



ANALYSIS OF ALGORITHM COMPLEXITY PROJECT

REPORT: Comparative Analysis of Algorithm Complexity

Iterative vs. Recursive Solutions for the k-Step Climbing Stairs Problem

Group Members:

HAFIZH AZRIAL – 103012440017

Course:

CAK2BAB2 - Analysis of Algorithm Complexity

PROGRAM STUDI S1 INFORMATIKA FAKULTAS INFORMATIKA UNIVERSITAS
TELKOM

2025

Contents

1. Problem Description	1
2. Description of Algorithms	1
A. Recursive Algorithm.....	1
B. Iterative Algorithm.....	1
3. Complexity Analysis	2
Recursive Complexity	2
Iterative Complexity	2
Comparison Table.....	2
4. Running Time Comparison.....	3
Experimental Determination of <i>cop</i>	3
Running Time Comparison Table ($n = 1$ to 10,000)	3
Graph Visualization with Python3 For $n \leq 30$ and $k = 5$	4
5. Conclusion.....	6
6. References.....	6

1. Problem Description

The ***k*-Step Climbing Stairs** problem is a combinatorial optimization challenge. Given a staircase with n steps, a person can climb either 1, 2, ..., or k steps at a time. The goal is to determine the total number of distinct ways to reach the top.

- **Input:** n (total steps), k (maximum steps per stride).
- **Output:** Total distinct paths to reach step n .
- **Mathematical Recurrence:** $T(n) = \sum_{j=1}^k T(n - j)$ for $n > 0$, with base case $T(0) = 1$.

2. Description of Algorithms

A. Recursive Algorithm

The recursive approach breaks the problem into sub-problems by exploring every possible last step taken (from 1 to k).

- **Logic:** To reach step n , the algorithm recursively sums the ways to reach $n - 1, n - 2, \dots, n - k$.
- **Technique:** Exhaustive search via a recursion tree.

B. Iterative Algorithm

The iterative approach builds the solution from the ground up, starting from step 1.

- **Logic:** It uses an array to store the number of ways to reach each step i . To calculate $[i]$, it simply sums the previously calculated values in $[i - 1 \dots i - k]$.
- **Technique:** Linear iteration with a nested loop for k .

3. Complexity Analysis

Recursive Complexity

As seen in the Week 10 slides on Homogeneous Linear Recurrence Relations, the characteristic equation for this problem is:

$$r^k - r^{k-1} - r^{k-2} - \dots - 1 = 0$$

The growth is determined by the largest root (r). As k increases, r approaches 2.

- For $k = 3, r \approx 1.839$.
- For $k = 4, r \approx 1.927$.
- For $k = 5, r \approx 1.966$.

For $k = 2$, this results in the Golden Ratio. As k increases, the base of the exponent increases.

Complexity Class: $O(k^n)$ — Exponential Time.

Iterative Complexity

Following the rules for **Iterative Algorithm Analysis** (Week 5 & 7):

- There is an outer loop running n times.
- There is an inner loop running k times.
- The basic operation (addition) is executed $n \times k$ times.

Complexity Class: $O(nk)$ — Linear Time (relative to n).

Comparison Table

Feature	Recursive Approach	Iterative Approach
Memory	Stack memory ($O(n)$)	Array memory ($O(n)$)
Time Complexity	$O(k^n)$	$O(nk)$
Scalability	Very slow for $n > 40$	Handles $n = 10,000 +$

4. Running Time Comparison

Experimental Determination of c_{op}

Using the approximation $T(n) \approx c_{op} \cdot C(n)$ from Week 1, we calculated the constant of operation (c_{op}) using the C++ execution data at $n = 30$. We use the "Total Ways" as a proxy for the basic operations executed.

k	Result($C(n)$)	Measured Time ($T(n)$)	$c_{op} = T(n)/C(n)$
3	53,798,080	20529 ms	3.816×10^{-4} ms
4	201,061,985	88618 ms	4.407×10^{-4} ms
5	345,052,351	186206 ms	5.396×10^{-4} ms

Observation: As k increases, c_{op} increases because the loop inside each recursive call becomes longer.

Running Time Comparison Table ($n = 1$ to 10,000)


Since calculating $n = 10,000$ for the recursive algorithm is impossible, we use our c_{op} to provide a mathematical estimation.

Case Study: $k = 5$

Input Size (n)	Iterative Time	Recursive Time
1	0.236711 ms	0.000463701 ms
10	0.24191 ms	0.25318 ms
20	0.239018 ms	209 ms
30	0.248546 ms	186206 ms
40	0.253222 ms	$*1.60 \times 10^8$ ms
50	0.25559 ms	$*1.38 \times 10^{11}$ ms
100	0.285518 ms	$*6.61 \times 10^{25}$ ms
500	0.544313 ms	$*1.77 \times 10^{143}$ ms
1000	2 ms	$*1.08 \times 10^{290}$ ms
5000	11 ms	$*2.10 \times 10^{1468}$ ms
10000	39 ms	$*1.52 \times 10^{2941}$ ms

*Estimated time calculated using $T(n) \approx c_{op} \cdot C(n)$

Graph Visualization with Python3 For $n \leq 30$ and $k = 5$



5. Calculation Steps

This section details the derivation of the constant of operation (c_{op}) and the estimated running times for the recursive algorithm.

1. Calculation of Constant of Operation (c_{op})

The constant of operation represents the time required to execute a single recursive step. It is calculated using the formula:

$$c_{op} = \frac{T(n)}{C(n)}$$

Where $T(n)$ is the measured execution time at $n = 30$ and $C(n)$ is the total number of operations (ways) at $n = 30$.

- **For $k = 3$:**

$$T(30) = 20,529 \text{ ms}, C(30) = 53,798,080, c_{op} = \frac{20,529}{53,798,080} \approx 3.816 \times 10^{-4} \text{ ms}$$

- **For $k = 4$:**

$$T(30) = 88,618 \text{ ms}, C(30) = 201,061,985, c_{op} = \frac{88,618}{201,061,985} \approx 4.407 \times 10^{-4} \text{ ms}$$

- **For $k = 5$:**

$$T(30) = 186,206 \text{ ms}, C(30) = 345,052,351, c_{op} = \frac{186,206}{345,052,351} \approx 5.396 \times 10^{-4} \text{ ms}$$

2. Estimated Recursive Running Times (T_{est}) for $k = 5$

Using the specific constant $c_{op} \approx 5.396 \times 10^{-4} \text{ ms}$, we estimate the time for larger inputs using:

$$T_{est}(n) = c_{op} \times C(n)$$

- For $n = 40$:

$$T_{est} \approx (5.396 \times 10^{-4}) \times (2.97 \times 10^{11}) \approx 1.60 \times 10^8 \text{ ms}$$

(Approx. 44.6 hours)

- For $n = 50$:

$$T_{est} \approx (5.396 \times 10^{-4}) \times (2.56 \times 10^{14}) \approx 1.38 \times 10^{11} \text{ ms}$$

(Approx. 4.4 years)

- For $n = 100$:

$$T_{est} \approx (5.396 \times 10^{-4}) \times (1.22 \times 10^{29}) \approx \mathbf{6.61 \times 10^{25} ms}$$

(Approx. 2×10^{15} years)

- For $n = 500$:

$$T_{est} \approx (5.396 \times 10^{-4}) \times (3.28 \times 10^{146}) \approx \mathbf{1.77 \times 10^{143} ms}$$

- For $n = 1000$:

$$T_{est} \approx (5.396 \times 10^{-4}) \times (2.00 \times 10^{293}) \approx \mathbf{1.08 \times 10^{290} ms}$$

- For $n = 5000$:

$$T_{est} \approx (5.396 \times 10^{-4}) \times (3.90 \times 10^{1471}) \approx \mathbf{2.10 \times 10^{1468} ms}$$

- For $n = 10000$:

$$T_{est} \approx (5.396 \times 10^{-4}) \times (2.82 \times 10^{2944}) \approx \mathbf{1.52 \times 10^{2941} ms}$$

6. Conclusion

The analysis confirms that the iterative solution is vastly superior for the k -Step Climbing Stairs problem. While recursion is easier to implement and mathematically intuitive, the redundant calculation of sub-problems leads to exponential time growth ($O(k^n)$), making it infeasible for real-world applications. The iterative approach, reduces the complexity to $O(nk)$, proving the importance of algorithm design in performance.

7. Tools Used and References

Tools

- Zed Code Editor with Codex CLI
- Python 3.14 with matplotlib
- C++ 17 with GCC and optionally with boost package(boost.org)
- Microsoft Word for test and LaTeX formatting

References

- Course Slides: Weeks 1-13, Analysis of Algorithm Complexity, Telkom University.
- AfterAcademy: Climbing Stairs Problem Complexity Analysis.
- [GitHub repository containing all of the codes.](#)