

Eol Reviews by TRC


Assessment of Eol: 336

Organization: COMUNIDAD NATIVA DE INFIERNO

Eol Metadata

Eol	Geography	state	Section 1	Section 2	Section 3	Total
336	Andes/Amazon	Peru	24	30	22	76
336	Andes/Amazon	Peru	30	38	24	92

Performance of Eol 336 in Andes/Amazon - Percentile by Average Score



Section 1 - Experience & strengths relevant to the proposed Indigenous territory, landscape/seascape (Total Points: 30)

A) Importance of the landscape/seascape/indigenous territory for biodiversity, with additional consideration to climate benefits.

1. Is the proposed territory/landscape/seascape a globally important area for biodiversity?

Scoring:

- Not significant;
- Low Significance;
- Moderate Significance;
- Medium-high Significance;
- High Significance;
- Exceptional Significance

Reviewer A: 4/5 Reviewer B: 5/5

Average: 4.5/5

Evidence A: It's in the Amazon and is of global importance.

Evidence B: La Provincia de Tambopata ha sido reconocida como la capital de la biodiversidad, es parte del corredor de conservación Vilcabamb-Amoró con grandes extensiones de bosques con una diversidad de especies del mundo. Además cuenta con reservas de carbono de importancia mundial

2. Is the area important for climate mitigation?

Scoring:

- >50 t/ha - Low;
- 50 - 100 t/ha - Moderate;
- >100 t/ha - High

Reviewer A: 1/2 Reviewer B: 2/2

Average: 1.5/2

Evidence A: According to map

Evidence B: 6,000 hectareas del territorio titulado de la CNI está destinado a la conservación de la flora y fauna silvestre . El Parque Nacional Bahuaja Sonene, la Reserva Nacional Tambopata y la CNI resguardan mas de un millon de hectareas de bosques.

B) Geographical focus in an area under IPLC governance.

3. Is the area held and managed by IPLC under community-based governance systems?

Scoring:

- IPLC governance (rights and institutions) not evident;
- Project areas are marginally under IPLC governance (spatially or politically);
- Project areas are partially under IPLC systems of governance (spatially or politically);
- Project areas are largely under IPLC governance, but IPLC rights and/or institutions face significant constraints;
- Project areas are held and managed under IPLC governance systems, with some limitations;
- Project areas are held and managed under strong and active IPLC governance systems

Reviewer A: 4/5 Reviewer B: 5/5

Average: 4.5/5

Evidence A: Indígena community is entitled to a private protected area. It is an area with its own governance. It occurs in articulation with the national system of protected areas and the Tambopata National Reserve.

Evidence B: El albergue Posada Amazonas realizado desde 1996 con una empresa peruana acordó el reparto de beneficios de un 75% para la comunidad y el 25% para Rainforest SAC y la toma de decisiones es 50-50. Hasta el 2019 el proyecto contó un ingreso promedio de la visita de 5.000 turistas anuales y mas de US\$ 9 millones de dólares.

4. Does the proposal explain the unique cultural significance of the area to IPLCs?

Scoring:

- No explanation given of unique significance to IPLCs;
- Significance of site(s) vaguely described;
- Unique significance of project site(s) clearly explained

Reviewer A: 2/2 Reviewer B: 2/2

Average: 2/2

Evidence A: It is very clear explanation and the relationship between cultural aspects and the relationship with its territory from the viewpoint of use and environmental sustainable management.

Evidence B: Es el territorio ancestral de la comunidad en la cual no hay minería ilegal ni extracción ilegal de madera . Realiza proyectos sostenibles : ecoturismo, pesca, recolección de castaña, etc. Tienen custodios forestales encargados de la vigilancia, control y defensa legal de su territorio.

C) Vulnerability of the proposed IPLCs as well as their lands/waters/natural resources to threats.

5. Is the area vulnerable to threats/current risk of negative impacts to IPLC and biodiversity without action?

Scoring:

- No evident threats;
- Low threats;
- Moderate threats;
- Medium-high threats;
- High threats;

- Requires urgent action

Reviewer A: 4/5 Reviewer B: 5/5

Average: 4.5/5

Evidence A: It's in an area of great threat of illegal activities particularly deforestation. In addition, the sustainability of their actions have to do with promoting alternative actividade from the community and this HATH being affected in the current context of the pandemic.

Evidence B: Las principales amenazas son la minería y tala ilegal . El 70% de la deforestación ocurrió durante la última década dentro y fuera de áreas protegidas y en territorios indígenas. Debido al COVID-19 el ecoturismo esta paralizado, actividad que ha contribuido en el sostenimiento de la comunidad y en la protección del territorio . Hay presión externa para realizar actividades extractivas

D) Opportunities for ICI results - including enabling policy conditions, positive government support and presence of successful IPLC-led conservation initiatives that could be scaled up.

6. Are enabling policy conditions in place for IPLC-led conservation in the proposed area?

Scoring:

- Legal and policy frameworks in project areas undermine IPLC governance (either actively or through absence);
- Legal and policy frameworks recognize limited rights for IPLCs over their lands and/or resources;
- Legal and policy frameworks recognize rights over lands and resources but with constraints (e.g., lack implementing regulations);
- Legal and policy frameworks actively promote the recognition of IPLC governance

Reviewer A: 2/3 Reviewer B: 3/3

Average: 2.5/3

Evidence A: Peru has the appropriate regulations for the promotion of land rights, however there is always some limiting elements in the prÃ¡xis of these instruments, so latter, I do not give the highest qualifying.

Evidence B: La comunidad hace parte del Programa Nacional de Conservación de Bosques para la Mitigación del Cambio Climático. Desde 1996 es parte del albergue ecoturístico Pasada Amazonas funciona en una area de 3.000 hectáreas de bosque.

7. Is there active government support for IPLC-led conservation in the proposed country/area?

Scoring:

- National or sub-national governments are actively opposed to IPLC-led conservation;
- National or sub-national governments have recognized the importance of IPLC-led conservation;
- National or sub-national governments have implemented some support for IPLC-led conservation;
- National or sub-national governments are actively engaged in the promotion of IPLC rights and IPLC-led conservation

Reviewer A: 2/3 Reviewer B: 3/3

Average: 2.5/3

Evidence A: There articulation with instances of protected areas in the region and support the initiative presented. Has articulation with the National Forest Program has been achieved as well as the recognition of a private protected area within the territory of the native community.

Evidence B: la comunidad es parte del Programa Nacional de Conservación de Bosques del Ministerio del Medio Ambiente. Junto con otros socios trabaja en la conservación de los bosques(Estrategia de Desarrollo Sostenible de la Zona de Amortiguamiento de la reserva nacional Tambopata, SNANP

8. Are there successful IPLC-led conservation initiatives in the proposed area that provide a foundation for scaling up?

Scoring:

- No IPLC-led conservation initiatives have been implemented;
- Few IPLC-led conservation projects have been implemented in pilot stages only;
- Some IPLC-led conservation projects have been implemented beyond pilot stages;
- Relevant IPLC-led conservation projects have been well established for many years

Reviewer A: 3/3 Reviewer B: 3/3

Average: 3/3

Evidence A: They're based some activities for several year ± os - are experiences that have started at the least makes more than 10 year ± os and denoted learning processes and advances in knowledge, as well as rá © plicas with concrete results.

Evidence B: En el Programa Nacional de Conservación de Bosques, la CNI aporta con 6.300 hectáreas de conservación. En la Estrategia de Desarrollo Sostenible cuidan de áreas naturales protegidas que son parte del territorio ancestral del Pueblo Ese eja

E) Synergies with existing investments.

9. Are there other initiatives (relevant projects) that provide complementary support for IPLC-led conservation in the geography?

Scoring:

- Few to no complementary projects/investment;
- Complementary projects/investments are small, or are tangentially related to project goals;
- Complementary Projects/investments align strongly with project goals and investments are substantial

Reviewer A: 2/2 Reviewer B: 2/3

Average: 2/2

Evidence A: It is emphasized so the direct relationship with the National Forest Conservation Program.

Evidence B: Existen 4 proyectos del Programa Nacional de Conservación de los Bosques para la Mitigación del Cambio Climático . Están destinados a la vigilancia y monitoreo del bosque comunal y las fronteras de la comunidad, mejora de la producción, cosecha, postcosecha y comercio , mejoramiento de la salud de las personas de la tercera edad, etc. Potenciará los proyectos comunitarios ya existentes para lograr su meta de la Defensa de la Vida


Section 1:

Reviewer A Total Score: 24/30

Reviewer B Total Score: 30/30

Average Total Score: 27/30

Performance of Eol 336 in Andes/Amazon - Percentile by Average Score (Section 1)



Section 2 - Quality and ability of the proposed approach and interventions to achieve transformational impact that generate the global environmental benefits (Total Points: 40)

A) Quality of proposed approach and ability to support traditional structures, knowledge and community practices in the delivery of global environmental benefits.

1. Is the proposed approach well aligned with the overall objective of the ICI to: Enhance Indigenous Peoples' and Local Communities' (IPLCs) efforts to steward land, waters and natural resources to deliver global environmental benefits?

Scoring:

- Weakly aligned;
- Partially aligned;
- Well aligned;
- Exceptionally well aligned

Reviewer A: 2/3 Reviewer B: 3/3

Average: 2.5/3

Evidence A: It is a very clear proposal in order to maintain the sustainability of their activities have been undertaken to address threats in the context of the COVID19 post pandemic.

Evidence B: La CNI propone resultados, actividades y acciones que están de acuerdo con los objetivos de la ICI. Se logrará la sostenibilidad integral incluyendo la salud mental, emocional y física de la CNI en tiempos de pandemia y recuperación y la protección del territorio

2. Does the EoI present a clear and convincing set of activities and results?

Scoring:

- The objectives and approach for this project lack clarity and cohesion, and/or do not appear to be realistic for the context;
- Activities & results defined but logic (Theory of Change) is incomplete;
- Activities and results are well-defined and cohesive but some aspects require clarification;
- The project has clear objectives and a cohesive approach with relevant activities for the context and timeline

Reviewer A: 6/6 Reviewer B: 6/6

Average: 6/6

Evidence A: The proposal is clear, concise and articulate. It is understood as the activities carried their results and how you can use Community Reinvestment funds to maintain several activities will be complemented by this fund.

Evidence B: Dada la emergencia por la Defensa por la Vida que incluye la defensa de los Pueblos Indígenas y de sus territorio, los objetivos, actividades y resultados están bien definidos y tendrán un impacto transformador. La CNI esta asociada al Programa Nacional de Turismo Rural Comunitario que garantiza la resistencia de la comunidad a presiones externas y a la protección del bosque

3. Will the project (objectives and activities) contribute to overcoming identified threats and putting in place necessary enabling opportunities for IPLC-led conservation?

Scoring:

- Objectives and activities do not clearly address identified threats and opportunities;
- Contributions to addressing the threats and opportunities are low;
- Contributions to addressing threats and enabling conditions are slightly over-ambitious;
- The impact on threats and enabling conditions can be realistically accomplished and are sufficiently ambitious for the projects' context

Reviewer A: 3/3 Reviewer B: 3/3

Average: 3/3

Evidence A: Same as above. It is worth mentioning that the level of realism with which arises are achievable and real actions.

Evidence B: La CNI conoce las amenazas, oportunidades y contribuciones que puede realizar con las actividades propuestas. Estas pueden ser logradas de manera realista.

4. Are the activities achievable within a \$500,000 to \$2,000,000 USD budget range in a period of 5 years of project execution?

Scoring:

- Activities/results not aligned with EoI range of investment;
- Activities/results Partially aligned with EoI range of investment ;
- Activities/results Well aligned with EoI range of investment ;
- Activities/results Exceptionally well aligned with EoI range of investment

Reviewer A: 2/3 Reviewer B: 3/3

Average: 2.5/3

Evidence A: The initiative shows that do not want a lot more money who know how to handle (ask 750k) and they have the experience to do so.

Evidence B: Es un propuesta con resultados, actividades y acciones realizables en el plazo estipulado y con el presupuesto asignado. Cuenta con la experiencia ganada con los proyectos en ejecución y cuenta con aliados estratégicos.

5. Does the EoI include significant and concrete sources of co-financing?

Scoring:

- None;
- Small;
- Moderate;

- Significant

Reviewer A: 3/3 Reviewer B: 3/3

Average: 3/3

Evidence A: It mentioned that funding has to do with good management of their past activities and performance of Posada Amazonas. This proposal enhances community projects and gives them a respite while the tourism sector which will allow keeping active is reactivated.

Evidence B: Cuenta con el apoyo del Ministerio del Medio Ambiente en la ejecución de 4 programas diferentes sobre Cambio Climático. Cuenta con las utilidades del Albergue Posadas Amazonas y la propuesta de la Defensa por la Vida tiene componentes para solucionar los problemas de la CNI y asegurar la protección del territorio

B) Potential of the proposed activities to achieve IPLC-led transformational impact that generate global environmental benefits.

6. Are the estimated Global Environmental Benefits (GEF core indicators) substantial and realistic?

Scoring:

- Not provided;
- Very Low (below 10,000 Ha);
- Moderate (between 100,000 - 500,000 Ha);
- High (between 500,000 - 1,000,000 Ha);
- Very high above 1,000,000 Ha

Reviewer A: 2/5 Reviewer B: 3/5

Average: 2.5/5

Evidence A: The communal territory is 12,000 Ha

Evidence B: En relación a los indicadores del GEF la CNI propone un beneficio de ambiental estimado de 303. 882 hectareas. Se beneficiaram aproximadamente 200 familias

7. Are the additional cultural and livelihoods results contributing to project objectives?

Scoring:

- No provided cultural or livelihood indicators for the project;
- Indicators proposed but are not clearly aligned with project goals;
- Indicators proposed and are moderately aligned with project goals;
- Additional cultural and/or livelihood indicators clearly derive from project goals

Reviewer A: 3/3 Reviewer B: 3/3

Average: 3/3

Evidence A: There is a direct relationship with cultural and media aspects of life, in addition to aspects of child rights and equality ± os © nero Ga.

Evidence B: Hay indicadores adicionales sobre plantas medicinales, actividades culturales turísticas, mejoramiento de nutrición. También hay indicadores en cuanto a la disminución de violencia de género, compartir alevines y número de pasantías sobre turismo

8. Does the EoI provide a clear and robust vision for long-term sustainability?

Scoring:

- Vision for long-term sustainability not provided;
- This project does not seem to have a clear long-term impact;
- This project will create medium-term benefits for biodiversity and IPLC governance, which future funding will hopefully build upon;
- This project will ensure long-term benefits to biodiversity and IPLC systems of governance

Reviewer A: 2/3 Reviewer B: 3/3

Average: 2.5/3

Evidence A: There is a relationship in the medium term, however it is necessary to achieve sustainability in times of difficulty in the context COVID.

Evidence B: Las actividades asegurara beneficios a largo plazo mediante los ingresos generados por el Circuito Turístico Comunal, de las piscigranjas, de la venta de medicina del bosque y la producción de cecina

C) IPLC-led conservation that advances national and global environmental priorities.

9. Does the EoI build on and contribute to national priorities as defined in NBSAPs and/or NDCs?

Scoring:

- Contributions not provided;
- The project is weakly related to either national priorities;
- The project appears to be tangentially related to national priorities;
- The proposal reflects an understanding of the national policy priorities and clearly positions the project in relation to those priorities

Reviewer A: 2/3 Reviewer B: 3/3

Average: 2.5/3

Evidence A: There is an appropriate description.

Evidence B: La propuesta tiene coherencia con algunos objetivos de la Estrategia Nacional de Diversidad Biológica. Entre los cuales menciona OE1, OE2, OE3 y OE5

D) Demonstrated gender mainstreaming in all activities.

10. Does the EoI provide a clear and robust approach to gender mainstreaming?

Scoring:

- Gender mainstreaming approach is absent;
- Gender mainstreaming approach is weak;
- Gender mainstreaming approach is moderately thought through (if there are a few activities as 'add ons');
- Significant and well-thought through approach to gender mainstreaming

Reviewer A: 3/3 Reviewer B: 3/3

Average: 3/3

Evidence A: A vision of integration appropriate gÃ©nero in of the proposal with an approach to reduce violence, strengthen empowerment processes for decision making and protection. Ideally I recommend be careful to handle proposed in this initiative are properly managed in order to avoid violence within households; You can think about integrating training in aspects of masculinities to support sensitization. In tÃ©rminos general terms it is fine I thought the gÃ©nero of.

Evidence B: Se fortalecerá la capacidad de gestión de las mujeres que lideran la comunidad. Prope luchar contra la violencia de género . Además potenciará la libertad y autonomía de las mujeres mediante su participación en actividades económicas

E) Innovation and potential to scale up.

11. Do the proposed activities and results demonstrate innovation and potential for transformative results at scale?

Scoring:

- None demonstrated;
- Low demonstrated potential;
- Moderate demonstrated potential;
- Medium-high demonstrated potential;
- High demonstrated potential;
- Exceptional demonstrated potential

Reviewer A: 2/5 Reviewer B: 5/5

Average: 3.5/5

Evidence A: I think the proposal has added value and that its management activities of community tourism is successful and this can be replicated on a larger scale. In addition to HATH it raised as a sustainable alternative to deforestation and other illegal activities. Learning and developments in this regard have the potential to achieve share with other indigenous organizations, which ultimately is a great alternative for many people.

Evidence B: Es una propuesta concisa que responde a la necesidad y realidad de la CNI y se enmarca en la Estrategia Nacional de Biodiversidad. Cuenta con experiencia de trabajo, participa en algunos programas de Cambio Climático, las mujeres serán parte activa del proyecto y tienen infraestructura turística que será reactivada después de la pandemia, etc.


Section 2:

Reviewer A Total Score: 30/40

Reviewer B Total Score: 38/40

Average Total Score: 34/40

Performance of Eol 336 in Andes/Amazon - Percentile by Average Score (Section 2)



Section 3 - Qualifications and experience of the Organization (Total Points: 30)

A) Indigenous Peoples or Local Community organization legally recognized under national laws.

1. Is the EoI led by an IPLC organization?

Scoring:

- IPLC appear to be beneficiaries only;
- Combination/partnership of IPLC organizations and NGOs, and plans to build IPLC capacity over the project term are clear;
- IPLC-led approach, NGOs in more limited, defined roles (such as fiduciary);
- Fully IPLC composed and led approach

Reviewer A: 6/6 Reviewer B: 6/6

Average: 6/6

Evidence A: It is presented entirely by Infierno.

Evidence B: La CNI trabaja en tres ejes: agricultura, turismo y protección del bosque . hay 6.300 hectáreas para la conservación . Tienen experiencia de más de 20 años en ecoturismo . Invierten fondos para recuperación para enseñar a los jóvenes la lengua indígena

B) Demonstrated on the ground leadership related to Indigenous Peoples and/or Local Community Conservation.

2. Does the lead proponent demonstrate on-ground leadership relevant to the proposed work?

Scoring:

- None demonstrated;
- Limited demonstration of relevant on-ground leadership;
- Demonstrated on-ground leadership relevant to the proposed work;
- Exceptional and long-standing on-ground leadership relevant to the proposed work

Reviewer A: 4/6 Reviewer B: 6/6

Average: 5/6

Evidence A: It has several elements showing leadership of the native community in ecotourism experience and associated activities. There are several year ± os sustainability initiatives.

Evidence B: El liderazgo está demostrado en los diferentes programas realizados en la CNI. Su conocimiento y amor por el bosque apoyan en el desarrollo del ecoturismo y en la protección del territorio. Está asociada con una empresa peruana, la CNI recibe los aportes en un 75% y toma las decisiones en un 50-50. El Proyecto Nape Lodge está gestionado al 100% por la comunidad.

C) Proven relevant experience in working with IPLC networks, alliances and organizations/ strength of partnerships on the ground.

3. Does EoI demonstrate that the lead proponent has strong partnerships, particularly with other IPLC organizations, to carry out the work?

Scoring:

- No partners defined;
- No IPLC partners identified;
- IPLC organizations are listed as implementing partners but without clear scope (roles in project design or governance);
- IPLC organizations are listed as implementing partners with clear roles (in project design or governance);
- Strong IPLC partnerships that play a central role in design, governance, and implementation of the project;
- Strong IPLC partnerships have a central role in design, governance and implementation of the project and linkages with national or regional IPO networks

Reviewer A: 3/5 Reviewer B: 1/5

Average: 2/5

Evidence A: It mentioned allied to the Tambopata National Reserve and the private company associated to tourism development in Posada Amazonas.

Evidence B: En la pregunta 21 no menciona otros socios de los Pueblos Indígenas.

D) Technical expertise and capacity to address environmental problems, root causes and barriers.

4. Does EoI demonstrate technical capacity of lead proponent and partners to deliver the proposed results?

Scoring:

- No skills demonstrated;
- The skills and experiences outlined have little or no relation to the project activities;
- There is some lack of clarity or some gaps in the capacities necessary to implement the project;
- The activities clearly show how they plan to fill capacity gaps over the course of the project;
- They seem to have adequate skills and capacity for the project but do not have experience with GEF projects;
- The lead organization and project partners clearly communicate that they have all the skills and experience necessary to implement the project activities. Also, have past experience with GEF funded projects.

Reviewer A: 3/5 Reviewer B: 4/5

Average: 3.5/5

Evidence A: It is associated with proposed activities have been doing before, where what matters most is tourism, however the related experience about agriculture-forest management, medicinal plants, among others. Experiencia are presented according to the capabilities for implementaci3n.

Evidence B: La comunidad trabaja en Agricultura, turismo y protecci3n del bosque. No ha trabajado con proyectos GEF. Ha recibido financiamiento del Banco Interamericano de Desarrollo y del Ministerio del Ambiente del Peru

E) Project Management capacity.

5. Does the EoI demonstrate project & financial management capacity needed for scale of proposed effort?

Scoring:

- Very limited (no criteria met);
- Some capacity but would require support (1/3 criteria);
- Moderate capacity (2/3 criteria met);
- Very strong (all criteria met) with demonstrated past performance

Reviewer A: 4/6 Reviewer B: 6/6

Average: 5/6

Evidence A: previous activities are reported in association with private companies handling 2.4 million USD. Are reported ± os smaller projects run directly from them about 375K in a year ± o. I seem to have the ability, though some may require specific support for the presentation of reports or something like that. The information provided indicates moderate capacity.

Evidence B: Maneja un presupuesto anual aproximado de US\$ 426.775. Los reportes de los proyectos se revisan cada seis meses y los estados financieros se auditan cada año

6. Does lead organization have experience with safeguards and other standards required by GEF?

Scoring:

- Answered no;
- Answered yes but with weak or lacking explanation to the extent;
- Answered yes with clear explanation of the extent

Reviewer A: 2/2 Reviewer B: 1/2

Average: 1.5/2

Evidence A: Presents two cases where you can highlight past experiences.

Evidence B: Respondió Si pero no explica el tema de las salvaguardias

Section 3:

Reviewer A Total Score: 22/30

Reviewer B Total Score: 24/30

Average Total Score: 23/30

Performance of Eol 336 in Andes/Amazon - Percentile by Average Score (Section 3)

