m}. \quad (2.17)$$

Thus,

$$\binom{N}{m} + \binom{N}{m-1} = \binom{N+1}{m}. \quad (2.18)$$

Note that

$$1+x = \sum_{m=0}^1 \binom{1}{m} x^m. \quad (2.19)$$

Let us assume that

$$(1+x)^N = \sum_{m=0}^N \binom{N}{m} x^m. \quad (2.20)$$

Then,

$$(1+x)^{N+1} = \sum_{m=0}^N \binom{N}{m} x^m + \sum_{m=0}^N \binom{N}{m} x^{m+1}. \quad (2.21)$$

By the result above, the right hand side can be written as

$$\sum_{m=0}^N \binom{N}{m} x^m + \sum_{m=1}^{N+1} \binom{N}{m-1} x^m = 1 + x^{N+1} + \sum_{m=1}^N \binom{N+1}{m} x^m. \quad (2.22)$$

Therefore,

$$(1+x)^{N+1} = \sum_{m=0}^{N+1} \binom{N+1}{m} x^m. \quad (2.23)$$

Thus, the assumption is proved by induction on  $N$ .

Finally, let  $m$  be a variable such that

$$p(m|\mu) = \binom{N}{m} \mu^m (1-\mu)^{N-m}. \quad (2.24)$$

Then

$$\sum_{m=0}^N p(m|\mu) = \sum_{m=0}^N \binom{N}{m} \mu^m (1-\mu)^{N-m}. \quad (2.25)$$

By the result above, the right hand side can be written as

$$(1-\mu)^N \sum_{m=0}^N \binom{N}{m} \left( \frac{\mu}{1-\mu} \right)^m = (1-\mu)^N \left( 1 + \frac{\mu}{1-\mu} \right)^N. \quad (2.26)$$

Therefore,

$$\sum_{m=0}^N p(m|\mu) = 1. \quad (2.27)$$

## 2.4

Let  $m$  be a variable such that

$$p(m|\mu) = \binom{N}{m} \mu^m (1-\mu)^{N-m}. \quad (2.28)$$

Then

$$E m = \sum_{m=0}^N m \binom{N}{m} \mu^m (1-\mu)^{N-m}. \quad (2.29)$$

Taking the derivative of

$$\sum_{m=0}^N \binom{N}{m} \mu^m (1-\mu)^{N-m} = 1 \quad (2.30)$$

with respect to  $\mu$  gives

$$\sum_{m=0}^N m \binom{N}{m} \mu^{m-1} (1-\mu)^{N-m} - \sum_{m=0}^N (N-m) \binom{N}{m} \mu^m (1-\mu)^{N-m-1} = 0. \quad (2.31)$$

The first term of the left hand side can be written as  $\frac{1}{\mu} E m$ . Since

$$(N-m) \binom{N}{m} = N \binom{N-1}{m}, \quad (2.32)$$

the second term of the left hand side can be written as

$$-N \sum_{m=0}^{N-1} \binom{N-1}{m} \mu^m (1-\mu)^{N-m-1} = -N. \quad (2.33)$$

Therefore,

$$E m = N\mu. \quad (2.34)$$

Taking the second derivative of

$$\sum_{m=0}^N \binom{N}{m} \mu^m (1-\mu)^{N-m} = 1 \quad (2.35)$$

with respect to  $\mu$  gives

$$\begin{aligned} & \sum_{m=0}^N m(m-1) \binom{N}{m} \mu^{m-2} (1-\mu)^{N-m} \\ & - 2 \sum_{m=0}^N m(N-m) \binom{N}{m} \mu^{m-1} (1-\mu)^{N-m-1} \\ & + \sum_{m=0}^N (N-m)(N-m-1) \binom{N}{m} \mu^m (1-\mu)^{N-m-2} = 0. \end{aligned} \quad (2.36)$$

The first term of the left hand side can be written as  $\frac{1}{\mu^2} E m(m-1)$ . Since

$$\begin{aligned} m(N-m) \binom{N}{m} &= N(N-1) \binom{N-2}{m-1}, \\ (N-m)(N-m-1) \binom{N}{m} &= N(N-1) \binom{N-2}{m}, \end{aligned} \quad (2.37)$$

the second and third term of the left hand side can be written as

$$\begin{aligned} -2N(N-1) \sum_{m=1}^{N-1} \binom{N-2}{m-1} \mu^{m-1} (1-\mu)^{N-m-1} &= -2N(N-1), \\ N(N-1) \sum_{m=0}^N \binom{N-2}{m} \mu^m (1-\mu)^{N-m-2} &= N(N-1). \end{aligned} \quad (2.38)$$

Therefore,

$$E m(m-1) = N(N-1)\mu^2. \quad (2.39)$$

Thus, since

$$\text{var } m = E m(m-1) + E m - (E m)^2, \quad (2.40)$$

we have

$$\text{var } m = N\mu(1-\mu). \quad (2.41)$$

## 2.5

By the definition,

$$\Gamma(a)\Gamma(b) = \int_0^\infty x^{a-1} \exp(-x) dx \int_0^\infty y^{b-1} \exp(-y) dy. \quad (2.42)$$

By the transformation

$$t = x + y, \quad (2.43)$$

the right hand side can be written as

$$\begin{aligned} &\int_0^\infty x^{a-1} \left( \int_x^\infty (t-x)^{b-1} \exp(-t) dt \right) dx \\ &= \int_0^\infty \left( \int_0^t x^{a-1} (t-x)^{b-1} dx \right) \exp(-t) dt. \end{aligned} \quad (2.44)$$

By the transformation

$$x = t\mu, \quad (2.45)$$

the right hand side can be written as

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_0^\infty \left( \int_0^1 (t\mu)^{a-1} t^{b-1} (1-\mu)^{b-1} t d\mu \right) \exp(-t) dt \\ &= \int_0^1 \mu^{a-1} (1-\mu)^{b-1} d\mu \int_0^\infty t^{a+b-1} \exp(-t) dt. \end{aligned} \quad (2.46)$$

By the definition, the second integral of the right hand side can be written as  $\Gamma(a+b)$ . Therefore,

$$\int_0^1 \mu^{a-1} (1-\mu)^{b-1} d\mu = \frac{\Gamma(a)\Gamma(b)}{\Gamma(a+b)}. \quad (2.47)$$

## 2.6

Let  $\mu$  be a variable such that

$$p(\mu|a, b) = \frac{\Gamma(a+b)}{\Gamma(a)\Gamma(b)} \mu^{a-1} (1-\mu)^{b-1}. \quad (2.48)$$

Then

$$\begin{aligned} E\mu &= \frac{\Gamma(a+b)}{\Gamma(a)\Gamma(b)} \int_0^1 \mu^a (1-\mu)^{b-1} d\mu, \\ E\mu^2 &= \frac{\Gamma(a+b)}{\Gamma(a)\Gamma(b)} \int_0^1 \mu^{a+1} (1-\mu)^{b-1} d\mu. \end{aligned} \quad (2.49)$$

Since

$$\begin{aligned} \int_0^1 \mu^a (1-\mu)^{b-1} d\mu &= \frac{\Gamma(a+1)\Gamma(b)}{\Gamma(a+b+1)}, \\ \int_0^1 \mu^{a+1} (1-\mu)^{b-1} d\mu &= \frac{\Gamma(a+2)\Gamma(b)}{\Gamma(a+b+2)}, \end{aligned} \quad (2.50)$$

we have

$$\begin{aligned} E\mu &= \frac{a}{a+b}, \\ E\mu^2 &= \frac{a(a+1)}{(a+b)(a+b+1)}. \end{aligned} \quad (2.51)$$

Since

$$\text{var } \mu = E\mu^2 - (E\mu)^2, \quad (2.52)$$

we have

$$\text{var } \mu = \frac{ab}{(a+b)^2(a+b+1)}. \quad (2.53)$$

Since

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial \mu} p(\mu|a, b) = \frac{\Gamma(a+b)}{\Gamma(a)\Gamma(b)} \mu^{a-1} (1-\mu)^{b-1} \left( \frac{a-1}{\mu} - \frac{b-1}{1-\mu} \right), \quad (2.54)$$

we have

$$\text{mode } \mu = \frac{a-1}{a+b-2}. \quad (2.55)$$

## 2.7

Let  $m$  and  $l$  be a variable such that

$$p(m, l|\mu) = \binom{m+l}{m} \mu^m (1-\mu)^l, \quad (2.56)$$

where

$$p(\mu|a, b) = \frac{\Gamma(a+b)}{\Gamma(a)\Gamma(b)} \mu^{a-1} (1-\mu)^{b-1}. \quad (2.57)$$

By 2.6,

$$\text{E}(\mu|a, b) = \frac{a}{a+b}. \quad (2.58)$$

Note that

$$\mu_{\text{ML}} = \frac{m}{m+l}. \quad (2.59)$$

Since

$$p(\mu|m, l, a, b) \propto p(m, l|\mu) p(\mu|a, b), \quad (2.60)$$

we have

$$p(\mu|m, l, a, b) = \frac{\Gamma(m+l+a+b)}{\Gamma(m+a)\Gamma(l+b)} \mu^{m+a-1} (1-\mu)^{l+b-1}. \quad (2.61)$$

Therefore, by 2.6,

$$\text{E}(\mu|m, l, a, b) = \frac{m+a}{m+l+a+b}. \quad (2.62)$$

Thus,

$$\text{E}(\mu|m, l, a, b) = \lambda \mu_{\text{ML}} + (1-\lambda) \text{E}(\mu|a, b), \quad (2.63)$$

where

$$\lambda = \frac{m+l}{m+l+a+b}. \quad (2.64)$$

## 2.8

Let  $x$  and  $y$  be variables. Then, by the definition,

$$E x = \int x p(x) dx. \quad (2.65)$$

The right hand side can be written as

$$\int x \left( \int p(x, y) dy \right) dx = \int \left( \int x p(x|y) dx \right) p(y) dy. \quad (2.66)$$

Therefore,

$$E x = E_y (E_x(x|y)). \quad (2.67)$$

Additionally, by the definition,

$$\text{var } x = E (x - E x)^2. \quad (2.68)$$

By the result above, the right hand side can be written as

$$\begin{aligned} & E_y (E_x ((x - E_x(x|y) + E_x(x|y) - E x)^2 | y)) \\ &= E_y (E_x ((x - E_x(x|y))^2 | y)) \\ & \quad + 2 E_y (((E_x(x|y) - E x) E_x(x - E_x(x|y)) | y)) \\ & \quad + E_y ((E_x(x|y) - E x)^2 | y). \end{aligned} \quad (2.69)$$

Let us look at each term of the right hand side. By the definition, the first term can be written as  $E_y (\text{var}_x(x|y))$ . The second term can be written as

$$2 E_y ((E_x(x|y) - E x) (E_x(x|y) - E_x(x|y))) = 0. \quad (2.70)$$

By the result above, the third term can be written as

$$E_y (E_x(x|y) - E_y(E_x(x|y)))^2 = \text{var}_y (E_x(x|y)). \quad (2.71)$$

Therefore,

$$\text{var } x = E_y (\text{var}_x(x|y)) + \text{var}_y (E_x(x|y)). \quad (2.72)$$



## 2.9

For a vector  $\boldsymbol{\mu}$  in 2 dimensions, 2.5 gives

$$\int_{\substack{\mu_1+\mu_2=1 \\ \mu_1 \geq 0, \mu_2 \geq 0}} \mu_1^{\alpha_1-1} \mu_2^{\alpha_2-1} d\boldsymbol{\mu} = \frac{\Gamma(\alpha_1)\Gamma(\alpha_2)}{\Gamma(\alpha_1 + \alpha_2)}.$$

For a vector  $\boldsymbol{\mu}$  in  $M$  dimensions, let us assume that

$$\int_{\substack{\sum_{k=1}^M \mu_k = 1 \\ \mu_k \geq 0}} \prod_{k=1}^M \mu_k^{\alpha_k-1} d\boldsymbol{\mu} = \frac{\prod_{k=1}^M \Gamma(\alpha_k)}{\Gamma(\sum_{k=1}^M \alpha_k)}.$$

Under the constraint

$$\sum_{k=1}^{M+1} \mu_k = 1, \quad (2.73)$$

we have

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_0^{1-\sum_{k=1}^{M-1} \mu_k} \prod_{k=1}^{M+1} \mu_k^{\alpha_k-1} d\mu_{M+1} \\ &= \left( \prod_{k=1}^{M-1} \mu_k^{\alpha_k-1} \right) \int_0^{1-\sum_{k=1}^{M-1} \mu_k} \mu_{M+1}^{\alpha_{M+1}-1} \left( 1 - \sum_{k=1}^{M-1} \mu_k - \mu_{M+1} \right)^{\alpha_M-1} d\mu_{M+1}. \end{aligned} \quad (2.74)$$

By the transformation

$$\mu'_{M+1} = \frac{\mu_{M+1}}{1 - \sum_{k=1}^{M-1} \mu_k}, \quad (2.75)$$

the integral of the right hand side can be written as

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_0^1 \left( \left( 1 - \sum_{k=1}^{M-1} \mu_k \right) \mu'_{M+1} \right)^{\alpha_{M+1}-1} \left( \left( 1 - \sum_{k=1}^{M-1} \mu_k \right) (1 - \mu'_{M+1}) \right)^{\alpha_M-1} \left( 1 - \sum_{k=1}^{M-1} \mu_k \right) d\mu'_{M+1} \\ &= \left( 1 - \sum_{k=1}^{M-1} \mu_k \right)^{\alpha_M + \alpha_{M+1} - 1} \int_0^1 \mu'_{M+1}^{\alpha_{M+1}-1} (1 - \mu'_{M+1})^{\alpha_M-1} d\mu'_{M+1}. \end{aligned} \quad (2.76)$$

By 2.5, the integral of the right hand side can be written as

$$\frac{\Gamma(\alpha_M)\Gamma(\alpha_{M+1})}{\Gamma(\alpha_M + \alpha_{M+1})}. \quad (2.77)$$

Therefore,

$$\int_0^{1-\sum_{k=1}^{M-1} \mu_k} \prod_{k=1}^{M+1} \mu_k^{\alpha_k-1} d\mu_{M+1} = \left( \prod_{k=1}^{M-1} \mu_k^{\alpha_k-1} \right) \left( 1 - \sum_{k=1}^{M-1} \mu_k \right)^{\alpha_M + \alpha_{M+1} - 1} \frac{\Gamma(\alpha_M) \Gamma(\alpha_{M+1})}{\Gamma(\alpha_M + \alpha_{M+1})}. \quad (2.78)$$

By the assumption,

$$\int_{\substack{\sum_{k=1}^M \mu_k = 1 \\ \mu_k \geq 0}} \left( \prod_{k=1}^{M-1} \mu_k^{\alpha_k-1} \right) \mu_M^{\alpha_M + \alpha_{M+1} - 1} d\boldsymbol{\mu} = \frac{(\prod_{k=1}^{M-1} \Gamma(\alpha_k)) \Gamma(\alpha_M + \alpha_{M+1})}{\Gamma(\sum_{k=1}^{M+1} \alpha_k)}.$$

Thus, for a vector  $\boldsymbol{\mu}$  in  $M + 1$  dimensions,

$$\int_{\substack{\sum_{k=1}^{M+1} \mu_k = 1 \\ \mu_k \geq 0}} \prod_{k=1}^{M+1} \mu_k^{\alpha_k-1} d\boldsymbol{\mu} = \frac{\Gamma(\alpha_M) \Gamma(\alpha_{M+1})}{\Gamma(\alpha_M + \alpha_{M+1})} \frac{(\prod_{k=1}^{M-1} \Gamma(\alpha_k)) \Gamma(\alpha_M + \alpha_{M+1})}{\Gamma(\sum_{k=1}^{M+1} \alpha_k)}.$$

The right hand side can be written as

$$\frac{\prod_{k=1}^{M+1} \Gamma(\alpha_k)}{\Gamma(\sum_{k=1}^{M+1} \alpha_k)}. \quad (2.79)$$

Hence, the assumption is proved by induction on  $M$ .

## 2.10

Let  $\boldsymbol{\mu}$  be a variable such that

$$p(\boldsymbol{\mu}|\boldsymbol{\alpha}) = \frac{\Gamma(\sum_{k=1}^K \alpha_k)}{\prod_{k=1}^K \Gamma(\alpha_k)} \prod_{k=1}^K \mu_k^{\alpha_k-1}. \quad (2.80)$$

Then

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbb{E} \mu_j &= \int \mu_j p(\boldsymbol{\mu}|\boldsymbol{\alpha}) d\boldsymbol{\mu}, \\ \mathbb{E} \mu_j^2 &= \int \mu_j^2 p(\boldsymbol{\mu}|\boldsymbol{\alpha}) d\boldsymbol{\mu}, \\ \mathbb{E} \mu_j \mu_l &= \int \mu_j \mu_l p(\boldsymbol{\mu}|\boldsymbol{\alpha}) d\boldsymbol{\mu}. \end{aligned} \quad (2.81)$$

If  $j \neq l$ , then the right hand sides can be written as

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\Gamma(\sum_{k=1}^K \alpha_k) \frac{\Gamma(\alpha_j+1)}{\Gamma(\alpha_j)} \prod_{k=1}^K \Gamma(\alpha_k)}{\prod_{k=1}^K \Gamma(\alpha_k) \Gamma(\sum_{k=1}^K \alpha_k + 1)} &= \frac{\alpha_j}{\sum_{k=1}^K \alpha_k}, \\ \frac{\Gamma(\sum_{k=1}^K \alpha_k) \frac{\Gamma(\alpha_j+2)}{\Gamma(\alpha_j)} \prod_{k=1}^K \Gamma(\alpha_k)}{\prod_{k=1}^K \Gamma(\alpha_k) \Gamma(\sum_{k=1}^K \alpha_k + 2)} &= \frac{\alpha_j(\alpha_j + 1)}{\sum_{k=1}^K \alpha_k (\sum_{k=1}^K \alpha_k + 1)}, \quad (2.82) \\ \frac{\Gamma(\sum_{k=1}^K \alpha_k) \frac{\Gamma(\alpha_j+1)\Gamma(\alpha_l+1)}{\Gamma(\alpha_j)\Gamma(\alpha_l)} \prod_{k=1}^K \Gamma(\alpha_k)}{\prod_{k=1}^K \Gamma(\alpha_k) \Gamma(\sum_{k=1}^K \alpha_k + 2)} &= \frac{\alpha_j \alpha_l}{\sum_{k=1}^K \alpha_k (\sum_{k=1}^K \alpha_k + 1)}. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore,

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbb{E} \mu_j &= \frac{\alpha_j}{\sum_{k=1}^K \alpha_k}, \\ \mathbb{E} \mu_j^2 &= \frac{\alpha_j(\alpha_j + 1)}{\sum_{k=1}^K \alpha_k (\sum_{k=1}^K \alpha_k + 1)}, \quad (2.83) \\ \mathbb{E} \mu_j \mu_l &= \frac{\alpha_j \alpha_l}{\sum_{k=1}^K \alpha_k (\sum_{k=1}^K \alpha_k + 1)}. \end{aligned}$$

Since

$$\begin{aligned} \text{var } \mu_j &= \mathbb{E} \mu_j^2 - (\mathbb{E} \mu_j)^2, \\ \text{cov}(\mu_j, \mu_l) &= \mathbb{E} \mu_j \mu_l - \mathbb{E} \mu_j \mathbb{E} \mu_l, \end{aligned} \quad (2.84)$$

we have

$$\begin{aligned} \text{var } \mu_j &= \frac{\alpha_j(\sum_{k=1}^K \alpha_k - \alpha_j)}{\sum_{k=1}^K \alpha_k^2 (\sum_{k=1}^K \alpha_k + 1)}, \\ \text{cov}(\mu_j, \mu_l) &= -\frac{\alpha_j \alpha_l}{(\sum_{k=1}^K \alpha_k)^2 (\sum_{k=1}^K \alpha_k + 1)}. \end{aligned} \quad (2.85)$$

## 2.11

Let  $\boldsymbol{\mu}$  be a variable such that

$$p(\boldsymbol{\mu}|\boldsymbol{\alpha}) = \frac{\Gamma(\sum_{k=1}^K \alpha_k)}{\prod_{k=1}^K \Gamma(\alpha_k)} \prod_{k=1}^K \mu_k^{\alpha_k-1}. \quad (2.86)$$

Then

$$\mathbb{E} \ln \mu_j = \int (\ln \mu_j) p(\boldsymbol{\mu}|\boldsymbol{\alpha}) d\boldsymbol{\mu}. \quad (2.87)$$

Since

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial \alpha_j} p(\boldsymbol{\mu}|\boldsymbol{\alpha}) = \left( \frac{\Gamma' \left( \sum_{k=1}^K \alpha_k \right)}{\Gamma \left( \sum_{k=1}^K \alpha_k \right)} - \frac{\Gamma'(\alpha_j)}{\Gamma(\alpha_j)} + \ln \mu_j \right) p(\boldsymbol{\mu}|\boldsymbol{\alpha}), \quad (2.88)$$

we have

$$\mathbb{E} \ln \mu_j = \frac{\partial}{\partial \alpha_j} \int p(\boldsymbol{\mu}|\boldsymbol{\alpha}) d\boldsymbol{\mu} + \left( \psi(\alpha_j) - \psi \left( \sum_{k=1}^K \alpha_k \right) \right) \int p(\boldsymbol{\mu}|\boldsymbol{\alpha}) d\boldsymbol{\mu}, \quad (2.89)$$

where

$$\psi(a) = \frac{d}{da} \ln \Gamma(a). \quad (2.90)$$

Therefore,

$$\mathbb{E} \ln \mu_j = \psi(\alpha_j) - \psi \left( \sum_{k=1}^K \alpha_k \right). \quad (2.91)$$

## 2.12

Let  $x$  be a variable such that

$$p(x|a, b) = \frac{1}{b-a}, \quad (2.92)$$

where  $a < b$ . Then

$$\int_a^b p(x|a, b) dx = 1. \quad (2.93)$$

Note that

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbb{E} x &= \int_a^b x p(x|a, b) dx, \\ \mathbb{E} x^2 &= \int_a^b x^2 p(x|a, b) dx. \end{aligned} \quad (2.94)$$

The right hand sides can be written as

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{1}{b-a} \int_a^b x dx &= \frac{1}{2}(a+b), \\ \frac{1}{b-a} \int_a^b x^2 dx &= \frac{1}{3}(a^2 + ab + b^2). \end{aligned} \quad (2.95)$$

Therefore,

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbb{E} x &= \frac{1}{2}(a + b), \\ \mathbb{E} x^2 &= \frac{1}{3} (a^2 + ab + b^2). \end{aligned} \quad (2.96)$$

Since

$$\text{var } x = \mathbb{E} x^2 - (\mathbb{E} x)^2, \quad (2.97)$$

we have

$$\text{var } x = \frac{1}{12}(b - a)^2. \quad (2.98)$$

### 2.13

Let  $\mathbf{x}$  be a variable in  $D$  dimensions and

$$\begin{aligned} p(\mathbf{x}) &= \mathcal{N}(\mathbf{x}|\boldsymbol{\mu}, \boldsymbol{\Sigma}), \\ q(\mathbf{x}) &= \mathcal{N}(\mathbf{x}|\mathbf{m}, \mathbf{L}). \end{aligned} \quad (2.99)$$

Then, by the definition,

$$\text{KL}(p||q) = - \int \mathcal{N}(\mathbf{x}|\boldsymbol{\mu}, \boldsymbol{\Sigma}) \ln \frac{\mathcal{N}(\mathbf{x}|\mathbf{m}, \mathbf{L})}{\mathcal{N}(\mathbf{x}|\boldsymbol{\mu}, \boldsymbol{\Sigma})} d\mathbf{x}. \quad (2.100)$$

Since

$$\ln \frac{\mathcal{N}(\mathbf{x}|\mathbf{m}, \mathbf{L})}{\mathcal{N}(\mathbf{x}|\boldsymbol{\mu}, \boldsymbol{\Sigma})} = \ln \frac{(2\pi)^{-\frac{D}{2}} (|\det \mathbf{L}|)^{-\frac{1}{2}} \exp \left( -\frac{1}{2}(\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{m})^\top \mathbf{L}^{-1}(\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{m}) \right)}{(2\pi)^{-\frac{D}{2}} (|\det \boldsymbol{\Sigma}|)^{-\frac{1}{2}} \exp \left( -\frac{1}{2}(\mathbf{x} - \boldsymbol{\mu})^\top \boldsymbol{\Sigma}^{-1}(\mathbf{x} - \boldsymbol{\mu}) \right)}, \quad (2.101)$$

The right hand side can be written as

$$\begin{aligned} & \frac{1}{2} \ln \left| \frac{\det \mathbf{L}}{\det \boldsymbol{\Sigma}} \right| \int \mathcal{N}(\mathbf{x}|\boldsymbol{\mu}, \boldsymbol{\Sigma}) d\mathbf{x} \\ & + \frac{1}{2} \int (\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{m})^\top \mathbf{L}^{-1}(\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{m}) \mathcal{N}(\mathbf{x}|\boldsymbol{\mu}, \boldsymbol{\Sigma}) d\mathbf{x} \\ & - \frac{1}{2} \int (\mathbf{x} - \boldsymbol{\mu})^\top \boldsymbol{\Sigma}^{-1}(\mathbf{x} - \boldsymbol{\mu}) \mathcal{N}(\mathbf{x}|\boldsymbol{\mu}, \boldsymbol{\Sigma}) d\mathbf{x}. \end{aligned} \quad (2.102)$$

Let us look at each term. Since

$$\int \mathcal{N}(\mathbf{x}|\boldsymbol{\mu}, \boldsymbol{\Sigma}) d\mathbf{x} = 1, \quad (2.103)$$

the first term can be written as  $\frac{1}{2} \ln \left| \frac{\det \mathbf{L}}{\det \mathbf{\Sigma}} \right|$ . Since

$$(\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{m})^\top \mathbf{L}^{-1} (\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{m}) = (\mathbf{x} - \boldsymbol{\mu} + \boldsymbol{\mu} - \mathbf{m})^\top \mathbf{L}^{-1} (\mathbf{x} - \boldsymbol{\mu} + \boldsymbol{\mu} - \mathbf{m}), \quad (2.104)$$

the second term can be written as

$$\begin{aligned} & \frac{1}{2} \int (\mathbf{x} - \boldsymbol{\mu})^\top \mathbf{L}^{-1} (\mathbf{x} - \boldsymbol{\mu}) \mathcal{N}(\mathbf{x} | \boldsymbol{\mu}, \mathbf{\Sigma}) d\mathbf{x} \\ & + (\boldsymbol{\mu} - \mathbf{m})^\top \mathbf{L}^{-1} \int (\mathbf{x} - \boldsymbol{\mu}) \mathcal{N}(\mathbf{x} | \boldsymbol{\mu}, \mathbf{\Sigma}) d\mathbf{x} \\ & + \frac{1}{2} (\boldsymbol{\mu} - \mathbf{m})^\top \mathbf{L}^{-1} (\boldsymbol{\mu} - \mathbf{m}) \int \mathcal{N}(\mathbf{x} | \boldsymbol{\mu}, \mathbf{\Sigma}) d\mathbf{x}. \end{aligned} \quad (2.105)$$

Since

$$\begin{aligned} & \int \mathcal{N}(\mathbf{x} | \boldsymbol{\mu}, \mathbf{\Sigma}) d\mathbf{x} = 1, \\ & \int \mathbf{x} \mathcal{N}(\mathbf{x} | \boldsymbol{\mu}, \mathbf{\Sigma}) d\mathbf{x} = \boldsymbol{\mu}, \\ & \int (\mathbf{x} - \boldsymbol{\mu})(\mathbf{x} - \boldsymbol{\mu})^\top \mathcal{N}(\mathbf{x} | \boldsymbol{\mu}, \mathbf{\Sigma}) d\mathbf{x} = \mathbf{\Sigma}, \end{aligned} \quad (2.106)$$

it can be written as

$$\frac{1}{2} \text{tr} (\mathbf{L}^{-1} \mathbf{\Sigma}) + \frac{1}{2} (\boldsymbol{\mu} - \mathbf{m})^\top \mathbf{L}^{-1} (\boldsymbol{\mu} - \mathbf{m}). \quad (2.107)$$

Since

$$\int (\mathbf{x} - \boldsymbol{\mu})(\mathbf{x} - \boldsymbol{\mu})^\top \mathcal{N}(\mathbf{x} | \boldsymbol{\mu}, \mathbf{\Sigma}) d\mathbf{x} = \mathbf{\Sigma}, \quad (2.108)$$

the third term can be written as

$$-\frac{1}{2} \text{tr} (\mathbf{\Sigma}^{-1} \mathbf{\Sigma}) = -\frac{D}{2}. \quad (2.109)$$

Therefore,

$$\text{KL}(p||q) = \frac{1}{2} \left( \ln \left| \frac{\det \mathbf{L}}{\det \mathbf{\Sigma}} \right| + \text{tr} (\mathbf{L}^{-1} \mathbf{\Sigma}) + (\boldsymbol{\mu} - \mathbf{m})^\top \mathbf{L}^{-1} (\boldsymbol{\mu} - \mathbf{m}) - D \right). \quad (2.110)$$

## 2.14

Let  $\mathbf{x}$  be a variable in  $D$  dimensions and

$$\begin{aligned} L(p(\mathbf{x})) = & - \int p(\mathbf{x}) \ln p(\mathbf{x}) d\mathbf{x} + \lambda \left( \int p(\mathbf{x}) d\mathbf{x} - 1 \right) \\ & + \mathbf{l}^\top \left( \int \mathbf{x} p(\mathbf{x}) d\mathbf{x} - \boldsymbol{\mu} \right) + \mathbf{m}^\top \left( \int (\mathbf{x} - \boldsymbol{\mu})(\mathbf{x} - \boldsymbol{\mu})^\top p(\mathbf{x}) d\mathbf{x} - \boldsymbol{\Sigma} \right) \mathbf{m}. \end{aligned} \quad (2.111)$$

Then

$$\frac{\delta L(p(\mathbf{x}))}{\delta p(\mathbf{x})} = -\ln p(\mathbf{x}) - 1 + \lambda + \mathbf{l}^\top \mathbf{x} + \mathbf{m}^\top (\mathbf{x} - \boldsymbol{\mu})(\mathbf{x} - \boldsymbol{\mu})^\top \mathbf{m}. \quad (2.112)$$

Setting the left hand side to zero gives

$$p(\mathbf{x}) = \exp(-1 + \lambda + \mathbf{l}^\top \mathbf{x} + \mathbf{m}^\top (\mathbf{x} - \boldsymbol{\mu})(\mathbf{x} - \boldsymbol{\mu})^\top \mathbf{m}), \quad (2.113)$$

so that

$$p(\mathbf{x}) = \exp(-1 + \lambda - \mathbf{l}^\top \mathbf{M} \mathbf{l} + (\mathbf{x} - \boldsymbol{\mu} - \mathbf{M} \mathbf{l})^\top \mathbf{M}^{-1} (\mathbf{x} - \boldsymbol{\mu} - \mathbf{M} \mathbf{l})), \quad (2.114)$$

where

$$\mathbf{M} = (\mathbf{m} \mathbf{m}^\top)^{-1}. \quad (2.115)$$

Substituting it to

$$\begin{aligned} \int p(\mathbf{x}) d\mathbf{x} &= 1, \\ \int \mathbf{x} p(\mathbf{x}) d\mathbf{x} &= \boldsymbol{\mu}, \\ \int (\mathbf{x} - \boldsymbol{\mu})(\mathbf{x} - \boldsymbol{\mu})^\top p(\mathbf{x}) d\mathbf{x} &= \boldsymbol{\Sigma}, \end{aligned} \quad (2.116)$$

and the transformation

$$\mathbf{y} = \mathbf{x} - \boldsymbol{\mu} - \mathbf{M} \mathbf{l} \quad (2.117)$$

gives

$$\begin{aligned} \exp(-1 + \lambda - \mathbf{l}^\top \mathbf{M} \mathbf{l}) \int \exp(-\mathbf{y}^\top \mathbf{M}^{-1} \mathbf{y}) d\mathbf{y} &= 1, \\ \exp(-1 + \lambda - \mathbf{l}^\top \mathbf{M} \mathbf{l}) \int (\mathbf{y} + \boldsymbol{\mu} + \mathbf{M} \mathbf{l}) \exp(-\mathbf{y}^\top \mathbf{M}^{-1} \mathbf{y}) d\mathbf{y} &= \boldsymbol{\mu}, \\ \exp(-1 + \lambda - \mathbf{l}^\top \mathbf{M} \mathbf{l}) \int (\mathbf{y} + \mathbf{M} \mathbf{l}) (\mathbf{y} + \mathbf{M} \mathbf{l})^\top \exp(-\mathbf{y}^\top \mathbf{M}^{-1} \mathbf{y}) d\mathbf{y} &= \boldsymbol{\Sigma}. \end{aligned} \quad (2.118)$$

Since

$$\begin{aligned}\int \exp(-\mathbf{y}^\top \mathbf{y}) d\mathbf{y} &= \left( \Gamma\left(\frac{1}{2}\right) \right)^D, \\ \int \mathbf{y} \exp(-\mathbf{y}^\top \mathbf{y}) d\mathbf{y} &= \mathbf{0}, \\ \int \mathbf{y} \mathbf{y}^\top \exp(-\mathbf{y}^\top \mathbf{y}) d\mathbf{y} &= \Gamma\left(\frac{3}{2}\right) \left( \Gamma\left(\frac{1}{2}\right) \right)^{D-1} \mathbf{I},\end{aligned}\tag{2.119}$$

they can be written as

$$\begin{aligned}\exp(-1 + \lambda - \mathbf{I}^\top \mathbf{M} \mathbf{I}) \left( \Gamma\left(\frac{1}{2}\right) \right)^D (\det \mathbf{M})^{\frac{1}{2}} &= 1, \\ \exp(-1 + \lambda - \mathbf{I}^\top \mathbf{M} \mathbf{I}) (\boldsymbol{\mu} + \mathbf{M} \mathbf{I}) \left( \Gamma\left(\frac{1}{2}\right) \right)^D (\det \mathbf{M})^{\frac{1}{2}} &= \boldsymbol{\mu}, \\ \exp(-1 + \lambda - \mathbf{I}^\top \mathbf{M} \mathbf{I}) \left( \Gamma\left(\frac{3}{2}\right) \left( \Gamma\left(\frac{1}{2}\right) \right)^{D-1} \mathbf{M} + \mathbf{M} \mathbf{I} (\mathbf{M} \mathbf{I})^\top \left( \Gamma\left(\frac{1}{2}\right) \right)^D \right) (\det \mathbf{M})^{\frac{1}{2}} &= \boldsymbol{\Sigma}.\end{aligned}\tag{2.120}$$

Therefore,

$$\begin{aligned}\lambda &= 1 - \frac{D}{2} \ln \pi - \frac{1}{2} \ln(\det \mathbf{M}), \\ \mathbf{I} &= \mathbf{0}, \\ \mathbf{M} &= 2\boldsymbol{\Sigma}.\end{aligned}\tag{2.121}$$

Thus,

$$p(\mathbf{x}) = (2\pi)^{-\frac{D}{2}} (\det \boldsymbol{\Sigma})^{-\frac{1}{2}} \exp\left(-\frac{1}{2}(\mathbf{x} - \boldsymbol{\mu})^\top \boldsymbol{\Sigma}^{-1}(\mathbf{x} - \boldsymbol{\mu})\right).\tag{2.122}$$

## 2.15

Let  $\mathbf{x}$  be a variable in  $D$  dimensions such that

$$p(\mathbf{x}) = \mathcal{N}(\mathbf{x} | \boldsymbol{\mu}, \boldsymbol{\Sigma}).\tag{2.123}$$

Then, by the definition,

$$\mathbf{H}(\mathbf{x}) = - \int \mathcal{N}(\mathbf{x} | \boldsymbol{\mu}, \boldsymbol{\Sigma}) \ln \mathcal{N}(\mathbf{x} | \boldsymbol{\mu}, \boldsymbol{\Sigma}) d\mathbf{x}.\tag{2.124}$$



The right hand side can be written as

$$\begin{aligned}
& - \int \mathcal{N}(\mathbf{x}|\boldsymbol{\mu}, \boldsymbol{\Sigma}) \left( -\frac{D}{2} \ln(2\pi) - \frac{1}{2} \ln |\det \boldsymbol{\Sigma}| - \frac{1}{2} (\mathbf{x} - \boldsymbol{\mu})^\top \boldsymbol{\Sigma}^{-1} (\mathbf{x} - \boldsymbol{\mu}) \right) d\mathbf{x} \\
& = \left( \frac{D}{2} \ln(2\pi) + \frac{1}{2} \ln |\det \boldsymbol{\Sigma}| \right) \int \mathcal{N}(\mathbf{x}|\boldsymbol{\mu}, \boldsymbol{\Sigma}) d\mathbf{x} \\
& \quad + \frac{1}{2} \int (\mathbf{x} - \boldsymbol{\mu})^\top \boldsymbol{\Sigma}^{-1} (\mathbf{x} - \boldsymbol{\mu}) \mathcal{N}(\mathbf{x}|\boldsymbol{\mu}, \boldsymbol{\Sigma}) d\mathbf{x}.
\end{aligned} \tag{2.125}$$

Since

$$\begin{aligned}
& \int \mathcal{N}(\mathbf{x}|\boldsymbol{\mu}, \boldsymbol{\Sigma}) d\mathbf{x} = 1, \\
& \int (\mathbf{x} - \boldsymbol{\mu})(\mathbf{x} - \boldsymbol{\mu})^\top \mathcal{N}(\mathbf{x}|\boldsymbol{\mu}, \boldsymbol{\Sigma}) d\mathbf{x} = \boldsymbol{\Sigma},
\end{aligned} \tag{2.126}$$

the first and second term of the right hand side can be written as

$$\frac{D}{2} \ln(2\pi) + \frac{1}{2} \ln |\det \boldsymbol{\Sigma}| \tag{2.127}$$

and

$$\frac{1}{2} \text{tr} (\boldsymbol{\Sigma}^{-1} \boldsymbol{\Sigma}) = \frac{D}{2}. \tag{2.128}$$

Therefore,

$$H(\mathbf{x}) = \frac{D}{2} (1 + \ln(2\pi)) + \frac{1}{2} \ln |\det \boldsymbol{\Sigma}|. \tag{2.129}$$

## 2.16

Let  $x$  be a variable such that

$$x = x_1 + x_2, \tag{2.130}$$

where

$$\begin{aligned}
p(x_1) &= \mathcal{N}(x_1|\mu_1, \tau_1^{-1}), \\
p(x_2) &= \mathcal{N}(x_2|\mu_2, \tau_2^{-1}).
\end{aligned} \tag{2.131}$$

By marginalisation,

$$p(x) = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} p(x|x_2)p(x_2)dx_2. \tag{2.132}$$

The right hand side can be written as

$$\begin{aligned}
& \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \mathcal{N}(x|\mu_1 + x_2, \tau_1^{-1}) \mathcal{N}(x_2|\mu_2, \tau_2^{-1}) dx_2 \\
&= \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \left(\frac{\tau_1}{2\pi}\right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \exp\left(-\frac{\tau_1}{2}(x - \mu_1 - x_2)^2\right) \left(\frac{\tau_2}{2\pi}\right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \exp\left(-\frac{\tau_2}{2}(x_2 - \mu_2)^2\right) dx_2.
\end{aligned} \tag{2.133}$$

The logarithm of the integrand except the terms independent of  $x$  and  $z$  is given by

$$\begin{aligned}
& -\frac{\tau_1 + \tau_2}{2} \left(x_2 - \frac{\tau_1(x - \mu_1) + \tau_2\mu_2}{\tau_1 + \tau_2}\right)^2 - \frac{\tau_1}{2}(x - \mu_1)^2 - \frac{\tau_2}{2}\mu_2^2 \\
& + \frac{\tau_1 + \tau_2}{2} \left(\frac{\tau_1(x - \mu_1) + \tau_2\mu_2}{\tau_1 + \tau_2}\right)^2 \\
&= -\frac{\tau_1 + \tau_2}{2} \left(x_2 - \frac{\tau_1(x - \mu_1) + \tau_2\mu_2}{\tau_1 + \tau_2}\right)^2 - \frac{\tau_1\tau_2}{2(\tau_1 + \tau_2)}(x - \mu_1 - \mu_2)^2.
\end{aligned} \tag{2.134}$$

Therefore,

$$p(x) = \mathcal{N}(x|\mu_1 + \mu_2, \tau_1^{-1} + \tau_2^{-1}). \tag{2.135}$$

Thus, by 1.35,

$$H(x) = \frac{1}{2} (1 + \ln(2\pi) + \ln(\tau_1^{-1} + \tau_2^{-1})). \tag{2.136}$$

## 2.17

Let  $\Sigma$  be a matrix and

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathbf{S} &= \frac{1}{2} (\Sigma^{-1} + (\Sigma^{-1})^\top), \\
\mathbf{A} &= \frac{1}{2} (\Sigma^{-1} - (\Sigma^{-1})^\top).
\end{aligned} \tag{2.137}$$

Then

$$\Sigma^{-1} = \mathbf{S} + \mathbf{A}. \tag{2.138}$$

Therefore,

$$(\mathbf{x} - \boldsymbol{\mu})^\top \Sigma^{-1} (\mathbf{x} - \boldsymbol{\mu}) = (\mathbf{x} - \boldsymbol{\mu})^\top \mathbf{S} (\mathbf{x} - \boldsymbol{\mu}) + (\mathbf{x} - \boldsymbol{\mu})^\top \mathbf{A} (\mathbf{x} - \boldsymbol{\mu}). \tag{2.139}$$

The second term of the right hand side can be written as

$$\frac{1}{2}(\mathbf{x} - \boldsymbol{\mu})^\top \boldsymbol{\Sigma}^{-1}(\mathbf{x} - \boldsymbol{\mu}) - \frac{1}{2}(\mathbf{x} - \boldsymbol{\mu})^\top (\boldsymbol{\Sigma}^{-1})^\top (\mathbf{x} - \boldsymbol{\mu}). \quad (2.140)$$

The second term of the right hand side can be written as

$$-\frac{1}{2}(\boldsymbol{\Sigma}^{-1}(\mathbf{x} - \boldsymbol{\mu}))^\top (\mathbf{x} - \boldsymbol{\mu}) = -\frac{1}{2}(\mathbf{x} - \boldsymbol{\mu})^\top \boldsymbol{\Sigma}^{-1}(\mathbf{x} - \boldsymbol{\mu}). \quad (2.141)$$

Thus,

$$(\mathbf{x} - \boldsymbol{\mu})^\top \mathbf{A}(\mathbf{x} - \boldsymbol{\mu}) = 0. \quad (2.142)$$

Hence

$$(\mathbf{x} - \boldsymbol{\mu})^\top \boldsymbol{\Sigma}^{-1}(\mathbf{x} - \boldsymbol{\mu}) = (\mathbf{x} - \boldsymbol{\mu})^\top \mathbf{S}(\mathbf{x} - \boldsymbol{\mu}). \quad (2.143)$$

## 2.18

Let  $\boldsymbol{\Sigma}$  be a  $D \times D$  real symmetric matrix such that

$$\boldsymbol{\Sigma} \mathbf{u}_d = \lambda_d \mathbf{u}_d, \quad (2.144)$$

where  $\mathbf{u}_1, \dots, \mathbf{u}_D$  are unit vectors. Then,

$$\overline{\mathbf{u}_d}^\top \boldsymbol{\Sigma} \mathbf{u}_d = \lambda_d, \quad (2.145)$$

where  $\overline{\mathbf{u}_d}$  is the conjugate of  $\mathbf{u}_d$ . Since  $\boldsymbol{\Sigma}$  is real and symmetric, the left hand side can be written as

$$\overline{\mathbf{u}_d}^\top \boldsymbol{\Sigma}^\top \mathbf{u}_d = (\overline{\boldsymbol{\Sigma} \mathbf{u}_d})^\top \mathbf{u}_d. \quad (2.146)$$

The right hand side can be wriitten as

$$\overline{\lambda_d} \overline{\mathbf{u}_d}^\top \mathbf{u}_d = \overline{\lambda_d}. \quad (2.147)$$

Therefore,

$$\lambda_d = \overline{\lambda_d}. \quad (2.148)$$

Additionally, for  $d \neq d'$ , taking the inner product with  $\mathbf{u}'_d$  on both sides of the original equation gives

$$\mathbf{u}_{d'}^\top \boldsymbol{\Sigma} \mathbf{u}_d = \lambda_d \mathbf{u}_{d'}^\top \mathbf{u}_d. \quad (2.149)$$

Since  $\boldsymbol{\Sigma}$  is symmetric, the left hand side can be written as

$$\mathbf{u}_{d'}^\top \boldsymbol{\Sigma}^\top \mathbf{u}_d = (\boldsymbol{\Sigma} \mathbf{u}_{d'})^\top \mathbf{u}_d. \quad (2.150)$$

The right hand side can be written as  $\lambda_{d'} \mathbf{u}_{d'}^\top \mathbf{u}_d$ . Therefore,

$$\lambda_d \mathbf{u}_{d'}^\top \mathbf{u}_d = \lambda_{d'} \mathbf{u}_{d'}^\top \mathbf{u}_d. \quad (2.151)$$

Thus, if  $\lambda_d \neq \lambda_{d'}$ , then

$$\mathbf{u}_{d'}^\top \mathbf{u}_d = 0. \quad (2.152)$$

## 2.19

Let  $\Sigma$  be a  $D \times D$  real symmetric matrix such that

$$\Sigma \mathbf{u}_d = \lambda_d \mathbf{u}_d, \quad (2.153)$$

where  $\mathbf{u}_1, \dots, \mathbf{u}_D$  are unit vectors. Let

$$\begin{aligned} \Lambda &= \text{diag}(\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_D), \\ \mathbf{U} &= [\mathbf{u}_1 \cdots \mathbf{u}_D]. \end{aligned} \quad (2.154)$$

Then

$$\Sigma \mathbf{U} = \mathbf{U} \Lambda. \quad (2.155)$$

By 2.18,

$$\mathbf{U}^\top \mathbf{U} = \mathbf{I}. \quad (2.156)$$

Therefore,

$$\begin{aligned} \Sigma &= \mathbf{U} \Lambda \mathbf{U}^\top, \\ \Sigma^{-1} &= \mathbf{U} \Lambda^{-1} \mathbf{U}^\top, \end{aligned} \quad (2.157)$$

Thus,

$$\begin{aligned} \Sigma &= \sum_{d=1}^D \lambda_d \mathbf{u}_d \mathbf{u}_d^\top, \\ \Sigma^{-1} &= \sum_{d=1}^D \frac{1}{\lambda_d} \mathbf{u}_d \mathbf{u}_d^\top. \end{aligned} \quad (2.158)$$

## 2.20

Let  $\Sigma$  be a  $D \times D$  real symmetric matrix such that

$$\Sigma \mathbf{u}_d = \lambda_d \mathbf{u}_d, \quad (2.159)$$

where  $u_1, \dots, u_D$  are unit vectors. Let

$$\begin{aligned}\mathbf{\Lambda} &= \text{diag}(\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_D), \\ \mathbf{U} &= [\mathbf{u}_1 \cdots \mathbf{u}_D].\end{aligned}\tag{2.160}$$

By 2.19,

$$\mathbf{a}^\top \mathbf{\Sigma} \mathbf{a} = \mathbf{b}^\top \mathbf{\Lambda} \mathbf{b},\tag{2.161}$$

where

$$\mathbf{b} = \mathbf{U}^\top \mathbf{a}.\tag{2.162}$$

The right hand side can be written as  $\sum_{d=1}^D \lambda_d b_d^2$ . Therefore, the necessary and sufficient condition for

$$\mathbf{a}^\top \mathbf{\Sigma} \mathbf{a} > 0\tag{2.163}$$

for any real vector  $\mathbf{a}$  is

$$\lambda_d > 0.\tag{2.164}$$

## 2.21

Let  $\mathbf{\Sigma}$  be a  $D \times D$  real symmetric matrix. Then the number of independent parameters is  $\frac{D(D+1)}{2}$ .

## 2.22

Let  $\mathbf{\Sigma}$  be a  $D \times D$  symmetric matrix and

$$\mathbf{\Sigma} \mathbf{\Lambda} = \mathbf{I}.\tag{2.165}$$

Taking the transpose of the both sides gives

$$\mathbf{\Lambda}^\top \mathbf{\Sigma} = \mathbf{I}.\tag{2.166}$$

Therefore,

$$\mathbf{\Lambda}^\top = \mathbf{\Lambda}.\tag{2.167}$$

### 2.23

Let  $\Sigma$  be a  $D \times D$  real symmetric matrix such that

$$\Sigma \mathbf{u}_d = \lambda_d \mathbf{u}_d, \quad (2.168)$$

where  $u_1, \dots, u_D$  are unit vectors. Let

$$\begin{aligned} \Lambda' &= \text{diag} \left( \lambda_1^{-\frac{1}{2}}, \dots, \lambda_D^{-\frac{1}{2}} \right), \\ \mathbf{U} &= [\mathbf{u}_1 \cdots \mathbf{u}_D]. \end{aligned} \quad (2.169)$$

By 2.19,

$$\int_{(\mathbf{x}-\boldsymbol{\mu})^\top \Sigma^{-1}(\mathbf{x}-\boldsymbol{\mu})=\Delta} d\mathbf{x} = \int_{(\mathbf{x}-\boldsymbol{\mu})^\top \mathbf{U} \Lambda' \Lambda'^\top \mathbf{U}^\top (\mathbf{x}-\boldsymbol{\mu})=\Delta} d\mathbf{x}. \quad (2.170)$$

By the transformation

$$\mathbf{y} = \Lambda'^\top \mathbf{U}^\top (\mathbf{x} - \boldsymbol{\mu}) \quad (2.171)$$

and the property

$$\mathbf{U}^\top \mathbf{U} = \mathbf{I}, \quad (2.172)$$

the right hand side can be written as

$$\int_{\|\mathbf{y}\|^2=\Delta} \left| \det \left( \mathbf{U} \Lambda'^{-1} \right) \right| d\mathbf{y} = |\det \Sigma|^{\frac{1}{2}} \int_{\|\mathbf{y}\|^2=\Delta} d\mathbf{y}. \quad (2.173)$$

Therefore,

$$\int_{(\mathbf{x}-\boldsymbol{\mu})^\top \Sigma^{-1}(\mathbf{x}-\boldsymbol{\mu})=\Delta} d\mathbf{x} = |\det \Sigma|^{\frac{1}{2}} \Delta^D V_D, \quad (2.174)$$

where

$$V_D = \int_{\|\mathbf{x}\|=1} d\mathbf{x}. \quad (2.175)$$

### 2.24

Let

$$\begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{A} & \mathbf{B} \\ \mathbf{C} & \mathbf{D} \end{bmatrix}$$

be a partitioned matrix where  $\mathbf{A}$  is a square matrix and  $\mathbf{D}$  is an invertible matrix. By an LDU decomposition, we have

$$\begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{A} & \mathbf{B} \\ \mathbf{C} & \mathbf{D} \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{I} & \mathbf{BD}^{-1} \\ \mathbf{O} & \mathbf{I} \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{A} - \mathbf{BD}^{-1}\mathbf{C} & \mathbf{O} \\ \mathbf{O} & \mathbf{D} \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{I} & \mathbf{O} \\ \mathbf{D}^{-1}\mathbf{C} & \mathbf{I} \end{bmatrix}.$$

Therefore,

$$\begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{A} & \mathbf{B} \\ \mathbf{C} & \mathbf{D} \end{bmatrix}^{-1} = \begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{I} & \mathbf{O} \\ -\mathbf{D}^{-1}\mathbf{C} & \mathbf{I} \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} (\mathbf{A} - \mathbf{BD}^{-1}\mathbf{C})^{-1} & \mathbf{O} \\ \mathbf{O} & \mathbf{D}^{-1} \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{I} & -\mathbf{BD}^{-1} \\ \mathbf{O} & \mathbf{I} \end{bmatrix}.$$

Thus,

$$\begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{A} & \mathbf{B} \\ \mathbf{C} & \mathbf{D} \end{bmatrix}^{-1} = \begin{bmatrix} (\mathbf{A} - \mathbf{BD}^{-1}\mathbf{C})^{-1} & -\mathbf{BD}^{-1}(\mathbf{A} - \mathbf{BD}^{-1}\mathbf{C})^{-1} \\ -\mathbf{D}^{-1}\mathbf{C}(\mathbf{A} - \mathbf{BD}^{-1}\mathbf{C})^{-1} & \mathbf{D}^{-1} + \mathbf{D}^{-1}\mathbf{C}(\mathbf{A} - \mathbf{BD}^{-1}\mathbf{C})^{-1}\mathbf{BD}^{-1} \end{bmatrix}.$$

## 2.25

Let  $\mathbf{x}$  be a variable in  $D$  dimensions such that

$$p(\mathbf{x}) = \mathcal{N}(\mathbf{x}|\boldsymbol{\mu}, \boldsymbol{\Sigma}), \quad (2.176)$$

where

$$\mathbf{x} = \begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{x}_a \\ \mathbf{x}_b \\ \mathbf{x}_c \end{bmatrix}, \boldsymbol{\mu} = \begin{bmatrix} \boldsymbol{\mu}_a \\ \boldsymbol{\mu}_b \\ \boldsymbol{\mu}_c \end{bmatrix}, \boldsymbol{\Sigma} = \begin{bmatrix} \boldsymbol{\Sigma}_{aa} & \boldsymbol{\Sigma}_{ab} & \boldsymbol{\Sigma}_{ac} \\ \boldsymbol{\Sigma}_{ba} & \boldsymbol{\Sigma}_{bb} & \boldsymbol{\Sigma}_{bc} \\ \boldsymbol{\Sigma}_{ca} & \boldsymbol{\Sigma}_{cb} & \boldsymbol{\Sigma}_{cc} \end{bmatrix}.$$

Let

$$\boldsymbol{\Lambda} = \boldsymbol{\Sigma}^{-1}, \quad (2.177)$$

where

$$\boldsymbol{\Lambda} = \begin{bmatrix} \boldsymbol{\Lambda}_{aa} & \boldsymbol{\Lambda}_{ab} & \boldsymbol{\Lambda}_{ac} \\ \boldsymbol{\Lambda}_{ba} & \boldsymbol{\Lambda}_{bb} & \boldsymbol{\Lambda}_{bc} \\ \boldsymbol{\Lambda}_{ca} & \boldsymbol{\Lambda}_{cb} & \boldsymbol{\Lambda}_{cc} \end{bmatrix}.$$

Then

$$\begin{aligned} & -\frac{1}{2}(\mathbf{x} - \boldsymbol{\mu})^\top \boldsymbol{\Sigma}^{-1}(\mathbf{x} - \boldsymbol{\mu}) \\ = & -\frac{1}{2}(\mathbf{x}_a - \boldsymbol{\mu}_a)^\top \boldsymbol{\Lambda}_{aa}(\mathbf{x}_a - \boldsymbol{\mu}_a) - \frac{1}{2}(\mathbf{x}_a - \boldsymbol{\mu}_a)^\top \boldsymbol{\Lambda}_{ab}(\mathbf{x}_b - \boldsymbol{\mu}_b) - \frac{1}{2}(\mathbf{x}_a - \boldsymbol{\mu}_a)^\top \boldsymbol{\Lambda}_{ac}(\mathbf{x}_c - \boldsymbol{\mu}_c) \\ & - \frac{1}{2}(\mathbf{x}_b - \boldsymbol{\mu}_b)^\top \boldsymbol{\Lambda}_{ba}(\mathbf{x}_a - \boldsymbol{\mu}_a) - \frac{1}{2}(\mathbf{x}_b - \boldsymbol{\mu}_b)^\top \boldsymbol{\Lambda}_{bb}(\mathbf{x}_b - \boldsymbol{\mu}_b) - \frac{1}{2}(\mathbf{x}_b - \boldsymbol{\mu}_b)^\top \boldsymbol{\Lambda}_{bc}(\mathbf{x}_c - \boldsymbol{\mu}_c) \\ & - \frac{1}{2}(\mathbf{x}_c - \boldsymbol{\mu}_c)^\top \boldsymbol{\Lambda}_{ca}(\mathbf{x}_a - \boldsymbol{\mu}_a) - \frac{1}{2}(\mathbf{x}_c - \boldsymbol{\mu}_c)^\top \boldsymbol{\Lambda}_{cb}(\mathbf{x}_b - \boldsymbol{\mu}_b) - \frac{1}{2}(\mathbf{x}_c - \boldsymbol{\mu}_c)^\top \boldsymbol{\Lambda}_{cc}(\mathbf{x}_c - \boldsymbol{\mu}_c). \end{aligned} \quad (2.178)$$

Excluding the terms independent of  $\mathbf{x}_a$ , the right hand side can be written as

$$-\frac{1}{2}(\mathbf{x}_a - \boldsymbol{\mu}_{a|b,c})^\top \boldsymbol{\Sigma}_{a|b,c}^{-1}(\mathbf{x}_a - \boldsymbol{\mu}_{a|b,c}), \quad (2.179)$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} \boldsymbol{\mu}_{a|b,c} &= \boldsymbol{\mu}_a - \boldsymbol{\Lambda}_{aa}^{-1} \boldsymbol{\Lambda}_{ab} (\mathbf{x}_b - \boldsymbol{\mu}_b) - \boldsymbol{\Lambda}_{aa}^{-1} \boldsymbol{\Lambda}_{ac} (\mathbf{x}_c - \boldsymbol{\mu}_c), \\ \boldsymbol{\Sigma}_{a|b,c} &= \boldsymbol{\Lambda}_{aa}^{-1}. \end{aligned} \quad (2.180)$$

Therefore,

$$p(\mathbf{x}_a | \mathbf{x}_b, \mathbf{x}_c) = \mathcal{N}(\mathbf{x}_a | \boldsymbol{\mu}_{a|b,c}, \boldsymbol{\Sigma}_{a|b,c}). \quad (2.181)$$

Multiplying both sides by  $p(\mathbf{x}_c)$  and integrating both sides with respect to  $\mathbf{x}_c$  gives

$$p(\mathbf{x}_a | \mathbf{x}_b) = \int \mathcal{N}(\mathbf{x}_a | \boldsymbol{\mu}_{a|b,c}, \boldsymbol{\Sigma}_{a|b,c}) p(\mathbf{x}_c) d\mathbf{x}_c. \quad (2.182)$$

Thus,

$$p(\mathbf{x}_a | \mathbf{x}_b) = \mathcal{N}(\mathbf{x}_a | \boldsymbol{\mu}_{a|b}, \boldsymbol{\Sigma}_{a|b}), \quad (2.183)$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} \boldsymbol{\mu}_{a|b} &= \boldsymbol{\mu}_a - \boldsymbol{\Lambda}_{aa}^{-1} \boldsymbol{\Lambda}_{ab} (\mathbf{x}_b - \boldsymbol{\mu}_b) + \boldsymbol{\Lambda}_{aa}^{-1} \boldsymbol{\Lambda}_{ac} \boldsymbol{\mu}_c, \\ \boldsymbol{\Sigma}_{a|b} &= \boldsymbol{\Lambda}_{aa}^{-1}. \end{aligned} \quad (2.184)$$

## 2.26

We have

$$\begin{aligned} & \left( \mathbf{A}^{-1} - \mathbf{A}^{-1} \mathbf{B} (\mathbf{C}^{-1} + \mathbf{D} \mathbf{A}^{-1} \mathbf{B})^{-1} \mathbf{D} \mathbf{A}^{-1} \right) (\mathbf{A} + \mathbf{B} \mathbf{C} \mathbf{D}) \\ &= \mathbf{I} - \mathbf{A}^{-1} \mathbf{B} (\mathbf{C}^{-1} + \mathbf{D} \mathbf{A}^{-1} \mathbf{B})^{-1} \mathbf{D} + \mathbf{A}^{-1} \mathbf{B} \mathbf{C} \mathbf{D} \\ & \quad - \mathbf{A}^{-1} \mathbf{B} (\mathbf{C}^{-1} + \mathbf{D} \mathbf{A}^{-1} \mathbf{B})^{-1} \mathbf{D} \mathbf{A}^{-1} \mathbf{B} \mathbf{C} \mathbf{D}. \end{aligned} \quad (2.185)$$

The right hand side except the first term can be written as

$$\begin{aligned} & \mathbf{A}^{-1} \mathbf{B} \left( \mathbf{C} - (\mathbf{C}^{-1} + \mathbf{D} \mathbf{A}^{-1} \mathbf{B})^{-1} (\mathbf{I} + \mathbf{D} \mathbf{A}^{-1} \mathbf{B} \mathbf{C}) \right) \mathbf{D} \\ &= \mathbf{A}^{-1} \mathbf{B} \left( \mathbf{C} - (\mathbf{C}^{-1} + \mathbf{D} \mathbf{A}^{-1} \mathbf{B})^{-1} (\mathbf{C}^{-1} + \mathbf{D} \mathbf{A}^{-1} \mathbf{B}) \mathbf{C} \right) \mathbf{D}. \end{aligned} \quad (2.186)$$

The right hand side can be written as

$$\mathbf{A}^{-1} \mathbf{B} (\mathbf{C} - \mathbf{C}) \mathbf{D} = \mathbf{O}. \quad (2.187)$$



Therefore,

$$\left( \mathbf{A}^{-1} - \mathbf{A}^{-1} \mathbf{B} (\mathbf{C}^{-1} + \mathbf{D} \mathbf{A}^{-1} \mathbf{B})^{-1} \mathbf{D} \mathbf{A}^{-1} \right) (\mathbf{A} + \mathbf{B} \mathbf{C} \mathbf{D}) = \mathbf{I}. \quad (2.188)$$

Thus,

$$(\mathbf{A} + \mathbf{B} \mathbf{C} \mathbf{D})^{-1} = \mathbf{A}^{-1} - \mathbf{A}^{-1} \mathbf{B} (\mathbf{C}^{-1} + \mathbf{D} \mathbf{A}^{-1} \mathbf{B})^{-1} \mathbf{D} \mathbf{A}^{-1}. \quad (2.189)$$

## 2.27

Let  $\mathbf{x}$  and  $\mathbf{z}$  be two variables. Then

$$\mathbf{E}(\mathbf{x} + \mathbf{z}) = \int \int (\mathbf{x} + \mathbf{z}) p(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{z}) d\mathbf{x} d\mathbf{z}. \quad (2.190)$$

The right hand side can be written as

$$\int \mathbf{x} \left( \int p(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{z}) d\mathbf{z} \right) d\mathbf{x} + \int \mathbf{z} \left( \int p(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{z}) d\mathbf{x} \right) d\mathbf{z} = \int \mathbf{x} p(\mathbf{x}) d\mathbf{x} + \int \mathbf{z} p(\mathbf{z}) d\mathbf{z}. \quad (2.191)$$

The right hand side can be written as  $\mathbf{E} \mathbf{x} + \mathbf{E} \mathbf{z}$ . Therefore,

$$\mathbf{E}(\mathbf{x} + \mathbf{z}) = \mathbf{E} \mathbf{x} + \mathbf{E} \mathbf{z}. \quad (2.192)$$

Additionally,

$$\text{cov}(\mathbf{x} + \mathbf{z}) = \int \int (\mathbf{x} + \mathbf{z} - \mathbf{E}(\mathbf{x} + \mathbf{z})) (\mathbf{x} + \mathbf{z} - \mathbf{E}(\mathbf{x} + \mathbf{z}))^\top p(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{z}) d\mathbf{x} d\mathbf{z}. \quad (2.193)$$

The right hand side can be written as

$$\begin{aligned} & \int \int (\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{E} \mathbf{x}) (\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{E} \mathbf{x})^\top p(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{z}) d\mathbf{x} d\mathbf{z} + \int \int (\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{E} \mathbf{x}) (\mathbf{z} - \mathbf{E} \mathbf{z})^\top p(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{z}) d\mathbf{x} d\mathbf{z} \\ & + \int \int (\mathbf{z} - \mathbf{E} \mathbf{z}) (\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{E} \mathbf{x})^\top p(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{z}) d\mathbf{x} d\mathbf{z} + \int \int (\mathbf{z} - \mathbf{E} \mathbf{z}) (\mathbf{z} - \mathbf{E} \mathbf{z})^\top p(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{z}) d\mathbf{x} d\mathbf{z}. \end{aligned} \quad (2.194)$$

The first and fourth terms can be written as  $\text{cov} \mathbf{x}$  and  $\text{cov} \mathbf{z}$ . If  $\mathbf{x}$  and  $\mathbf{z}$  are independent, the second and third terms can be written as

$$\begin{aligned} & \int (\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{E} \mathbf{x}) p(\mathbf{x}) d\mathbf{x} \int (\mathbf{z} - \mathbf{E} \mathbf{z})^\top p(\mathbf{z}) d\mathbf{z} = \mathbf{O}, \\ & \int (\mathbf{z} - \mathbf{E} \mathbf{z}) p(\mathbf{z}) d\mathbf{z} \int (\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{E} \mathbf{x})^\top p(\mathbf{x}) d\mathbf{x} = \mathbf{O}. \end{aligned} \quad (2.195)$$

Therefore,

$$\text{cov}(\mathbf{x} + \mathbf{z}) = \text{cov} \mathbf{x} + \text{cov} \mathbf{z}. \quad (2.196)$$

## 2.28

Let  $\mathbf{x}$  and  $\mathbf{y}$  be Gaussian variables and

$$\mathbf{z} = \begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{x} \\ \mathbf{y} \end{bmatrix},$$

where

$$\mathbb{E} \mathbf{z} = \begin{bmatrix} \boldsymbol{\mu} \\ \mathbf{A}\boldsymbol{\mu} + \mathbf{b} \end{bmatrix}$$

and

$$\text{cov } \mathbf{z} = \begin{bmatrix} \boldsymbol{\Lambda}^{-1} & \boldsymbol{\Lambda}^{-1} \mathbf{A}^\top \\ \mathbf{A} \boldsymbol{\Lambda}^{-1} & \mathbf{L}^{-1} + \mathbf{A} \boldsymbol{\Lambda}^{-1} \mathbf{A}^\top \end{bmatrix}.$$

Then, by 2.29,

$$(\text{cov } \mathbf{z})^{-1} = \begin{bmatrix} \boldsymbol{\Lambda} + \mathbf{A}^\top \mathbf{L} \mathbf{A} & -\mathbf{A}^\top \mathbf{L} \\ -\mathbf{L} \mathbf{A} & \mathbf{L} \end{bmatrix}.$$

Then,  $\ln p(\mathbf{z})$  except the terms independent of  $\mathbf{x}$  and  $\mathbf{y}$  is given by

$$\begin{aligned} & -\frac{1}{2}(\mathbf{x} - \boldsymbol{\mu})^\top (\boldsymbol{\Lambda} + \mathbf{A}^\top \mathbf{L} \mathbf{A}) (\mathbf{x} - \boldsymbol{\mu}) + \frac{1}{2}(\mathbf{x} - \boldsymbol{\mu})^\top \mathbf{A}^\top \mathbf{L} (\mathbf{y} - \mathbf{A}\boldsymbol{\mu} - \mathbf{b}) \\ & + \frac{1}{2}(\mathbf{y} - \mathbf{A}\boldsymbol{\mu} - \mathbf{b})^\top \mathbf{L} \mathbf{A} (\mathbf{x} - \boldsymbol{\mu}) - \frac{1}{2}(\mathbf{y} - \mathbf{A}\boldsymbol{\mu} - \mathbf{b})^\top \mathbf{L} (\mathbf{y} - \mathbf{A}\boldsymbol{\mu} - \mathbf{b}) \\ & = -\frac{1}{2}(\mathbf{x} - \boldsymbol{\mu})^\top (\boldsymbol{\Lambda} + \mathbf{A}^\top \mathbf{L} \mathbf{A}) (\mathbf{x} - \boldsymbol{\mu}) + \\ & -\frac{1}{2}(\mathbf{y} - \mathbf{A}\boldsymbol{\mu} - \mathbf{b} - \mathbf{A}(\mathbf{x} - \boldsymbol{\mu}))^\top \mathbf{L} (\mathbf{y} - \mathbf{A}\boldsymbol{\mu} - \mathbf{b} - \mathbf{A}(\mathbf{x} - \boldsymbol{\mu})) \\ & -\frac{1}{2}(\mathbf{x} - \boldsymbol{\mu})^\top \mathbf{A}^\top \mathbf{L} \mathbf{A} (\mathbf{x} - \boldsymbol{\mu}). \end{aligned} \tag{2.197}$$

The right hand side can be written as

$$-\frac{1}{2}(\mathbf{x} - \boldsymbol{\mu})^\top \boldsymbol{\Lambda} (\mathbf{x} - \boldsymbol{\mu}) - \frac{1}{2}(\mathbf{y} - \mathbf{A}\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{b})^\top \mathbf{L} (\mathbf{y} - \mathbf{A}\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{b}). \tag{2.198}$$

Therefore,

$$\begin{aligned} p(\mathbf{x}) &= \mathcal{N}(\mathbf{x} | \boldsymbol{\mu}, \boldsymbol{\Lambda}^{-1}), \\ p(\mathbf{y} | \mathbf{x}) &= \mathcal{N}(\mathbf{y} | \mathbf{A}\mathbf{x} + \mathbf{b}, \mathbf{L}^{-1}). \end{aligned} \tag{2.199}$$

### 2.29

Let

$$\mathbf{R} = \begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{\Lambda} + \mathbf{A}^\top \mathbf{L} \mathbf{A} & -\mathbf{A}^\top \mathbf{L} \\ -\mathbf{L} \mathbf{A} & \mathbf{L} \end{bmatrix}.$$

Then, by 2.24,

$$\mathbf{R}^{-1} = \begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{\Lambda}^{-1} & \mathbf{\Lambda}^{-1} \mathbf{A}^\top \\ \mathbf{A} \mathbf{\Lambda}^{-1} & \mathbf{L}^{-1} + \mathbf{A} \mathbf{\Lambda}^{-1} \mathbf{A}^\top \end{bmatrix}.$$

### 2.30

Let

$$\mathbf{R}^{-1} = \begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{\Lambda}^{-1} & \mathbf{\Lambda}^{-1} \mathbf{A}^\top \\ \mathbf{A} \mathbf{\Lambda}^{-1} & \mathbf{L}^{-1} + \mathbf{A} \mathbf{\Lambda}^{-1} \mathbf{A}^\top \end{bmatrix}.$$

Then

$$\mathbf{R}^{-1} \begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{\Lambda} \boldsymbol{\mu} - \mathbf{A}^\top \mathbf{L} \mathbf{b} \\ \mathbf{L} \mathbf{b} \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} \boldsymbol{\mu} \\ \mathbf{A} \boldsymbol{\mu} + \mathbf{b} \end{bmatrix}.$$

### 2.31

Let  $\mathbf{y}$  be a variable such that

$$\mathbf{y} = \mathbf{x} + \mathbf{z}, \quad (2.200)$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} p(\mathbf{x}) &= \mathcal{N}(\mathbf{x} | \boldsymbol{\mu}_{\mathbf{x}}, \boldsymbol{\Sigma}_{\mathbf{x}}), \\ p(\mathbf{z}) &= \mathcal{N}(\mathbf{z} | \boldsymbol{\mu}_{\mathbf{z}}, \boldsymbol{\Sigma}_{\mathbf{z}}). \end{aligned} \quad (2.201)$$

By marginalisation,

$$p(\mathbf{y}) = \int p(\mathbf{y} | \mathbf{x}) p(\mathbf{x}) d\mathbf{x}. \quad (2.202)$$

The right hand side can be written as

$$\int \mathcal{N}(\mathbf{y} | \mathbf{x} + \boldsymbol{\mu}_{\mathbf{z}}, \boldsymbol{\Sigma}_{\mathbf{z}}) \mathcal{N}(\mathbf{x} | \boldsymbol{\mu}_{\mathbf{x}}, \boldsymbol{\Sigma}_{\mathbf{x}}) d\mathbf{x}. \quad (2.203)$$

The logarithm of the integrand except the terms independent of  $\mathbf{x}$  and  $\mathbf{y}$  is given by

$$-\frac{1}{2}(\mathbf{y} - \mathbf{x} - \boldsymbol{\mu}_{\mathbf{z}})^\top \boldsymbol{\Sigma}_{\mathbf{z}}^{-1}(\mathbf{y} - \mathbf{x} - \boldsymbol{\mu}_{\mathbf{z}}) - \frac{1}{2}(\mathbf{x} - \boldsymbol{\mu}_{\mathbf{x}})^\top \boldsymbol{\Sigma}_{\mathbf{x}}^{-1}(\mathbf{x} - \boldsymbol{\mu}_{\mathbf{x}}). \quad (2.204)$$

The first and second order terms can be written as

$$-\mathbf{x}^\top (\Sigma_z^{-1} \boldsymbol{\mu}_z - \Sigma_x^{-1} \boldsymbol{\mu}_x) + \mathbf{y}^\top \Sigma_z^{-1} \boldsymbol{\mu}_z = \mathbf{u}^\top \mathbf{v} \quad (2.205)$$

and

$$-\frac{1}{2} \mathbf{x}^\top (\Sigma_x^{-1} + \Sigma_z^{-1}) \mathbf{x} + \frac{1}{2} \mathbf{x}^\top \Sigma_z^{-1} \mathbf{y} + \frac{1}{2} \mathbf{y}^\top \Sigma_z^{-1} \mathbf{x} - \frac{1}{2} \mathbf{y}^\top \Sigma_z^{-1} \mathbf{y} = -\frac{1}{2} \mathbf{u}^\top \mathbf{R} \mathbf{u}, \quad (2.206)$$

respectively, where

$$\mathbf{u} = \begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{x} \\ \mathbf{y} \end{bmatrix}, \mathbf{v} = \begin{bmatrix} \Sigma_x^{-1} \boldsymbol{\mu}_x - \Sigma_z^{-1} \boldsymbol{\mu}_z \\ \Sigma_z^{-1} \boldsymbol{\mu}_z \end{bmatrix}, \mathbf{R} = \begin{bmatrix} \Sigma_x^{-1} & -\Sigma_z^{-1} \\ -\Sigma_z^{-1} & \Sigma_z^{-1} \end{bmatrix}.$$

Therefore, the logarithm of the integrand except the terms independent of  $\mathbf{u}$  can be written as

$$-\frac{1}{2} (\mathbf{u} - \mathbf{R}^{-1} \mathbf{v})^\top \mathbf{R} (\mathbf{u} - \mathbf{R}^{-1} \mathbf{v}), \quad (2.207)$$

where

$$\mathbf{R}^{-1} = \begin{bmatrix} \Sigma_x & \Sigma_x \\ \Sigma_x & \Sigma_x + \Sigma_z \end{bmatrix}, \mathbf{R}^{-1} \mathbf{v} = \begin{bmatrix} \boldsymbol{\mu}_x \\ \boldsymbol{\mu}_x + \boldsymbol{\mu}_z \end{bmatrix}.$$

by 2.29 and 2.30. Thus,

$$p(\mathbf{y}) = \mathcal{N}(\mathbf{y} | \boldsymbol{\mu}_x + \boldsymbol{\mu}_z, \Sigma_x + \Sigma_z). \quad (2.208)$$

## 2.32

Let  $\mathbf{x}$  and  $\mathbf{y}$  be variables such that

$$\begin{aligned} p(\mathbf{x}) &= \mathcal{N}(\mathbf{x} | \boldsymbol{\mu}, \Lambda^{-1}), \\ p(\mathbf{y} | \mathbf{x}) &= \mathcal{N}(\mathbf{y} | \mathbf{A}\mathbf{x} + \mathbf{b}, \mathbf{L}^{-1}). \end{aligned} \quad (2.209)$$

By the Bayes' theorem,

$$p(\mathbf{y} | \mathbf{x}) p(\mathbf{x}) = p(\mathbf{x} | \mathbf{y}) p(\mathbf{y}). \quad (2.210)$$

The logarithm of the left hand side except the terms independent of  $\mathbf{x}$  and  $\mathbf{y}$  is given by

$$-\frac{1}{2} (\mathbf{y} - \mathbf{A}\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{b})^\top \mathbf{L} (\mathbf{y} - \mathbf{A}\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{b}) - \frac{1}{2} (\mathbf{x} - \boldsymbol{\mu})^\top \Lambda (\mathbf{x} - \boldsymbol{\mu}). \quad (2.211)$$

Since the first term can be written as

$$\begin{aligned}
& -\frac{1}{2}(\mathbf{y} - \mathbf{A}(\mathbf{x} - \boldsymbol{\mu}) - \mathbf{A}\boldsymbol{\mu} - \mathbf{b})^\top \mathbf{L}(\mathbf{y} - \mathbf{A}(\mathbf{x} - \boldsymbol{\mu}) - \mathbf{A}\boldsymbol{\mu} - \mathbf{b}) \\
& = -\frac{1}{2}(\mathbf{x} - \boldsymbol{\mu})^\top \mathbf{A}^\top \mathbf{L} \mathbf{A}(\mathbf{x} - \boldsymbol{\mu}) + (\mathbf{x} - \boldsymbol{\mu})^\top \mathbf{A}^\top \mathbf{L}(\mathbf{y} - \mathbf{A}\boldsymbol{\mu} - \mathbf{b}) \\
& \quad -\frac{1}{2}(\mathbf{y} - \mathbf{A}\boldsymbol{\mu} - \mathbf{b})^\top \mathbf{L}(\mathbf{y} - \mathbf{A}\boldsymbol{\mu} - \mathbf{b}),
\end{aligned} \tag{2.212}$$

the logarithm except the terms independent of  $\mathbf{x}$  and  $\mathbf{y}$  can be written as

$$\begin{aligned}
& -\frac{1}{2}(\mathbf{x} - \boldsymbol{\mu} - \mathbf{z})^\top (\boldsymbol{\Lambda} + \mathbf{A}^\top \mathbf{L} \mathbf{A})(\mathbf{x} - \boldsymbol{\mu} - \mathbf{z}) + \frac{1}{2}\mathbf{z}^\top (\mathbf{A}^\top \mathbf{L} \mathbf{A} + \boldsymbol{\Lambda}) \mathbf{z} \\
& -\frac{1}{2}(\mathbf{y} - \mathbf{A}\boldsymbol{\mu} - \mathbf{b})^\top \mathbf{L}(\mathbf{y} - \mathbf{A}\boldsymbol{\mu} - \mathbf{b}) \\
& = -\frac{1}{2}(\mathbf{x} - \boldsymbol{\mu} - \mathbf{z})^\top (\boldsymbol{\Lambda} + \mathbf{A}^\top \mathbf{L} \mathbf{A})(\mathbf{x} - \boldsymbol{\mu} - \mathbf{z}) \\
& \quad -\frac{1}{2}(\mathbf{y} - \mathbf{A}\boldsymbol{\mu} - \mathbf{b})^\top \mathbf{M}(\mathbf{y} - \mathbf{A}\boldsymbol{\mu} - \mathbf{b}),
\end{aligned} \tag{2.213}$$

where

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathbf{z} &= (\boldsymbol{\Lambda} + \mathbf{A}^\top \mathbf{L} \mathbf{A})^{-1} \mathbf{A}^\top \mathbf{L}(\mathbf{y} - \mathbf{A}\boldsymbol{\mu} - \mathbf{b}), \\
\mathbf{M} &= \mathbf{L} - \mathbf{L} \mathbf{A} (\boldsymbol{\Lambda} + \mathbf{A}^\top \mathbf{L} \mathbf{A})^{-1} \mathbf{A}^\top \mathbf{L}.
\end{aligned} \tag{2.214}$$

we have

$$\boldsymbol{\mu} + \mathbf{z} = (\boldsymbol{\Lambda} + \mathbf{A}^\top \mathbf{L} \mathbf{A})^{-1} (\mathbf{A}^\top \mathbf{L}(\mathbf{y} - \mathbf{b}) + \boldsymbol{\Lambda}\boldsymbol{\mu}). \tag{2.215}$$

By 2.26,

$$(\boldsymbol{\Lambda} + \mathbf{A}^\top \mathbf{L} \mathbf{A})^{-1} = \boldsymbol{\Lambda}^{-1} - \boldsymbol{\Lambda}^{-1} \mathbf{A}^\top (\mathbf{L}^{-1} + \mathbf{A} \boldsymbol{\Lambda}^{-1} \mathbf{A}^\top)^{-1} \mathbf{A} \boldsymbol{\Lambda}^{-1}. \tag{2.216}$$

Therefore,

$$\mathbf{M} = (\mathbf{L}^{-1} + \mathbf{A} \boldsymbol{\Lambda}^{-1} \mathbf{A}^\top)^{-1}. \tag{2.217}$$

Thus,

$$\begin{aligned}
p(\mathbf{x}|\mathbf{y}) &= \mathcal{N}(\mathbf{x} | (\boldsymbol{\Lambda} + \mathbf{A}^\top \mathbf{L} \mathbf{A})^{-1} (\mathbf{A}^\top \mathbf{L}(\mathbf{y} - \mathbf{b}) + \boldsymbol{\Lambda}\boldsymbol{\mu}), (\boldsymbol{\Lambda} + \mathbf{A}^\top \mathbf{L} \mathbf{A})^{-1}), \\
p(\mathbf{y}) &= \mathcal{N}(\mathbf{y} | \mathbf{A}\boldsymbol{\mu} + \mathbf{b}, \mathbf{L}^{-1} + \mathbf{A} \boldsymbol{\Lambda}^{-1} \mathbf{A}^\top).
\end{aligned} \tag{2.218}$$

## 2.33

Refer to 2.32.

## 2.34

Let  $\mathbf{X}$  be a set of  $N$  variables such that

$$\ln p(\mathbf{X}|\boldsymbol{\mu}, \boldsymbol{\Sigma}) = -\frac{ND}{2} \ln(2\pi) - \frac{N}{2} \ln(\det \boldsymbol{\Sigma}) - \frac{1}{2} \sum_{n=1}^N (\mathbf{x}_n - \boldsymbol{\mu})^\top \boldsymbol{\Sigma}^{-1} (\mathbf{x}_n - \boldsymbol{\mu}). \quad (2.219)$$

By 3.21(a), setting the derivatives with respect to  $\boldsymbol{\mu}$  and  $\boldsymbol{\Sigma}$  to zero gives

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{0} &= \sum_{n=1}^N (\boldsymbol{\Sigma}^{-1} + (\boldsymbol{\Sigma}^{-1})^\top) (\mathbf{x}_n - \boldsymbol{\mu}), \\ \mathbf{0} &= -\frac{N}{2} (\boldsymbol{\Sigma}^{-1})^\top + \frac{1}{2} (\boldsymbol{\Sigma}^{-1})^2 \sum_{n=1}^N (\mathbf{x}_n - \boldsymbol{\mu})(\mathbf{x}_n - \boldsymbol{\mu})^\top. \end{aligned} \quad (2.220)$$

Therefore,

$$\begin{aligned} \boldsymbol{\mu}_{\text{ML}} &= \frac{1}{N} \sum_{n=1}^N \mathbf{x}_n, \\ \boldsymbol{\Sigma}_{\text{ML}} &= \frac{1}{N} \sum_{n=1}^N (\mathbf{x}_n - \boldsymbol{\mu}_{\text{ML}})(\mathbf{x}_n - \boldsymbol{\mu}_{\text{ML}})^\top. \end{aligned} \quad (2.221)$$

## 2.35

Let  $\mathbf{x}$  be a variable such that

$$p(\mathbf{x}) = \mathcal{N}(\mathbf{x}|\boldsymbol{\mu}, \boldsymbol{\Sigma}). \quad (2.222)$$

Then

$$\mathbb{E} \mathbf{x} \mathbf{x}^\top = \int \mathbf{x} \mathbf{x}^\top \mathcal{N}(\mathbf{x}|\boldsymbol{\mu}, \boldsymbol{\Sigma}) d\mathbf{x}. \quad (2.223)$$

The right hand side can be written as

$$\begin{aligned} & \int (\mathbf{x} - \boldsymbol{\mu} + \boldsymbol{\mu})(\mathbf{x} - \boldsymbol{\mu} + \boldsymbol{\mu})^\top \mathcal{N}(\mathbf{x}|\boldsymbol{\mu}, \boldsymbol{\Sigma}) d\mathbf{x} \\ &= \int (\mathbf{x} - \boldsymbol{\mu})(\mathbf{x} - \boldsymbol{\mu})^\top \mathcal{N}(\mathbf{x}|\boldsymbol{\mu}, \boldsymbol{\Sigma}) d\mathbf{x} + \boldsymbol{\mu} \int (\mathbf{x} - \boldsymbol{\mu})^\top \mathcal{N}(\mathbf{x}|\boldsymbol{\mu}, \boldsymbol{\Sigma}) d\mathbf{x} \\ &+ \left( \int (\mathbf{x} - \boldsymbol{\mu}) \mathcal{N}(\mathbf{x}|\boldsymbol{\mu}, \boldsymbol{\Sigma}) d\mathbf{x} \right) \boldsymbol{\mu}^\top + \boldsymbol{\mu} \boldsymbol{\mu}^\top \int \mathcal{N}(\mathbf{x}|\boldsymbol{\mu}, \boldsymbol{\Sigma}) d\mathbf{x}. \end{aligned} \quad (2.224)$$

Since

$$\begin{aligned}\int \mathcal{N}(\mathbf{x}|\boldsymbol{\mu}, \boldsymbol{\Sigma}) d\mathbf{x} &= 1, \\ \int \mathbf{x} \mathcal{N}(\mathbf{x}|\boldsymbol{\mu}, \boldsymbol{\Sigma}) d\mathbf{x} &= \boldsymbol{\mu}, \\ \int (\mathbf{x} - \boldsymbol{\mu})(\mathbf{x} - \boldsymbol{\mu})^\top \mathcal{N}(\mathbf{x}|\boldsymbol{\mu}, \boldsymbol{\Sigma}) d\mathbf{x} &= \boldsymbol{\Sigma},\end{aligned}\tag{2.225}$$

the right hand side can be written as  $\boldsymbol{\Sigma} + \boldsymbol{\mu}\boldsymbol{\mu}^\top$ . Therefore,

$$\mathbb{E} \mathbf{x} \mathbf{x}^\top = \boldsymbol{\Sigma} + \boldsymbol{\mu}\boldsymbol{\mu}^\top.\tag{2.226}$$

Additionally, let  $\mathbf{x}_n$  and  $\mathbf{x}_m$  be variables such that

$$\begin{aligned}p(\mathbf{x}_n) &= \mathcal{N}(\mathbf{x}_n|\boldsymbol{\mu}, \boldsymbol{\Sigma}), \\ p(\mathbf{x}_m) &= \mathcal{N}(\mathbf{x}_m|\boldsymbol{\mu}, \boldsymbol{\Sigma}).\end{aligned}\tag{2.227}$$

If  $n \neq m$ , then

$$\mathbb{E} \mathbf{x}_n \mathbf{x}_m^\top = \mathbb{E} \mathbf{x}_n \mathbb{E} \mathbf{x}_m^\top.\tag{2.228}$$

The right hand side can be written as  $\boldsymbol{\mu}\boldsymbol{\mu}^\top$ . Therefore,

$$\mathbb{E} \mathbf{x}_n \mathbf{x}_m^\top = \delta_{nm} \boldsymbol{\Sigma} + \boldsymbol{\mu}\boldsymbol{\mu}^\top.\tag{2.229}$$

Finally, let  $\mathbf{x}_1, \dots, \mathbf{x}_N$  be variables such that

$$p(\mathbf{x}_n) = \mathcal{N}(\mathbf{x}_n|\boldsymbol{\mu}, \boldsymbol{\Sigma}).\tag{2.230}$$

By 2.34,

$$\begin{aligned}\boldsymbol{\mu}_{\text{ML}} &= \frac{1}{N} \sum_{n=1}^N \mathbf{x}_n, \\ \boldsymbol{\Sigma}_{\text{ML}} &= \frac{1}{N} \sum_{n=1}^N (\mathbf{x}_n - \boldsymbol{\mu}_{\text{ML}})(\mathbf{x}_n - \boldsymbol{\mu}_{\text{ML}})^\top.\end{aligned}\tag{2.231}$$

Then

$$\mathbb{E} \boldsymbol{\Sigma}_{\text{ML}} = \frac{1}{N} \sum_{n=1}^N \mathbb{E} (\mathbf{x}_n - \boldsymbol{\mu}_{\text{ML}})(\mathbf{x}_n - \boldsymbol{\mu}_{\text{ML}})^\top.\tag{2.232}$$

The right hand side can be written as

$$\begin{aligned} & \frac{1}{N} \sum_{n=1}^N \mathbb{E} \mathbf{x}_n \mathbf{x}_n^\top - \frac{1}{N^2} \sum_{n=1}^N \mathbb{E} \left( \sum_{n=1}^N \mathbf{x}_n \right) \mathbf{x}_n^\top - \frac{1}{N^2} \sum_{n=1}^N \mathbb{E} \mathbf{x}_n \left( \sum_{n=1}^N \mathbf{x}_n \right)^\top \\ & + \frac{1}{N^3} \sum_{n=1}^N \mathbb{E} \left( \sum_{n=1}^N \mathbf{x}_n \right) \left( \sum_{n=1}^N \mathbf{x}_n \right)^\top. \end{aligned} \quad (2.233)$$

The first term can be written as  $\Sigma + \boldsymbol{\mu} \boldsymbol{\mu}^\top$ . The second and third terms can be written as

$$-\frac{1}{N} ((\Sigma + \boldsymbol{\mu} \boldsymbol{\mu}^\top) + (N-1) \boldsymbol{\mu} \boldsymbol{\mu}^\top) = -\frac{1}{N} \Sigma - \boldsymbol{\mu} \boldsymbol{\mu}^\top. \quad (2.234)$$

The fourth term can be written as

$$\frac{1}{N^2} (N (\Sigma + \boldsymbol{\mu} \boldsymbol{\mu}^\top) + N(N-1) \boldsymbol{\mu} \boldsymbol{\mu}^\top) = \frac{1}{N} \Sigma + \boldsymbol{\mu} \boldsymbol{\mu}^\top. \quad (2.235)$$

Therefore,

$$\mathbb{E} \Sigma_{\text{ML}} = \frac{N-1}{N} \Sigma. \quad (2.236)$$

## 2.36

Let  $x_1, \dots, x_N$  be variables such that

$$p(x_n) = \mathcal{N}(x_n | \mu, \sigma^2). \quad (2.237)$$

Let us assume that  $\mu$  is known. Then, by 2.34,

$$\sigma_{\text{ML}}^{2(N)} = \frac{1}{N} \sum_{n=1}^N (x_n - \mu)^2. \quad (2.238)$$

The right hand side can be written as

$$\frac{1}{N} (x_N - \mu)^2 + \frac{1}{N} \sum_{n=1}^{N-1} (x_n - \mu)^2 = \frac{1}{N} (x_N - \mu)^2 + \frac{N-1}{N} \sigma_{\text{ML}}^{2(N-1)}. \quad (2.239)$$

Therefore,

$$\sigma_{\text{ML}}^{2(N)} = \sigma_{\text{ML}}^{2(N-1)} + \frac{1}{N} \left( (x_N - \mu)^2 - \sigma_{\text{ML}}^{2(N-1)} \right). \quad (2.240)$$



Since

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial \sigma^2} (-\ln p(x_n | \sigma^2)) = \frac{1}{2\sigma^2} - \frac{1}{2(\sigma^2)^2} (x_n - \mu)^2, \quad (2.241)$$

we have

$$\sigma_{\text{ML}}^{2(N)} = \sigma_{\text{ML}}^{2(N-1)} - \frac{\sigma_{\text{ML}}^{2(N-1)}}{N} \frac{\partial}{\partial \sigma_{\text{ML}}^{2(N-1)}} \left( -\ln p \left( x_N | \sigma_{\text{ML}}^{2(N-1)} \right) \right). \quad (2.242)$$

## 2.37

Let  $\mathbf{x}_1, \dots, \mathbf{x}_N$  be variables such that

$$p(\mathbf{x}_n) = \mathcal{N}(\mathbf{x}_n | \boldsymbol{\mu}, \boldsymbol{\Sigma}). \quad (2.243)$$

Let us assume that  $\boldsymbol{\mu}$  is known. Then, by 2.34,

$$\boldsymbol{\Sigma}_{\text{ML}}^{(N)} = \frac{1}{N} \sum_{n=1}^N (\mathbf{x}_n - \boldsymbol{\mu})(\mathbf{x}_n - \boldsymbol{\mu})^\top. \quad (2.244)$$

The right hand side can be written as

$$\begin{aligned} & \frac{1}{N} (\mathbf{x}_N - \boldsymbol{\mu})(\mathbf{x}_N - \boldsymbol{\mu})^\top + \frac{1}{N} \sum_{n=1}^{N-1} (\mathbf{x}_n - \boldsymbol{\mu})(\mathbf{x}_n - \boldsymbol{\mu})^\top \\ &= \frac{1}{N} (\mathbf{x}_N - \boldsymbol{\mu})(\mathbf{x}_N - \boldsymbol{\mu})^\top + \frac{N-1}{N} \boldsymbol{\Sigma}_{\text{ML}}^{(N-1)}. \end{aligned} \quad (2.245)$$

Therefore,

$$\boldsymbol{\Sigma}_{\text{ML}}^{(N)} = \boldsymbol{\Sigma}_{\text{ML}}^{(N-1)} + \frac{1}{N} \left( (\mathbf{x}_N - \boldsymbol{\mu})(\mathbf{x}_N - \boldsymbol{\mu})^\top - \boldsymbol{\Sigma}_{\text{ML}}^{(N-1)} \right). \quad (2.246)$$

Since

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial \boldsymbol{\Sigma}} (-\ln p(x_n | \boldsymbol{\Sigma})) = -\frac{1}{2} (\boldsymbol{\Sigma}^{-1})^\top + \frac{1}{2} (\boldsymbol{\Sigma}^{-1})^2 (\mathbf{x}_N - \boldsymbol{\mu})(\mathbf{x}_N - \boldsymbol{\mu})^\top, \quad (2.247)$$

we have

$$\boldsymbol{\Sigma}_{\text{ML}}^{(N)} = \boldsymbol{\Sigma}_{\text{ML}}^{(N-1)} - \frac{\boldsymbol{\Sigma}_{\text{ML}}^{(N-1)}}{N} \frac{\partial}{\partial \boldsymbol{\Sigma}_{\text{ML}}^{(N-1)}} \left( -\ln p \left( \mathbf{x}_N | \boldsymbol{\Sigma}_{\text{ML}}^{(N-1)} \right) \right). \quad (2.248)$$

## 2.38

Let  $x_1, \dots, x_N$  be variables such that

$$\begin{aligned} p(x_n|\mu) &= \mathcal{N}(x_n|\mu, \sigma^2), \\ p(\mu) &= \mathcal{N}(\mu|\mu_0, \sigma_0^2). \end{aligned} \quad (2.249)$$

By the Bayes' theorem,

$$p(\mu|\mathbf{x})p(\mathbf{x}) = p(\mathbf{x}|\mu)p(\mu). \quad (2.250)$$

The logarithm of the right hand side except the terms independent of  $\mathbf{x}$  and  $\mu$  can be written as

$$-\frac{1}{2\sigma^2} \sum_{n=1}^N (x_n - \mu)^2 - \frac{1}{2\sigma_0^2} (\mu - \mu_0)^2. \quad (2.251)$$

The first term can be written as

$$-\frac{1}{2\sigma^2} \sum_{n=1}^N (x_n - \mu_{\text{ML}} + \mu_{\text{ML}} - \mu)^2 = -\frac{1}{2\sigma^2} \sum_{n=1}^N (x_n - \mu_{\text{ML}})^2 - \frac{N}{2\sigma^2} (\mu_{\text{ML}} - \mu)^2. \quad (2.252)$$

where

$$\mu_{\text{ML}} = \frac{1}{N} \sum_{n=1}^N x_n, \quad (2.253)$$

as derived in 2.34. Therefore, the logarithm except the terms independent of  $\mathbf{x}$  and  $\mu$  can be written as

$$\begin{aligned} & -\frac{1}{2\sigma^2} \sum_{n=1}^N (x_n - \mu_{\text{ML}})^2 - \frac{N}{2\sigma^2} (\mu_{\text{ML}} - \mu)^2 - \frac{1}{2\sigma_0^2} (\mu - \mu_0)^2 \\ &= -\frac{1}{2\sigma^2} \sum_{n=1}^N (x_n - \mu_{\text{ML}})^2 - \frac{1}{2\sigma_N^2} (\mu - \mu_N)^2 + \frac{\mu_N^2}{2\sigma_N^2}, \end{aligned} \quad (2.254)$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} \mu_N &= \frac{N\sigma_0^2}{N\sigma_0^2 + \sigma^2} \mu_{\text{ML}} + \frac{\sigma^2}{N\sigma_0^2 + \sigma^2} \mu_0, \\ \sigma_N^2 &= \frac{\sigma^2 \sigma_0^2}{N\sigma_0^2 + \sigma^2}. \end{aligned} \quad (2.255)$$

Therefore,

$$p(\mu|\mathbf{x}) = \mathcal{N}\left(\mu \mid \frac{N\sigma_0^2}{N\sigma_0^2 + \sigma^2} \mu_{\text{ML}} + \frac{\sigma^2}{N\sigma_0^2 + \sigma^2} \mu_0, \frac{\sigma^2 \sigma_0^2}{N\sigma_0^2 + \sigma^2}\right). \quad (2.256)$$

### 2.39 (Incomplete)

Let  $x_1, \dots, x_N$  be variables such that

$$\begin{aligned} p(x_n|\mu) &= \mathcal{N}(x_n|\mu, \sigma^2), \\ p(\mu) &= \mathcal{N}(\mu|\mu_0, \sigma_0^2). \end{aligned} \quad (2.257)$$

Then, by 2.38,

$$p(\mu|\mathbf{x}) = \mathcal{N}(\mu|\mu_N, \sigma_N^2), \quad (2.258)$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} \mu_N &= \frac{\sigma_0^2}{N\sigma_0^2 + \sigma^2} \sum_{n=1}^N x_n + \frac{\sigma^2}{N\sigma_0^2 + \sigma^2} \mu_0, \\ \sigma_N^2 &= \frac{\sigma^2 \sigma_0^2}{N\sigma_0^2 + \sigma^2}. \end{aligned} \quad (2.259)$$

Then

$$\begin{aligned} \mu_N &= \frac{(N-1)\sigma_0^2 + \sigma^2}{N\sigma_0^2 + \sigma^2} \mu_{N-1} + \frac{\sigma_0^2}{N\sigma_0^2 + \sigma^2} x_N + \frac{\sigma^2 - \sigma_0^2}{N\sigma_0^2 + \sigma^2} \mu_0, \\ \sigma_N^2 &= \frac{(N-1)\sigma_0^2 + \sigma^2}{N\sigma_0^2 + \sigma^2} \sigma_{N-1}^2. \end{aligned} \quad (2.260)$$

Additionally, we have

$$\begin{aligned} p(\mu|x_1, \dots, x_{N-1}) &= \mathcal{N}(\mu|\mu_{N-1}, \sigma_{N-1}^2), \\ p(x_N|\mu) &= \mathcal{N}(x_N|\mu, \sigma^2). \end{aligned} \quad (2.261)$$

Then,  $\ln p(\mu|x_1, \dots, x_{N-1}) + \ln p(x_N|\mu)$  except the terms independent of  $\mu$  or  $x_N$  can be written as

$$\begin{aligned} & -\frac{1}{2\sigma_{N-1}^2}(\mu - \mu_{N-1})^2 - \frac{1}{2\sigma^2}(x_N - \mu)^2 \\ &= -\frac{1}{2\frac{\sigma_{N-1}^2\sigma^2}{\sigma_{N-1}^2 + \sigma^2}} \left( \mu - \frac{\sigma^2}{\sigma_{N-1}^2 + \sigma^2} \mu_{N-1} - \frac{\sigma_{N-1}^2}{\sigma_{N-1}^2 + \sigma^2} x_N \right)^2 \\ &+ \frac{1}{2\frac{\sigma_{N-1}^2\sigma^2}{\sigma_{N-1}^2 + \sigma^2}} \left( \frac{\sigma^2}{\sigma_{N-1}^2 + \sigma^2} \mu_{N-1} + \frac{\sigma_{N-1}^2}{\sigma_{N-1}^2 + \sigma^2} x_N \right) - \frac{\mu_{N-1}^2}{2\sigma_{N-1}^2} - \frac{x_N^2}{2\sigma^2}. \end{aligned} \quad (2.262)$$

Therefoere,

$$\begin{aligned}\mu_N &= \frac{\sigma^2}{\sigma_{N-1}^2 + \sigma^2} \mu_{N-1} + \frac{\sigma_{N-1}^2}{\sigma_{N-1}^2 + \sigma^2} x_N, \\ \sigma_N^2 &= \frac{\sigma_{N-1}^2 \sigma^2}{\sigma_{N-1}^2 + \sigma^2}.\end{aligned}\tag{2.263}$$

## 2.40

Let  $\mathbf{x}_1, \dots, \mathbf{x}_N$  be variables such that

$$\begin{aligned}p(\mathbf{x}_n | \boldsymbol{\mu}) &= \mathcal{N}(\mathbf{x}_n | \boldsymbol{\mu}, \boldsymbol{\Sigma}), \\ p(\boldsymbol{\mu}) &= \mathcal{N}(\boldsymbol{\mu} | \boldsymbol{\mu}_0, \boldsymbol{\Sigma}).\end{aligned}\tag{2.264}$$

By the Bayes' theorem,

$$p(\boldsymbol{\mu} | \mathbf{X}) p(\mathbf{X}) = p(\mathbf{X} | \boldsymbol{\mu}) p(\boldsymbol{\mu}).\tag{2.265}$$

The logarithm of the right hand side excp the terms independent of  $\mathbf{X}$  and  $\boldsymbol{\mu}$  can be written as

$$-\frac{1}{2} \sum_{n=1}^N (\mathbf{x}_n - \boldsymbol{\mu})^\top \boldsymbol{\Sigma}^{-1} (\mathbf{x}_n - \boldsymbol{\mu}) - \frac{1}{2} (\boldsymbol{\mu} - \boldsymbol{\mu}_0)^\top \boldsymbol{\Sigma}_0^{-1} (\boldsymbol{\mu} - \boldsymbol{\mu}_0).\tag{2.266}$$

The first term can be written as

$$\begin{aligned}& -\frac{1}{2} \sum_{n=1}^N (\mathbf{x}_n - \boldsymbol{\mu}_{\text{ML}} + \boldsymbol{\mu}_{\text{ML}} - \boldsymbol{\mu})^\top \boldsymbol{\Sigma}^{-1} (\mathbf{x}_n - \boldsymbol{\mu}_{\text{ML}} + \boldsymbol{\mu}_{\text{ML}} - \boldsymbol{\mu}) \\ &= -\frac{1}{2} \sum_{n=1}^N (\mathbf{x}_n - \boldsymbol{\mu}_{\text{ML}})^\top \boldsymbol{\Sigma}^{-1} (\mathbf{x}_n - \boldsymbol{\mu}_{\text{ML}}) - \frac{N}{2} (\boldsymbol{\mu}_{\text{ML}} - \boldsymbol{\mu})^\top \boldsymbol{\Sigma}^{-1} (\boldsymbol{\mu}_{\text{ML}} - \boldsymbol{\mu}).\end{aligned}\tag{2.267}$$

where

$$\boldsymbol{\mu}_{\text{ML}} = \frac{1}{N} \sum_{n=1}^N \mathbf{x}_n,\tag{2.268}$$

as derived in 2.34. Therefore, the logarithm except the terms independent of  $\mathbf{X}$  and  $\boldsymbol{\mu}$  can be written as

$$\begin{aligned}
& -\frac{1}{2} \sum_{n=1}^N (\mathbf{x}_n - \boldsymbol{\mu}_{\text{ML}})^\top \boldsymbol{\Sigma}^{-1} (\mathbf{x}_n - \boldsymbol{\mu}_{\text{ML}}) - \frac{N}{2} (\boldsymbol{\mu}_{\text{ML}} - \boldsymbol{\mu})^\top \boldsymbol{\Sigma}^{-1} (\boldsymbol{\mu}_{\text{ML}} - \boldsymbol{\mu}) \\
& -\frac{1}{2} (\boldsymbol{\mu} - \boldsymbol{\mu}_0)^\top \boldsymbol{\Sigma}_0^{-1} (\boldsymbol{\mu} - \boldsymbol{\mu}_0) \\
& = -\frac{1}{2} \sum_{n=1}^N (\mathbf{x}_n - \boldsymbol{\mu}_{\text{ML}})^\top \boldsymbol{\Sigma}^{-1} (\mathbf{x}_n - \boldsymbol{\mu}_{\text{ML}}) - \frac{1}{2} (\boldsymbol{\mu} - \boldsymbol{\mu}_N)^\top \boldsymbol{\Sigma}_N^{-1} (\boldsymbol{\mu} - \boldsymbol{\mu}_N) \\
& + \frac{1}{2} \boldsymbol{\mu}_N^\top \boldsymbol{\Sigma}_N^{-1} \boldsymbol{\mu}_N,
\end{aligned} \tag{2.269}$$

where

$$\begin{aligned}
\boldsymbol{\mu}_N &= (N\boldsymbol{\Sigma}_0^{-1} + \boldsymbol{\Sigma}^{-1})^{-1} (N\boldsymbol{\Sigma}_0^{-1} \boldsymbol{\mu}_{\text{ML}} + \boldsymbol{\Sigma}^{-1} \boldsymbol{\mu}_0), \\
\boldsymbol{\Sigma}_N &= (N\boldsymbol{\Sigma}_0^{-1} + \boldsymbol{\Sigma}^{-1})^{-1}.
\end{aligned} \tag{2.270}$$

Therefore,

$$p(\boldsymbol{\mu}|\mathbf{X}) = \mathcal{N} \left( \boldsymbol{\mu} \mid (N\boldsymbol{\Sigma}_0^{-1} + \boldsymbol{\Sigma}^{-1})^{-1} (N\boldsymbol{\Sigma}_0^{-1} \boldsymbol{\mu}_{\text{ML}} + \boldsymbol{\Sigma}^{-1} \boldsymbol{\mu}_0), (N\boldsymbol{\Sigma}_0^{-1} + \boldsymbol{\Sigma}^{-1})^{-1} \right). \tag{2.271}$$

## 2.41

By the definition,

$$\text{Gam}(\lambda|a, b) = \frac{b^a}{\Gamma(a)} \lambda^{a-1} \exp(-b\lambda). \tag{2.272}$$

Then

$$\int_0^\infty \text{Gam}(\lambda|a, b) d\lambda = \frac{b^a}{\Gamma(a)} \int_0^\infty \lambda^{a-1} \exp(-b\lambda) d\lambda. \tag{2.273}$$

By the transformation

$$\lambda' = b\lambda, \tag{2.274}$$

the right hand side can be written as

$$\frac{b^a}{\Gamma(a)} \int_0^\infty \left( \frac{\lambda'}{b} \right)^{a-1} \exp(-\lambda') \frac{1}{b} d\lambda' = \frac{1}{\Gamma(a)} \int_0^\infty \lambda'^{a-1} \exp(-\lambda') d\lambda'. \tag{2.275}$$

The right hand side can be written as

$$\frac{1}{\Gamma(a)}\Gamma(a) = 1. \quad (2.276)$$

Therefore,

$$\int_0^\infty \text{Gam}(\lambda|a, b)d\lambda = 1. \quad (2.277)$$

## 2.42

Let  $\lambda$  be a variable such that

$$p(\lambda) = \text{Gam}(\lambda|a, b). \quad (2.278)$$

By the definition,

$$\text{Gam}(\lambda|a, b) = \frac{b^a}{\Gamma(a)}\lambda^{a-1}\exp(-b\lambda). \quad (2.279)$$

Then

$$\text{E } \lambda = \frac{b^a}{\Gamma(a)} \int_0^\infty \lambda^a \exp\left(-\frac{\lambda}{b}\right) d\lambda. \quad (2.280)$$

By the transformation

$$\lambda' = b\lambda, \quad (2.281)$$

the right hand side can be written as

$$\frac{b^a}{\Gamma(a)} \int_0^\infty \left(\frac{\lambda'}{b}\right)^a \exp(-\lambda') \frac{1}{b} d\lambda' = \frac{1}{b\Gamma(a)} \int_0^\infty \lambda'^a \exp(-\lambda') d\lambda'. \quad (2.282)$$

The right hand side can be written as

$$\frac{1}{b\Gamma(a)}\Gamma(a+1) = \frac{a}{b}. \quad (2.283)$$

Therefore,

$$\text{E } \lambda = \frac{a}{b}. \quad (2.284)$$

Additionally,

$$\text{E } \lambda^2 = \frac{b^a}{\Gamma(a)} \int_0^\infty \lambda^{a+1} \exp\left(-\frac{\lambda}{b}\right) d\lambda. \quad (2.285)$$

By the transformation

$$\lambda' = b\lambda, \quad (2.286)$$

the right hand side can be written as

$$\frac{b^a}{\Gamma(a)} \int_0^\infty \left(\frac{\lambda'}{b}\right)^{a+1} \exp(-\lambda') \frac{1}{b} d\lambda' = \frac{1}{b^2 \Gamma(a)} \int_0^\infty \lambda'^{a+1} \exp(-\lambda') d\lambda'. \quad (2.287)$$

The right hand side can be written as

$$\frac{1}{b^2 \Gamma(a)} \Gamma(a+2) = \frac{a(a+1)}{b^2}. \quad (2.288)$$

Therefore,

$$E \lambda^2 = \frac{a(a+1)}{b^2}. \quad (2.289)$$

By the definition,

$$\text{var } \lambda = E \lambda^2 - (E \lambda)^2. \quad (2.290)$$

Therefore,

$$\text{var } \lambda = \frac{a}{b^2}. \quad (2.291)$$

Finally, setting the derivative of  $\text{Gam}(\lambda|a, b)$  with respect to  $\lambda$  to zero gives

$$0 = \frac{b^a}{\Gamma(a)} \left( \frac{a-1}{\lambda} - b \right) \lambda^{a-1} \exp\left(-\frac{\lambda}{b}\right). \quad (2.292)$$

Therefore,

$$\text{mode } \lambda = \frac{a-1}{b}. \quad (2.293)$$

## 2.43

Let

$$p(x|\sigma^2, q) = \frac{q}{2\Gamma(\frac{1}{q})} (2\sigma^2)^{-\frac{1}{q}} \exp\left(-\frac{|x|^q}{2\sigma^2}\right). \quad (2.294)$$

Then

$$\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} p(x|\sigma^2, q) dx = \frac{q}{\Gamma(\frac{1}{q})} (2\sigma^2)^{-\frac{1}{q}} \int_0^\infty \exp\left(-\frac{x^q}{2\sigma^2}\right) dx. \quad (2.295)$$

By the transformation

$$x' = \frac{x^q}{2\sigma^2}, \quad (2.296)$$

the right hand side can be written as

$$\begin{aligned} & \frac{q}{\Gamma(\frac{1}{q})} (2\sigma^2)^{-\frac{1}{q}} \int_0^\infty \exp(-x') (2\sigma^2)^{\frac{1}{q}} \frac{1}{q} x^{\frac{1}{q}-1} dx' \\ &= \frac{1}{\Gamma(\frac{1}{q})} \int_0^\infty x^{\frac{1}{q}-1} \exp(-x') dx'. \end{aligned} \quad (2.297)$$

The right hand side can be written as

$$\frac{1}{\Gamma(\frac{1}{q})} \Gamma\left(\frac{1}{q}\right) = 1. \quad (2.298)$$

Therefore,

$$\int_{-\infty}^\infty p(x|\sigma^2, q) dx = 1. \quad (2.299)$$

Additionally,

$$p(x|\sigma^2, 2) = \frac{1}{\Gamma(\frac{1}{2})} (2\sigma^2)^{-\frac{1}{2}} \exp\left(-\frac{x^2}{2\sigma^2}\right). \quad (2.300)$$

Therefore,

$$p(x|\sigma^2, 2) = \mathcal{N}(x|0, \sigma^2). \quad (2.301)$$

Finally, let  $\mathbf{t} = (t_1, \dots, t_N)^\top$  and  $\mathbf{X} = \{x_1, \dots, x_N\}$  such that

$$t_n = y(\mathbf{x}_n, \mathbf{w}) + \epsilon_n, \quad (2.302)$$

where

$$p(\epsilon_n) = p(\epsilon_n|\sigma^2, q). \quad (2.303)$$

Therefore, the logarithm of  $p(\epsilon_n)$  except the terms independent of  $\mathbf{w}$  and  $\sigma^2$  can be written as

$$-\frac{|\epsilon_n|^q}{2\sigma^2} - \frac{1}{q} \ln(2\sigma^2). \quad (2.304)$$

Thus, the logarithm of  $p(\mathbf{t}|\mathbf{X}, \mathbf{w}, \sigma^2)$  except the terms independent of  $\mathbf{w}$  and  $\sigma^2$  can be written as

$$-\frac{1}{2\sigma^2} \sum_{n=1}^N |y(\mathbf{x}_n, \mathbf{w}) - t_n|^q - \frac{N}{q} \ln(2\sigma^2). \quad (2.305)$$



## 2.44

Let  $x_1, \dots, x_N$  be variables such that

$$\begin{aligned} p(x_n|\mu, \tau) &= \mathcal{N}(x_n|\mu, \tau^{-1}), \\ p(\mu, \tau) &= \mathcal{N}(\mu|\mu_0, (\beta\tau)^{-1}) \text{Gam}(\tau|a, b). \end{aligned} \quad (2.306)$$

By the Bayes' theorem,

$$p(\mu, \tau|\mathbf{x})p(\mathbf{x}) = p(\mathbf{x}|\mu, \tau)p(\mu, \tau). \quad (2.307)$$

The logarithm of the right hand side except the terms independent of  $\mathbf{x}$ ,  $\mu$  and  $\tau$  can be written as

$$\begin{aligned} & \frac{N}{2} \ln \tau - \frac{\tau}{2} \sum_{n=1}^N (x_n - \mu)^2 + \frac{1}{2} \ln \tau - \frac{\beta\tau}{2} (\mu - \mu_0)^2 + (a-1) \ln \tau - b\tau \\ &= \left(a + \frac{N-1}{2}\right) \ln \tau - \frac{N\tau}{2} (\bar{x} - \mu)^2 - \frac{\beta\tau}{2} (\mu - \mu_0)^2 - b\tau - \frac{\tau}{2} \sum_{n=1}^N (x_n - \bar{x})^2, \end{aligned} \quad (2.308)$$

where

$$\bar{x} = \frac{1}{N} \sum_{n=1}^N x_n. \quad (2.309)$$

Since

$$-\frac{N\tau}{2} (\bar{x} - \mu)^2 - \frac{\beta\tau}{2} (\mu - \mu_0)^2 = -\frac{(N+\beta)\tau}{2} \left(\mu - \frac{N\bar{x} + \beta\mu_0}{N+\beta}\right)^2 - \frac{N\beta\tau(\bar{x} - \mu_0)^2}{2(N+\beta)}, \quad (2.310)$$

the right hand side can be written as

$$\begin{aligned} & -\frac{(N+\beta)\tau}{2} \left(\mu - \frac{N\bar{x} + \beta\mu_0}{N+\beta}\right)^2 \\ & + \left(a + \frac{N-1}{2}\right) \ln \tau - \left(b + \frac{N\beta(\bar{x} - \mu_0)^2}{2(N+\beta)} + \frac{1}{2} \sum_{n=1}^N (x_n - \bar{x})^2\right) \tau. \end{aligned} \quad (2.311)$$

Therefore,

$$\begin{aligned} p(\mu, \tau|\mathbf{x}) &= \mathcal{N}\left(\mu \mid \frac{N\bar{x} + \beta\mu_0}{N+\beta}, ((N+\beta)\tau)^{-1}\right) \\ & \quad \text{Gam}\left(\tau \mid a + \frac{N+1}{2}, b + \frac{N\beta(\bar{x} - \mu_0)^2}{2(N+\beta)} + \frac{1}{2} \sum_{n=1}^N (x_n - \bar{x})^2\right). \end{aligned} \quad (2.312)$$

## 2.45

Let  $\mathbf{x}$  be a variable in  $D$  dimensions such that

$$p(\mathbf{x}) = \mathcal{N}(\mathbf{x}|\boldsymbol{\mu}, \boldsymbol{\Lambda}^{-1}). \quad (2.313)$$

Then

$$p(\mathbf{X}|\boldsymbol{\Lambda}) = \prod_{n=1}^N \mathcal{N}(\mathbf{x}_n|\boldsymbol{\mu}, \boldsymbol{\Lambda}^{-1}). \quad (2.314)$$

The right hand side except the terms independent of  $\boldsymbol{\Lambda}$  can be written as

$$(\det \boldsymbol{\Lambda})^{\frac{N}{2}} \exp \left( -\frac{1}{2} \sum_{n=1}^N (\mathbf{x}_n - \boldsymbol{\mu})^\top \boldsymbol{\Lambda} (\mathbf{x}_n - \boldsymbol{\mu}) \right) = (\det \boldsymbol{\Lambda})^{\frac{N}{2}} \exp \left( -\frac{1}{2} \text{tr}(\mathbf{S}\boldsymbol{\Lambda}) \right), \quad (2.315)$$

where

$$\mathbf{S} = \sum_{n=1}^N (\mathbf{x}_n - \boldsymbol{\mu})(\mathbf{x}_n - \boldsymbol{\mu})^\top. \quad (2.316)$$

Therefore,

$$p(\mathbf{X}|\boldsymbol{\Lambda}) \propto (\det \boldsymbol{\Lambda})^{\frac{N}{2}} \exp \left( -\frac{1}{2} \text{tr}(\mathbf{S}\boldsymbol{\Lambda}) \right). \quad (2.317)$$

Let us assume that a prior distribution of  $\boldsymbol{\Lambda}$  is given by

$$\mathcal{W}(\boldsymbol{\Lambda}|\mathbf{W}, \nu) = B(\mathbf{W}, \nu) (\det \boldsymbol{\Lambda})^{\frac{\nu-D-1}{2}} \exp \left( -\frac{1}{2} \text{tr}(\mathbf{W}^{-1}\boldsymbol{\Lambda}) \right). \quad (2.318)$$

Then, by the definition,

$$p(\boldsymbol{\Lambda}|\mathbf{X}, \mathbf{W}, \nu) \propto p(\mathbf{X}|\boldsymbol{\Lambda}) \mathcal{W}(\boldsymbol{\Lambda}|\mathbf{W}, \nu), \quad (2.319)$$

where the right hand side except the terms independent of  $\boldsymbol{\Lambda}$  can be written as

$$(\det \boldsymbol{\Lambda})^{\frac{\nu+N-D-1}{2}} \exp \left( -\frac{1}{2} \text{tr}((\mathbf{W}^{-1} + \mathbf{S})\boldsymbol{\Lambda}) \right). \quad (2.320)$$

Therefore,

$$p(\boldsymbol{\Lambda}|\mathbf{X}, \mathbf{W}, \nu) = \mathcal{W}(\boldsymbol{\Lambda} | (\mathbf{W}^{-1} + \mathbf{S})^{-1}, \nu + N). \quad (2.321)$$

Thus,  $\mathcal{W}$  is a conjugate prior distribution of  $\boldsymbol{\Lambda}$ .

## 2.46

Let  $x$  be a variable such that

$$p(x|\mu, \tau, a, b) = \mathcal{N}(x|\mu, \tau^{-1}) \text{Gam}(\tau|a, b). \quad (2.322)$$

Then

$$p(x|\mu, a, b) = \int_0^\infty \mathcal{N}(x|\mu, \tau^{-1}) \text{Gam}(\tau|a, b) d\tau. \quad (2.323)$$

The right hand side can be written as

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_0^\infty \left(\frac{\tau}{2\pi}\right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \exp\left(-\frac{\tau}{2}(x-\mu)^2\right) \frac{b^a}{\Gamma(a)} \tau^{a-1} \exp(-b\tau) d\tau \\ &= (2\pi)^{-\frac{1}{2}} \frac{b^a}{\Gamma(a)} \int_0^\infty \tau^{a-\frac{1}{2}} \exp\left(-\left(b + \frac{(x-\mu)^2}{2}\right)\tau\right) d\tau. \end{aligned} \quad (2.324)$$

By the transformation

$$\tau' = \left(b + \frac{(x-\mu)^2}{2}\right) \tau, \quad (2.325)$$

the integral of the right hand side can be written as

$$\int_0^\infty \left(\frac{\tau'}{b + \frac{(x-\mu)^2}{2}}\right)^{a-\frac{1}{2}} \exp(-\tau') \frac{d\tau'}{b + \frac{(x-\mu)^2}{2}} = \Gamma\left(a + \frac{1}{2}\right) \left(b + \frac{(x-\mu)^2}{2}\right)^{-a-\frac{1}{2}}. \quad (2.326)$$

Therefore,

$$p(x|\mu, \tau, a, b) = (2\pi)^{-\frac{1}{2}} \frac{\Gamma(a + \frac{1}{2})}{\Gamma(a)} b^a \left(b + \frac{(x-\mu)^2}{2}\right)^{-a-\frac{1}{2}}. \quad (2.327)$$

Let

$$\begin{aligned} \nu &= 2a, \\ \lambda &= \frac{a}{b}. \end{aligned} \quad (2.328)$$

Then

$$p(x|\mu, \lambda, \nu) = \frac{\Gamma(\frac{\nu+1}{2})}{\Gamma(\frac{\nu}{2})} \left(\frac{\lambda}{\pi\nu}\right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \left(1 + \frac{\lambda(x-\mu)^2}{\nu}\right)^{-\frac{\nu+1}{2}}. \quad (2.329)$$

## 2.47

By the definition,

$$\text{St}(x|\mu, \lambda, \nu) = \frac{\Gamma(\frac{\nu+1}{2})}{\Gamma(\frac{\nu}{2})} \left( \frac{\lambda}{\pi\nu} \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \left( 1 + \frac{\lambda(x-\mu)^2}{\nu} \right)^{-\frac{\nu+1}{2}}. \quad (2.330)$$

By the transformation

$$y = \frac{\lambda(x-\mu)^2}{\nu}, \quad (2.331)$$

the right hand side except the terms independent of  $x$  can be written as

$$(1+y)^{-\frac{\lambda(x-\mu)^2}{2y}-\frac{1}{2}}. \quad (2.332)$$

In the limit  $y \rightarrow \infty$ , it becomes

$$\exp\left(-\frac{\lambda}{2}(x-\mu)^2\right). \quad (2.333)$$

Therefore, in the limit  $\nu \rightarrow \infty$ ,  $\text{St}(x|\mu, \lambda, \nu)$  becomes  $\mathcal{N}(x|\mu, \lambda^{-1})$ .

## 2.48

Let  $\mathbf{x}$  be a variable in  $D$  dimensions such that

$$p(\mathbf{x}|\boldsymbol{\mu}, \boldsymbol{\Lambda}, \eta, \nu) = \mathcal{N}(\mathbf{x}|\boldsymbol{\mu}, (\eta\boldsymbol{\Lambda})^{-1}) \text{Gam}\left(\eta \mid \frac{\nu}{2}, \frac{\nu}{2}\right). \quad (2.334)$$

Then

$$p(\mathbf{x}|\boldsymbol{\mu}, \boldsymbol{\Lambda}, \nu) = \int_0^\infty \mathcal{N}(\mathbf{x}|\boldsymbol{\mu}, (\eta\boldsymbol{\Lambda})^{-1}) \text{Gam}\left(\eta \mid \frac{\nu}{2}, \frac{\nu}{2}\right) d\eta. \quad (2.335)$$

The right hand side can be written as

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_0^\infty (2\pi)^{-\frac{D}{2}} (\det(\eta\boldsymbol{\Lambda}))^{\frac{1}{2}} \exp\left(-\frac{1}{2}(\mathbf{x}-\boldsymbol{\mu})^\top \eta\boldsymbol{\Lambda}(\mathbf{x}-\boldsymbol{\mu})\right) \frac{(\frac{\nu}{2})^{\frac{\nu}{2}}}{\Gamma(\frac{\nu}{2})} \eta^{\frac{\nu}{2}-1} \exp\left(-\frac{\nu}{2}\eta\right) d\eta \\ &= (2\pi)^{-\frac{D}{2}} \frac{(\frac{\nu}{2})^{\frac{\nu}{2}}}{\Gamma(\frac{\nu}{2})} (\det \boldsymbol{\Lambda})^{\frac{1}{2}} \int_0^\infty \eta^{\frac{D+\nu}{2}-1} \exp\left(-\frac{1}{2}(\nu + (\mathbf{x}-\boldsymbol{\mu})^\top \boldsymbol{\Lambda}(\mathbf{x}-\boldsymbol{\mu}))\eta\right) d\eta. \end{aligned} \quad (2.336)$$

By the transformation

$$\eta' = \frac{1}{2} (\nu + (\mathbf{x} - \boldsymbol{\mu})^\top \boldsymbol{\Lambda} (\mathbf{x} - \boldsymbol{\mu})) \eta, \quad (2.337)$$

the integral of the right hand side can be written as

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_0^\infty \left( \frac{2\eta'}{\nu + (\mathbf{x} - \boldsymbol{\mu})^\top \boldsymbol{\Lambda} (\mathbf{x} - \boldsymbol{\mu})} \right)^{\frac{D+\nu}{2}-1} \exp(-\eta') \frac{2}{\nu + (\mathbf{x} - \boldsymbol{\mu})^\top \boldsymbol{\Lambda} (\mathbf{x} - \boldsymbol{\mu})} d\eta' \\ &= \left( \frac{2}{\nu + (\mathbf{x} - \boldsymbol{\mu})^\top \boldsymbol{\Lambda} (\mathbf{x} - \boldsymbol{\mu})} \right)^{\frac{D+\nu}{2}} \Gamma\left(\frac{D+\nu}{2}\right). \end{aligned} \quad (2.338)$$

Therefore,

$$p(\mathbf{x}|\boldsymbol{\mu}, \boldsymbol{\Lambda}, \nu) = \frac{\Gamma(\frac{D+\nu}{2})}{\Gamma(\frac{\nu}{2})} \frac{(\det \boldsymbol{\Lambda})^{\frac{1}{2}}}{(\pi\nu)^{\frac{D}{2}}} \left( 1 + \frac{(\mathbf{x} - \boldsymbol{\mu})^\top \boldsymbol{\Lambda} (\mathbf{x} - \boldsymbol{\mu})}{\nu} \right)^{-\frac{D+\nu}{2}}. \quad (2.339)$$

## 2.49

Let  $\mathbf{x}$  be a variable such that

$$p(\mathbf{x}|\boldsymbol{\mu}, \boldsymbol{\Lambda}, \nu) = \text{St}(\mathbf{x}|\boldsymbol{\mu}, \boldsymbol{\Lambda}, \nu). \quad (2.340)$$

By the definition,

$$\text{St}(\mathbf{x}|\boldsymbol{\mu}, \boldsymbol{\Lambda}, \nu) = \int \mathcal{N}(\mathbf{x}|\boldsymbol{\mu}, (\eta\boldsymbol{\Lambda})^{-1}) \text{Gam}\left(\eta \mid \frac{\nu}{2}, \frac{\nu}{2}\right) d\eta. \quad (2.341)$$

First,

$$\mathbb{E} \mathbf{x} = \int \mathbf{x} \text{St}(\mathbf{x}|\boldsymbol{\mu}, \boldsymbol{\Lambda}, \nu) d\mathbf{x}. \quad (2.342)$$

The right hand side can be written as

$$\begin{aligned} & \int \mathbf{x} \left( \int \mathcal{N}(\mathbf{x}|\boldsymbol{\mu}, (\eta\boldsymbol{\Lambda})^{-1}) \text{Gam}\left(\eta \mid \frac{\nu}{2}, \frac{\nu}{2}\right) d\eta \right) d\mathbf{x} \\ &= \int \left( \int \mathbf{x} \mathcal{N}(\mathbf{x}|\boldsymbol{\mu}, (\eta\boldsymbol{\Lambda})^{-1}) d\mathbf{x} \right) \text{Gam}\left(\eta \mid \frac{\nu}{2}, \frac{\nu}{2}\right) d\eta. \end{aligned} \quad (2.343)$$

The right hand side can be written as

$$\boldsymbol{\mu} \int \text{Gam}\left(\eta \mid \frac{\nu}{2}, \frac{\nu}{2}\right) d\eta = \boldsymbol{\mu}. \quad (2.344)$$

Therefore,

$$\mathbf{E} \mathbf{x} = \boldsymbol{\mu}. \quad (2.345)$$

Additionally,

$$\text{cov } \mathbf{x} = \int (\mathbf{x} - \boldsymbol{\mu})(\mathbf{x} - \boldsymbol{\mu})^\top \text{St}(\mathbf{x}|\boldsymbol{\mu}, \boldsymbol{\Lambda}, \nu) d\mathbf{x}. \quad (2.346)$$

The right hand side can be written as

$$\begin{aligned} & \int (\mathbf{x} - \boldsymbol{\mu})(\mathbf{x} - \boldsymbol{\mu})^\top \left( \int \mathcal{N}(\mathbf{x}|\boldsymbol{\mu}, (\eta\boldsymbol{\Lambda})^{-1}) \text{Gam}\left(\eta \mid \frac{\nu}{2}, \frac{\nu}{2}\right) d\eta \right) d\mathbf{x} \\ &= \int \left( \int (\mathbf{x} - \boldsymbol{\mu})(\mathbf{x} - \boldsymbol{\mu})^\top \mathcal{N}(\mathbf{x}|\boldsymbol{\mu}, (\eta\boldsymbol{\Lambda})^{-1}) d\mathbf{x} \right) \text{Gam}\left(\eta \mid \frac{\nu}{2}, \frac{\nu}{2}\right) d\eta. \end{aligned} \quad (2.347)$$

The right hand side can be written as

$$\int (\eta\boldsymbol{\Lambda})^{-1} \text{Gam}\left(\eta \mid \frac{\nu}{2}, \frac{\nu}{2}\right) d\eta = \boldsymbol{\Lambda}^{-1} \frac{(\frac{\nu}{2})^{\frac{\nu}{2}}}{\Gamma(\frac{\nu}{2})} \int \eta^{\frac{\nu}{2}-2} \exp\left(-\frac{\nu}{2}\eta\right) d\eta. \quad (2.348)$$

By the transformation

$$\eta' = \frac{\nu}{2}\eta, \quad (2.349)$$

the integral of the right hand side can be written as

$$\int \left(\frac{2}{\nu}\eta'\right)^{-\frac{\nu}{2}-2} \exp(-\eta') \frac{2}{\nu} d\eta' = \left(\frac{2}{\nu}\right)^{\frac{\nu}{2}-1} \Gamma\left(\frac{\nu}{2} - 1\right). \quad (2.350)$$

Therefore, the right hand side can be written as

$$\boldsymbol{\Lambda}^{-1} \frac{(\frac{\nu}{2})^{\frac{\nu}{2}}}{\Gamma(\frac{\nu}{2})} \left(\frac{2}{\nu}\right)^{\frac{\nu}{2}-1} \Gamma\left(\frac{\nu}{2} - 1\right) = \frac{\frac{\nu}{2}}{\frac{\nu}{2} - 1} \boldsymbol{\Lambda}^{-1}. \quad (2.351)$$

Thus,

$$\text{cov } \mathbf{x} = \frac{\nu}{\nu - 2} \boldsymbol{\Lambda}^{-1}. \quad (2.352)$$

Finally, setting the derivative of  $\text{St}(\mathbf{x}|\boldsymbol{\mu}, \boldsymbol{\Lambda}, \nu)$  with respect to  $\mathbf{x}$  to zero gives

$$\mathbf{0} = -\frac{1}{2} (\boldsymbol{\Lambda} + \boldsymbol{\Lambda}^\top) (\mathbf{x} - \boldsymbol{\mu}) \int \eta \mathcal{N}(\mathbf{x}|\boldsymbol{\mu}, (\eta\boldsymbol{\Lambda})^{-1}) \text{Gam}\left(\eta \mid \frac{\nu}{2}, \frac{\nu}{2}\right) d\eta. \quad (2.353)$$

Therefore,

$$\text{mode } \mathbf{x} = \boldsymbol{\mu}. \quad (2.354)$$

## 2.50

By the definition,

$$\text{St}(\mathbf{x}|\boldsymbol{\mu}, \boldsymbol{\Lambda}, \nu) = \frac{\Gamma(\frac{D+\nu}{2})}{\Gamma(\frac{\nu}{2})} \frac{(\det \boldsymbol{\Lambda})^{\frac{1}{2}}}{(\pi\nu)^{\frac{D}{2}}} \left(1 + \frac{(\mathbf{x} - \boldsymbol{\mu})^\top \boldsymbol{\Lambda} (\mathbf{x} - \boldsymbol{\mu})}{\nu}\right)^{-\frac{D+\nu}{2}}. \quad (2.355)$$

By the transformation

$$y = \frac{(\mathbf{x} - \boldsymbol{\mu})^\top \boldsymbol{\Lambda} (\mathbf{x} - \boldsymbol{\mu})}{\nu}, \quad (2.356)$$

the right hand side except the terms independent of  $\mathbf{x}$  can be written as

$$(1 + y)^{-\frac{(\mathbf{x} - \boldsymbol{\mu})^\top \boldsymbol{\Lambda} (\mathbf{x} - \boldsymbol{\mu})}{2y} - \frac{D}{2}}. \quad (2.357)$$

In the limit  $y \rightarrow \infty$ , it becomes

$$\exp\left(-\frac{1}{2}(\mathbf{x} - \boldsymbol{\mu})^\top \boldsymbol{\Lambda} (\mathbf{x} - \boldsymbol{\mu})\right). \quad (2.358)$$

Therefore, in the limit  $\nu \rightarrow \infty$ ,  $\text{St}(\mathbf{x}|\boldsymbol{\mu}, \boldsymbol{\Lambda}, \nu)$  becomes  $\mathcal{N}(\mathbf{x}|\boldsymbol{\mu}, \boldsymbol{\Lambda}^{-1})$ .

## 2.51

We have

$$\exp(iA) \exp(-iA) = 1. \quad (2.359)$$

The left hand side can be written as

$$(\cos A + i \sin A)(\cos A - i \sin A) = \cos^2 A + \sin^2 A. \quad (2.360)$$

Therefore,

$$\cos^2 A + \sin^2 A = 1. \quad (2.361)$$

Additionally,

$$\cos(A - B) = \text{Re}(\exp(i(A - B))). \quad (2.362)$$

The right hand side can be written as

$$\text{Re}(\exp(iA) \exp(-iB)) = \text{Re}((\cos A + i \sin A)(\cos B - i \sin B)). \quad (2.363)$$

The right hand side can be written as  $\cos A \cos B + \sin A \sin B$ . Therefore,

$$\cos(A - B) = \cos A \cos B + \sin A \sin B. \quad (2.364)$$

Finally,

$$\sin(A - B) = \operatorname{Im}(\exp(i(A - B))). \quad (2.365)$$

The right hand side can be written as

$$\operatorname{Im}(\exp(iA) \exp(-iB)) = ((\cos A + i \sin A)(\cos B - i \sin B)). \quad (2.366)$$

The right hand side can be written as  $\sin A \cos B - \cos A \sin B$ . Therefore,

$$\sin(A - B) = \sin A \cos B - \cos A \sin B. \quad (2.367)$$

## 2.52 (Incomplete)

Let  $\theta$  be a variable such that

$$p(\theta|\theta_0, m) = \frac{1}{2\pi I_0(m)} \exp(m \cos(\theta - \theta_0)), \quad (2.368)$$

where

$$I_0(m) = \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_0^{2\pi} \exp(m \cos \theta) d\theta. \quad (2.369)$$

By the Taylor series

$$\cos \alpha = 1 - \frac{1}{2}\alpha^2 + O(\alpha^4) \quad (2.370)$$

and the transformation

$$\xi = m^{\frac{1}{2}}(\theta - \theta_0), \quad (2.371)$$

we have

$$\exp(m \cos(\theta - \theta_0)) = \exp\left(m \left(1 - \frac{1}{2}(\theta - \theta_0)^2 + O((\theta - \theta_0)^4)\right)\right). \quad (2.372)$$

## 2.53

Let  $\theta_0$  be a parameter such that

$$\sum_{n=1}^N \sin(\theta_n - \theta_0) = 0. \quad (2.373)$$



The left hand side can be written as

$$\sum_{n=1}^N (\sin \theta_n \cos \theta_0 - \cos \theta_n \sin \theta_0) = \cos \theta_0 \sum_{n=1}^N \sin \theta_n - \sin \theta_0 \sum_{n=1}^N \cos \theta_n. \quad (2.374)$$

Therefore,

$$\theta_0 = \arctan \left( \frac{\sum_{n=1}^N \sin \theta_n}{\sum_{n=1}^N \cos \theta_n} \right). \quad (2.375)$$

## 2.54

Let  $\theta$  be a variable such that

$$p(\theta|\theta_0, m) = \frac{1}{2\pi I_0(m)} \exp(m \cos(\theta - \theta_0)), \quad (2.376)$$

where

$$I_0(m) = \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_0^{2\pi} \exp(m \cos \theta) d\theta. \quad (2.377)$$

Setting the first and second derivatives with respect to  $\theta$  to zero gives

$$\begin{aligned} 0 &= -m \sin(\theta - \theta_0) p(\theta|\theta_0, m), \\ 0 &= (m^2 \sin^2(\theta - \theta_0) - m \cos(\theta - \theta_0)) p(\theta|\theta_0, m). \end{aligned} \quad (2.378)$$

Therefore,

$$\begin{aligned} \operatorname{argmax}_{\theta} p(\theta|\theta_0, m) &= \theta_0, \\ \operatorname{argmin}_{\theta} p(\theta|\theta_0, m) &= \theta_0 - \pi \operatorname{sgn}(\theta_0 - \pi). \end{aligned} \quad (2.379)$$

## 2.55

Let

$$\theta_0^{\text{ML}} = \arctan \left( \frac{\sum_{n=1}^N \sin \theta_n}{\sum_{n=1}^N \cos \theta_n} \right). \quad (2.380)$$

Let

$$\begin{aligned} \bar{r} \cos \bar{\theta} &= \frac{1}{N} \sum_{n=1}^N \cos \theta_n, \\ \bar{r} \sin \bar{\theta} &= \frac{1}{N} \sum_{n=1}^N \sin \theta_n. \end{aligned} \quad (2.381)$$

Then

$$\theta_0^{\text{ML}} = \bar{\theta}. \quad (2.382)$$

Here,

$$\frac{1}{N} \sum_{n=1}^N \cos(\theta_n - \theta_0^{\text{ML}}) = \left( \frac{1}{N} \sum_{n=1}^N \cos \theta_n \right) \cos \theta_0^{\text{ML}} + \left( \frac{1}{N} \sum_{n=1}^N \sin \theta_n \right) \sin \theta_0^{\text{ML}}. \quad (2.383)$$

By the result above, the right hand side can be written as

$$\bar{r} \cos^2 \bar{\theta} + \bar{r} \sin^2 \bar{\theta} = \bar{r}. \quad (2.384)$$

Therefore,

$$\frac{1}{N} \sum_{n=1}^N \cos(\theta_n - \theta_0^{\text{ML}}) = \bar{r}. \quad (2.385)$$

## 2.56

By the definition,

$$\text{Beta}(\mu|a, b) = \frac{\Gamma(a+b)}{\Gamma(a)\Gamma(b)} \mu^{a-1} (1-\mu)^{b-1}. \quad (2.386)$$

The right hand side can be written as

$$\frac{\Gamma(a+b)}{\Gamma(a)\Gamma(b)} \exp((a-1) \ln \mu + (b-1) \ln(1-\mu)) \quad (2.387)$$

Therefore, the natural parameters are given by

$$\boldsymbol{\eta} = \begin{bmatrix} a-1 \\ b-1 \end{bmatrix}.$$

Additionally, by the definition,

$$\text{Gam}(\lambda|a, b) = \frac{b^a}{\Gamma(a)} \lambda^{a-1} \exp(-b\lambda). \quad (2.388)$$

The right hand side can be written as

$$\frac{b^a}{\Gamma(a)} \exp((a-1) \ln \lambda - b\lambda). \quad (2.389)$$

Therefore, the natural parameters are given by

$$\boldsymbol{\eta} = \begin{bmatrix} a - 1 \\ -b \end{bmatrix}.$$

Finally, for

$$p(\theta|\theta_0, m) = \frac{1}{2\pi I_0(m)} \exp(m \cos(\theta - \theta_0)), \quad (2.390)$$

where

$$I_0(m) = \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_0^{2\pi} \exp(m \cos \theta) d\theta, \quad (2.391)$$

the right hand side can be written as

$$\frac{1}{2\pi I_0(m)} \exp(m \cos \theta_0 \cos \theta + m \sin \theta_0 \sin \theta). \quad (2.392)$$

Therefore, the natural parameters are given by

$$\boldsymbol{\eta} = \begin{bmatrix} m \cos \theta_0 \\ m \sin \theta_0 \end{bmatrix}.$$

## 2.57

By the definition,

$$\mathcal{N}(\mathbf{x}|\boldsymbol{\mu}, \boldsymbol{\Sigma}) = (2\pi)^{-\frac{D}{2}} (\det \boldsymbol{\Sigma})^{-\frac{1}{2}} \exp\left(-\frac{1}{2}(\mathbf{x} - \boldsymbol{\mu})^\top \boldsymbol{\Sigma}^{-1}(\mathbf{x} - \boldsymbol{\mu})\right). \quad (2.393)$$

Therefore,

$$\mathcal{N}(\mathbf{x}|\boldsymbol{\mu}, \boldsymbol{\Sigma}) = h(\mathbf{x})g(\boldsymbol{\eta}) \exp(\boldsymbol{\eta}^\top \mathbf{u}(\mathbf{x})), \quad (2.394)$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} h(\mathbf{x}) &= (2\pi)^{-\frac{D}{2}}, \\ g(\boldsymbol{\eta}) &= (\det(-2\boldsymbol{\eta}_2))^{-\frac{1}{2}} \exp\left(\frac{1}{4}\boldsymbol{\eta}_1^\top \boldsymbol{\eta}_2^{-1} \boldsymbol{\eta}_1\right), \\ \boldsymbol{\eta} &= \begin{bmatrix} \boldsymbol{\Sigma}^{-1} \boldsymbol{\mu} \\ -\frac{1}{2} \boldsymbol{\Sigma}^{-1} \end{bmatrix}, \\ \mathbf{u}(\mathbf{x}) &= \begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{x} \\ \mathbf{x} \mathbf{x}^\top \end{bmatrix}. \end{aligned}$$

## 2.58

Let  $\mathbf{x}$  be a variable such that

$$p(\mathbf{x}|\boldsymbol{\eta}) = h(\mathbf{x})g(\boldsymbol{\eta}) \exp(\boldsymbol{\eta}^\top \mathbf{u}(\mathbf{x})). \quad (2.395)$$

Then, taking the first derivative of

$$\int p(\mathbf{x}|\boldsymbol{\eta}) d\mathbf{x} = 1 \quad (2.396)$$

with respect to  $\boldsymbol{\eta}$  gives

$$\nabla g(\boldsymbol{\eta}) \int h(\mathbf{x}) \exp(\boldsymbol{\eta}^\top \mathbf{u}(\mathbf{x})) d\mathbf{x} + g(\boldsymbol{\eta}) \int \mathbf{u}(\mathbf{x}) h(\mathbf{x}) \exp(\boldsymbol{\eta}^\top \mathbf{u}(\mathbf{x})) d\mathbf{x} = \mathbf{0}. \quad (2.397)$$

The left hand side can be written as

$$\frac{\nabla g(\boldsymbol{\eta})}{g(\boldsymbol{\eta})} \int p(\mathbf{x}|\boldsymbol{\eta}) d\mathbf{x} + \int \mathbf{u}(\mathbf{x}) p(\mathbf{x}|\boldsymbol{\eta}) d\mathbf{x} = \frac{\nabla g(\boldsymbol{\eta})}{g(\boldsymbol{\eta})} + \mathbf{E} \mathbf{u}(\mathbf{x}). \quad (2.398)$$

Therefore,

$$\mathbf{E} \mathbf{u}(\mathbf{x}) = -\frac{\nabla g(\boldsymbol{\eta})}{g(\boldsymbol{\eta})}. \quad (2.399)$$

Thus,

$$\mathbf{E} \mathbf{u}(\mathbf{x}) = -\nabla \ln g(\boldsymbol{\eta}). \quad (2.400)$$

Taking the second derivative with respect to  $\boldsymbol{\eta}$  gives

$$\begin{aligned} \nabla \nabla g(\boldsymbol{\eta}) \int h(\mathbf{x}) \exp(\boldsymbol{\eta}^\top \mathbf{u}(\mathbf{x})) d\mathbf{x} + 2 \nabla g(\boldsymbol{\eta}) \int \mathbf{u}(\mathbf{x})^\top h(\mathbf{x}) \exp(\boldsymbol{\eta}^\top \mathbf{u}(\mathbf{x})) d\mathbf{x} \\ + g(\boldsymbol{\eta}) \int \mathbf{u}(\mathbf{x}) \mathbf{u}(\mathbf{x})^\top h(\mathbf{x}) \exp(\boldsymbol{\eta}^\top \mathbf{u}(\mathbf{x})) d\mathbf{x} = \mathbf{0}. \end{aligned} \quad (2.401)$$

The left hand side can be written as

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\nabla \nabla g(\boldsymbol{\eta})}{g(\boldsymbol{\eta})} \int p(\mathbf{x}|\boldsymbol{\eta}) d\mathbf{x} + \frac{2 \nabla g(\boldsymbol{\eta})}{g(\boldsymbol{\eta})} \int \mathbf{u}(\mathbf{x})^\top p(\mathbf{x}|\boldsymbol{\eta}) d\mathbf{x} + \int \mathbf{u}(\mathbf{x}) \mathbf{u}(\mathbf{x})^\top p(\mathbf{x}|\boldsymbol{\eta}) d\mathbf{x} \\ = \frac{\nabla \nabla g(\boldsymbol{\eta})}{g(\boldsymbol{\eta})} - 2 \mathbf{E} \mathbf{u}(\mathbf{x}) \mathbf{E} \mathbf{u}(\mathbf{x})^\top + \mathbf{E} (\mathbf{u}(\mathbf{x}) \mathbf{u}(\mathbf{x})^\top). \end{aligned} \quad (2.402)$$

Therefore,

$$\mathbb{E}(\mathbf{u}(\mathbf{x})\mathbf{u}(\mathbf{x})^\top) = -\frac{\nabla\nabla g(\boldsymbol{\eta})}{g(\boldsymbol{\eta})} + \frac{2\nabla g(\boldsymbol{\eta})(\nabla g(\boldsymbol{\eta}))^\top}{g^2(\boldsymbol{\eta})}. \quad (2.403)$$

By the definition,

$$\text{cov } \mathbf{u}(\mathbf{x}) = \mathbb{E}(\mathbf{u}(\mathbf{x})\mathbf{u}(\mathbf{x})^\top) - \mathbb{E} \mathbf{u}(\mathbf{x}) \mathbb{E} \mathbf{u}(\mathbf{x})^\top. \quad (2.404)$$

Thus,

$$\text{cov } \mathbf{u}(\mathbf{x}) = -\frac{\nabla\nabla g(\boldsymbol{\eta})}{g(\boldsymbol{\eta})} + \frac{\nabla g(\boldsymbol{\eta})(\nabla g(\boldsymbol{\eta}))^\top}{g^2(\boldsymbol{\eta})}. \quad (2.405)$$

Hence,

$$\text{cov } \mathbf{u}(\mathbf{x}) = -\nabla\nabla \ln g(\boldsymbol{\eta}). \quad (2.406)$$

## 2.59

Let

$$p(x|\sigma) = \frac{1}{\sigma} f\left(\frac{x}{\sigma}\right). \quad (2.407)$$

Then

$$\int p(x|\sigma) dx = \frac{1}{\sigma} \int f\left(\frac{x}{\sigma}\right) dx. \quad (2.408)$$

By the transformation

$$x' = \frac{x}{\sigma}, \quad (2.409)$$

the right hand side can be written as

$$\frac{1}{\sigma} \int f(x') \sigma dx' = \int f(x') dx'. \quad (2.410)$$

Therefore,  $p(x|\sigma)$  will be normalised if  $f(x)$  is normalised.

## 2.60

Let  $\mathbf{x}$  be a variable such that

$$\mathbf{x} \in \mathcal{R}_i \Rightarrow p(\mathbf{x}) = h_i, \quad (2.411)$$

where

$$\int_{\mathcal{R}_i} d\mathbf{x} = \Delta_i. \quad (2.412)$$

Since

$$\int p(\mathbf{x})d\mathbf{x} = 1, \quad (2.413)$$

we have

$$\sum_i h_i \Delta_i = 1. \quad (2.414)$$

Let  $N$  be the total number of observations and  $n_i$  be the number of observations which fall in  $\mathcal{R}_i$ . Then, the logarithm of the likelihood is given by

$$\ln \left( \prod_i h_i^{n_i} \right) = \sum_i n_i \ln h_i, \quad (2.415)$$

where

$$\sum_i n_i = N. \quad (2.416)$$

Setting the derivatives of

$$\sum_i n_i \ln h_i + \lambda \left( \sum_i h_i \Delta_i - 1 \right) \quad (2.417)$$

with respect to  $h_i$  and  $\lambda$  to zero gives

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{n_i}{h_i} + \lambda \Delta_i &= 0, \\ \sum_i h_i \Delta_i - 1 &= 0. \end{aligned} \quad (2.418)$$

Then,

$$\begin{aligned} \lambda &= -N, \\ h_i &= \frac{n_i}{N \Delta_i}. \end{aligned} \quad (2.419)$$

Therefore, the maximum likelihood estimator for the  $\{h_i\}$  is  $\frac{n_i}{N \Delta_i}$ .

## 2.61 (Incomplete)

Let  $\mathbf{x}$  be a variable and  $\mathbf{x}_1, \dots, \mathbf{x}_N$  be observations. Let

$$p(\mathbf{x}) = \frac{K}{NV(\mathbf{x})}, \quad (2.420)$$

where

$$V(\mathbf{x}) = \int_{\|\mathbf{x}' - \mathbf{x}\| \leq \|\mathbf{x}_{(K)} - \mathbf{x}\|} d\mathbf{x}', \quad (2.421)$$

$K$  is a constant and  $\mathbf{x}_{(K)}$  is the  $K$ th nearest observation from the point  $\mathbf{x}$ .

### 3 Linear Models for Regression

#### 3.1

By the definition,

$$\tanh a = \frac{\exp(a) - \exp(-a)}{\exp(a) + \exp(-a)}. \quad (3.1)$$

The right hand side can be written as

$$\frac{1 - \exp(-2a)}{1 + \exp(-2a)} = \frac{2}{1 + \exp(-2a)} - 1. \quad (3.2)$$

Therefore,

$$\tanh a = 2\sigma(2a) - 1, \quad (3.3)$$

where

$$\sigma(a) = \frac{1}{1 + \exp(-a)}. \quad (3.4)$$

Let

$$y(x_n, \mathbf{w}) = w_0 + \sum_{m=1}^M w_m \sigma\left(\frac{x - \mu_m}{s}\right). \quad (3.5)$$

By the result above, the right hand side can be written as

$$w_0 + \sum_{m=1}^M w_m \frac{1 + \tanh\left(\frac{x - \mu_m}{2s}\right)}{2} = w_0 + \frac{1}{2} \sum_{m=1}^M w_m + \frac{1}{2} \sum_{m=1}^M w_m \tanh\left(\frac{x - \mu_m}{2s}\right). \quad (3.6)$$

Therefore,  $y(x_n, \mathbf{w})$  is equivalent to

$$y(x_n, \mathbf{u}) = u_0 + \sum_{m=1}^M u_m \tanh\left(\frac{x - \mu_m}{2s}\right), \quad (3.7)$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} u_0 &= w_0 + \frac{1}{2} \sum_{m=1}^M w_m, \\ u_m &= \frac{1}{2} w_m. \end{aligned} \quad (3.8)$$



### 3.2 (Incomplete)

Let  $\Phi$  be an  $N \times M$  matrix. Then, for any vector  $\mathbf{v}$  in  $N$  dimensions,

$$\Phi (\Phi^\top \Phi)^{-1} \Phi^\top \mathbf{v} \quad (3.9)$$

is a projection of  $\mathbf{v}$  onto the space spanned by the columns of  $\Phi$ ?

Additionally, for a vector  $\mathbf{t}$  in  $N$  dimensions,

$$(\Phi^\top \Phi)^{-1} \Phi^\top \mathbf{t} \quad (3.10)$$

is an orthogonal projection of  $\mathbf{t}$  onto the space spanned by the columns of  $\Phi$ ?

### 3.3

Let

$$E(\mathbf{w}) = \frac{1}{2} \sum_{n=1}^N r_n (t_n - \mathbf{w}^\top \phi(\mathbf{x}_n))^2. \quad (3.11)$$

The right hand side can be written as

$$\frac{1}{2} \|\mathbf{t}' - \Phi' \mathbf{w}\|^2, \quad (3.12)$$

where

$$\mathbf{t}' = \begin{bmatrix} \sqrt{r_1} t_1 \\ \vdots \\ \sqrt{r_N} t_N \end{bmatrix}, \Phi' = \begin{bmatrix} \sqrt{r_1} \phi(\mathbf{x}_1)^\top \\ \vdots \\ \sqrt{r_N} \phi(\mathbf{x}_N)^\top \end{bmatrix}.$$

Setting the derivative with respect to  $\mathbf{w}$  to zero gives

$$\mathbf{0} = -\Phi'^\top (\mathbf{t}' - \Phi' \mathbf{w}). \quad (3.13)$$

Therefore,

$$\underset{\mathbf{w}}{\operatorname{argmin}} E(\mathbf{w}) = (\Phi'^\top \Phi')^{-1} \Phi'^\top \mathbf{t}'. \quad (3.14)$$

### 3.4 (Incomplete)

Let

$$E(\mathbf{w}) = \frac{1}{2} \sum_{n=1}^N (y(\mathbf{x}_n, \mathbf{w}) - t_n)^2, \quad (3.15)$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} y(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{w}) &= w_0 + \sum_{m=1}^M w_m (x_m + \epsilon_m), \\ p(\epsilon_m) &= \mathcal{N}(\epsilon_m | 0, \sigma^2). \end{aligned} \quad (3.16)$$

Setting the derivative with respect to  $\mathbf{w}$  to zero gives

$$\mathbf{0} = \sum_{n=1}^N \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ \mathbf{x}_n + \boldsymbol{\epsilon}_n \end{bmatrix} (y(\mathbf{x}_n, \mathbf{w}) - t_n).$$

The right hand side can be written as

$$\sum_{n=1}^N \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ \mathbf{x}_n \end{bmatrix} (y(\mathbf{x}_n, \mathbf{w}) - t_n) + \sum_{n=1}^N \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ \boldsymbol{\epsilon}_n \end{bmatrix} (y(\mathbf{x}_n, \mathbf{w}) - t_n).$$

### 3.5

Let

$$E(\mathbf{w}) = \frac{1}{2} \sum_{n=1}^N (t_n - \mathbf{w}^\top \boldsymbol{\phi}(\mathbf{x}_n))^2. \quad (3.17)$$

Then, the minimisation of  $E(\mathbf{w})$  under the constraint

$$\sum_{m=1}^M |w_m|^q \leq \eta \quad (3.18)$$

reduces to the minimisation of

$$E(\mathbf{w}) + \lambda \left( \sum_{m=1}^M |w_m|^q - \eta \right) \quad (3.19)$$

with respect to  $\mathbf{w}$  and  $\lambda$ . Then,

$$\eta = \sum_{m=1}^M |w_m^*(\lambda)|^q, \quad (3.20)$$

where

$$\mathbf{w}^*(\lambda) = \underset{\mathbf{w}}{\operatorname{argmin}} \left( E(\mathbf{w}) + \lambda \left( \sum_{m=1}^M |w_m|^q - \eta \right) \right). \quad (3.21)$$

### 3.6

Let  $\mathbf{t}_1, \dots, \mathbf{t}_N$  be variables in  $D$  dimensions such that

$$p(\mathbf{t}_n | \mathbf{W}, \Sigma) = \mathcal{N}(\mathbf{t}_n | \mathbf{y}(\mathbf{x}_n, \mathbf{W}), \Sigma), \quad (3.22)$$

where

$$\mathbf{y}(\mathbf{x}_n, \mathbf{W}) = \mathbf{W}^\top \phi(\mathbf{x}_n). \quad (3.23)$$

Then,

$$\begin{aligned} & \ln \left( \prod_{n=1}^N p(\mathbf{t}_n | \mathbf{W}, \Sigma) \right) \\ &= -\frac{ND}{2} \ln(2\pi) - \frac{N}{2} \ln(\det \Sigma) - \frac{1}{2} \sum_{n=1}^N (\mathbf{t}_n - \mathbf{W}^\top \phi(\mathbf{x}_n))^\top \Sigma^{-1} (\mathbf{t}_n - \mathbf{W}^\top \phi(\mathbf{x}_n)). \end{aligned} \quad (3.24)$$

By 3.21(a), setting the derivatives with respect to  $\mathbf{W}$  and  $\Sigma$  to zero gives

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{O} &= -\frac{1}{2} (\Sigma^{-1} + (\Sigma^{-1})^\top) \sum_{n=1}^N (\mathbf{t}_n - \mathbf{W}^\top \phi(\mathbf{x}_n)) (\phi(\mathbf{x}_n))^\top, \\ \mathbf{O} &= -\frac{N}{2} (\Sigma^{-1})^\top + \frac{1}{2} (\Sigma^{-1})^2 \sum_{n=1}^N (\mathbf{t}_n - \mathbf{W}^\top \phi(\mathbf{x}_n)) (\mathbf{t}_n - \mathbf{W}^\top \phi(\mathbf{x}_n))^\top. \end{aligned} \quad (3.25)$$

Therefore,

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{W}_{\text{ML}} &= (\Phi^\top \Phi)^{-1} \Phi^\top \mathbf{t}, \\ \Sigma_{\text{ML}} &= \frac{1}{N} \sum_{n=1}^N (\mathbf{t}_n - \mathbf{W}_{\text{ML}}^\top \phi(\mathbf{x}_n)) (\mathbf{t}_n - \mathbf{W}_{\text{ML}}^\top \phi(\mathbf{x}_n))^\top, \end{aligned} \quad (3.26)$$

where

$$\Phi = \begin{bmatrix} \phi(\mathbf{x}_1)^\top \\ \vdots \\ \phi(\mathbf{x}_N)^\top \end{bmatrix}.$$

### 3.7

Let  $t_1, \dots, t_N$  be variables such that

$$\begin{aligned} p(t_n|\mathbf{w}) &= \mathcal{N}(t_n|\mathbf{w}^\top \boldsymbol{\phi}(\mathbf{x}_n), \beta^{-1}), \\ p(\mathbf{w}) &= \mathcal{N}(\mathbf{w}|\mathbf{m}_0, \mathbf{S}_0). \end{aligned} \quad (3.27)$$

By the Bayes' theorem,

$$p(\mathbf{w}|\mathbf{t})p(\mathbf{t}) = p(\mathbf{t}|\mathbf{w})p(\mathbf{w}). \quad (3.28)$$

The logarithm of the right hand side except the terms independent of  $\mathbf{t}$  and  $\mathbf{w}$  can be written as

$$\begin{aligned} & -\frac{\beta}{2} \sum_{n=1}^N (t_n - \mathbf{w}^\top \boldsymbol{\phi}(\mathbf{x}_n))^2 - \frac{1}{2}(\mathbf{w} - \mathbf{m}_0)^\top \mathbf{S}_0^{-1}(\mathbf{w} - \mathbf{m}_0) \\ &= -\frac{\beta}{2}(\mathbf{t} - \boldsymbol{\Phi}\mathbf{w})^\top (\mathbf{t} - \boldsymbol{\Phi}\mathbf{w}) - \frac{1}{2}(\mathbf{w} - \mathbf{m}_0)^\top \mathbf{S}_0^{-1}(\mathbf{w} - \mathbf{m}_0), \end{aligned} \quad (3.29)$$

where

$$\boldsymbol{\Phi} = \begin{bmatrix} \boldsymbol{\phi}(\mathbf{x}_1)^\top \\ \vdots \\ \boldsymbol{\phi}(\mathbf{x}_N)^\top \end{bmatrix}.$$

The right hand side can be written as

$$-\frac{1}{2}(\mathbf{w} - \mathbf{m}_N)^\top \mathbf{S}_N^{-1}(\mathbf{w} - \mathbf{m}_N) + \frac{1}{2}\mathbf{m}_N^\top \mathbf{S}_N^{-1}\mathbf{m}_N - \frac{\beta}{2}\mathbf{t}^\top \mathbf{t} - \frac{1}{2}\mathbf{m}_0^\top \mathbf{S}_0^{-1}\mathbf{m}_0, \quad (3.30)$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{m}_N &= \mathbf{S}_N (\mathbf{S}_0^{-1}\mathbf{m}_0 + \beta\boldsymbol{\Phi}^\top \mathbf{t}), \\ \mathbf{S}_N^{-1} &= \mathbf{S}_0^{-1} + \beta\boldsymbol{\Phi}^\top \boldsymbol{\Phi}. \end{aligned} \quad (3.31)$$

Therefore,

$$p(\mathbf{w}|\mathbf{t}) = \mathcal{N}(\mathbf{w}|\mathbf{m}_N, \mathbf{S}_N). \quad (3.32)$$

### 3.8

Let  $t_1, \dots, t_N$  be variables such that

$$\begin{aligned} p(t_n|\mathbf{w}) &= \mathcal{N}(t_n|\mathbf{w}^\top \boldsymbol{\phi}(\mathbf{x}_n), \beta^{-1}), \\ p(\mathbf{w}) &= \mathcal{N}(\mathbf{w}|\mathbf{m}_0, \mathbf{S}_0). \end{aligned} \quad (3.33)$$

Then, by 3.7,

$$p(\mathbf{w}|\mathbf{t}_N) = \mathcal{N}(\mathbf{w}|\mathbf{m}_N, \mathbf{S}_N), \quad (3.34)$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{m}_N &= \mathbf{S}_N (\mathbf{S}_0^{-1} \mathbf{m}_0 + \beta \Phi_N^\top \mathbf{t}_N), \\ \mathbf{S}_N^{-1} &= \mathbf{S}_0^{-1} + \beta \Phi_N^\top \Phi_N. \end{aligned} \quad (3.35)$$

By the Bayes' theorem,

$$p(\mathbf{w}|\mathbf{t}_{N+1})p(\mathbf{t}_{N+1}) = p(\mathbf{t}_{N+1}|\mathbf{w})p(\mathbf{w}). \quad (3.36)$$

The right hand side can be written as

$$p(t_{N+1}|\mathbf{w})p(\mathbf{t}_N|\mathbf{w})p(\mathbf{w}) = p(t_{N+1}|\mathbf{w})p(\mathbf{w}|\mathbf{t}_N)p(\mathbf{t}_N). \quad (3.37)$$

Therefore,

$$p(\mathbf{w}|\mathbf{t}_{N+1})p(t_{N+1}) = p(t_{N+1}|\mathbf{w})p(\mathbf{w}|\mathbf{t}_N). \quad (3.38)$$

The logarithm of the right hand side except the terms independent of  $\mathbf{w}$  can be written as

$$\begin{aligned} & -\frac{\beta}{2} (t_{N+1} - \mathbf{w}^\top \phi(\mathbf{x}_{N+1}))^2 - \frac{1}{2} (\mathbf{w} - \mathbf{m}_N)^\top \mathbf{S}_N^{-1} (\mathbf{w} - \mathbf{m}_N) \\ &= -\frac{1}{2} (\mathbf{w} - \boldsymbol{\mu}_{N+1})^\top \boldsymbol{\Lambda}_{N+1} (\mathbf{w} - \boldsymbol{\mu}_{N+1}) + \frac{1}{2} \boldsymbol{\mu}_{N+1}^\top \boldsymbol{\Lambda}_{N+1} \boldsymbol{\mu}_{N+1} \\ & \quad - \frac{1}{2} \mathbf{m}_N^\top \mathbf{S}_N^{-1} \mathbf{m}_N - \frac{\beta}{2} t_{N+1}^2, \end{aligned} \quad (3.39)$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} \boldsymbol{\mu}_{N+1} &= \boldsymbol{\Lambda}_{N+1}^{-1} (\mathbf{S}_N^{-1} \mathbf{m}_N + \beta t_{N+1} \phi(\mathbf{x}_{N+1})), \\ \boldsymbol{\Lambda}_{N+1} &= \mathbf{S}_N^{-1} + \beta \phi(\mathbf{x}_{N+1}) \phi(\mathbf{x}_{N+1})^\top. \end{aligned} \quad (3.40)$$

Therefore,

$$\begin{aligned} \boldsymbol{\mu}_{N+1} &= \mathbf{m}_{N+1}, \\ \boldsymbol{\Lambda}_{N+1} &= \mathbf{S}_{N+1}^{-1}. \end{aligned} \quad (3.41)$$

Thus,

$$p(\mathbf{w}|\mathbf{t}_{N+1}) = \mathcal{N}(\mathbf{w}|\mathbf{m}_{N+1}, \mathbf{S}_{N+1}). \quad (3.42)$$

### 3.9 (Incomplete)

Let  $t_1, \dots, t_N$  be variables such that

$$\begin{aligned} p(t_n|\mathbf{w}) &= \mathcal{N}(t_n|\mathbf{w}^\top \boldsymbol{\phi}(\mathbf{x}_n), \beta^{-1}), \\ p(\mathbf{w}) &= \mathcal{N}(\mathbf{w}|\mathbf{m}_0, \mathbf{S}_0). \end{aligned} \quad (3.43)$$

Then, by 3.7,

$$p(\mathbf{w}|\mathbf{t}_N) = \mathcal{N}(\mathbf{w}|\mathbf{m}_N, \mathbf{S}_N), \quad (3.44)$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{m}_N &= \mathbf{S}_N (\mathbf{S}_0^{-1} \mathbf{m}_0 + \beta \boldsymbol{\Phi}_N^\top \mathbf{t}_N), \\ \mathbf{S}_N^{-1} &= \mathbf{S}_0^{-1} + \beta \boldsymbol{\Phi}_N^\top \boldsymbol{\Phi}_N. \end{aligned} \quad (3.45)$$

By the Bayes' theorem,

$$p(\mathbf{w}|\mathbf{t}_{N+1})p(\mathbf{t}_{N+1}) = p(\mathbf{t}_{N+1}|\mathbf{w})p(\mathbf{w}). \quad (3.46)$$

The right hand side can be written as

$$p(t_{N+1}|\mathbf{w})p(\mathbf{t}_N|\mathbf{w})p(\mathbf{w}) = p(t_{N+1}|\mathbf{w})p(\mathbf{w}|\mathbf{t}_N)p(\mathbf{t}_N). \quad (3.47)$$

Therefore,

$$p(\mathbf{w}|\mathbf{t}_{N+1})p(t_{N+1}) = p(t_{N+1}|\mathbf{w})p(\mathbf{w}|\mathbf{t}_N). \quad (3.48)$$

The logarithm of the right hand side except the terms independent of  $\mathbf{w}$  can be written as

$$-\frac{\beta}{2} (t_{N+1} - \mathbf{w}^\top \boldsymbol{\phi}(\mathbf{x}_{N+1}))^2 - \frac{1}{2} (\mathbf{w} - \mathbf{m}_N)^\top \mathbf{S}_N^{-1} (\mathbf{w} - \mathbf{m}_N). \quad (3.49)$$

### 3.10

Let  $t$  be a variable such that

$$\begin{aligned} p(t|\mathbf{w}) &= \mathcal{N}(t|\mathbf{w}^\top \boldsymbol{\phi}(\mathbf{x}), \beta^{-1}), \\ p(\mathbf{w}) &= \mathcal{N}(\mathbf{w}|\mathbf{m}_0, \mathbf{S}_0). \end{aligned} \quad (3.50)$$

Then, by 3.7,

$$p(\mathbf{w}|\mathbf{t}) = \mathcal{N}(\mathbf{w}|\mathbf{m}_N, \mathbf{S}_N), \quad (3.51)$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{m}_N &= \mathbf{S}_N (\mathbf{S}_0^{-1} \mathbf{m}_0 + \beta \boldsymbol{\Phi}^\top \mathbf{t}), \\ \mathbf{S}_N^{-1} &= \mathbf{S}_0^{-1} + \beta \boldsymbol{\Phi}^\top \boldsymbol{\Phi}. \end{aligned} \quad (3.52)$$

By marginalisation,

$$p(t|\mathbf{t}) = \int p(t|\mathbf{w})p(\mathbf{w}|\mathbf{t})d\mathbf{w}. \quad (3.53)$$

The logarithm of the integrand of the right hand side except the terms independent of  $t$  and  $\mathbf{w}$  can be written as

$$-\frac{\beta}{2}(t - \mathbf{w}^\top \boldsymbol{\phi}(\mathbf{x}))^2 - \frac{1}{2}(\mathbf{w} - \mathbf{m}_N)^\top \mathbf{S}_N^{-1}(\mathbf{w} - \mathbf{m}_N). \quad (3.54)$$

It can be written as

$$-\frac{1}{2} \begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{w} \\ t \end{bmatrix}^\top \begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{S}_N^{-1} + \beta \boldsymbol{\phi}(\mathbf{x})\boldsymbol{\phi}(\mathbf{x})^\top & -\beta \boldsymbol{\phi}(\mathbf{x}) \\ -\beta \boldsymbol{\phi}(\mathbf{x})^\top & \beta \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{w} \\ t \end{bmatrix} + \begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{w} \\ t \end{bmatrix}^\top \begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{S}_N^{-1} \mathbf{m}_N \\ 0 \end{bmatrix} - \frac{1}{2} \mathbf{m}_N^\top \mathbf{S}_N^{-1} \mathbf{m}_N.$$

By 2.24,

$$\begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{S}_N^{-1} + \beta \boldsymbol{\phi}(\mathbf{x})\boldsymbol{\phi}(\mathbf{x})^\top & -\beta \boldsymbol{\phi}(\mathbf{x}) \\ -\beta \boldsymbol{\phi}(\mathbf{x})^\top & \beta \end{bmatrix}^{-1} = \begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{S}_N & \mathbf{S}_N \boldsymbol{\phi}(\mathbf{x}) \\ \boldsymbol{\phi}(\mathbf{x})^\top \mathbf{S}_N & \frac{1}{\beta} + \boldsymbol{\phi}(\mathbf{x})^\top \mathbf{S}_N \boldsymbol{\phi}(\mathbf{x}) \end{bmatrix}.$$

Therefore,

$$\begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{S}_N^{-1} + \beta \boldsymbol{\phi}(\mathbf{x})\boldsymbol{\phi}(\mathbf{x})^\top & -\beta \boldsymbol{\phi}(\mathbf{x}) \\ -\beta \boldsymbol{\phi}(\mathbf{x})^\top & \beta \end{bmatrix}^{-1} \begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{S}_N^{-1} \mathbf{m}_N \\ 0 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{m}_N \\ \mathbf{m}_N^\top \boldsymbol{\phi}(\mathbf{x}) \end{bmatrix}.$$

Thus,

$$p(t|\mathbf{t}) = \mathcal{N}(t|\mathbf{m}_N^\top \boldsymbol{\phi}(\mathbf{x}), \sigma_N^2(\mathbf{x})), \quad (3.55)$$

where

$$\sigma_N^2(\mathbf{x}) = \frac{1}{\beta} + \boldsymbol{\phi}(\mathbf{x})^\top \mathbf{S}_N \boldsymbol{\phi}(\mathbf{x}). \quad (3.56)$$

### 3.11

Let  $t$  be a variable such that

$$\begin{aligned} p(t|\mathbf{w}) &= \mathcal{N}(t|\mathbf{w}^\top \boldsymbol{\phi}(\mathbf{x}), \beta^{-1}), \\ p(\mathbf{w}) &= \mathcal{N}(\mathbf{w}|\mathbf{m}_0, \mathbf{S}_0). \end{aligned} \quad (3.57)$$

Then, by 3.7,

$$p(\mathbf{w}|\mathbf{t}) = \mathcal{N}(\mathbf{w}|\mathbf{m}_N, \mathbf{S}_N), \quad (3.58)$$

where

$$\begin{aligned}\mathbf{m}_N &= \mathbf{S}_N (\mathbf{S}_0^{-1} \mathbf{m}_0 + \beta \Phi_N^\top \mathbf{t}_N), \\ \mathbf{S}_N^{-1} &= \mathbf{S}_0^{-1} + \beta \Phi_N^\top \Phi_N.\end{aligned}\tag{3.59}$$

Then, by 3.10,

$$p(t|\mathbf{t}) = \mathcal{N}(t \mid \mathbf{m}_N^\top \phi(\mathbf{x}), \sigma_N^2(\mathbf{x})),\tag{3.60}$$

where

$$\sigma_N^2(\mathbf{x}) = \frac{1}{\beta} + \phi(\mathbf{x})^\top \mathbf{S}_N \phi(\mathbf{x}).\tag{3.61}$$

Then,

$$\sigma_N^2(\mathbf{x}) - \sigma_{N+1}^2(\mathbf{x}) = \phi(\mathbf{x})^\top (\mathbf{S}_N - \mathbf{S}_{N+1}) \phi(\mathbf{x}).\tag{3.62}$$

By the expression of  $\mathbf{S}_N$  above,

$$\mathbf{S}_{N+1} = (\mathbf{S}_N^{-1} + \beta \phi(\mathbf{x}_{N+1}) \phi(\mathbf{x}_{N+1})^\top)^{-1}.\tag{3.63}$$

By the identity

$$(\mathbf{M} + \mathbf{v} \mathbf{v}^\top)^{-1} = \mathbf{M}^{-1} - \frac{(\mathbf{M}^{-1} \mathbf{v}) (\mathbf{v}^\top \mathbf{M}^{-1})}{1 + \mathbf{v}^\top \mathbf{M}^{-1} \mathbf{v}},\tag{3.64}$$

the right hand side can be written as

$$\mathbf{S}_N - \frac{\beta (\mathbf{S}_N \phi(\mathbf{x}_{N+1})) (\phi(\mathbf{x}_{N+1})^\top \mathbf{S}_N)}{1 + \beta \phi(\mathbf{x}_{N+1})^\top \mathbf{S}_N \phi(\mathbf{x}_{N+1})}.\tag{3.65}$$

Therefore,

$$\phi(\mathbf{x})^\top (\mathbf{S}_N - \mathbf{S}_{N+1}) \phi(\mathbf{x}) = \frac{\beta (\phi(\mathbf{x})^\top \mathbf{S}_N \phi(\mathbf{x}_{N+1}))^2}{1 + \beta \phi(\mathbf{x}_{N+1})^\top \mathbf{S}_N \phi(\mathbf{x}_{N+1})}.\tag{3.66}$$

Thus,

$$\sigma_{N+1}^2(\mathbf{x}) \leq \sigma_N^2(\mathbf{x}).\tag{3.67}$$

### 3.12

Let  $t_1, \dots, t_N$  be variables such that

$$\begin{aligned}p(t_n | \mathbf{w}, \beta) &= \mathcal{N}(t_n | \mathbf{w}^\top \phi(\mathbf{x}_n), \beta^{-1}), \\ p(\mathbf{w}, \beta) &= \mathcal{N}(\mathbf{w} | \mathbf{m}_0, \beta^{-1} \mathbf{S}_0) \text{Gam}(\beta | a_0, b_0),\end{aligned}\tag{3.68}$$



where  $\mathbf{w}$  and  $\boldsymbol{\phi}$  are vectors in  $M$  dimensions. By the Bayes' theorem,

$$p(\mathbf{w}, \beta | \mathbf{t}) p(\mathbf{t}) = p(\mathbf{t} | \mathbf{w}, \beta) p(\mathbf{w}, \beta). \quad (3.69)$$

The logarithm of the right hand side except the terms independent of  $\mathbf{t}$ ,  $\mathbf{w}$  and  $\beta$  can be written as

$$\begin{aligned} & -\frac{N}{2} \ln \beta^{-1} - \frac{\beta}{2} \sum_{n=1}^N (t_n - \mathbf{w}^\top \boldsymbol{\phi}(\mathbf{x}_n))^2 - \frac{M}{2} \ln \beta^{-1} - \frac{\beta}{2} (\mathbf{w} - \mathbf{m}_0)^\top \mathbf{S}_0^{-1} (\mathbf{w} - \mathbf{m}_0) \\ & + (a_0 - 1) \ln \beta - b_0 \beta \\ = & -\frac{M}{2} \ln \beta - \frac{\beta}{2} \mathbf{w}^\top (\mathbf{S}_0^{-1} + \boldsymbol{\Phi}^\top \boldsymbol{\Phi}) \mathbf{w} + \beta \mathbf{w}^\top (\mathbf{S}_0^{-1} \mathbf{m}_0 + \boldsymbol{\Phi}^\top \mathbf{t}) - \frac{\beta}{2} \|\mathbf{t}\|^2 - \frac{\beta}{2} \mathbf{m}_0^\top \mathbf{S}_0^{-1} \mathbf{m}_0 \\ & + \left( a_0 + \frac{N}{2} - 1 \right) \ln \beta - b_0 \beta. \end{aligned} \quad (3.70)$$

The right hand side can be written as

$$-\frac{M}{2} \ln \beta - \frac{\beta}{2} (\mathbf{w} - \mathbf{m}_N)^\top \mathbf{S}_N^{-1} (\mathbf{w} - \mathbf{m}_N) + (a_N - 1) \ln \beta - b_N \beta, \quad (3.71)$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{m}_N &= \mathbf{S}_N (\mathbf{S}_0^{-1} \mathbf{m}_0 + \boldsymbol{\Phi}^\top \mathbf{t}), \\ \mathbf{S}_N^{-1} &= \mathbf{S}_0^{-1} + \boldsymbol{\Phi}^\top \boldsymbol{\Phi}, \\ a_N &= a_0 + \frac{N}{2}, \\ b_N &= b_0 + \frac{1}{2} \|\mathbf{t}\|^2 + \frac{1}{2} \mathbf{m}_0^\top \mathbf{S}_0 \mathbf{m}_0 - \frac{1}{2} \mathbf{m}_N^\top \mathbf{S}_N^{-1} \mathbf{m}_N. \end{aligned} \quad (3.72)$$

Therefore,

$$p(\mathbf{w}, \beta | \mathbf{t}) = \mathcal{N}(\mathbf{w} | \mathbf{m}_N, \beta^{-1} \mathbf{S}_N) \text{Gam}(\beta | a_N, b_N). \quad (3.73)$$

Substituting it to the result of the Bayes' theorem above, we have

$$p(\mathbf{t}) = \frac{\mathcal{N}(\mathbf{t} | \boldsymbol{\Phi} \mathbf{w}, \beta^{-1} \mathbf{I}) \mathcal{N}(\mathbf{w} | \mathbf{m}_0, \beta^{-1} \mathbf{S}_0) \text{Gam}(\beta | a_0, b_0)}{\mathcal{N}(\mathbf{w} | \mathbf{m}_N, \beta^{-1} \mathbf{S}_N) \text{Gam}(\beta | a_N, b_N)}. \quad (3.74)$$

The logarithm of the right hand side can be written as

$$\begin{aligned}
& -\frac{N}{2} \ln(2\pi) - \frac{N}{2} \ln \beta^{-1} - \frac{\beta}{2} (\mathbf{t} - \Phi \mathbf{w})^\top (\mathbf{t} - \Phi \mathbf{w}) \\
& -\frac{M}{2} \ln(2\pi) - \frac{M}{2} \ln \beta^{-1} - \frac{1}{2} \det \mathbf{S}_0 - \frac{\beta}{2} (\mathbf{w} - \mathbf{m}_0)^\top \mathbf{S}_0^{-1} (\mathbf{w} - \mathbf{m}_0) \\
& + a_0 \ln b_0 - \ln \Gamma(a_0) + (a_0 - 1) \ln \beta - b_0 \beta \\
& + \frac{M}{2} \ln(2\pi) + \frac{M}{2} \ln \beta^{-1} + \frac{1}{2} \det \mathbf{S}_N + \frac{\beta}{2} (\mathbf{w} - \mathbf{m}_N)^\top \mathbf{S}_N^{-1} (\mathbf{w} - \mathbf{m}_N) \\
& - a_N \ln b_N + \ln \Gamma(a_N) - (a_N - 1) \ln \beta + b_N \beta \\
& = -\frac{N}{2} \ln(2\pi) - \frac{1}{2} \det \mathbf{S}_0 + a_0 \ln b_0 - \ln \Gamma(a_0) + \frac{1}{2} \det \mathbf{S}_N - a_N \ln b_N + \ln \Gamma(a_N).
\end{aligned} \tag{3.75}$$

Therefore,

$$p(\mathbf{t}) = (2\pi)^{-\frac{N}{2}} \left( \frac{\det \mathbf{S}_N}{\det \mathbf{S}_0} \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \frac{\Gamma(a_N)}{\Gamma(a_0)} \frac{b_0^{a_0}}{b_N^{a_N}}. \tag{3.76}$$

### 3.13

Let  $t_1, \dots, t_N$  be variables such that

$$\begin{aligned}
p(t_n | \mathbf{w}, \beta) &= \mathcal{N}(t_n | \mathbf{w}^\top \phi(\mathbf{x}_n), \beta^{-1}), \\
p(\mathbf{w}, \beta) &= \mathcal{N}(\mathbf{w} | \mathbf{m}_0, \beta^{-1} \mathbf{S}_0) \text{Gam}(\beta | a_0, b_0),
\end{aligned} \tag{3.77}$$

where  $\mathbf{w}$  and  $\phi$  are vectors in  $M$  dimensions. Then, by 3.12,

$$p(\mathbf{w}, \beta | \mathbf{t}) = \mathcal{N}(\mathbf{w} | \mathbf{m}_N, \beta^{-1} \mathbf{S}_N) \text{Gam}(\beta | a_N, b_N), \tag{3.78}$$

where

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathbf{m}_N &= \mathbf{S}_N (\mathbf{S}_0^{-1} \mathbf{m}_0 + \Phi^\top \mathbf{t}), \\
\mathbf{S}_N^{-1} &= \mathbf{S}_0^{-1} + \Phi^\top \Phi, \\
a_N &= a_0 + \frac{N}{2}, \\
b_N &= b_0 + \frac{1}{2} \|\mathbf{t}\|^2 + \frac{1}{2} \mathbf{m}_0^\top \mathbf{S}_0 \mathbf{m}_0 - \frac{1}{2} \mathbf{m}_N^\top \mathbf{S}_N^{-1} \mathbf{m}_N.
\end{aligned} \tag{3.79}$$

By marginalisation,

$$p(t | \mathbf{t}) = \int \int p(t | \mathbf{w}, \beta) p(\mathbf{w}, \beta | \mathbf{t}) d\mathbf{w} d\beta. \tag{3.80}$$

The right hand side can be written as

$$\int \left( \int \mathcal{N}(t|\mathbf{w}^\top \boldsymbol{\phi}(\mathbf{x}), \beta^{-1}) \mathcal{N}(\mathbf{w}|\mathbf{m}_N, \beta^{-1}\mathbf{S}_N) d\mathbf{w} \right) \text{Gam}(\beta|a_N, b_N) d\beta. \quad (3.81)$$

The logarithm of the integrand with respect to  $\mathbf{w}$  except the terms independent of  $\mathbf{w}$  can be written as

$$-\frac{\beta}{2} (t - \mathbf{w}^\top \boldsymbol{\phi}(\mathbf{x}))^2 - \frac{\beta}{2} (\mathbf{w} - \mathbf{m}_N)^\top \mathbf{S}_N^{-1} (\mathbf{w} - \mathbf{m}_N). \quad (3.82)$$

It can be written as

$$-\frac{\beta}{2} \begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{w} \\ t \end{bmatrix}^\top \begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{S}_N^{-1} + \boldsymbol{\phi}(\mathbf{x})\boldsymbol{\phi}(\mathbf{x})^\top & -\boldsymbol{\phi}(\mathbf{x}) \\ -\boldsymbol{\phi}(\mathbf{x})^\top & 1 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{w} \\ t \end{bmatrix} + \beta \begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{w} \\ t \end{bmatrix}^\top \begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{S}_N^{-1} \mathbf{m}_N \\ 0 \end{bmatrix} - \frac{\beta}{2} \mathbf{m}_N^\top \mathbf{S}_N^{-1} \mathbf{m}_N.$$

By 2.24,

$$\begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{S}_N^{-1} + \boldsymbol{\phi}(\mathbf{x})\boldsymbol{\phi}(\mathbf{x})^\top & -\boldsymbol{\phi}(\mathbf{x}) \\ -\boldsymbol{\phi}(\mathbf{x})^\top & 1 \end{bmatrix}^{-1} = \begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{S}_N & \mathbf{S}_N \boldsymbol{\phi}(\mathbf{x}) \\ \boldsymbol{\phi}(\mathbf{x})^\top \mathbf{S}_N & 1 + \boldsymbol{\phi}(\mathbf{x})^\top \mathbf{S}_N \boldsymbol{\phi}(\mathbf{x}) \end{bmatrix}.$$

Then,

$$\begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{S}_N^{-1} + \boldsymbol{\phi}(\mathbf{x})\boldsymbol{\phi}(\mathbf{x})^\top & -\boldsymbol{\phi}(\mathbf{x}) \\ -\boldsymbol{\phi}(\mathbf{x})^\top & 1 \end{bmatrix}^{-1} \begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{S}_N^{-1} \mathbf{m}_N \\ 0 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{m}_N \\ \mathbf{m}_N^\top \boldsymbol{\phi}(\mathbf{x}) \end{bmatrix}.$$

Therefore, the integral with respect to  $\mathbf{w}$  can be written as

$$\mathcal{N}(t|\mathbf{m}_N^\top \boldsymbol{\phi}(\mathbf{x}), \beta^{-1} (1 + \boldsymbol{\phi}(\mathbf{x})^\top \mathbf{S}_N \boldsymbol{\phi}(\mathbf{x}))). \quad (3.83)$$

Then, the logarithm of the integrand with respect to  $\beta$  except the terms independent of  $\beta$  can be written as

$$\begin{aligned} & -\frac{1}{2} \ln \beta^{-1} - \frac{\beta}{2(1 + \boldsymbol{\phi}(\mathbf{x})^\top \mathbf{S}_N \boldsymbol{\phi}(\mathbf{x}))} (t - \mathbf{m}_N^\top \boldsymbol{\phi}(\mathbf{x}))^2 + (a_N - 1) \ln \beta - b_N \beta \\ & = \left( a_N + \frac{1}{2} - 1 \right) \ln \beta - \left( b_N + \frac{(t - \mathbf{m}_N^\top \boldsymbol{\phi}(\mathbf{x}))^2}{2(1 + \boldsymbol{\phi}(\mathbf{x})^\top \mathbf{S}_N \boldsymbol{\phi}(\mathbf{x}))} \right) \beta. \end{aligned} \quad (3.84)$$

Therefore, the integral with respect to  $\beta$  except the terms independent of  $t$  can be written as

$$\left( b_N + \frac{(t - \mathbf{m}_N^\top \boldsymbol{\phi}(\mathbf{x}))^2}{2(1 + \boldsymbol{\phi}(\mathbf{x})^\top \mathbf{S}_N \boldsymbol{\phi}(\mathbf{x}))} \right)^{-a_N - \frac{1}{2}}. \quad (3.85)$$

Thus,

$$p(t|\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{t}) = \text{St}(t|\mu, \lambda, \nu), \quad (3.86)$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} \mu &= \mathbf{m}_N^\top \boldsymbol{\phi}(\mathbf{x}), \\ \lambda &= \frac{a_N}{b_N} (1 + \boldsymbol{\phi}(\mathbf{x})^\top \mathbf{S}_N \boldsymbol{\phi}(\mathbf{x}))^{-1}, \\ \nu &= 2a_N. \end{aligned} \quad (3.87)$$

### 3.14 (Incomplete)

Let  $t_1, \dots, t_N$  be variables such that

$$\begin{aligned} p(t_n|\mathbf{w}) &= \mathcal{N}(t_n|\mathbf{w}^\top \boldsymbol{\phi}(\mathbf{x}_n), \beta^{-1}), \\ p(\mathbf{w}) &= \mathcal{N}(\mathbf{w}|\mathbf{0}, \alpha^{-1}\mathbf{I}), \end{aligned} \quad (3.88)$$

where  $\mathbf{w}$  and  $\boldsymbol{\phi}$  are vectors in  $M$  dimensions. Then, by 3.7,

$$p(\mathbf{w}|\mathbf{t}) = \mathcal{N}(\mathbf{w}|\mathbf{m}_N, \mathbf{S}_N), \quad (3.89)$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{m}_N &= \beta \mathbf{S}_N \boldsymbol{\Phi}^\top \mathbf{t}, \\ \mathbf{S}_N^{-1} &= \alpha \mathbf{I} + \beta \boldsymbol{\Phi}^\top \boldsymbol{\Phi}. \end{aligned} \quad (3.90)$$

Let

$$y(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{w}) = \mathbf{w}^\top \boldsymbol{\phi}(\mathbf{x}). \quad (3.91)$$

Then,

$$y(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{m}_N) = \sum_{n=1}^N k(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{x}_n) t_n, \quad (3.92)$$

where

$$k(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{x}') = \beta \boldsymbol{\phi}(\mathbf{x})^\top \mathbf{S}_N \boldsymbol{\phi}(\mathbf{x}'). \quad (3.93)$$

Let us suppose that  $\boldsymbol{\phi}_j(\mathbf{x})$  are linearly independent,  $N > M$  and

$$\phi_0(\mathbf{x}) = 1. \quad (3.94)$$

Then, we can construct a new basis set  $\psi_j(\mathbf{x})$  such that

$$\boldsymbol{\Psi}^\top \boldsymbol{\Psi} = \mathbf{I}? \quad (3.95)$$

$$\sum_{n=1}^N \psi_j(\mathbf{x}_n) \psi_k(\mathbf{x}_n) = I_{jk} \quad (3.96)$$

where

$$\mathbf{\Psi} = \begin{bmatrix} \boldsymbol{\psi}(\mathbf{x}_1)^\top \\ \vdots \\ \boldsymbol{\psi}(\mathbf{x}_N)^\top \end{bmatrix}$$

and

$$\psi_0(\mathbf{x}) = 1. \quad (3.97)$$

Under the basis set, if  $\alpha = 0$ , then

$$\mathbf{S}_N^{-1} = \beta \mathbf{I}, \quad (3.98)$$

so that

$$k(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{x}') = \boldsymbol{\psi}(\mathbf{x})^\top \boldsymbol{\psi}(\mathbf{x}'). \quad (3.99)$$

Then,

$$\sum_{n=1}^N k(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{x}_n) = \sum_{n=1}^N \sum_{j=0}^{M-1} \psi_j(\mathbf{x}) \psi_j(\mathbf{x}_n) = 1? \quad (3.100)$$

### 3.15

Let  $t_1, \dots, t_N$  be variables such that

$$\begin{aligned} p(t_n | \mathbf{w}) &= \mathcal{N}(t_n | \mathbf{w}^\top \boldsymbol{\phi}(\mathbf{x}_n), \beta^{-1}), \\ p(\mathbf{w}) &= \mathcal{N}(\mathbf{w} | \mathbf{0}, \alpha^{-1} \mathbf{I}), \end{aligned} \quad (3.101)$$

where  $\mathbf{w}$  and  $\boldsymbol{\phi}$  are vectors in  $M$  dimensions. By 3.7,

$$p(\mathbf{w} | \mathbf{t}) = \mathcal{N}(\mathbf{w} | \mathbf{m}_N, \mathbf{S}_N), \quad (3.102)$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{m}_N &= \beta \mathbf{S}_N \boldsymbol{\Phi}^\top \mathbf{t}, \\ \mathbf{S}_N^{-1} &= \alpha \mathbf{I} + \beta \boldsymbol{\Phi}^\top \boldsymbol{\Phi}. \end{aligned} \quad (3.103)$$

By 3.19,

$$\ln p(\mathbf{t}) = \frac{M}{2} \ln \alpha + \frac{N}{2} \ln \beta - \frac{N}{2} \ln(2\pi) + \frac{1}{2} \ln(\det \mathbf{S}_N) - E(\mathbf{m}_N), \quad (3.104)$$

where

$$E(\mathbf{m}_N) = \frac{\beta}{2} \|\mathbf{t} - \Phi \mathbf{m}_N\|^2 + \frac{\alpha}{2} \mathbf{m}_N^\top \mathbf{m}_N. \quad (3.105)$$

By 3.22, setting the derivatives of  $\ln p(\mathbf{t})$  with respect to  $\alpha$  and  $\beta$  to zero gives

$$\begin{aligned} \alpha &= \frac{\gamma}{\mathbf{m}_N^\top \mathbf{m}_N}, \\ \beta &= \frac{N - \gamma}{\|\mathbf{t} - \Phi \mathbf{m}_N\|^2}, \end{aligned} \quad (3.106)$$

where

$$\gamma = \sum_{m=1}^M \frac{\lambda_m}{\alpha + \lambda_m} \quad (3.107)$$

and  $\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_M$  are the eigenvalues of  $\beta \Phi^\top \Phi$ . If  $\alpha$  and  $\beta$  are set as above, then

$$E(\mathbf{m}_N) = \frac{N}{2}. \quad (3.108)$$

### 3.16

Let  $t_1, \dots, t_N$  be variables such that

$$\begin{aligned} p(t_n | \mathbf{w}) &= \mathcal{N}(t_n | \mathbf{w}^\top \phi(\mathbf{x}_n), \beta^{-1}), \\ p(\mathbf{w}) &= \mathcal{N}(\mathbf{w} | \mathbf{0}, \alpha^{-1} \mathbf{I}), \end{aligned} \quad (3.109)$$

where  $\mathbf{w}$  and  $\phi$  are vectors in  $M$  dimensions. By the Bayes' theorem,

$$p(\mathbf{t}, \mathbf{w}) = p(\mathbf{t} | \mathbf{w}) p(\mathbf{w}). \quad (3.110)$$

Integrating both sides with respect to  $\mathbf{w}$  gives

$$p(\mathbf{t}) = \int p(\mathbf{t} | \mathbf{w}) p(\mathbf{w}) d\mathbf{w}. \quad (3.111)$$

The logarithm of the integrand of the right hand side except the terms independent of  $\mathbf{w}$  can be written as

$$-\frac{\beta}{2} \sum_{n=1}^N (t_n - \mathbf{w}^\top \phi(\mathbf{x}_n))^2 - \frac{\alpha}{2} \mathbf{w}^\top \mathbf{w} = -\frac{1}{2} \begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{w} \\ \mathbf{t} \end{bmatrix}^\top \begin{bmatrix} \alpha \mathbf{I} + \beta \Phi^\top \Phi & -\beta \Phi^\top \\ -\beta \Phi & \beta \mathbf{I} \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{w} \\ \mathbf{t} \end{bmatrix}.$$

By 2.24,

$$\begin{bmatrix} \alpha \mathbf{I} + \beta \Phi^\top \Phi & -\beta \Phi^\top \\ -\beta \Phi & \beta \mathbf{I} \end{bmatrix}^{-1} = \begin{bmatrix} \alpha^{-1} \mathbf{I} & \alpha^{-1} \Phi^\top \\ \alpha^{-1} \Phi & \alpha^{-1} \Phi \Phi^\top + \beta^{-1} \mathbf{I} \end{bmatrix}.$$

Therefore,

$$p(\mathbf{t}) = \mathcal{N}(\mathbf{t} | \mathbf{0}, \alpha^{-1} \Phi \Phi^\top + \beta^{-1} \mathbf{I}). \quad (3.112)$$

### 3.17

Let  $t_1, \dots, t_N$  be variables such that

$$\begin{aligned} p(t_n | \mathbf{w}) &= \mathcal{N}(t_n | \mathbf{w}^\top \phi(\mathbf{x}_n), \beta^{-1}), \\ p(\mathbf{w}) &= \mathcal{N}(\mathbf{w} | \mathbf{0}, \alpha^{-1} \mathbf{I}), \end{aligned} \quad (3.113)$$

where  $\mathbf{w}$  and  $\phi$  are vectors in  $M$  dimensions. By the Bayes' theorem,

$$p(\mathbf{t}, \mathbf{w}) = p(\mathbf{t} | \mathbf{w}) p(\mathbf{w}). \quad (3.114)$$

Then,

$$p(\mathbf{t}) = \int p(\mathbf{t} | \mathbf{w}) p(\mathbf{w}) d\mathbf{w}. \quad (3.115)$$

The logarithm of the integrand of the right hand side can be written as

$$-\frac{N}{2} \ln(2\pi\beta^{-1}) - \frac{\beta}{2} \sum_{n=1}^N (t_n - \mathbf{w}^\top \phi(\mathbf{x}_n))^2 - \frac{M}{2} \ln(2\pi) - \frac{1}{2} \ln(\det(\alpha^{-1} \mathbf{I})) - \frac{\alpha}{2} \mathbf{w}^\top \mathbf{w}. \quad (3.116)$$

Therefore,

$$p(\mathbf{t}) = \left(\frac{\beta}{2\pi}\right)^{\frac{N}{2}} \left(\frac{\alpha}{2\pi}\right)^{\frac{M}{2}} \int \exp(-E(\mathbf{w})) d\mathbf{w}, \quad (3.117)$$

where

$$E(\mathbf{w}) = \frac{\beta}{2} \|\mathbf{t} - \Phi \mathbf{w}\|^2 + \frac{\alpha}{2} \mathbf{w}^\top \mathbf{w}. \quad (3.118)$$

### 3.18

Let  $t_1, \dots, t_N$  be variables such that

$$\begin{aligned} p(t_n | \mathbf{w}) &= \mathcal{N}(t_n | \mathbf{w}^\top \phi(\mathbf{x}_n), \beta^{-1}), \\ p(\mathbf{w}) &= \mathcal{N}(\mathbf{w} | \mathbf{0}, \alpha^{-1} \mathbf{I}), \end{aligned} \quad (3.119)$$

where  $\mathbf{w}$  and  $\boldsymbol{\phi}$  are vectors in  $M$  dimensions. By 3.7,

$$p(\mathbf{w}|\mathbf{t}) = \mathcal{N}(\mathbf{w}|\mathbf{m}_N, \mathbf{S}_N), \quad (3.120)$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{m}_N &= \beta \mathbf{S}_N \boldsymbol{\Phi}^\top \mathbf{t}, \\ \mathbf{S}_N^{-1} &= \alpha \mathbf{I} + \beta \boldsymbol{\Phi}^\top \boldsymbol{\Phi}. \end{aligned} \quad (3.121)$$

By 3.17,

$$p(\mathbf{t}) = \left( \frac{\beta}{2\pi} \right)^{\frac{N}{2}} \left( \frac{\alpha}{2\pi} \right)^{\frac{M}{2}} \int \exp(-E(\mathbf{w})) d\mathbf{w}, \quad (3.122)$$

where

$$E(\mathbf{w}) = \frac{\beta}{2} \|\mathbf{t} - \boldsymbol{\Phi} \mathbf{w}\|^2 + \frac{\alpha}{2} \mathbf{w}^\top \mathbf{w}. \quad (3.123)$$

The first term of the definition of  $E(\mathbf{w})$  can be written as

$$\begin{aligned} & \frac{\beta}{2} \|\mathbf{t} - \boldsymbol{\Phi} \mathbf{m}_N - \boldsymbol{\Phi}(\mathbf{w} - \mathbf{m}_N)\|^2 \\ &= \frac{\beta}{2} \|\mathbf{t} - \boldsymbol{\Phi} \mathbf{m}_N\|^2 - \beta(\mathbf{t} - \boldsymbol{\Phi} \mathbf{m}_N)^\top \boldsymbol{\Phi}(\mathbf{w} - \mathbf{m}_N) + \frac{\beta}{2} (\mathbf{w} - \mathbf{m}_N)^\top \boldsymbol{\Phi}^\top \boldsymbol{\Phi}(\mathbf{w} - \mathbf{m}_N). \end{aligned} \quad (3.124)$$

Similarly, the second term can be written as

$$\begin{aligned} & \frac{\alpha}{2} (\mathbf{w} - \mathbf{m}_N + \mathbf{m}_N)^\top (\mathbf{w} - \mathbf{m}_N + \mathbf{m}_N) \\ &= \frac{\alpha}{2} (\mathbf{w} - \mathbf{m}_N)^\top (\mathbf{w} - \mathbf{m}_N) + \alpha \mathbf{m}_N^\top (\mathbf{w} - \mathbf{m}_N) + \frac{\alpha}{2} \mathbf{m}_N^\top \mathbf{m}_N. \end{aligned} \quad (3.125)$$

Here,

$$\begin{aligned} & -\beta(\mathbf{t} - \boldsymbol{\Phi} \mathbf{m}_N)^\top \boldsymbol{\Phi}(\mathbf{w} - \mathbf{m}_N) + \alpha \mathbf{m}_N^\top (\mathbf{w} - \mathbf{m}_N) \\ &= (-\beta \boldsymbol{\Phi}^\top \mathbf{t} + \beta \boldsymbol{\Phi}^\top \boldsymbol{\Phi} \mathbf{m}_N + \alpha \mathbf{m}_N)^\top (\mathbf{w} - \mathbf{m}_N). \end{aligned} \quad (3.126)$$

By the definitions of  $\mathbf{m}_N$  and  $\mathbf{S}_N$  above, the right hand can be written as

$$(-\beta \boldsymbol{\Phi}^\top \mathbf{t} + \mathbf{S}_N^{-1} \mathbf{m}_N)^\top (\mathbf{w} - \mathbf{m}_N) = 0. \quad (3.127)$$

Therefore,

$$E(\mathbf{w}) = E(\mathbf{m}_N) + \frac{1}{2} (\mathbf{w} - \mathbf{m}_N)^\top \mathbf{S}_N^{-1} (\mathbf{w} - \mathbf{m}_N). \quad (3.128)$$



### 3.19

Let  $t_1, \dots, t_N$  be variables such that

$$\begin{aligned} p(t_n|\mathbf{w}) &= \mathcal{N}(t_n|\mathbf{w}^\top \boldsymbol{\phi}(\mathbf{x}_n), \beta^{-1}), \\ p(\mathbf{w}) &= \mathcal{N}(\mathbf{w}|\mathbf{0}, \alpha^{-1}\mathbf{I}), \end{aligned} \quad (3.129)$$

where  $\mathbf{w}$  and  $\boldsymbol{\phi}$  are vectors in  $M$  dimensions. By 3.7,

$$p(\mathbf{w}|\mathbf{t}) = \mathcal{N}(\mathbf{w}|\mathbf{m}_N, \mathbf{S}_N), \quad (3.130)$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{m}_N &= \beta \mathbf{S}_N \boldsymbol{\Phi}^\top \mathbf{t}, \\ \mathbf{S}_N^{-1} &= \alpha \mathbf{I} + \beta \boldsymbol{\Phi}^\top \boldsymbol{\Phi}. \end{aligned} \quad (3.131)$$

By 3.17,

$$p(\mathbf{t}) = \left(\frac{\beta}{2\pi}\right)^{\frac{N}{2}} \left(\frac{\alpha}{2\pi}\right)^{\frac{M}{2}} \int \exp(-E(\mathbf{w})) d\mathbf{w}, \quad (3.132)$$

where

$$E(\mathbf{w}) = \frac{\beta}{2} \|\mathbf{t} - \boldsymbol{\Phi} \mathbf{w}\|^2 + \frac{\alpha}{2} \mathbf{w}^\top \mathbf{w}. \quad (3.133)$$

By 3.18,

$$E(\mathbf{w}) = E(\mathbf{m}_N) + \frac{1}{2} (\mathbf{w} - \mathbf{m}_N)^\top \mathbf{S}_N^{-1} (\mathbf{w} - \mathbf{m}_N). \quad (3.134)$$

Therefore, the integral in the expression above of  $p(\mathbf{t})$  can be written as

$$\begin{aligned} & \exp(-E(\mathbf{m}_N)) \int \exp\left(-\frac{1}{2} (\mathbf{w} - \mathbf{m}_N)^\top \mathbf{S}_N^{-1} (\mathbf{w} - \mathbf{m}_N)\right) d\mathbf{w} \\ &= (2\pi)^{\frac{M}{2}} (\det \mathbf{S}_N)^{\frac{1}{2}} \exp(-E(\mathbf{m}_N)). \end{aligned} \quad (3.135)$$

Thus,

$$\ln p(\mathbf{t}) = \frac{M}{2} \ln \alpha + \frac{N}{2} \ln \beta - \frac{N}{2} \ln(2\pi) + \frac{1}{2} \ln(\det \mathbf{S}_N) - E(\mathbf{m}_N). \quad (3.136)$$

### 3.20

Let  $t_1, \dots, t_N$  be variables such that

$$\begin{aligned} p(t_n|\mathbf{w}) &= \mathcal{N}(t_n|\mathbf{w}^\top \boldsymbol{\phi}(\mathbf{x}_n), \beta^{-1}), \\ p(\mathbf{w}) &= \mathcal{N}(\mathbf{w}|\mathbf{0}, \alpha^{-1}\mathbf{I}), \end{aligned} \quad (3.137)$$

where  $\mathbf{w}$  and  $\boldsymbol{\phi}$  are vectors in  $M$  dimensions. By 3.7,

$$p(\mathbf{w}|\mathbf{t}) = \mathcal{N}(\mathbf{w}|\mathbf{m}_N, \mathbf{S}_N), \quad (3.138)$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{m}_N &= \beta \mathbf{S}_N \boldsymbol{\Phi}^\top \mathbf{t}, \\ \mathbf{S}_N^{-1} &= \alpha \mathbf{I} + \beta \boldsymbol{\Phi}^\top \boldsymbol{\Phi}. \end{aligned} \quad (3.139)$$

By 3.19,

$$\ln p(\mathbf{t}) = \frac{M}{2} \ln \alpha + \frac{N}{2} \ln \beta - \frac{N}{2} \ln(2\pi) + \frac{1}{2} \ln(\det \mathbf{S}_N) - E(\mathbf{m}_N), \quad (3.140)$$

where

$$E(\mathbf{m}_N) = \frac{\beta}{2} \|\mathbf{t} - \boldsymbol{\Phi} \mathbf{m}_N\|^2 + \frac{\alpha}{2} \mathbf{m}_N^\top \mathbf{m}_N. \quad (3.141)$$

Let  $\mathbf{u}_1, \dots, \mathbf{u}_M$  be eigenvectors of  $\beta \boldsymbol{\Phi}^\top \boldsymbol{\Phi}$  such that

$$\beta \boldsymbol{\Phi}^\top \boldsymbol{\Phi} \mathbf{u}_m = \lambda_m \mathbf{u}_m. \quad (3.142)$$

Then,

$$\mathbf{S}_N^{-1} \mathbf{u}_m = (\alpha + \lambda_m) \mathbf{u}_m, \quad (3.143)$$

so that

$$\det \mathbf{S}_N = \prod_{m=1}^M \frac{1}{\alpha + \lambda_m}. \quad (3.144)$$

Therefore, setting the derivative of  $\ln p(\mathbf{t}|\alpha, \beta)$  with respect to  $\alpha$  to zero gives

$$0 = \frac{M}{2\alpha} - \frac{1}{2} \sum_{m=1}^M \frac{1}{\alpha + \lambda_m} - \frac{1}{2} \mathbf{m}_N^\top \mathbf{m}_N. \quad (3.145)$$

Multiplying both sides by  $2\alpha$  gives

$$\alpha \mathbf{m}_N^\top \mathbf{m}_N = M - \sum_{m=1}^M \frac{\alpha}{\alpha + \lambda_m}. \quad (3.146)$$

The right hand side can be written as

$$\sum_{m=1}^M \left( 1 - \frac{\alpha}{\alpha + \lambda_m} \right) = \sum_{m=1}^M \frac{\lambda_m}{\alpha + \lambda_m}. \quad (3.147)$$

Thus,

$$\alpha = \frac{\gamma}{\mathbf{m}_N^\top \mathbf{m}_N}, \quad (3.148)$$

where

$$\gamma = \sum_{m=1}^M \frac{\lambda_i}{\alpha + \lambda_m}. \quad (3.149)$$

### 3.21

(a)

Let  $\Sigma$  be a  $M \times M$  real symmetric matrix such that

$$\Sigma \mathbf{u}_m = \lambda_m \mathbf{u}_m, \quad (3.150)$$

where  $\mathbf{u}_1, \dots, \mathbf{u}_M$  are unit vectors. Let

$$\begin{aligned} \Lambda &= \text{diag}(\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_M), \\ \mathbf{U} &= [\mathbf{u}_1 \cdots \mathbf{u}_M]. \end{aligned} \quad (3.151)$$

By 2.19,

$$\begin{aligned} \Sigma &= \mathbf{U} \Lambda \mathbf{U}^\top, \\ \mathbf{U}^\top \mathbf{U} &= \mathbf{I}. \end{aligned} \quad (3.152)$$

Therefore,

$$\det \Sigma = \prod_{m=1}^M \lambda_m, \quad (3.153)$$

so that

$$\ln(\det \Sigma) = \sum_{m=1}^M \ln \lambda_i. \quad (3.154)$$

Then,

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial \alpha} \ln(\det \Sigma) = \sum_{m=1}^M \frac{\partial \lambda_m}{\partial \alpha} \frac{1}{\lambda_m}. \quad (3.155)$$

Therefore,

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial \alpha} \ln(\det \Sigma) = \text{tr} \left( \Lambda^{-1} \frac{\partial \Lambda}{\partial \alpha} \right). \quad (3.156)$$

The right hand side can be written as

$$\text{tr} \left( \mathbf{U} \mathbf{\Lambda}^{-1} \mathbf{U}^\top \frac{\partial \mathbf{U} \mathbf{\Lambda} \mathbf{U}^\top}{\partial \alpha} \right) = \text{tr} \left( \mathbf{\Sigma}^{-1} \frac{\partial \mathbf{\Sigma}}{\partial \alpha} \right). \quad (3.157)$$

Therefore,

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial \alpha} \ln(\det \mathbf{\Sigma}) = \text{tr} \left( \mathbf{\Sigma}^{-1} \frac{\partial \mathbf{\Sigma}}{\partial \alpha} \right). \quad (3.158)$$

(b)

Let  $t_1, \dots, t_N$  be variables such that

$$\begin{aligned} p(t_n | \mathbf{w}) &= \mathcal{N}(t_n | \mathbf{w}^\top \boldsymbol{\phi}(\mathbf{x}_n), \beta^{-1}), \\ p(\mathbf{w}) &= \mathcal{N}(\mathbf{w} | \mathbf{0}, \alpha^{-1} \mathbf{I}), \end{aligned} \quad (3.159)$$

where  $\mathbf{w}$  and  $\boldsymbol{\phi}$  are vectors in  $M$  dimensions. By 3.7,

$$p(\mathbf{w} | \mathbf{t}) = \mathcal{N}(\mathbf{w} | \mathbf{m}_N, \mathbf{S}_N), \quad (3.160)$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{m}_N &= \beta \mathbf{S}_N \boldsymbol{\Phi}^\top \mathbf{t}, \\ \mathbf{S}_N^{-1} &= \alpha \mathbf{I} + \beta \boldsymbol{\Phi}^\top \boldsymbol{\Phi}. \end{aligned} \quad (3.161)$$

By 3.19,

$$\ln p(\mathbf{t}) = \frac{M}{2} \ln \alpha + \frac{N}{2} \ln \beta - \frac{N}{2} \ln(2\pi) + \frac{1}{2} \ln(\det \mathbf{S}_N) - E(\mathbf{m}_N), \quad (3.162)$$

where

$$E(\mathbf{m}_N) = \frac{\beta}{2} \|\mathbf{t} - \boldsymbol{\Phi} \mathbf{m}_N\|^2 + \frac{\alpha}{2} \mathbf{m}_N^\top \mathbf{m}_N. \quad (3.163)$$

By 3.21(a),

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial \alpha} \ln(\det \mathbf{S}_N^{-1}) = \text{tr}(\mathbf{S}_N). \quad (3.164)$$

The right hand side can be written as

$$\sum_{m=1}^M \frac{1}{\alpha + \lambda_m}, \quad (3.165)$$

where  $\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_M$  are eigenvalues of  $\beta \Phi^\top \Phi$ . Therefore, setting the derivative of  $\ln p(\mathbf{t})$  with respect to  $\alpha$  to zero gives

$$0 = \frac{M}{2\alpha} - \frac{1}{2} \sum_{m=1}^M \frac{1}{\alpha + \lambda_m} - \frac{1}{2} \mathbf{m}_N^\top \mathbf{m}_N, \quad (3.166)$$

Thus,

$$\alpha = \frac{\gamma}{\mathbf{m}_N^\top \mathbf{m}_N}, \quad (3.167)$$

where

$$\gamma = \sum_{m=1}^M \frac{\lambda_m}{\alpha + \lambda_m}. \quad (3.168)$$

### 3.22

Let  $t_1, \dots, t_N$  be variables such that

$$\begin{aligned} p(t_n | \mathbf{w}) &= \mathcal{N}(t_n | \mathbf{w}^\top \boldsymbol{\phi}(\mathbf{x}_n), \beta^{-1}), \\ p(\mathbf{w}) &= \mathcal{N}(\mathbf{w} | \mathbf{0}, \alpha^{-1} \mathbf{I}), \end{aligned} \quad (3.169)$$

where  $\mathbf{w}$  and  $\boldsymbol{\phi}$  are vectors in  $M$  dimensions. By 3.7,

$$p(\mathbf{w} | \mathbf{t}) = \mathcal{N}(\mathbf{w} | \mathbf{m}_N, \mathbf{S}_N), \quad (3.170)$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{m}_N &= \beta \mathbf{S}_N \Phi^\top \mathbf{t}, \\ \mathbf{S}_N^{-1} &= \alpha \mathbf{I} + \beta \Phi^\top \Phi. \end{aligned} \quad (3.171)$$

By 3.19,

$$\ln p(\mathbf{t}) = \frac{M}{2} \ln \alpha + \frac{N}{2} \ln \beta - \frac{N}{2} \ln(2\pi) + \frac{1}{2} \ln(\det \mathbf{S}_N) - E(\mathbf{m}_N), \quad (3.172)$$

where

$$E(\mathbf{m}_N) = \frac{\beta}{2} \|\mathbf{t} - \Phi \mathbf{m}_N\|^2 + \frac{\alpha}{2} \mathbf{m}_N^\top \mathbf{m}_N. \quad (3.173)$$

By 3.21(a),

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial \beta} \ln(\det \mathbf{S}_N^{-1}) = \text{tr}(\mathbf{S}_N \Phi^\top \Phi). \quad (3.174)$$

Since

$$\mathbf{S}_N \boldsymbol{\Phi}^\top \boldsymbol{\Phi} = \frac{1}{\beta} (\mathbf{I} - \alpha \mathbf{S}_N), \quad (3.175)$$

the right hand side can be written as

$$\frac{1}{\beta} \left( M - \alpha \sum_{m=1}^M \frac{1}{\alpha + \lambda_m} \right) = \frac{1}{\beta} \sum_{m=1}^M \frac{\lambda_m}{\alpha + \lambda_m}, \quad (3.176)$$

where  $\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_M$  are eigenvalues of  $\beta \boldsymbol{\Phi}^\top \boldsymbol{\Phi}$ . Therefore, setting the derivative of  $\ln p(\mathbf{t})$  with respect to  $\beta$  to zero gives

$$0 = \frac{N}{2\beta} - \frac{1}{2\beta} \sum_{m=1}^M \frac{\lambda_i}{\alpha + \lambda_m} - \frac{1}{2} \|\mathbf{t} - \boldsymbol{\Phi} \mathbf{m}_N\|^2. \quad (3.177)$$

Thus,

$$\beta = \frac{N - \gamma}{\|\mathbf{t} - \boldsymbol{\Phi} \mathbf{m}_N\|^2}, \quad (3.178)$$

where

$$\gamma = \sum_{m=1}^M \frac{\lambda_m}{\alpha + \lambda_m}. \quad (3.179)$$

### 3.23

Let  $t_1, \dots, t_N$  be variables such that

$$\begin{aligned} p(t_n | \mathbf{w}, \beta) &= \mathcal{N}(t_n | \mathbf{w}^\top \boldsymbol{\phi}(\mathbf{x}_n), \beta^{-1}), \\ p(\mathbf{w}, \beta) &= \mathcal{N}(\mathbf{w} | \mathbf{m}_0, \beta^{-1} \mathbf{S}_0) \text{Gam}(\beta | a_0, b_0), \end{aligned} \quad (3.180)$$

where  $\mathbf{w}$  and  $\boldsymbol{\phi}$  are vectors in  $M$  dimensions. By marginalisation,

$$p(\mathbf{t}) = \int \int p(\mathbf{t} | \mathbf{w}, \beta) p(\mathbf{w}, \beta) d\mathbf{w} d\beta. \quad (3.181)$$

The right hand side can be written as

$$\int \left( \int \left( \prod_{n=1}^N \mathcal{N}(t_n | \mathbf{w}^\top \boldsymbol{\phi}(\mathbf{x}_n), \beta^{-1}) \right) \mathcal{N}(\mathbf{w} | \mathbf{m}_0, \beta^{-1} \mathbf{S}_0) d\mathbf{w} \right) \text{Gam}(\beta | a_0, b_0) d\beta. \quad (3.182)$$

The logarithm of the integrand with respect to  $\mathbf{w}$  can be written as

$$\begin{aligned}
& -\frac{N}{2} \ln(2\pi) - \frac{N}{2} \ln \beta^{-1} - \frac{\beta}{2} \sum_{n=1}^N (t_n - \mathbf{w}^\top \boldsymbol{\phi}(\mathbf{x}_n))^2 \\
& - \frac{M}{2} \ln(2\pi) - \frac{1}{2} \ln \det(\beta^{-1} \mathbf{S}_0) - \frac{\beta}{2} (\mathbf{w} - \mathbf{m}_0)^\top \mathbf{S}_0^{-1} (\mathbf{w} - \mathbf{m}_0) \\
& = -\frac{N+M}{2} \ln(2\pi) + \frac{N+M}{2} \ln \beta - \frac{1}{2} \ln(\det \mathbf{S}_0) \\
& - \frac{\beta}{2} \mathbf{w}^\top (\mathbf{S}_0^{-1} + \boldsymbol{\Phi}^\top \boldsymbol{\Phi}) \mathbf{w} + \beta \mathbf{w}^\top (\mathbf{S}_0^{-1} \mathbf{m}_0 + \boldsymbol{\Phi}^\top \mathbf{t}) - \frac{\beta}{2} \|\mathbf{t}\|^2 - \frac{\beta}{2} \mathbf{m}_0^\top \mathbf{S}_0^{-1} \mathbf{m}_0.
\end{aligned} \tag{3.183}$$

The right hand side can be written as

$$\begin{aligned}
& -\frac{N+M}{2} \ln(2\pi) + \frac{N+M}{2} \ln \beta - \frac{1}{2} \ln(\det \mathbf{S}_0) \\
& - \frac{\beta}{2} (\mathbf{w} - \mathbf{m}_N)^\top \mathbf{S}_N^{-1} (\mathbf{w} - \mathbf{m}_N) + \frac{\beta}{2} \mathbf{m}_N^\top \mathbf{S}_N^{-1} \mathbf{m}_N - \frac{\beta}{2} \|\mathbf{t}\|^2 - \frac{\beta}{2} \mathbf{m}_0^\top \mathbf{S}_0^{-1} \mathbf{m}_0,
\end{aligned} \tag{3.184}$$

where

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathbf{m}_N &= \mathbf{S}_N (\mathbf{S}_0^{-1} \mathbf{m}_0 + \boldsymbol{\Phi}^\top \mathbf{t}), \\
\mathbf{S}_N^{-1} &= \mathbf{S}_0^{-1} + \boldsymbol{\Phi}^\top \boldsymbol{\Phi}.
\end{aligned} \tag{3.185}$$

Therefore, the logarithm of the integral with respect to  $\mathbf{w}$  can be written as

$$\begin{aligned}
& -\frac{N}{2} \ln(2\pi) + \frac{N}{2} \ln \beta - \frac{1}{2} \ln(\det \mathbf{S}_0) + \frac{1}{2} \ln(\det \mathbf{S}_N) \\
& + \frac{\beta}{2} \mathbf{m}_N^\top \mathbf{S}_N^{-1} \mathbf{m}_N - \frac{\beta}{2} \|\mathbf{t}\|^2 - \frac{\beta}{2} \mathbf{m}_0^\top \mathbf{S}_0^{-1} \mathbf{m}_0.
\end{aligned} \tag{3.186}$$

Then, the logarithm of the integrand with respect to  $\beta$  can be written as

$$\begin{aligned}
& -\frac{N}{2} \ln(2\pi) + \frac{N}{2} \ln \beta - \frac{1}{2} \ln(\det \mathbf{S}_0) + \frac{1}{2} \ln(\det \mathbf{S}_N) \\
& + \frac{\beta}{2} \mathbf{m}_N^\top \mathbf{S}_N^{-1} \mathbf{m}_N - \frac{\beta}{2} \|\mathbf{t}\|^2 - \frac{\beta}{2} \mathbf{m}_0^\top \mathbf{S}_0^{-1} \mathbf{m}_0 \\
& - \ln \Gamma(a_0) + a_0 \ln b_0 + (a_0 - 1) \ln \beta - b_0 \beta \\
& = -\frac{N}{2} \ln(2\pi) - \frac{1}{2} \ln(\det \mathbf{S}_0) + \frac{1}{2} \ln(\det \mathbf{S}_N) \\
& - \ln \Gamma(a_0) + a_0 \ln b_0 + (a_N - 1) \ln \beta - b_N \beta,
\end{aligned} \tag{3.187}$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} a_N &= a_0 + \frac{N}{2}, \\ b_N &= b_0 + \frac{\beta}{2} \|\mathbf{t}\|^2 + \frac{\beta}{2} \mathbf{m}_0^\top \mathbf{S}_0^{-1} \mathbf{m}_0 - \frac{\beta}{2} \mathbf{m}_N^\top \mathbf{S}_N^{-1} \mathbf{m}_N. \end{aligned} \quad (3.188)$$

Therefore, the logarithm of the integral with respect to  $\beta$  can be written as

$$-\frac{N}{2} \ln(2\pi) - \frac{1}{2} \ln(\det \mathbf{S}_0) + \frac{1}{2} \ln(\det \mathbf{S}_N) - \ln \Gamma(a_0) + a_0 \ln b_0 + \ln \Gamma(a_N) - a_N \ln b_N. \quad (3.189)$$

Thus,

$$p(\mathbf{t}) = (2\pi)^{-\frac{N}{2}} \left( \frac{\det \mathbf{S}_N}{\det \mathbf{S}_0} \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \frac{\Gamma(a_N)}{\Gamma(a_0)} \frac{b_0^{a_0}}{b_N^{a_N}}. \quad (3.190)$$

### 3.24

Refer to 3.12.



## 4 Linear Models for Classification

### 4.1

Let  $x_1, \dots, x_M$  and  $y_1, \dots, y_N$  be two sets of data points. Then, the corresponding convex hulls are defined as the sets of all points  $\mathbf{x}$  and  $\mathbf{y}$  such that

$$\begin{aligned}\mathbf{x} &= \sum_{m=1}^M \alpha_m \mathbf{x}_m, \\ \mathbf{y} &= \sum_{n=1}^N \beta_n \mathbf{y}_n,\end{aligned}\tag{4.1}$$

where

$$\begin{aligned}\sum_{m=1}^M \alpha_m &= \sum_{n=1}^N \beta_n = 1, \\ \alpha_m &\geq 0, \beta_n \geq 0.\end{aligned}\tag{4.2}$$

Let us assume that  $\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_M$  and  $\beta_1, \dots, \beta_N$  below are subject to the constraints above.

If the convex hulls intersect, then there exist  $\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_M$  and  $\beta_1, \dots, \beta_N$  such that

$$\sum_{m=1}^M \alpha_m \mathbf{x}_m = \sum_{n=1}^N \beta_n \mathbf{y}_n.\tag{4.3}$$

Then,

$$\sum_{m=1}^M \alpha_m (\hat{\mathbf{w}}^\top \mathbf{x}_m + w_0) = \hat{\mathbf{w}}^\top \sum_{m=1}^M \alpha_m \mathbf{x}_m + w_0 \sum_m \alpha_m,\tag{4.4}$$

for any  $\hat{\mathbf{w}}$  and  $w_0$ . The right hand side can be written as

$$\hat{\mathbf{w}}^\top \sum_{n=1}^N \beta_n \mathbf{y}_n + w_0 \sum_{n=1}^N \beta_n = \sum_{n=1}^N \beta_n (\hat{\mathbf{w}}^\top \mathbf{y}_n + w_0).\tag{4.5}$$

Therefore, there do not exist  $\hat{\mathbf{w}}$  and  $w_0$  such that

$$\begin{aligned}\hat{\mathbf{w}}^\top \mathbf{x}_m + w_0 &> 0, \\ \hat{\mathbf{w}}^\top \mathbf{y}_n + w_0 &< 0.\end{aligned}\tag{4.6}$$

Conversely, if there exist  $\hat{\mathbf{w}}$  and  $w_0$  such that

$$\begin{aligned}\hat{\mathbf{w}}^\top \mathbf{x}_m + w_0 &> 0, \\ \hat{\mathbf{w}}^\top \mathbf{y}_n + w_0 &< 0,\end{aligned}\tag{4.7}$$

then

$$\begin{aligned}\sum_{m=1}^M \alpha_m (\hat{\mathbf{w}}^\top \mathbf{x}_m + w_0) &> 0, \\ \sum_{n=1}^N \beta_n (\hat{\mathbf{w}}^\top \mathbf{y}_n + w_0) &< 0.\end{aligned}\tag{4.8}$$

The left hand sides can be written as

$$\begin{aligned}\hat{\mathbf{w}}^\top \sum_{m=1}^M \alpha_m \mathbf{x}_m + w_0 \sum_{m=1}^M \alpha_m &= \hat{\mathbf{w}}^\top \sum_{m=1}^M \alpha_m \mathbf{x}_m + w_0, \\ \hat{\mathbf{w}}^\top \sum_{n=1}^N \beta_n \mathbf{y}_n + w_0 \sum_{n=1}^N \beta_n &= \hat{\mathbf{w}}^\top \sum_{n=1}^N \beta_n \mathbf{y}_n + w_0.\end{aligned}\tag{4.9}$$

Therefore, there do not exist  $\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_M$  and  $\beta_1, \dots, \beta_N$  such that

$$\sum_{m=1}^M \alpha_m \mathbf{x}_m = \sum_{n=1}^N \beta_n \mathbf{y}_n.\tag{4.10}$$

Thus, the convex hulls do not intersect.

## 4.2 (Incomplete)

Let  $\mathbf{x}_1, \dots, \mathbf{x}_N$  and  $\mathbf{w}_1, \dots, \mathbf{w}_K$  are variables in  $M$  dimensions and  $\mathbf{t}_1, \dots, \mathbf{t}_N$  are ones in  $K$  dimensions. Let

$$E(\tilde{\mathbf{W}}) = \frac{1}{2} \text{tr} \left( (\tilde{\mathbf{X}}\tilde{\mathbf{W}} - \mathbf{T})^\top (\tilde{\mathbf{X}}\tilde{\mathbf{W}} - \mathbf{T}) \right),\tag{4.11}$$

where

$$\tilde{\mathbf{X}} = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & \mathbf{x}_1^\top \\ \vdots & \vdots \\ 1 & \mathbf{x}_N^\top \end{bmatrix},$$

$$\tilde{\mathbf{W}} = \begin{bmatrix} w_{10} & \cdots & w_{K0} \\ \mathbf{w}_1 & \cdots & \mathbf{w}_K \end{bmatrix}$$

and

$$\mathbf{T} = \begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{t}_1^\top \\ \vdots \\ \mathbf{t}_N^\top \end{bmatrix}.$$

Setting the derivative with respect to  $\tilde{\mathbf{W}}$  to zero gives

$$\mathbf{0} = \tilde{\mathbf{X}}^\top (\tilde{\mathbf{X}} \tilde{\mathbf{W}} - \mathbf{T}). \quad (4.12)$$

Therefore,

$$\underset{\tilde{\mathbf{W}}}{\operatorname{argmin}} E(\tilde{\mathbf{W}}) = \left( \tilde{\mathbf{X}}^\top \tilde{\mathbf{X}} \right)^{-1} \tilde{\mathbf{X}}^\top \mathbf{T}. \quad (4.13)$$

Let  $\tilde{\mathbf{W}}^*$  denote the least-square solution above. Then,

$$(\tilde{\mathbf{W}}^*)^\top \tilde{\mathbf{x}} - \mathbf{t}_n = \mathbf{T}^\top \tilde{\mathbf{X}} \left( \tilde{\mathbf{X}}^\top \tilde{\mathbf{X}} \right)^{-1} \tilde{\mathbf{x}} - \mathbf{t}_n, \quad (4.14)$$

where  $\tilde{\mathbf{x}}$  is a vector in  $M + 1$  dimensions whose first element is 1. The right hand side can be written as

$$\mathbf{T}^\top \left( \tilde{\mathbf{X}} \left( \tilde{\mathbf{X}}^\top \tilde{\mathbf{X}} \right)^{-1} \tilde{\mathbf{x}} - \mathbf{v}_n \right) = \mathbf{0}, \quad (4.15)$$

where  $\mathbf{v}_n$  is a vector in  $N$  dimensions whose  $n$  th element is 1 and other elements are zero. Therefore,

$$(\tilde{\mathbf{W}}^*)^\top \tilde{\mathbf{x}} - \mathbf{t}_n = \mathbf{0}. \quad (4.16)$$

Thus, if

$$\mathbf{a}^\top \mathbf{t}_n + b = 0, \quad (4.17)$$

then

$$\mathbf{a}^\top (\tilde{\mathbf{W}}^*)^\top \tilde{\mathbf{x}} + b = 0. \quad (4.18)$$

### 4.3 (Incomplete)

### 4.4

Let  $\mathbf{x}_1, \dots, \mathbf{x}_N$  be variables and let

$$\mathbf{m}_k = \frac{1}{N_k} \sum_{n \in \mathcal{C}_k} \mathbf{x}_n, \quad (4.19)$$

where  $N_k$  is the number of  $\mathbf{x}_n$  such that  $n$  is in  $\mathcal{C}_k$ . Setting the derivatives of

$$\mathbf{w}^\top(\mathbf{m}_2 - \mathbf{m}_1) + \lambda (\|\mathbf{w}\|^2 - 1) \quad (4.20)$$

with respect to  $\mathbf{w}$  and  $\lambda$  to zero gives

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{m}_2 - \mathbf{m}_1 + 2\lambda\mathbf{w} &= \mathbf{0}, \\ \|\mathbf{w}\|^2 - 1 &= 0. \end{aligned} \quad (4.21)$$

Therefore,  $\mathbf{w}^\top(\mathbf{m}_2 - \mathbf{m}_1)$  under the constraint

$$\|\mathbf{w}\|^2 = 1 \quad (4.22)$$

is maximised if

$$\mathbf{w} \propto \mathbf{m}_2 - \mathbf{m}_1. \quad (4.23)$$

## 4.5

Let  $\mathbf{x}_1, \dots, \mathbf{x}_N$  be variables and let

$$\mathbf{m}_k = \frac{1}{N_k} \sum_{n \in \mathcal{C}_k} \mathbf{x}_n, \quad (4.24)$$

where  $N_k$  is the number of  $\mathbf{x}_n$  such that  $n$  is in  $\mathcal{C}_k$ . Let

$$J(\mathbf{w}) = \frac{(m_2 - m_1)^2}{s_1^2 + s_2^2}, \quad (4.25)$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} s_k^2 &= \sum_{n \in \mathcal{C}_k} (y_n - m_k)^2, \\ y_n &= \mathbf{w}^\top \mathbf{x}_n, \\ m_k &= \mathbf{w}^\top \mathbf{m}_k. \end{aligned} \quad (4.26)$$

Then,  $J(\mathbf{w})$  can be written as

$$\frac{(\mathbf{w}^\top(\mathbf{m}_2 - \mathbf{m}_1))^2}{\sum_{n \in \mathcal{C}_1} (\mathbf{w}^\top(\mathbf{x}_n - \mathbf{m}_1))^2 + \sum_{n \in \mathcal{C}_2} (\mathbf{w}^\top(\mathbf{x}_n - \mathbf{m}_2))^2} = \frac{\mathbf{w}^\top \mathbf{S}_B \mathbf{w}}{\mathbf{w}^\top \mathbf{S}_W \mathbf{w}}, \quad (4.27)$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{S}_B &= (\mathbf{m}_2 - \mathbf{m}_1)(\mathbf{m}_2 - \mathbf{m}_1)^\top, \\ \mathbf{S}_W &= \sum_{n \in \mathcal{C}_1} (\mathbf{x}_n - \mathbf{m}_1)(\mathbf{x}_n - \mathbf{m}_1)^\top + \sum_{n \in \mathcal{C}_2} (\mathbf{x}_n - \mathbf{m}_2)(\mathbf{x}_n - \mathbf{m}_2)^\top. \end{aligned} \quad (4.28)$$

## 4.6

Let  $\mathbf{x}_1, \dots, \mathbf{x}_N$  be variables and let

$$\mathbf{m}_k = \frac{1}{N_k} \sum_{n \in \mathcal{C}_k} \mathbf{x}_n, \quad (4.29)$$

where  $N_k$  is the number of  $\mathbf{x}_n$  such that  $n$  is in  $\mathcal{C}_k$ . Let

$$J(\mathbf{w}) = \frac{(m_2 - m_1)^2}{s_1^2 + s_2^2}, \quad (4.30)$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} s_k^2 &= \sum_{n \in \mathcal{C}_k} (y_n - m_k)^2, \\ y_n &= \mathbf{w}^\top \mathbf{x}_n, \\ m_k &= \mathbf{w}^\top \mathbf{m}_k. \end{aligned} \quad (4.31)$$

Then, by 4.5,

$$J(\mathbf{w}) = \frac{\mathbf{w}^\top \mathbf{S}_B \mathbf{w}}{\mathbf{w}^\top \mathbf{S}_W \mathbf{w}}, \quad (4.32)$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{S}_B &= (\mathbf{m}_2 - \mathbf{m}_1)(\mathbf{m}_2 - \mathbf{m}_1)^\top, \\ \mathbf{S}_W &= \sum_{n \in \mathcal{C}_1} (\mathbf{x}_n - \mathbf{m}_1)(\mathbf{x}_n - \mathbf{m}_1)^\top + \sum_{n \in \mathcal{C}_2} (\mathbf{x}_n - \mathbf{m}_2)(\mathbf{x}_n - \mathbf{m}_2)^\top. \end{aligned} \quad (4.33)$$

Let

$$E = \frac{1}{2} \sum_{n=1}^N (\mathbf{w}^\top \mathbf{x}_n + w_0 - t_n)^2, \quad (4.34)$$

where

$$t_n = \begin{cases} \frac{N}{N_1}, & n \in \mathcal{C}_1, \\ -\frac{N}{N_2}, & n \in \mathcal{C}_2. \end{cases} \quad (4.35)$$

Setting the derivative with respect to  $\mathbf{w}$  and  $w_0$  gives

$$\begin{aligned} 0 &= \sum_{n=1}^N (\mathbf{w}^\top \mathbf{x}_n + w_0 - t_n), \\ \mathbf{0} &= \sum_{n=1}^N (\mathbf{w}^\top \mathbf{x}_n + w_0 - t_n) \mathbf{x}_n. \end{aligned} \quad (4.36)$$

The right hand side of the first equation can be written as

$$\mathbf{w}^\top \sum_{n=1}^N \mathbf{x}_n + Nw_0 - \sum_{n=1}^N t_n = N(\mathbf{w}^\top \mathbf{m} + w_0), \quad (4.37)$$

where

$$\mathbf{m} = \frac{1}{N} \sum_{n=1}^N \mathbf{x}_n. \quad (4.38)$$

Therefore,

$$w_0 = -\mathbf{w}^\top \mathbf{m}. \quad (4.39)$$

Then, the right hand side of the second equation above can be written as

$$\begin{aligned} & \sum_{n=1}^N (\mathbf{w}^\top (\mathbf{x}_n - \mathbf{m}) - t_n) \mathbf{x}_n \\ &= \sum_{n \in \mathcal{C}_1} \left( \mathbf{w}^\top (\mathbf{x}_n - \mathbf{m}) - \frac{N}{N_1} \right) \mathbf{x}_n + \sum_{n \in \mathcal{C}_2} \left( \mathbf{w}^\top (\mathbf{x}_n - \mathbf{m}) + \frac{N}{N_2} \right) \mathbf{x}_n. \end{aligned} \quad (4.40)$$

Since

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{m} &= \frac{N_1}{N} \mathbf{m}_1 + \frac{N_2}{N} \mathbf{m}_2, \\ \sum_{n \in \mathcal{C}_1} (\mathbf{x}_n - \mathbf{m}_1) &= \mathbf{0}, \end{aligned} \quad (4.41)$$

the first term of the right hand side can be written as

$$\begin{aligned} & \sum_{n \in \mathcal{C}_1} \left( \mathbf{w}^\top \left( \mathbf{x}_n - \mathbf{m}_1 + \frac{N_2}{N} (\mathbf{m}_1 - \mathbf{m}_2) \right) - \frac{N}{N_1} \right) (\mathbf{x}_n - \mathbf{m}_1 + \mathbf{m}_1) \\ &= \left( \sum_{n \in \mathcal{C}_1} (\mathbf{x}_n - \mathbf{m}_1)(\mathbf{x}_n - \mathbf{m}_1)^\top \right) \mathbf{w} + \frac{N_1 N_2}{N} (\mathbf{m}_1 - \mathbf{m}_2) \mathbf{m}_1^\top \mathbf{w} - N \mathbf{m}_1. \end{aligned} \quad (4.42)$$

Similarly, the second term can be written as

$$\left( \sum_{n \in \mathcal{C}_2} (\mathbf{x}_n - \mathbf{m}_2)(\mathbf{x}_n - \mathbf{m}_2)^\top \right) \mathbf{w} + \frac{N_1 N_2}{N} (\mathbf{m}_2 - \mathbf{m}_1) \mathbf{m}_2^\top \mathbf{w} - N \mathbf{m}_2. \quad (4.43)$$

Therefore,

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{0} = & \left( \sum_{n \in \mathcal{C}_1} (\mathbf{x}_n - \mathbf{m}_1)(\mathbf{x}_n - \mathbf{m}_1)^\top \right) \mathbf{w} + \frac{N_1 N_2}{N} (\mathbf{m}_1 - \mathbf{m}_2) \mathbf{m}_1^\top \mathbf{w} - N \mathbf{m}_1 \\ & + \left( \sum_{n \in \mathcal{C}_2} (\mathbf{x}_n - \mathbf{m}_2)(\mathbf{x}_n - \mathbf{m}_2)^\top \right) \mathbf{w} + \frac{N_1 N_2}{N} (\mathbf{m}_2 - \mathbf{m}_1) \mathbf{m}_2^\top \mathbf{w} - N \mathbf{m}_2. \end{aligned} \quad (4.44)$$

Thus,

$$\left( \mathbf{S}_W + \frac{N_1 N_2}{N} \mathbf{S}_B \right) \mathbf{w} = N(\mathbf{m}_1 - \mathbf{m}_2). \quad (4.45)$$

## 4.7

Let

$$\sigma(a) = \frac{1}{1 + \exp(-a)}. \quad (4.46)$$

Then,

$$\sigma(-a) = \frac{1}{1 + \exp(a)}. \quad (4.47)$$

The right hand side can be written as

$$1 - \frac{\exp(a)}{1 + \exp(a)} = 1 - \frac{1}{1 + \exp(-a)}. \quad (4.48)$$

Therefore,

$$\sigma(-a) = 1 - \sigma(a). \quad (4.49)$$

Additionally,

$$\exp(-a) = \frac{1}{\sigma(a)} - 1. \quad (4.50)$$

Then,

$$a = -\ln \left( \frac{1}{\sigma(a)} - 1 \right). \quad (4.51)$$

Therefore,

$$\sigma^{-1}(y) = \ln \left( \frac{y}{1 - y} \right). \quad (4.52)$$

## 4.8

Let  $\mathbf{x}$  be a variable in  $D$  dimensions such that

$$p(\mathbf{x}|\mathcal{C}_k) = \mathcal{N}(\mathbf{x}|\boldsymbol{\mu}_k, \boldsymbol{\Sigma}), \quad (4.53)$$

where

$$p(\mathcal{C}_1) + p(\mathcal{C}_2) = 1. \quad (4.54)$$

By the Bayes' theorem,

$$p(\mathcal{C}_1|\mathbf{x}) = \frac{p(\mathbf{x}|\mathcal{C}_1)p(\mathcal{C}_1)}{p(\mathbf{x}|\mathcal{C}_1)p(\mathcal{C}_1) + p(\mathbf{x}|\mathcal{C}_2)p(\mathcal{C}_2)}. \quad (4.55)$$

The right hand side can be written as

$$\sigma(a) = \frac{1}{1 + \exp(-a)}, \quad (4.56)$$

where

$$a = \ln \left( \frac{p(\mathbf{x}|\mathcal{C}_1)p(\mathcal{C}_1)}{p(\mathbf{x}|\mathcal{C}_2)p(\mathcal{C}_2)} \right). \quad (4.57)$$

Substituting the expressions above of  $p(\mathbf{x}|\mathcal{C}_k)$ , we have

$$\begin{aligned} a = & -\frac{D}{2} \ln(2\pi) - \frac{1}{2} \ln(\det \boldsymbol{\Sigma}) - \frac{1}{2} (\mathbf{x} - \boldsymbol{\mu}_1)^\top \boldsymbol{\Sigma}^{-1} (\mathbf{x} - \boldsymbol{\mu}_1) + \ln p(\mathcal{C}_1) \\ & + \frac{D}{2} \ln(2\pi) + \frac{1}{2} \ln(\det \boldsymbol{\Sigma}) + \frac{1}{2} (\mathbf{x} - \boldsymbol{\mu}_2)^\top \boldsymbol{\Sigma}^{-1} (\mathbf{x} - \boldsymbol{\mu}_2) - \ln p(\mathcal{C}_2). \end{aligned} \quad (4.58)$$

Therefore,

$$p(\mathcal{C}_1|\mathbf{x}) = \sigma(\mathbf{w}^\top \mathbf{x} + w_0), \quad (4.59)$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{w} &= \boldsymbol{\Sigma}^{-1}(\boldsymbol{\mu}_1 - \boldsymbol{\mu}_2), \\ w_0 &= -\frac{1}{2} \boldsymbol{\mu}_1^\top \boldsymbol{\Sigma}^{-1} \boldsymbol{\mu}_1 + \frac{1}{2} \boldsymbol{\mu}_2^\top \boldsymbol{\Sigma}^{-1} \boldsymbol{\mu}_2 + \ln p(\mathcal{C}_1) - \ln p(\mathcal{C}_2). \end{aligned} \quad (4.60)$$

## 4.9

Let  $\mathbf{t}_1, \dots, \mathbf{t}_N$  be variables in  $K$  dimensions such that

$$p(\mathbf{t}_n, \boldsymbol{\phi}_n) = \prod_{k=1}^K (p(\boldsymbol{\phi}_n, \mathcal{C}_k))^{t_{nk}}, \quad (4.61)$$



where

$$\sum_{k=1}^K p(\mathcal{C}_k) = 1. \quad (4.62)$$

Then,

$$p(\mathbf{T}, \mathbf{\Phi}) = \prod_{n=1}^N \prod_{k=1}^K (p(\phi_n, \mathcal{C}_k))^{t_{nk}}. \quad (4.63)$$

If

$$p(\mathcal{C}_k) = \pi_k, \quad (4.64)$$

then, by the Bayes' theorem,

$$\ln p(\mathbf{T}, \mathbf{\Phi}) = \sum_{n=1}^N \sum_{k=1}^K t_{nk} (\ln \pi_k + \ln p(\phi_n | \mathcal{C}_k)). \quad (4.65)$$

Setting the derivatives of

$$\ln p(\mathbf{T}, \mathbf{\Phi}) + \lambda \left( \sum_{k=1}^K \pi_k - 1 \right) \quad (4.66)$$

with respect to  $\pi_k$  and  $\lambda$  to zero gives

$$\begin{aligned} 0 &= \frac{1}{\pi_k} \sum_{n=1}^N t_{nk} + \lambda, \\ 0 &= \sum_{k=1}^K \pi_k - 1. \end{aligned} \quad (4.67)$$

Then,

$$\lambda = - \sum_{k=1}^K \sum_{n=1}^N t_{nk}. \quad (4.68)$$

The right hand side can be written as  $-N$ . Therefore, the maximum likelihood solution for  $\pi_k$  is given by

$$\pi_k = \frac{N_k}{N}, \quad (4.69)$$

where

$$N_k = \sum_{n=1}^N t_{nk}. \quad (4.70)$$

#### 4.10

Let  $\mathbf{t}_1, \dots, \mathbf{t}_N$  be variables in  $K$  dimensions such that

$$p(\mathbf{t}_n, \phi_n) = \prod_{k=1}^K (p(\phi_n, \mathcal{C}_k))^{t_{nk}}, \quad (4.71)$$

where

$$\sum_{k=1}^K p(\mathcal{C}_k) = 1. \quad (4.72)$$

Then,

$$p(\mathbf{T}, \Phi) = \prod_{n=1}^N \prod_{k=1}^K (p(\phi_n, \mathcal{C}_k))^{t_{nk}}. \quad (4.73)$$

If

$$p(\phi_n | \mathcal{C}_k) = \mathcal{N}(\phi_n | \boldsymbol{\mu}_k, \boldsymbol{\Sigma}), \quad (4.74)$$

then, by the Bayes' theorem,

$$\ln p(\mathbf{T}, \Phi) = \sum_{n=1}^N \sum_{k=1}^K t_{nk} (\ln \mathcal{N}(\phi_n | \boldsymbol{\mu}_k, \boldsymbol{\Sigma}) + \ln p(\mathcal{C}_k)). \quad (4.75)$$

The right hand side can be written as

$$\sum_{n=1}^N \sum_{k=1}^K t_{nk} \left( -\frac{D}{2} \ln(2\pi) - \frac{1}{2} \ln(\det \boldsymbol{\Sigma}) - \frac{1}{2} (\phi_n - \boldsymbol{\mu}_k)^\top \boldsymbol{\Sigma}^{-1} (\phi_n - \boldsymbol{\mu}_k) + \ln p(\mathcal{C}_k) \right). \quad (4.76)$$

By 3.21(a), setting the derivatives of  $\ln p(\mathbf{T}, \Phi)$  with respect to  $\boldsymbol{\mu}_k$  and  $\boldsymbol{\Sigma}$  to zero gives

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{0} &= \frac{1}{2} \sum_{n=1}^N t_{nk} (\boldsymbol{\Sigma}^{-1} + (\boldsymbol{\Sigma}^{-1})^\top) (\phi_n - \boldsymbol{\mu}_k), \\ \mathbf{0} &= -\frac{1}{2} \sum_{n=1}^N \sum_{k=1}^K t_{nk} \left( (\boldsymbol{\Sigma}^{-1})^\top - (\boldsymbol{\Sigma}^{-1})^2 (\phi_n - \boldsymbol{\mu}_k)(\phi_n - \boldsymbol{\mu}_k)^\top \right). \end{aligned} \quad (4.77)$$

Therefore, the maximum likelihood solutions for  $\boldsymbol{\mu}_k$  and  $\boldsymbol{\Sigma}$  are given by

$$\begin{aligned}\boldsymbol{\mu}_k &= \frac{1}{N_k} \sum_{n=1}^N t_{nk} \boldsymbol{\phi}_n, \\ \boldsymbol{\Sigma} &= \frac{1}{N} \sum_{k=1}^K N_k \mathbf{S}_k,\end{aligned}\tag{4.78}$$

where

$$\begin{aligned}N_k &= \sum_{n=1}^N t_{nk}, \\ \mathbf{S}_k &= \frac{1}{N_k} \sum_{n=1}^N t_{nk} (\boldsymbol{\phi}_n - \boldsymbol{\mu}_k)(\boldsymbol{\phi}_n - \boldsymbol{\mu}_k)^\top.\end{aligned}\tag{4.79}$$

#### 4.11

Let  $\boldsymbol{\phi}_1, \dots, \boldsymbol{\phi}_M$  be variables such that

$$p(\boldsymbol{\phi}_m | \mathcal{C}_k) = \prod_{l=1}^L \mu_{kml}^{\phi_{ml}},\tag{4.80}$$

where

$$\sum_{k=1}^K p(\mathcal{C}_k) = 1.\tag{4.81}$$

Then,

$$p(\boldsymbol{\Phi} | \mathcal{C}_k) = \prod_{m=1}^M \prod_{l=1}^L \mu_{kml}^{\phi_{ml}}.\tag{4.82}$$

By the Bayes' theorem,

$$p(\mathcal{C}_k | \boldsymbol{\Phi}) = \frac{p(\boldsymbol{\Phi} | \mathcal{C}_k) p(\mathcal{C}_k)}{\sum_{k=1}^K p(\boldsymbol{\Phi} | \mathcal{C}_k) p(\mathcal{C}_k)}.\tag{4.83}$$

Therefore,

$$p(\mathcal{C}_k | \boldsymbol{\Phi}) = \frac{\exp(a_k(\boldsymbol{\Phi}))}{\sum_{k=1}^K \exp(a_k(\boldsymbol{\Phi}))},\tag{4.84}$$

where

$$a_k(\boldsymbol{\Phi}) = \left( \sum_{m=1}^M \sum_{l=1}^L \phi_{ml} \ln \mu_{kml} \right) + \ln p(\mathcal{C}_k).\tag{4.85}$$

## 4.12

Let

$$\sigma(a) = \frac{1}{1 + \exp(-a)}. \quad (4.86)$$

Then,

$$\frac{d\sigma(a)}{da} = \frac{\exp(-a)}{(1 + \exp(-a))^2}. \quad (4.87)$$

The right hand side can be written as

$$\frac{1}{1 + \exp(-a)} - \frac{1}{(1 + \exp(-a))^2} = \sigma(a) - (\sigma(a))^2. \quad (4.88)$$

Therefore,

$$\frac{d\sigma(a)}{da} = \sigma(a) (1 - \sigma(a)). \quad (4.89)$$

## 4.13

Let  $t_1, \dots, t_N$  be variables such that

$$\begin{aligned} t_n &\in \{0, 1\}, \\ p(t_n | \mathbf{w}) &= y_n^{t_n} (1 - y_n)^{1-t_n}, \end{aligned} \quad (4.90)$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} y_n &= \sigma(\mathbf{w}^\top \phi_n), \\ \sigma(a) &= \frac{1}{1 + \exp(-a)}. \end{aligned} \quad (4.91)$$

Let

$$E(\mathbf{w}) = -\ln p(\mathbf{t} | \mathbf{w}). \quad (4.92)$$

The right hand side can be written as

$$-\ln \left( \prod_{n=1}^N y_n^{t_n} (1 - y_n)^{1-t_n} \right) = -\sum_{n=1}^N (t_n \ln y_n + (1 - t_n) \ln(1 - y_n)). \quad (4.93)$$

Then, by 4.12,

$$\nabla E(\mathbf{w}) = -\sum_{n=1}^N \left( \frac{t_n}{y_n} y_n (1 - y_n) \phi_n - \frac{1 - t_n}{1 - y_n} y_n (1 - y_n) \phi_n \right). \quad (4.94)$$

The right hand side can be written as

$$-\sum_{n=1}^N (t_n(1 - y_n)\phi_n - (1 - t_n)y_n\phi_n) = \sum_{n=1}^N (y_n - t_n)\phi_n. \quad (4.95)$$

Therefore,

$$\nabla E(\mathbf{w}) = \sum_{n=1}^N (y_n - t_n)\phi_n. \quad (4.96)$$

#### 4.14

Let  $t_1, \dots, t_N$  be variables such that

$$\begin{aligned} t_n &\in \{0, 1\}, \\ p(t_n|\mathbf{w}) &= y_n^{t_n}(1 - y_n)^{1-t_n}, \end{aligned} \quad (4.97)$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} y_n &= \sigma(\mathbf{w}^\top \phi_n), \\ \sigma(a) &= \frac{1}{1 + \exp(-a)}. \end{aligned} \quad (4.98)$$

Let

$$E(\mathbf{w}) = -\ln p(\mathbf{t}|\mathbf{w}). \quad (4.99)$$

By 4.13, setting the derivative with respect to  $\mathbf{w}$  to zero gives

$$\mathbf{0} = \sum_{n=1}^N (y_n - t_n) \phi_n. \quad (4.100)$$

If  $\phi_1, \dots, \phi_N$  are linearly independent, then

$$y_n = t_n. \quad (4.101)$$

Then,

$$\sigma(\mathbf{w}^\top \phi_n) = \begin{cases} 1, & t_n = 1, \\ 0, & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases} \quad (4.102)$$

Therefore,

$$\mathbf{w}^\top \phi_n = \begin{cases} \infty, & t_n = 1, \\ -\infty, & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases} \quad (4.103)$$

## 4.15

Let  $t_1, \dots, t_N$  be variables such that

$$\begin{aligned} t_n &\in \{0, 1\}, \\ p(t_n|\mathbf{w}) &= y_n^{t_n} (1 - y_n)^{1-t_n}, \end{aligned} \quad (4.104)$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} y_n &= \sigma(\mathbf{w}^\top \phi_n), \\ \sigma(a) &= \frac{1}{1 + \exp(-a)}. \end{aligned} \quad (4.105)$$

Let

$$E(\mathbf{w}) = -\ln p(\mathbf{t}|\mathbf{w}). \quad (4.106)$$

By 4.13,

$$\nabla E(\mathbf{w}) = \sum_{n=1}^N (y_n - t_n) \phi_n. \quad (4.107)$$

Then, by 4.12,

$$\nabla \nabla E(\mathbf{w}) = \sum_{n=1}^N y_n (1 - y_n) \phi_n \phi_n^\top. \quad (4.108)$$

The right hand side can be written as

$$\mathbf{H} = \Phi^\top \mathbf{R} \Phi, \quad (4.109)$$

where

$$R_{nn'} = \begin{cases} y_n(1 - y_n), & n = n', \\ 0, & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases} \quad (4.110)$$

Then,

$$\mathbf{u}^\top \mathbf{H} \mathbf{u} = (\Phi \mathbf{u})^\top \mathbf{R} (\Phi \mathbf{u}). \quad (4.111)$$

Since

$$y_n(1 - y_n) > 0, \quad (4.112)$$

we have

$$\mathbf{u}^\top \mathbf{H} \mathbf{u} > 0. \quad (4.113)$$

Therefore,  $\mathbf{H}$  is positive definite. Thus,  $E$  is a convex function of  $\mathbf{w}$  and it has a unique minimum.

#### 4.16

Let  $t_1, \dots, t_N$  be variables such that

$$\begin{aligned} t_n &\in \{0, 1\}, \\ p(t_n = 1 | \phi_n) &= \pi_n. \end{aligned} \quad (4.114)$$

Then,

$$p(t_n | \phi_n) = \pi_n^{t_n} (1 - \pi_n)^{1-t_n}. \quad (4.115)$$

Therefore,

$$p(\mathbf{t} | \Phi) = \prod_{n=1}^N \pi_n^{t_n} (1 - \pi_n)^{1-t_n}. \quad (4.116)$$

Thus,

$$-\ln p(\mathbf{t} | \Phi) = -\sum_{n=1}^N (t_n \ln \pi_n + (1 - t_n) \ln(1 - \pi_n)). \quad (4.117)$$

#### 4.17

Let

$$y_k = \frac{\exp(a_k)}{\sum_{k=1}^K \exp(a_k)}. \quad (4.118)$$

Then,

$$\frac{\partial y_k}{\partial a_k} = \frac{\exp(a_k)}{\sum_{k=1}^K \exp(a_k)} - \frac{\exp(2a_k)}{\left(\sum_{k=1}^K \exp(a_k)\right)^2}. \quad (4.119)$$

The right hand side can be written as  $y_k(1 - y_k)$ . If  $k \neq k'$ , then

$$\frac{\partial y_k}{\partial a_{k'}} = -\frac{\exp(a_k + a_{k'})}{\left(\sum_{k=1}^K \exp(a_k)\right)^2}. \quad (4.120)$$

The right hand side can be written as  $-y_k y_{k'}$ . Therefore,

$$\frac{\partial y_k}{\partial a_{k'}} = y_k(I_{kk'} - y_{k'}). \quad (4.121)$$

#### 4.18

Let  $\mathbf{t}_1, \dots, \mathbf{t}_N$  be variables such that

$$t_{nk} \in \{0, 1\},$$

$$p(\mathbf{t}_n | \mathbf{W}) = \prod_{k=1}^K y_{nk}^{t_{nk}}, \quad (4.122)$$

where

$$y_{nk} = \frac{\exp(a_{nk})}{\sum_{k=1}^K \exp(a_{nk})}, \quad (4.123)$$

$$a_{nk} = \mathbf{w}_k^\top \phi_n.$$

Then,

$$p(\mathbf{T} | \mathbf{W}) = \prod_{n=1}^N \prod_{k=1}^K y_{nk}^{t_{nk}}. \quad (4.124)$$

Let

$$E(\mathbf{W}) = -\ln p(\mathbf{T} | \mathbf{W}). \quad (4.125)$$

The right hand side can be written as

$$-\sum_{n=1}^N \sum_{k=1}^K t_{nk} \ln y_{nk}. \quad (4.126)$$

Then, by 4.17,

$$\nabla_{\mathbf{w}_{k'}} E(\mathbf{W}) = -\sum_{n=1}^N \sum_{k=1}^K y_{nk} (I_{kk'} - y_{nk'}) \frac{t_{nk}}{y_{nk}} \phi_n. \quad (4.127)$$

The right hand side can be written as

$$-\sum_{n=1}^N \left( \sum_{k=1}^K (I_{kk'} - y_{nk'}) t_{nk} \right) \phi_n = -\sum_{n=1}^N (t_{nk'} - y_{nk'}) \phi_n. \quad (4.128)$$

Therefore,

$$\nabla_{\mathbf{w}_k} E(\mathbf{W}) = \sum_{n=1}^N (y_{nk} - t_{nk}) \phi_n. \quad (4.129)$$



#### 4.19

Let  $t_1, \dots, t_N$  be variables such that

$$\begin{aligned} t_n &\in \{0, 1\}, \\ p(t_n = 1|a_n) &= \Phi(a_n), \end{aligned} \tag{4.130}$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} \Phi(a) &= \int_{-\infty}^a \mathcal{N}(\theta|0, 1) d\theta, \\ a_n &= \mathbf{w}^\top \phi_n. \end{aligned} \tag{4.131}$$

Then,

$$p(t_n|\phi_n) = (\Phi(a_n))^{t_n} (1 - \Phi(a_n))^{1-t_n}. \tag{4.132}$$

Therefore,

$$p(\mathbf{t}|\Phi) = \prod_{n=1}^N (\Phi(a_n))^{t_n} (1 - \Phi(a_n))^{1-t_n}. \tag{4.133}$$

Let

$$E(\mathbf{w}) = -\ln p(\mathbf{t}|\phi). \tag{4.134}$$

The right hand side can be written as

$$-\sum_{n=1}^N (t_n \ln \Phi(a_n) + (1 - t_n) \ln (1 - \Phi(a_n))). \tag{4.135}$$

Then,

$$\nabla E(\mathbf{w}) = -\sum_{n=1}^N \left( t_n \frac{\mathcal{N}(a_n|0, 1)}{\Phi(a_n)} - (1 - t_n) \frac{\mathcal{N}(a_n|0, 1)}{1 - \Phi(a_n)} \right) \phi_n. \tag{4.136}$$

The right hand side can be written as

$$\begin{aligned} &-\sum_{n=1}^N \left( \frac{t_n}{\Phi(a_n)} - \frac{1 - t_n}{1 - \Phi(a_n)} \right) \mathcal{N}(a_n|0, 1) \phi_n \\ &= \sum_{n=1}^N \frac{\mathcal{N}(a_n|0, 1)}{\Phi(a_n) (1 - \Phi(a_n))} (\Phi(a_n) - t_n) \phi_n. \end{aligned} \tag{4.137}$$

Therefore,

$$\nabla E(\mathbf{w}) = \sum_{n=1}^N \frac{\mathcal{N}(a_n|0, 1)}{\Phi(a_n)(1 - \Phi(a_n))} (\Phi(a_n) - t_n) \phi_n. \quad (4.138)$$

Then,

$$\begin{aligned} \nabla \nabla E(\mathbf{w}) &= \sum_{n=1}^N \frac{-a_n \mathcal{N}(a_n|0, 1)}{\Phi(a_n)(1 - \Phi(a_n))} (\Phi(a_n) - t_n) \phi_n \phi_n^\top \\ &\quad - \sum_{n=1}^N \frac{(\mathcal{N}(a_n|0, 1))^2}{(\Phi(a_n))^2 (1 - \Phi(a_n))} (\Phi(a_n) - t_n) \phi_n \phi_n^\top \\ &\quad + \sum_{n=1}^N \frac{(\mathcal{N}(a_n|0, 1))^2}{\Phi(a_n)(1 - \Phi(a_n))^2} (\Phi(a_n) - t_n) \phi_n \phi_n^\top \\ &\quad + \sum_{n=1}^N \frac{(\mathcal{N}(a_n|0, 1))^2}{\Phi(a_n)(1 - \Phi(a_n))} \phi_n \phi_n^\top. \end{aligned} \quad (4.139)$$

Therefore,

$$\nabla \nabla E(\mathbf{w}) = \sum_{n=1}^N b_n \phi_n \phi_n^\top, \quad (4.140)$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} b_n &= \left( \frac{\mathcal{N}(a_n|0, 1)}{\Phi(a_n)(1 - \Phi(a_n))} \right)^2 ((\Phi(a_n))^2 - 2t_n \Phi(a_n) + t_n) \\ &\quad - \frac{\mathcal{N}(a_n|0, 1)}{\Phi(a_n)(1 - \Phi(a_n))} a_n (\Phi(a_n) - t_n). \end{aligned} \quad (4.141)$$

## 4.20 (Incomplete)

Let  $\mathbf{t}_1, \dots, \mathbf{t}_N$  be variables such that

$$\begin{aligned} t_{nk} &\in \{0, 1\}, \\ p(\mathbf{t}_n | \mathbf{W}) &= \prod_{k=1}^K y_{nk}^{t_{nk}}, \end{aligned} \quad (4.142)$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} y_{nk} &= \frac{\exp(a_{nk})}{\sum_{k=1}^K \exp(a_{nk})}, \\ a_{nk} &= \mathbf{w}_k^\top \boldsymbol{\phi}_n. \end{aligned} \quad (4.143)$$

Then,

$$p(\mathbf{T}|\mathbf{W}) = \prod_{n=1}^N \prod_{k=1}^K y_{nk}^{t_{nk}}. \quad (4.144)$$

Let

$$E(\mathbf{W}) = -\ln p(\mathbf{T}|\mathbf{W}). \quad (4.145)$$

By 4.18,

$$\nabla_{\mathbf{w}_k} E(\mathbf{W}) = \sum_{n=1}^N (y_{nk} - t_{nk}) \boldsymbol{\phi}_n. \quad (4.146)$$

Additionally, by 4.17,

$$\nabla_{\mathbf{w}_k} \nabla_{\mathbf{w}_{k'}} E(\mathbf{W}) = \sum_{n=1}^N y_{nk} (I_{kk'} - y_{nk'}) \boldsymbol{\phi}_n \boldsymbol{\phi}_n^\top. \quad (4.147)$$

The right hand side can be written as

$$\mathbf{H}_{kk'} = \boldsymbol{\Phi}^\top \mathbf{R}_{kk'} \boldsymbol{\Phi}, \quad (4.148)$$

where

$$R_{kk'nn'} = \begin{cases} y_{nk} (I_{kk'} - y_{nk'}), & n = n', \\ 0, & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases} \quad (4.149)$$

Let

$$\mathbf{H} = \begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{H}_{11} & \cdots & \mathbf{H}_{1K} \\ \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ \mathbf{H}_{K1} & \cdots & \mathbf{H}_{KK} \end{bmatrix},$$

and

$$\mathbf{u} = \begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{u}_1 \\ \vdots \\ \mathbf{u}_K \end{bmatrix},$$

where  $\mathbf{u}_1, \dots, \mathbf{u}_K$  are vectors in the same dimension as  $\mathbf{w}$ . Then,

$$\mathbf{u}^\top \mathbf{H} \mathbf{u} = \sum_{k=1}^K \sum_{k'=1}^K \mathbf{u}_k^\top \mathbf{H}_{kk'} \mathbf{u}_{k'}, \quad (4.150)$$

Then, the right hand side can be written as

$$\sum_{k=1}^K \sum_{k'=1}^K (\Phi \mathbf{u}_k)^\top \mathbf{R}_{kk'} (\Phi \mathbf{u}_{k'}). \quad (4.151)$$

## 4.21

Let

$$\Phi(a) = \int_{-\infty}^a \mathcal{N}(\theta|0, 1) d\theta. \quad (4.152)$$

The right hand side can be written as

$$\int_{-\infty}^0 \mathcal{N}(\theta|0, 1) d\theta + \int_0^a \mathcal{N}(\theta|0, 1) d\theta = \frac{1}{2} + \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}} \int_0^a \exp\left(-\frac{\theta^2}{2}\right) d\theta. \quad (4.153)$$

The second term of the right hand side can be written as

$$\frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}} \int_0^{\frac{a}{\sqrt{2}}} \exp(-t^2) \sqrt{2} dt = \frac{1}{2} \operatorname{erf}\left(\frac{a}{\sqrt{2}}\right), \quad (4.154)$$

where

$$\operatorname{erf}(a) = \frac{2}{\sqrt{\pi}} \int_0^a \exp(-t^2) dt. \quad (4.155)$$

Therefore,

$$\Phi(a) = \frac{1}{2} \left( 1 + \operatorname{erf}\left(\frac{a}{\sqrt{2}}\right) \right). \quad (4.156)$$

## 4.22

Let  $\boldsymbol{\theta}$  be a variable in  $M$  dimensions. By a Taylor expansion,

$$\ln(p(\mathcal{D}|\boldsymbol{\theta})p(\boldsymbol{\theta})) \simeq \ln(p(\mathcal{D}|\boldsymbol{\theta}_0)p(\boldsymbol{\theta}_0)) + \mathbf{v}(\boldsymbol{\theta}_0)^\top (\boldsymbol{\theta} - \boldsymbol{\theta}_0) - \frac{1}{2} (\boldsymbol{\theta} - \boldsymbol{\theta}_0)^\top \mathbf{A}(\boldsymbol{\theta}_0) (\boldsymbol{\theta} - \boldsymbol{\theta}_0), \quad (4.157)$$

where

$$\begin{aligned}\mathbf{v}(\boldsymbol{\theta}) &= \nabla \ln(p(\mathcal{D}|\boldsymbol{\theta})p(\boldsymbol{\theta})), \\ \mathbf{A}(\boldsymbol{\theta}) &= -\nabla \nabla \ln(p(\mathcal{D}|\boldsymbol{\theta})p(\boldsymbol{\theta})).\end{aligned}\tag{4.158}$$

Let  $\boldsymbol{\theta}_{\text{MAP}}$  be a stationary point of  $p(\mathcal{D}|\boldsymbol{\theta})p(\boldsymbol{\theta})$ . Then,

$$\ln(p(\mathcal{D}|\boldsymbol{\theta})p(\boldsymbol{\theta})) \simeq \ln(p(\mathcal{D}|\boldsymbol{\theta}_{\text{MAP}})p(\boldsymbol{\theta}_{\text{MAP}})) - \frac{1}{2}(\boldsymbol{\theta} - \boldsymbol{\theta}_{\text{MAP}})^\top \mathbf{A}(\boldsymbol{\theta}_{\text{MAP}})(\boldsymbol{\theta} - \boldsymbol{\theta}_{\text{MAP}}),\tag{4.159}$$

so that

$$p(\mathcal{D}|\boldsymbol{\theta})p(\boldsymbol{\theta}) \simeq p(\mathcal{D}|\boldsymbol{\theta}_{\text{MAP}})p(\boldsymbol{\theta}_{\text{MAP}}) \exp\left(-\frac{1}{2}(\boldsymbol{\theta} - \boldsymbol{\theta}_{\text{MAP}})^\top \mathbf{A}(\boldsymbol{\theta}_{\text{MAP}})(\boldsymbol{\theta} - \boldsymbol{\theta}_{\text{MAP}})\right).\tag{4.160}$$

By marginalisation, integrating both sides with respect to  $\boldsymbol{\theta}$  gives

$$p(\mathcal{D}) \simeq p(\mathcal{D}|\boldsymbol{\theta}_{\text{MAP}})p(\boldsymbol{\theta}_{\text{MAP}}) \int \exp\left(-\frac{1}{2}(\boldsymbol{\theta} - \boldsymbol{\theta}_{\text{MAP}})^\top \mathbf{A}(\boldsymbol{\theta}_{\text{MAP}})(\boldsymbol{\theta} - \boldsymbol{\theta}_{\text{MAP}})\right) d\boldsymbol{\theta}.\tag{4.161}$$

The integral of the right hand side can be written as

$$(2\pi)^{\frac{M}{2}} (\det \mathbf{A}(\boldsymbol{\theta}_{\text{MAP}})^{-1})^{\frac{1}{2}} = (2\pi)^{\frac{M}{2}} (\det \mathbf{A}(\boldsymbol{\theta}_{\text{MAP}}))^{-\frac{1}{2}}.\tag{4.162}$$

Therefore,

$$p(\mathcal{D}) \simeq p(\mathcal{D}|\boldsymbol{\theta}_{\text{MAP}})p(\boldsymbol{\theta}_{\text{MAP}})(2\pi)^{\frac{M}{2}} (\det \mathbf{A}(\boldsymbol{\theta}_{\text{MAP}}))^{-\frac{1}{2}},\tag{4.163}$$

so that

$$\ln p(\mathcal{D}) \simeq \ln p(\mathcal{D}|\boldsymbol{\theta}_{\text{MAP}}) + \ln p(\boldsymbol{\theta}_{\text{MAP}}) + \frac{M}{2} \ln(2\pi) - \frac{1}{2} \ln(\det \mathbf{A}(\boldsymbol{\theta}_{\text{MAP}})).\tag{4.164}$$

## 4.23

Let  $\boldsymbol{\theta}$  be a variable in  $M$  dimensions. By 4.22,

$$\ln p(\mathcal{D}) \simeq \ln p(\mathcal{D}|\boldsymbol{\theta}_{\text{MAP}}) + \ln p(\boldsymbol{\theta}_{\text{MAP}}) + \frac{M}{2} \ln(2\pi) - \frac{1}{2} \ln(\det \mathbf{A}(\boldsymbol{\theta}_{\text{MAP}})),\tag{4.165}$$

where  $\boldsymbol{\theta}_{\text{MAP}}$  is a stationary point of  $p(\mathcal{D}|\boldsymbol{\theta})p(\boldsymbol{\theta})$  and

$$\mathbf{A}(\boldsymbol{\theta}) = -\nabla \nabla \ln(p(\mathcal{D}|\boldsymbol{\theta})p(\boldsymbol{\theta})).\tag{4.166}$$

If

$$p(\boldsymbol{\theta}) = \mathcal{N}(\boldsymbol{\theta}|\mathbf{m}, \mathbf{V}_0), \quad (4.167)$$

then

$$\nabla \nabla \ln p(\boldsymbol{\theta}) = -\mathbf{V}_0^{-1}, \quad (4.168)$$

so that

$$\mathbf{A}(\boldsymbol{\theta}) = \mathbf{H}(\boldsymbol{\theta}) + \mathbf{V}_0^{-1}, \quad (4.169)$$

where

$$\mathbf{H}(\boldsymbol{\theta}) = -\nabla \nabla \ln p(\mathcal{D}|\boldsymbol{\theta}). \quad (4.170)$$

Then, the right hand side of the approximation above can be written as

$$\begin{aligned} & \ln p(\mathcal{D}|\boldsymbol{\theta}_{\text{MAP}}) - \frac{M}{2} \ln(2\pi) - \frac{1}{2} \ln(\det \mathbf{V}_0) - \frac{1}{2} (\boldsymbol{\theta}_{\text{MAP}} - \mathbf{m}_0)^\top \mathbf{V}_0^{-1} (\boldsymbol{\theta}_{\text{MAP}} - \mathbf{m}_0) \\ & + \frac{M}{2} \ln(2\pi) - \frac{1}{2} \ln(\det (\mathbf{H}(\boldsymbol{\theta}_{\text{MAP}}) + \mathbf{V}_0^{-1})) \\ = & \ln p(\mathcal{D}|\boldsymbol{\theta}_{\text{MAP}}) + \frac{1}{2} \ln(\det \mathbf{V}_0^{-1}) - \frac{1}{2} (\boldsymbol{\theta}_{\text{MAP}} - \mathbf{m}_0)^\top \mathbf{V}_0^{-1} (\boldsymbol{\theta}_{\text{MAP}} - \mathbf{m}_0) \\ & - \frac{1}{2} \ln(\det (\mathbf{H}(\boldsymbol{\theta}_{\text{MAP}}) + \mathbf{V}_0^{-1})). \end{aligned} \quad (4.171)$$

If  $\mathbf{V}_0^{-1}$  can be neglected, the right hand side can be written as

$$\ln p(\mathcal{D}|\boldsymbol{\theta}_{\text{MAP}}) - \frac{1}{2} \ln(\det \mathbf{H}(\boldsymbol{\theta}_{\text{MAP}})). \quad (4.172)$$

If each data point is independent and identically distributed, then

$$\mathbf{H}(\boldsymbol{\theta}) = N \bar{\mathbf{H}}(\boldsymbol{\theta}), \quad (4.173)$$

where

$$\bar{\mathbf{H}}(\boldsymbol{\theta}) = \frac{1}{N} \sum_{n=1}^N \mathbf{H}_n(\boldsymbol{\theta}), \quad (4.174)$$

and  $\mathbf{H}_n(\boldsymbol{\theta})$  is the one for each data point. Then,

$$\det \mathbf{H}(\boldsymbol{\theta}_{\text{MAP}}) = N^M \det \bar{\mathbf{H}}(\boldsymbol{\theta}_{\text{MAP}}). \quad (4.175)$$

Therefore,

$$\ln p(\mathcal{D}) \simeq \ln p(\mathcal{D}|\boldsymbol{\theta}_{\text{MAP}}) - \frac{M}{2} \ln N. \quad (4.176)$$

## 4.24 (Incomplete)

Let  $t_1, \dots, t_N$  be variables such that

$$\begin{aligned} t_n &\in \{0, 1\}, \\ p(t_n|\mathbf{w}) &= y_n^{t_n} (1 - y_n)^{1-t_n}, \end{aligned} \quad (4.177)$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} y_n &= \sigma(\mathbf{w}^\top \phi_n), \\ \sigma(a) &= \frac{1}{1 + \exp(-a)}. \end{aligned} \quad (4.178)$$

By the Bayes' theorem,

$$p(\mathbf{w}|\mathbf{t})p(\mathbf{t}) = p(\mathbf{t}|\mathbf{w})p(\mathbf{w}). \quad (4.179)$$

If

$$p(\mathbf{w}) = \mathcal{N}(\mathbf{w}|\mathbf{m}_0, \mathbf{S}_0), \quad (4.180)$$

then the logarithm of the right hand side except the terms independent of  $\mathbf{w}$  and  $\mathbf{t}$  can be written as

$$\sum_{n=1}^N (t_n \ln y_n + (1 - t_n) \ln(1 - y_n)) - \frac{1}{2}(\mathbf{w} - \mathbf{m}_0)^\top \mathbf{S}_0^{-1}(\mathbf{w} - \mathbf{m}_0). \quad (4.181)$$

Then, by 4.22,

$$p(\mathbf{w}|\mathbf{t}) \simeq \mathcal{N}(\mathbf{w}|\mathbf{w}_{\text{MAP}}, \mathbf{S}_N)? \quad (4.182)$$

where  $\mathbf{w}_{\text{MAP}}$  is the maximum likelihood solution for  $p(\mathbf{w})$  and

$$\mathbf{S}_N = -\nabla \nabla \ln p(\mathbf{w}|\mathbf{t}). \quad (4.183)$$

By marginalisation,

$$p(\mathcal{C}_1|\mathbf{t}) = \int p(\mathcal{C}_1|\mathbf{w})p(\mathbf{w}|\mathbf{t})d\mathbf{w}. \quad (4.184)$$

The logarithm of the integrand of the right hand side except the terms independent of  $\mathbf{w}$  can be approximated as

$$-\ln(1 + \exp(-\mathbf{w}^\top \phi)) - \frac{1}{2}(\mathbf{w} - \mathbf{w}_{\text{MAP}})^\top \mathbf{S}_N^{-1}(\mathbf{w} - \mathbf{w}_{\text{MAP}}) = \quad (4.185)$$

## 4.25

Let

$$\begin{aligned}\sigma(a) &= \frac{1}{1 + \exp(-a)}, \\ \Phi(a) &= \int_{-\infty}^a \mathcal{N}(\theta|0, 1) d\theta.\end{aligned}\tag{4.186}$$

By 4.12,

$$\frac{d\sigma(a)}{da} = \sigma(a) (1 - \sigma(a)).\tag{4.187}$$

On the other hand, the right hand side can be written as

$$\frac{d\Phi(\lambda a)}{da} = \lambda \mathcal{N}(\lambda a|0, 1).\tag{4.188}$$

Let us assume that

$$\left. \frac{d\sigma(a)}{da} \right|_{a=0} = \left. \frac{d\Phi(\lambda a)}{da} \right|_{a=0}.\tag{4.189}$$

Then,

$$\frac{1}{4} = \lambda (2\pi)^{-\frac{1}{2}}.\tag{4.190}$$

Therefore,

$$\lambda^2 = \frac{\pi}{8}.\tag{4.191}$$

## 4.26

Let

$$I(\mu) = \int \Phi(\lambda a) \mathcal{N}(a|\mu, \sigma^2) da,\tag{4.192}$$

where

$$\Phi(a) = \int_{-\infty}^a \mathcal{N}(\theta|0, 1) d\theta.\tag{4.193}$$

By the transformation

$$z = \frac{a - \mu}{\sigma},\tag{4.194}$$

the right hand side can be written as

$$\int \Phi(\lambda(\mu + \sigma z)) \mathcal{N}(\mu + \sigma z|\mu, \sigma^2) \sigma dz = \int \Phi(\lambda(\mu + \sigma z)) \mathcal{N}(z|0, 1) dz.\tag{4.195}$$



Then,

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial \mu} I(\mu) = \lambda \int \mathcal{N}(\lambda(\mu + \sigma z)|0, 1) \mathcal{N}(z|0, 1) dz. \quad (4.196)$$

The logarithm of the integrand of the right hand side can be written as

$$\begin{aligned} & -\frac{1}{2} \ln(2\pi) - \frac{\lambda^2(\mu + \sigma z)^2}{2} - \frac{1}{2} \ln(2\pi) - \frac{z^2}{2} \\ & = -\ln(2\pi) - \frac{1 + \sigma^2 \lambda^2}{2} \left( z + \frac{\mu \sigma \lambda^2}{1 + \sigma^2 \lambda^2} \right)^2 + \frac{\mu^2 \sigma^2 \lambda^4}{2(1 + \sigma^2 \lambda^2)} - \frac{\mu^2 \lambda^2}{2}. \end{aligned} \quad (4.197)$$

The right hand side can be written as

$$\begin{aligned} & -\ln(2\pi) - \frac{1 + \sigma^2 \lambda^2}{2} \left( z + \frac{\mu \sigma \lambda^2}{1 + \sigma^2 \lambda^2} \right)^2 - \frac{\mu^2 \lambda^2}{2(1 + \sigma^2 \lambda^2)} \\ & = -\frac{1}{2} \ln(2\pi) - \frac{1}{2} \ln(1 + \sigma^2 \lambda^2)^{-1} - \frac{1 + \sigma^2 \lambda^2}{2} \left( z + \frac{\mu \sigma \lambda^2}{1 + \sigma^2 \lambda^2} \right)^2 \\ & \quad - \ln \lambda - \frac{1}{2} \ln(2\pi) - \frac{1}{2} \ln(\lambda^{-2} + \sigma^2) - \frac{\mu^2}{2(\lambda^{-2} + \sigma^2)}. \end{aligned} \quad (4.198)$$

Then, the integral can be written as

$$\begin{aligned} & \int \mathcal{N}\left(z \middle| -\frac{\mu \sigma \lambda^2}{1 + \sigma^2 \lambda^2}, (1 + \sigma^2 \lambda^2)^{-1}\right) \frac{1}{\lambda} \mathcal{N}(\mu|0, \lambda^{-2} + \sigma^2) dz \\ & = \frac{1}{\lambda} \mathcal{N}(\mu|0, \lambda^{-2} + \sigma^2). \end{aligned} \quad (4.199)$$

Therefore,

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial \mu} I(\mu) = \mathcal{N}(\mu|0, \lambda^{-2} + \sigma^2). \quad (4.200)$$

Integrating both sides with respect to  $\mu$  gives

$$I(\mu) = \int_{-\infty}^{\mu} \mathcal{N}(m|0, \lambda^{-2} + \sigma^2) dm. \quad (4.201)$$

By the transformation

$$m' = \frac{m}{(\lambda^{-2} + \sigma^2)^{\frac{1}{2}}}, \quad (4.202)$$

the right hand side can be written as

$$\int_{-\infty}^{\frac{\mu}{(\lambda^{-2} + \sigma^2)^{\frac{1}{2}}}} (\lambda^{-2} + \sigma^2)^{-\frac{1}{2}} \mathcal{N}(m'|0, 1) (\lambda^{-2} + \sigma^2)^{\frac{1}{2}} dm' = \Phi \left( \frac{\mu}{(\lambda^{-2} + \sigma^2)^{\frac{1}{2}}} \right). \quad (4.203)$$

Therefore,

$$I(\mu) = \Phi \left( \frac{\mu}{(\lambda^{-2} + \sigma^2)^{\frac{1}{2}}} \right). \quad (4.204)$$

## 5 Neural Networks

### 5.1

Let

$$y_k(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{w}) = \sigma \left( \sum_{m=1}^M w_{km}^{(2)} \sigma \left( \sum_{d=1}^D w_{md}^{(1)} x_d + w_{m0}^{(1)} \right) + w_{k0}^{(2)} \right), \quad (5.1)$$

where

$$\sigma(a) = \frac{1}{1 + \exp(-a)}. \quad (5.2)$$

Here,

$$\sigma(a) = \frac{\exp\left(\frac{a}{2}\right)}{\exp\left(\frac{a}{2}\right) + \exp\left(-\frac{a}{2}\right)}. \quad (5.3)$$

The right hand side can be written as

$$\tanh\left(\frac{a}{2}\right) + \sigma(-a) = \tanh\left(\frac{a}{2}\right) + 1 - \sigma(a). \quad (5.4)$$

Therefore,

$$\sigma(a) = \frac{1}{2} \left( 1 + \tanh\left(\frac{a}{2}\right) \right). \quad (5.5)$$

Then, the argument of the right hand side can be written as

$$\begin{aligned} & \sum_{m=1}^M w_{km}^{(2)} \left( \frac{1}{2} \left( 1 + \tanh \left( \frac{1}{2} \left( \sum_{d=1}^D w_{md}^{(1)} x_d + w_{m0}^{(1)} \right) \right) \right) \right) + w_{k0}^{(2)} \\ &= \frac{1}{2} \sum_{m=1}^M w_{km}^{(2)} \tanh \left( \frac{1}{2} \sum_{d=1}^D w_{md}^{(1)} x_d + \frac{1}{2} w_{m0}^{(1)} \right) + \frac{1}{2} \sum_{m=1}^M w_{km}^{(2)} + w_{k0}^{(2)}. \end{aligned} \quad (5.6)$$

Therefore,

$$y_k(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{w}) = \sigma \left( \frac{1}{2} \sum_{m=1}^M w_{km}^{(2)} \tanh \left( \frac{1}{2} \sum_{d=1}^D w_{md}^{(1)} x_d + \frac{1}{2} w_{m0}^{(1)} \right) + \frac{1}{2} \sum_{m=1}^M w_{km}^{(2)} + w_{k0}^{(2)} \right). \quad (5.7)$$

## 5.2

Let  $\mathbf{t}_1, \dots, \mathbf{t}_N$  be variables such that

$$p(\mathbf{t}_n | \mathbf{x}_n, \mathbf{w}) = \mathcal{N}(\mathbf{t}_n | \mathbf{y}(\mathbf{x}_n, \mathbf{w}), \beta^{-1} \mathbf{I}). \quad (5.8)$$

Then, the logarithm of the likelihood except the terms independent of  $\mathbf{w}$  can be written as

$$-\frac{1}{2} \sum_{n=1}^N (\mathbf{t}_n - \mathbf{y}(\mathbf{x}_n, \mathbf{w}))^\top (\beta^{-1} \mathbf{I})^{-1} (\mathbf{t}_n - \mathbf{y}(\mathbf{x}_n, \mathbf{w})) = -\frac{\beta}{2} \sum_{n=1}^N \|\mathbf{y}(\mathbf{x}_n, \mathbf{w}) - \mathbf{t}_n\|^2. \quad (5.9)$$

Setting the derivative with respect to  $\mathbf{w}$  to zero gives

$$\mathbf{0} = -\beta \sum_{n=1}^N \frac{\partial \mathbf{y}(\mathbf{x}_n, \mathbf{w})}{\partial \mathbf{w}} (\mathbf{y}(\mathbf{x}_n, \mathbf{w}) - \mathbf{t}_n). \quad (5.10)$$

Let

$$E(\mathbf{w}) = \frac{1}{2} \sum_{n=1}^N \|\mathbf{y}(\mathbf{x}_n, \mathbf{w}) - \mathbf{t}_n\|^2. \quad (5.11)$$

Setting the derivative with respect to  $\mathbf{w}$  to zero gives

$$\mathbf{0} = \sum_{n=1}^N \frac{\partial \mathbf{y}(\mathbf{x}_n, \mathbf{w})}{\partial \mathbf{w}} (\mathbf{y}(\mathbf{x}_n, \mathbf{w}) - \mathbf{t}_n). \quad (5.12)$$

Therefore, maximising the likelihood is equivalent to minimising  $E(\mathbf{w})$ .

## 5.3

Let  $\mathbf{t}_1, \dots, \mathbf{t}_N$  be variables such that

$$p(\mathbf{t}_n | \mathbf{x}_n, \mathbf{w}) = \mathcal{N}(\mathbf{t}_n | \mathbf{y}(\mathbf{x}_n, \mathbf{w}), \Sigma). \quad (5.13)$$

Then, the logarithm of the likelihood except the terms independent of  $\mathbf{w}$  and  $\Sigma$  can be written as

$$-\frac{1}{2} \ln(\det \Sigma) - \frac{1}{2} \sum_{n=1}^N (\mathbf{t}_n - \mathbf{y}(\mathbf{x}_n, \mathbf{w}))^\top \Sigma^{-1} (\mathbf{t}_n - \mathbf{y}(\mathbf{x}_n, \mathbf{w})). \quad (5.14)$$

Setting the derivatives with respect to  $\mathbf{w}$  and  $\Sigma$  to zero gives

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{0} &= - \sum_{n=1}^N \frac{\partial \mathbf{y}(\mathbf{x}_n, \mathbf{w})}{\partial \mathbf{w}} \Sigma^{-1} (\mathbf{t}_n - \mathbf{y}(\mathbf{x}_n, \mathbf{w})), \\ \mathbf{O} &= -\frac{1}{2} \Sigma^{-1} + \frac{1}{2} (\Sigma^{-1})^2 \sum_{n=1}^N (\mathbf{t}_n - \mathbf{y}(\mathbf{x}_n, \mathbf{w})) (\mathbf{t}_n - \mathbf{y}(\mathbf{x}_n, \mathbf{w}))^\top. \end{aligned} \quad (5.15)$$

Therefore, the maximum likelihood solution for  $\Sigma$  is given by

$$\Sigma = \sum_{n=1}^N (\mathbf{t}_n - \mathbf{y}(\mathbf{x}_n, \mathbf{w})) (\mathbf{t}_n - \mathbf{y}(\mathbf{x}_n, \mathbf{w}))^\top. \quad (5.16)$$

On the other hand, if  $\Sigma$  is fixed and known, then the maximum likelihood solution for  $\mathbf{w}$  is given by minimising

$$E(\mathbf{w}) = \frac{1}{2} \sum_{n=1}^N (\mathbf{t}_n - \mathbf{y}(\mathbf{x}_n, \mathbf{w}))^\top \Sigma^{-1} (\mathbf{t}_n - \mathbf{y}(\mathbf{x}_n, \mathbf{w})). \quad (5.17)$$

## 5.4

Let  $t_1, \dots, t_N$  be variables such that

$$\begin{aligned} t_n &\in \{0, 1\}, \\ p(t_n = 1 | \mathbf{x}_n) &= (1 - \epsilon)y_n + \epsilon(1 - y_n), \end{aligned} \quad (5.18)$$

where

$$y_n = y(\mathbf{x}_n, \mathbf{w}). \quad (5.19)$$

Then,

$$p(t_n | \mathbf{x}_n) = ((1 - \epsilon)y_n + \epsilon(1 - y_n))^{t_n} (\epsilon y_n + (1 - \epsilon)(1 - y_n))^{1-t_n}. \quad (5.20)$$

Therefore,

$$\begin{aligned} & - \ln \left( \prod_{n=1}^N p(t_n | \mathbf{x}_n) \right) \\ &= - \sum_{n=1}^N (t_n \ln((1 - \epsilon)y_n + \epsilon(1 - y_n)) + (1 - t_n) \ln(\epsilon y_n + (1 - \epsilon)(1 - y_n))). \end{aligned} \quad (5.21)$$

## 5.5

Let  $\mathbf{t}_1, \dots, \mathbf{t}_N$  be variables in  $K$  dimensions such that

$$\begin{aligned} t_{nk} &\in \{0, 1\}, \\ p(t_{nk} = 1 | \mathbf{x}_n) &= y_{nk}, \end{aligned} \tag{5.22}$$

where

$$y_{nk} = y_k(\mathbf{x}_n, \mathbf{w}). \tag{5.23}$$

Then,

$$p(t_{nk} | \mathbf{x}_n) = y_{nk}^{t_{nk}}, \tag{5.24}$$

so that

$$p(\mathbf{t}_n | \mathbf{x}_n) = \prod_{k=1}^K y_{nk}^{t_{nk}}. \tag{5.25}$$

Therefore,

$$\ln \left( \prod_{n=1}^N p(\mathbf{t}_n | \mathbf{x}_n) \right) = \sum_{n=1}^N \sum_{k=1}^K t_{nk} \ln y_{nk}. \tag{5.26}$$

## 5.6

Let  $t_1, \dots, t_N$  be variables such that

$$\begin{aligned} t_n &\in \{0, 1\}, \\ p(t_n = 1 | \mathbf{x}_n) &= y_n, \end{aligned} \tag{5.27}$$

where

$$y_n = y(\mathbf{x}_n, \mathbf{w}). \tag{5.28}$$

Then,

$$p(t_n | \mathbf{x}_n) = y_n^{t_n} (1 - y_n)^{1-t_n}. \tag{5.29}$$

Let

$$E(\mathbf{w}) = - \ln \left( \prod_{n=1}^N p(t_n | \mathbf{x}_n) \right). \tag{5.30}$$

Then,

$$E(\mathbf{w}) = - \sum_{n=1}^N (t_n \ln y_n + (1 - t_n) \ln(1 - y_n)). \tag{5.31}$$

If

$$y_n = \sigma(a_n), \quad (5.32)$$

where

$$\sigma(a) = \frac{1}{1 + \exp(-a)}, \quad (5.33)$$

then, by 4.12,

$$\frac{\partial E(\mathbf{w})}{\partial a_n} = -y_n(1 - y_n) \left( \frac{t_n}{y_n} - \frac{1 - t_n}{1 - y_n} \right). \quad (5.34)$$

Therefore,

$$\frac{\partial E(\mathbf{w})}{\partial a_n} = y_n - t_n. \quad (5.35)$$

## 5.7

Let  $\mathbf{t}_1, \dots, \mathbf{t}_N$  be variables such that

$$\begin{aligned} t_{nk} &\in \{0, 1\}, \\ p(t_{nk} = 1 | \mathbf{x}_n) &= y_{nk}, \end{aligned} \quad (5.36)$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} y_{nk} &= y_k(\mathbf{x}_n, \mathbf{w}), \\ \sum_{k=1}^K y_{nk} &= 1. \end{aligned} \quad (5.37)$$

Then,

$$p(\mathbf{t}_n | \mathbf{x}_n) = \prod_{k=1}^K y_{nk}^{t_{nk}}. \quad (5.38)$$

Let

$$E(\mathbf{w}) = -\ln \left( \prod_{n=1}^N p(\mathbf{t}_n | \mathbf{x}_n) \right). \quad (5.39)$$

Then,

$$E(\mathbf{w}) = -\sum_{n=1}^N \sum_{k=1}^K t_{nk} \ln y_{nk}. \quad (5.40)$$

If

$$y_{nk} = \frac{\exp(a_k(\mathbf{x}_n, \mathbf{w}))}{\sum_{k=1}^K \exp(a_k(\mathbf{x}_n, \mathbf{w}))}, \quad (5.41)$$

then, by 4.17,

$$\frac{\partial E(\mathbf{w})}{\partial a_{k'}} = - \sum_{n=1}^N \sum_{k=1}^K t_{nk} y_{nk} (I_{kk'} - y_{nk}) \frac{1}{y_{nk}}. \quad (5.42)$$

The right hand side can be written as

$$- \sum_{n=1}^N \sum_{k=1}^K t_{nk} (I_{kk'} - y_{nk}) = - \sum_{n=1}^N \left( \sum_{k=1}^K t_{nk} y_{nk} - t_{nk'} \right). \quad (5.43)$$

Therefore,

$$\frac{\partial E(\mathbf{w})}{\partial a_k} = \sum_{n=1}^N (y_{nk} - t_{nk}). \quad (5.44)$$

## 5.8

Setting the derivative of

$$\tanh a = \frac{\exp(a) - \exp(-a)}{\exp(a) + \exp(-a)} \quad (5.45)$$

gives

$$\frac{d}{da} \tanh a = 1 - \left( \frac{\exp(a) - \exp(-a)}{\exp(a) + \exp(-a)} \right)^2. \quad (5.46)$$

Therefore,

$$\frac{d}{da} \tanh a = 1 - (\tanh a)^2. \quad (5.47)$$

## 5.9

Let  $t_1, \dots, t_N$  be variables such that

$$\begin{aligned} t_n &\in \{-1, 1\}, \\ p(t_n = 1 | \mathbf{x}_n) &= \frac{1 + y_n}{2}, \end{aligned} \quad (5.48)$$

where

$$y_n = y(\mathbf{x}_n, \mathbf{w}). \quad (5.49)$$



Then,

$$p(t_n|\mathbf{x}_n) = \left(\frac{1+y_n}{2}\right)^{\frac{1+t_n}{2}} \left(\frac{1-y_n}{2}\right)^{\frac{1-t_n}{2}}. \quad (5.50)$$

Let

$$E(\mathbf{w}) = -\ln \left( \prod_{n=1}^N p(t_n|\mathbf{x}_n) \right). \quad (5.51)$$

Then,

$$E(\mathbf{w}) = -\sum_{n=1}^N \left( \frac{1+t_n}{2} \ln \frac{1+y_n}{2} + \frac{1-t_n}{2} \ln \frac{1-y_n}{2} \right). \quad (5.52)$$

The appropriate choice of  $y$  is  $\tanh$ .

## 5.10

Let

$$\mathbf{H} = \nabla \nabla E(\mathbf{w}), \quad (5.53)$$

where  $E$  is a real function of real vectors. Then,  $\mathbf{H}$  is a real symmetric matrix. Therefore, by 2.20,  $\mathbf{H}$  is positive if and only if its eigenvalues are positive.

## 5.11

Let  $\mathbf{w}$  be a real vector in  $M$  dimensions. Let  $E$  be a real function of  $\mathbf{w}$ . Let  $\mathbf{w}^*$  be a vector such that

$$\nabla E(\mathbf{w}^*) = \mathbf{0}. \quad (5.54)$$

Then, by a Taylor expansion,

$$E(\mathbf{w}) \simeq E(\mathbf{w}^*) + \frac{1}{2} (\mathbf{w} - \mathbf{w}^*)^\top \mathbf{H} (\mathbf{w} - \mathbf{w}^*), \quad (5.55)$$

where

$$\mathbf{H} = \nabla \nabla E(\mathbf{w})|_{\mathbf{w}=\mathbf{w}^*}. \quad (5.56)$$

Let  $\mathbf{u}_1, \dots, \mathbf{u}_M$  be eigenvectors such that

$$\mathbf{H}\mathbf{u}_m = \lambda_m \mathbf{u}_m. \quad (5.57)$$

Note that  $\mathbf{H}$  is a real symmetric matrix. Then, by ??, we have

$$\mathbf{u}_m^\top \mathbf{u}_{m'} = I_{mm'}. \quad (5.58)$$

Therefore, there exists  $\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_M$  such that

$$\mathbf{w} - \mathbf{w}^* = \sum_{m=1}^M \alpha_m \mathbf{u}_m. \quad (5.59)$$

Then, the approximation can be written as

$$E(\mathbf{w}) \simeq E(\mathbf{w}^*) + \frac{1}{2} \sum_{m=1}^M \lambda_m \alpha_m^2. \quad (5.60)$$

Therefore, the contours of constant  $E$  are ellipses whose axes are aligned with  $\mathbf{u}_1, \dots, \mathbf{u}_M$  with lengths which are proportional to  $\lambda_1^{-\frac{1}{2}}, \dots, \lambda_M^{-\frac{1}{2}}$ .

## 5.12

Let  $\mathbf{w}$  be a real vector. Let  $E$  be a real function of  $\mathbf{w}$ . Let  $\mathbf{w}^*$  be a vector such that

$$\nabla E(\mathbf{w}^*) = \mathbf{0}. \quad (5.61)$$

Then, by a Taylor expansion,

$$E(\mathbf{w}) \simeq E(\mathbf{w}^*) + \frac{1}{2} (\mathbf{w} - \mathbf{w}^*)^\top \mathbf{H} (\mathbf{w} - \mathbf{w}^*), \quad (5.62)$$

where

$$\mathbf{H} = \nabla \nabla E(\mathbf{w})|_{\mathbf{w}=\mathbf{w}^*}. \quad (5.63)$$

If  $\mathbf{H}$  is positive definite, then the second term of the right hand side is positive unless

$$\mathbf{w} = \mathbf{w}^*. \quad (5.64)$$

Therefore,  $\mathbf{w}^*$  is a local minimum of the right hand side. On the other hand, if  $\mathbf{w}^*$  is a local minimum of the right hand side, then the second term of the right hand side is positive unless

$$\mathbf{w} = \mathbf{w}^*. \quad (5.65)$$

Therefore,  $\mathbf{H}$  is positive definite. Thus, the necessary and sufficient condition for  $\mathbf{w}^*$  to be a local minimum is that  $\mathbf{H}$  be positive definite.

### 5.13

Let  $\mathbf{w}$  be a vector in  $M$  dimensions. Let  $E$  be a function of  $\mathbf{w}$ . Then, by a Taylor expansion,

$$E(\mathbf{w}) \simeq E(\hat{\mathbf{w}}) + (\mathbf{w} - \hat{\mathbf{w}})^\top \mathbf{b} + \frac{1}{2} (\mathbf{w} - \hat{\mathbf{w}})^\top \mathbf{H} (\mathbf{w} - \hat{\mathbf{w}}), \quad (5.66)$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{b} &= \nabla E(\mathbf{w})|_{\mathbf{w}=\hat{\mathbf{w}}} \\ \mathbf{H} &= \nabla \nabla E(\mathbf{w})|_{\mathbf{w}=\hat{\mathbf{w}}} \end{aligned} \quad (5.67)$$

Since  $\mathbf{b}$  is a vector in  $M$  dimensions and  $\mathbf{H}$  is a  $M \times M$  symmetric matrix, the number of independent elements of the right hand side is

$$M + \frac{M(M+1)}{2} = \frac{M(M+3)}{2}. \quad (5.68)$$

### 5.14

Let  $w$  be a variable. Let  $E_n$  be a function of  $w$ . Then, by a Taylor expansion,

$$\begin{aligned} E_n(w_{mm'} + \epsilon) &= E_n(w_{mm'}) + \left. \frac{\partial E_n}{\partial w} \right|_{w=w_{mm'}} \epsilon + O(\epsilon^2), \\ E_n(w_{mm'} - \epsilon) &= E_n(w_{mm'}) - \left. \frac{\partial E_n}{\partial w} \right|_{w=w_{mm'}} \epsilon + O(\epsilon^2). \end{aligned} \quad (5.69)$$

Therefore,

$$\left. \frac{\partial E_n}{\partial w} \right|_{w=w_{mm'}} = \frac{E_n(w_{mm'} + \epsilon) - E_n(w_{mm'} - \epsilon)}{2\epsilon} + O(\epsilon^2). \quad (5.70)$$

### 5.15 (Incomplete)

### 5.16

Let  $\mathbf{t}_1, \dots, \mathbf{t}_N$  be vectors. Let  $\mathbf{y}_1, \dots, \mathbf{y}_N$  be vectors which are dependent on a vector  $\mathbf{w}$ . Let

$$E(\mathbf{w}) = \frac{1}{2} \sum_{n=1}^N \|\mathbf{y}_n - \mathbf{t}_n\|^2. \quad (5.71)$$

Then,

$$\nabla E(\mathbf{w}) = \sum_{n=1}^N (\nabla \mathbf{y}_n)^\top (\mathbf{y}_n - \mathbf{t}_n), \quad (5.72)$$

so that

$$\nabla \nabla E(\mathbf{w}) = \sum_{n=1}^N (\nabla \text{vec} (\nabla \mathbf{y}_n)^\top)^\top (\mathbf{y}_n - \mathbf{t}_n) + \sum_{n=1}^N (\nabla \mathbf{y}_n)^\top (\nabla \mathbf{y}_n). \quad (5.73)$$

## 5.17

Let  $t$  be a variable. Let  $y$  be a function of a vector  $\mathbf{x}$  and a vector  $\mathbf{w}$ . Let

$$E(\mathbf{w}) = \frac{1}{2} \int \int (y - t)^2 p(\mathbf{x}, t) d\mathbf{x} dt. \quad (5.74)$$

Then,

$$\nabla E(\mathbf{w}) = \int \int (y - t) p(\mathbf{x}, t) \nabla y d\mathbf{x} dt. \quad (5.75)$$

The right hand side can be written as

$$\begin{aligned} & \int y \nabla y \left( \int p(\mathbf{x}, t) dt \right) d\mathbf{x} - \int \nabla y \left( \int t p(t|\mathbf{x}) dt \right) p(\mathbf{x}) d\mathbf{x} \\ &= \int y \nabla y p(\mathbf{x}) d\mathbf{x} - \int \nabla y E(t|\mathbf{x}) p(\mathbf{x}) d\mathbf{x}. \end{aligned} \quad (5.76)$$

Then,

$$\nabla \nabla E(\mathbf{w}) = \int \nabla y (\nabla y)^\top p(\mathbf{x}) d\mathbf{x} + \int y \nabla \nabla y p(\mathbf{x}) d\mathbf{x} - \int \nabla \nabla y E(t|\mathbf{x}) p(\mathbf{x}) d\mathbf{x}. \quad (5.77)$$

The second and the third terms of the right hand side can be written as

$$E(y \nabla \nabla y) - E(\nabla \nabla y E(t|\mathbf{x})) = E((y - E(t|\mathbf{x})) \nabla \nabla y). \quad (5.78)$$

Therefore, if

$$y = E(t|\mathbf{x}), \quad (5.79)$$

then

$$\nabla \nabla E(\mathbf{w}) = \int \nabla y (\nabla y)^\top p(\mathbf{x}) d\mathbf{x}. \quad (5.80)$$

## 5.18

Let  $t_1, \dots, t_N$  be variables. Let  $y_1, \dots, y_N$  be variables such that

$$\begin{aligned} y_n &= \mathbf{w}_n^{(2)\top} \mathbf{z} + \mathbf{w}_n^{(0)\top} \mathbf{x}, \\ z_m &= \tanh \left( \mathbf{w}_m^{(1)\top} \mathbf{x} \right). \end{aligned} \quad (5.81)$$

Let

$$E_n = \frac{1}{2} \sum_{n=1}^N (y_n - t_n)^2. \quad (5.82)$$

Then,

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\partial E_n}{\partial \mathbf{w}_n^{(0)}} &= \frac{\partial E_n}{\partial y_n} \frac{\partial y_n}{\partial \mathbf{w}_n^{(0)}}, \\ \frac{\partial E_n}{\partial \mathbf{w}_m^{(1)}} &= \frac{\partial E_n}{\partial y_n} \frac{\partial y_n}{\partial \mathbf{z}} \frac{\partial \mathbf{z}}{\partial \mathbf{w}_m^{(1)}}, \\ \frac{\partial E_n}{\partial \mathbf{w}_n^{(2)}} &= \frac{\partial E_n}{\partial y_n} \frac{\partial y_n}{\partial \mathbf{w}_n^{(2)}}. \end{aligned} \quad (5.83)$$

Therefore,

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\partial E_n}{\partial \mathbf{w}_n^{(0)}} &= (y_n - t_n) \mathbf{x}, \\ \frac{\partial E_n}{\partial \mathbf{w}_m^{(1)}} &= (y_n - t_n) \mathbf{A} \mathbf{w}_n^{(2)}, \\ \frac{\partial E_n}{\partial \mathbf{w}_n^{(2)}} &= (y_n - t_n) \mathbf{z}, \end{aligned} \quad (5.84)$$

where

$$A_{mm'} = (1 - z_m)^2 x_{m'}. \quad (5.85)$$

## 5.19

Let  $t_1, \dots, t_N$  be variables such that

$$\begin{aligned} t_n &\in \{0, 1\}, \\ p(t_n | \mathbf{w}) &= y_n^{t_n} (1 - y_n)^{1-t_n}, \end{aligned} \quad (5.86)$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} y_n &= \sigma(a_n(\mathbf{w})), \\ \sigma(a) &= \frac{1}{1 + \exp(-a)}, \end{aligned} \quad (5.87)$$

Let

$$E(\mathbf{w}) = -\ln p(\mathbf{t}|\mathbf{w}). \quad (5.88)$$

The right hand side can be written as

$$-\ln \left( \prod_{n=1}^N y_n^{t_n} (1 - y_n)^{1-t_n} \right) = -\sum_{n=1}^N (t_n \ln y_n + (1 - t_n) \ln(1 - y_n)). \quad (5.89)$$

Then, by 4.12,

$$\nabla E(\mathbf{w}) = -\sum_{n=1}^N \left( \frac{t_n}{y_n} y_n (1 - y_n) \nabla a_n - \frac{1 - t_n}{1 - y_n} y_n (1 - y_n) \nabla a_n \right). \quad (5.90)$$

The right hand side can be written as

$$-\sum_{n=1}^N (t_n (1 - y_n) \nabla a_n - (1 - t_n) y_n \nabla a_n) = \sum_{n=1}^N (y_n - t_n) \nabla a_n. \quad (5.91)$$

Then, by 4.13,

$$\nabla E(\mathbf{w}) = \sum_{n=1}^N (y_n - t_n) \nabla a_n. \quad (5.92)$$

Therefore, by 4.12,

$$\nabla \nabla E(\mathbf{w}) = \sum_{n=1}^N y_n (1 - y_n) \nabla a_n (\nabla a_n)^\top + \sum_{n=1}^N (y_n - t_n) \nabla \nabla a_n. \quad (5.93)$$