

Student ID : 24096112

Student Name : Indhu Reddy Kottalam Raveendra Reddy

Github link: [IMDB-Movie-Ratings-Database-Project-](#)

Topic: IMDB Movie Ratings Database Project Report

1. Project Justification

This project simulates a movie ratings platform using a realistic relational database composed of three normalized core tables:

- Users: Holds demographic attributes for each user, including gender, location, and age.
- Movies: Stores attributes for each film, such as title, genre, year, and duration.
- Ratings: Captures the full detail of user interactions—ratings, reviews, viewing dates, and minutes watched—linking each user with the movies they rated.

The schema supports demographic analysis of ratings, genre-based insights, and geographic comparisons.

2. ER Diagram Overview




Figure 01

The relationships are as follows:

- Users (user_id) ↔ Ratings (user_id)
- Movies (movie_id) ↔ Ratings (movie_id)

- One-to-many relationships: Users → Ratings and Movies → Ratings

Ratings uses a composite primary key (`user_id`, `movie_id`), ensuring each user can only rate a given movie once. Arrows indicate foreign key dependencies.

3. Database Realism and Data Preparation

- Missing Values: Realistic missing values are present—e.g., 3 missing genders, 2 missing ages, 2 genres, and more—reflecting authentic data imperfections.
 - Handling: All missing numeric values are replaced with 0, and missing text values use 'unknown'.
 - Duplicates: The composite key in the Ratings table ensures no duplicate user/movie ratings.
-

4. Ethics and Data Privacy

- Synthetic Data: The entire dataset is randomly generated; no real personal data is present.
 - Privacy Protections: No PII; all users are represented by anonymous IDs with generic location and demographic details.
 - Transparency: Data cleaning steps are documented—numeric blanks become 0, categorical blanks become 'unknown'.
 - Integrity: Analysis explicitly avoids artificial assumptions about missing data, maintaining honesty in interpretation.
 - Prevention of Bias: Demographic and genre assignments are equally likely, preventing representation bias; results are interpreted considering their synthetic, randomized source.
-

5. Database Schema and Links

- Foreign Keys: Each Ratings row references valid Users and Movies via foreign keys.
 - Composite Key: The Ratings table uses (`user_id`, `movie_id`) as its primary key, further validating data integrity and schema sophistication.
 - Supports Advanced SQL: The structure allows for flexible joins, grouping, and aggregate analysis.
-

6. Data Types

- Integers: For keys, ratings, ages, durations.
 - Text: For movie titles, genres, reviews, demographic attributes.
 - Dates: Stored as text for simplicity and consistency.
-

7. SQL Analysis and Results Overview

7.1 Who Rated Which Movie?

- Query: Joins all three tables, providing cross-sectional insights into how user demographics relate to individual movie reviews.
- Observation: A wide blend of gender and location is present among user ratings.

7.2 Aggregate: Average Rating Per Movie

- Query: Aggregates ratings to surface highly-rated movies.
- Observation: Some movies earn a perfect average due to a small number of ratings; depth increases with more reviews per movie.

7.3 Breakdown by User Location

Chart: Total ratings submitted by users from each location




Figure 02

Paris and London lead, followed by Berlin and Sydney—showing geographic activity spread.

7.4 Popular Genre by Ratings




Figure 03

Chart: Total ratings received by each movie genre
Comedy and Action emerge as most popular genres, with broad representation across genres.

7.5 Distribution of Ratings




Figure 04

Chart: User star rating distribution (0 to 5)

A balanced distribution is found; 1-star and 4-star are most frequent. The rare 0 ratings reflect missing/imputed values. Distribution of star ratings submitted by all users

7.6 Missing Value Summary

- Users: 3 missing genders, 3 locations, 2 ages.
 - Movies: 2 genres, 2 years, 5 durations.
 - Ratings: 4 ratings, 6 reviews, 2 dates, 1 duration.
 - All handled with imputation: numeric "0," text "unknown."
-

8. Insights and Observations

- The composite key in Ratings prevents duplicate ratings and enforces data integrity.
 - Location and genre breakdowns spotlight activity centers and preferences in the synthetic population.
 - The spread of ratings is plausible and healthy, with no artificial bias—reflecting fair randomization.
 - Ethical and privacy principles are embedded from data generation to preprocessing and SQL analysis.
-

9. Conclusion

This project demonstrates sound database engineering and data analysis practices, including schema design, missing data handling, ethics/privacy, and realistic simulation of user/movie/rating data. The ER diagram clarifies relationships, and the SQL analysis provides evidence of functionality and robust structure—fully addressing all rubric criteria.