

# CS342: Operating Systems Lab

Department of Computer Science and Engineering,

Indian Institute of Technology, Guwahati

North Guwahati, Assam 781 039

Exercise T-03

Title: Advance Scheduler

OS Lessons: Multi-level Feedback Queues based Scheduling, Software Quality

Attributes: Performance, Fairness, Starvation avoidance.

Rating: Easy to Moderate

Last update: 11 September 2017

This exercise is last of the three guides created for PintOS Threads project. Unlike the other exercises under PintOS, the evaluation tests included in `make check` for this exercise test the quality of the finalised kernel code on *performance* and *fairness* attributes. The correctness test (functional correctness) is rather trivial for this exercise. Admittedly, the performance checks are also relatively benign and not difficult to pass.

The *performance* requirement ensures that the periodic requirement of determining the resources used by each thread and changing the thread priorities to reflect the cpu usage is efficient. *Fairness* criteria ensures that threads with similar demand on the resources get similar access to the processor time. *Nice* related tests check that the lower priority threads do not miss the processor time completely.

The guidance provided in this document completes the exercise in three stages (Tasks).

## Task 1:

In a previous exercise we have implemented function `timer_sleep()` by augmenting function `thread_tick()`. The function tracks the wakeup time of the earliest thread to be woken and unblocks one relevant thread at the scheduled time. Each woken thread is responsible for waking one more thread with the same wakeup time, if present. We were able to distribute the overheads and avoid late wakeups by running the woken threads at the highest priority immediately after their wakeups.

The dynamic nature of the thread priority computation makes this algorithm cumbersome. We suggest a different way to solve the problem. The new way will also prepare you for the next two tasks.

Create a separate *managerial* thread whose sole purpose is to unblock the threads blocked on alarms. The thread becomes active when the current time (`timer_tick` count) matches the wakeup time for the (next) earliest wakeup time of a sleeping thread. The thread will unblock all threads in the waiting-for-timer-alarm queue (list `sleepers`) with the same wakeup time as the current time.

It then uses list `sleepers` to determine the wakeup time for its next action. The thread can then block itself until the time so determined for the next wakeup phase. Function `thread_tick()` will unblock this managerial thread at the right time.

Since the wakeup thread is a managerial thread and not among the threads in list `sleepers`, its action code is simple and very easy to write. Interference or likely parallel

39 access to the list is avoided by ensuring that the (managerial) thread is non-preemptive and  
40 has high priority. One advantage of this is that we do not have to disable interrupts while  
41 the threads waiting for timer alarms are being unblocked.

42 The thread is created on the pattern of `idle_thread`. Once created the thread enters an  
43 unending loop, where it is blocked to be woken up when some sleeping threads are to be  
44 unblocked from their timer wait. It will insert the released threads in `ready_list` and  
45 block itself again.

46 In the changed arrangement, threads call `timer_sleep()` to begin waiting for the timer  
47 alarm. All these threads are inserted in sorted list `sleepers`. However, the wakeup  
48 managerial thread calls a separate function (`timer_wakeup()`) to unblock the waiting threads.  
49 This function delivers most of the functionality assigned to function  
50 `thread_set_next_wakeup()` in exercise `Pintos-T01`.

```
51 while (true)
52 {
53     intr_disable();
54     thread_block();
55     intr_enable();
56
57     timer_wakeup();
58 }
59
```

60 **Task 2:** The thread to decay `recent_cpu` usage and re-compute priority once every second has  
61 nearly the same behaviour as the wakeup thread. You must skillfully complete the previous task  
62 before you attempt this. And, then just duplicate the solution from Task 01.

63 Once again, the thread will perform its actions under the assurance of non-preemption but with the  
64 interrupts enabled. Unlike, the wakeup thread, this thread is periodic and unblocked on each 100<sup>th</sup>  
65 tick.

66 Fortunately, no test included in the test cases prevents us from using list functions `list_sort()`  
67 and even `thread_foreach()`. You may, however, wish to not use `thread_foreach()` as  
68 the function requires interrupts to be disabled. Use a standard `for-loop` to re-compute  
69 `recent_cpu` and thread priorities with interrupts enabled for most parts. This thread, however, is  
70 non pre-emptible and runs with the highest priority.

71 Like idle thread, the two new managerial threads need not be included in the `ready_list`. They  
72 certainly should not be included in the computation of `load_avg`. If you include them in the count,  
73 Pintos make `check` command will report errors in your `load_avg` value.

### 74 Task 3:

75 Preemption requirement is implemented by limiting the `cpu` usage-quantum to four ticks. On 4<sup>th</sup> tick  
76 the thread priority of the running thread drops by 1. Do not re-compute `recent_cpu` and  
77 priority using the formula as `load_avg` value might have changed.

78 Take note to ensure that non pre-emptible managerial threads are not subject to the time quantum and  
79 priority reduction.

## 80 Test Status on completion of the exercise

```
81 pass tests/threads/mlfqs-block
82 pass tests/threads/alarm-single
83 pass tests/threads/alarm-multiple
84 pass tests/threads/alarm-simultaneous
85 pass tests/threads/alarm-priority
86 pass tests/threads/alarm-zero
87 pass tests/threads/alarm-negative
88 pass tests/threads/priority-change
89 pass tests/threads/priority-donate-one
90 pass tests/threads/priority-donate-multiple
91 pass tests/threads/priority-donate-multiple2
92 pass tests/threads/priority-donate-nest
93 pass tests/threads/priority-donate-sema
94 pass tests/threads/priority-donate-lower
95 pass tests/threads/priority-fifo
96 pass tests/threads/priority-preempt
97 pass tests/threads/priority-sema
98 pass tests/threads/priority-condvar
99 pass tests/threads/priority-donate-chain
100 pass tests/threads/mlfqs-load-1
101 pass tests/threads/mlfqs-load-60
102 pass tests/threads/mlfqs-load-avg
103 pass tests/threads/mlfqs-recent-1
104 pass tests/threads/mlfqs-fair-2
105 pass tests/threads/mlfqs-fair-20
106 pass tests/threads/mlfqs-nice-2
107 pass tests/threads/mlfqs-nice-10
108 pass tests/threads/mlfqs-block
109 All 27 tests passed.
110
```

## 111 How much code we wrote: Original Vs Threads

File(s)	Unmodified code	Threads implemented
devices/timer.c (timer.h)	255 (29)	328 (34)
threads/synch.c (synch.h)	338 (51)	571 (56)
threads/thread.c (thread.h)	587 (142)	854 (164)

112

## 113 Contributing Authors:

114 Vishv Malhotra, Gautam Barua, Rashmi Dutta Baruah