

Supplementary Table 1

A. Parameter values used in simulation				
Species concentration	Values used in Simulation	Source	Remarks	
Ligand (Anti-CD16 antibody)	60 molecules/ μm^3	Estimated	We assumed the antibody molecules in a volume of $1.0 \mu\text{m} \times 25 \mu\text{m}^2$ (area of the plasma membrane in the simulation box) bind to the CD16 molecules in the simulation.	
CD16 Receptor	80 molecules / μm^2	Fig. 1B in (1)	Ref. (1) estimates 70000-110000 CD16 receptor per NK cells obtained from PBMCs. Assuming the diameter of a primary human NK cell to be $\sim 7 \mu\text{m}$, the concentration of CD16 in the plasma membrane is ~ 450 molecules / μm^2 . For KHYG1 cell line we have assumed a smaller concentration of CD16 than the primary NK cells in the PBMCs.	
CD3 ζ	80 molecules/ μm^2	Assumption	Surface expressions of human CD16 is stabilized by CD3 ζ adaptors (Fig. S3 in (2)). We assumed the concentrations of CD16 and CD3 ζ are similar.	
LCK	390 molecules / μm^2	Table S1 in (3)	Taken from the values measured for Jurkat T cells.	
SHP-1	0.3 μM = 180 molecules/ μm^3	Table S1 in (3)	Value used using estimation of SHP-2 in Jurkat T cells, maxQB database (4)	
Cbl	141 molecules/ μm^3	See Table S2	Estimated by fitting our model to the Ca^{++} kinetics data.	
Kinetic reaction rates	Values used in Simulation	Region of reaction	Source	Remarks

CD16 binding with anti-CD16 antibody (k_{ON})	$1.7 \times 10^{-5} \mu\text{m}^3 \text{s}^{-1} \text{ molecule}^{-1}$	Extracellular volume (V_e) $V_e = 5\mu\text{m} \times 5\mu\text{m} \times 0.002\mu\text{m} = 0.05 \mu\text{m}^3$		CD16 are crosslinked by anti-CD16 antibody (2). We considered here, K_D to be $\sim 1 \mu\text{M}$.
CD16 unbinding from anti-CD16 antibody (k_{OFF})	$9.95 \times 10^{-3} \text{s}^{-1} \cong 0.01 \text{s}^{-1}$	Extracellular volume (V_e)	Table 1 in (5)	Value corresponds to IgG1 Fc (WT) unbinding from human CD16A – 158V in ref. (5).
CD3 ζ adaptor (homodimer) binding to the CD16 receptor	$2 \times 10^{-3} \mu\text{m}^2 \text{s}^{-1} \text{ molecule}^{-1}$	Membrane (A) $A = 5\mu\text{m} \times 5\mu\text{m} = 25 \mu\text{m}^2$	Assumption	
CD3 ζ adaptor (homodimer) unbinding from CD16 receptor	0.01s^{-1}	Membrane (A)	Assumption	
Phosphorylation of CD3 ζ ITAMs by LCK	$1.5 \times 10^{-2} \mu\text{m}^2 \text{s}^{-1}$	Membrane (A)	Table 1 in (6)	Values of k_{cat}/K_M for Lck pY394-pY505 were calculated by fitting Michaelis Menten model in ref. (6).
Dephosphorylation of ITAMs on CD3 ζ	0.001s^{-1}	Membrane (A)		Assumption
ZAP70 binding to fully phosphorylated ITAM	$5 \times 10^6 \text{M}^{-1}\text{s}^{-1} = 5 (\mu\text{M s})^{-1} = 8.3 \times 10^{-3} \mu\text{m}^3 \text{s}^{-1} \text{ molecule}^{-1}$	Cytosolic volume (V_c) $V_c = 5 \mu\text{m} \times 5\mu\text{m} \times 1 \mu\text{m} = 25 \mu\text{m}^3$	(7)	Measured values reported in ref. (7) for T cells. K_a (association rate)

				$= 5 \times 10^6 \text{ M}^{-1}\text{s}^{-1}$
ZAP70 unbinding from fully phosphorylated ITAM	0.125 s^{-1}	Cytosolic volume (V_c)	(7)	Measured values reported in ref. (7) for T cells. K_a (association rate) $= 5 \times 10^6 \text{ M}^{-1}\text{s}^{-1}$, and, $K_D=25 \text{ nM}$ We approximated the unbinding rate as $K_a \times K_D$ using the values reported in ref. (7) .
ZAP70 phosphorylation by LCK	$3 \times 10^{-5} (\text{nMs})^{-1}=0.03 (\mu\text{M s})^{-1}$ $= 4.98 \times 10^{-5} \mu\text{m}^3 \text{ s}^{-1} \text{ molecule}^{-1}$	Cytosolic volume (V_c)	Table 2 in (8)	Value used for phosphorylation of ZAP70 Y493 by Lck.
ZAP70 (free or bound) dephosphorylation by SHP-1	$0.034 (\mu\text{M s})^{-1} = 5.64 \times 10^{-5} \mu\text{m}^3 \text{ s}^{-1} \text{ molecule}^{-1}$	Cytosolic volume (V_c)	Table 2 in (9)	Catalytic rate of SHP-1(tethered) for substrate PEG12 calculated in (9).
SYK binding to fully phosphorylated ITAM	$5 (\mu\text{M s})^{-1}=8.3 \times 10^{-3} \mu\text{m}^3 \text{ s}^{-1} \text{ molecule}^{-1}$	Cytosolic volume (V_c)	(7)	SYK and ZAP70 affinities to fully phosphorylated ITAMs correspond to K_a (association rate) $= 5 \times 10^6 \text{ M}^{-1}\text{s}^{-1}$ reported in (7).
SYK binding to partially phosphorylated ITAM	$8.3 \times 10^{-4} \mu\text{m}^3 \text{ s}^{-1} \text{ molecule}^{-1}$	Cytosolic volume (V_c)	Assumption	We assumed SYK binds to the partially phosphorylated

				state of the ITAM (state U) with a $10 \times$ lower rate (=250 nM)
SYK unbinding from fully or partially phosphorylated ITAM	0.125 s^{-1}	Cytosolic volume (V_c)	(7)	SYK and ZAP70 affinities to the ITAM correspond to K_a (association rate)= $5 \times 10^6 \text{ M}^{-1}\text{s}^{-1}$ and $K_D=25 \text{ nM}$ reported in ref. (7).
Catalytically active SYK phosphorylating ITAM	1.21 s^{-1}	Cytosolic volume (V_c)	Table S1 in (10)	Assumed following BCR signaling where phospho-ITAM bound catalytically active SYK fully phosphorylates the ITAM it is attached to (11).
Basally active SYK phosphorylating ITAM	$8.4 \text{ }\mu\text{M}^{-1}\text{s}^{-1}$ $=0.014 \text{ }\mu\text{m}^3 \text{ s}^{-1} \text{ molecule}^{-1}$	Cytosolic volume (V_c)	Table S1 in (10)	Assumed following BCR signaling where phospho-ITAM bound basally active SYK fully phosphorylates other ITAMs (12).
Catalytically active SYK phosphorylating ITAM	$15.1 \text{ }\mu\text{M}^{-1}\text{s}^{-1}=0.025 \text{ }\mu\text{m}^3 \text{ s}^{-1} \text{ molecule}^{-1}$	Cytosolic volume (V_c)	Table S1 in (10)	Assumed following BCR signaling where phospho-ITAM bound catalytically active SYK fully phosphorylates other ITAMs.

SYK or ZAP70 (bound or free) dephosphorylation rate by phosphatase SHP-1	$5.64 \times 10^{-5} \mu\text{m}^3 \text{s}^{-1}$ molecule ⁻¹	Cytosolic volume (V _c)	(13)	Assumed similar dephosphorylation rates for pSYK and pZAP70.
SHP-1 binding rate to fully phosphorylated ITAM	$0.25 (\mu\text{M s})^{-1}$ $= 4.15 \times 10^{-4} \mu\text{m}^3 \text{s}^{-1}$ molecule ⁻¹	Cytosolic volume (V _c)	Table 2 in (9) SI in (14)	Value measured for PEG12 peptides in SPR experiment. SHP-1 injected over immobilized phosphorylated PEG-PD1 peptides.
SHP-1 unbinding rate from fully phosphorylated ITAM	1.7s^{-1}		Table 2 in (9)	Value measured for PEG12 peptides.
SHP-1 binding to partially phosphorylated ITAM	$0.25 (\mu\text{M s})^{-1}$ $= 4.15 \times 10^{-4} \mu\text{m}^3 \text{s}^{-1}$ molecule ⁻¹	Cytosolic volume (V _c)	Table 2 in (9) SI in (14)	We considered the same rates for binding of SHP-1 to partially or fully phosphorylated ITAMs.
SHP-1 unbinding from partially phosphorylated ITAM	1.7s^{-1}		Table 2 in (9)	
Cbl binds to ITAM bound ZAP70 or SYK	$0.4 (\mu\text{M s})^{-1}$ $= 6.7 \times 10^{-4} \mu\text{m}^3 \text{s}^{-1}$ molecule ⁻¹		Assumption	
Cbl unbinds from ITAM bound ZAP70 or SYK	0.004s^{-1}		Assumption	
ITAM bound ZAP70 or SYK degradation rate by Cbl	0.07s^{-1}		Assumption	Cbl binds to the phospho-ITAM bound ZAP70 and

				SYK and degrades the ITAM bound molecule (ZAP70 or SYK) and whole CD16 (15).
Free pZAP70 or pSYK dephosphorylation	0.01 s^{-1}		Assumption	Represents dephosphorylation of ZAP70 and SYK by other phosphatases than SHP-1.
Parameter k_l in Ca^{++} ODE	$0.7 \text{ }\mu\text{M}$		(16, 17)	Determined by fitting data for Xenopus oocyte in ref. (17)
parameter k_2 in Ca^{++} ODE	$0.7 \text{ }\mu\text{M}$		(16, 17)	Determined by fitting data for Xenopus oocyte in ref.(17)
Parameter b in Ca^{++} ODE	0.111		(16, 17)	Determined by fitting data for Xenopus oocyte in ref. (17)
Rate for the kinetic proof-reading reaction step	0.01 s^{-1}		Assumption	

**B: Estimated parameters from *in silico* model training for limited ITAMs
(concentrations of CD16 and CD3 ζ homodimers = 80 molecules/ μm^2)**

CD16 signaling model CD16 signaling model	Parameters	Estimated values
	initial ZAP70 concentration	1131 molecules/ μm^3
	initial SYK concentration	754 molecules/ μm^3
	SYK auto-phosphorylation rate	0.0026 s^{-1}
	SYK trans-phosphorylation rate	$0.0022 \text{ }\mu\text{m}^3 \text{ molecule}^{-1} \text{ s}^{-1}$
	Cbl concentration	141 molecules/ μm^3
	Parameters for ΔSYK	Parameters for ΔZAP70

Ca ⁺⁺ ODE model	Parameters	Estimated Values	Parameters		Estimated Values
	C _{1Z}	0.785 s ⁻¹	C _{1S}	0.058 s ⁻¹	
	C _{2Z}	0.161 μM ⁻¹ s ⁻¹	C _{2S}	0.0001 μM ⁻¹ s ⁻¹	
	γ _Z	0.012 s ⁻¹	γ _S	0.00103 s ⁻¹	
C. Estimated parameters from <i>in silico</i> model training for higher ITAMs (concentrations of CD16 and CD3ζ homodimers = 200 per μm ²)					
CD16 signaling model	Parameters		Estimated Values		
	initial ZAP70 concentration		896 molecules/μm ³		
	initial SYK concentration		598 molecules/μm ³		
	SYK auto-phosphorylation rate		0.014959 s ⁻¹		
	SYK trans-phosphorylation rate		2.823 × 10 ⁻⁵ μm ³ molecule ⁻¹ s ⁻¹		
Ca ⁺⁺ ODE model	Parameters for ZAP70		Parameters for SYK		
	Parameters	Estimated Values	Parameters		Estimated Values
	C _{1Z}	0.2243 s ⁻¹	C _{1S}	0.0545 s ⁻¹	
	C _{2Z}	0.538418 μM ⁻¹ s ⁻¹	C _{2S}	0.00107 μM ⁻¹ s ⁻¹	
	γ _Z	0.00559 s ⁻¹	γ _S	0.000264 s ⁻¹	

1. Hatjiharissi, E., L. Xu, D. D. Santos, Z. R. Hunter, B. T. Ciccarelli, S. Verselis, M. Modica, Y. Cao, R. J. Manning, and X. Leleu. 2007. Increased natural killer cell expression of CD16, augmented binding and ADCC activity to rituximab among individuals expressing the FcγRIIIa-158 V/V and V/F polymorphism. *Blood, the Journal of the American Society of Hematology* 110: 2561-2564.
2. Aguilar, O. A., L.-K. Fong, K. Ishiyama, W. F. DeGrado, and L. L. Lanier. 2022. The CD3ζ adaptor structure determines functional differences between human and mouse CD16 Fc receptor signaling. *Journal of Experimental Medicine* 219: e20220022.
3. Hui, E., J. Cheung, J. Zhu, X. Su, M. J. Taylor, H. A. Wallweber, D. K. Sasmal, J. Huang, J. M. Kim, and I. Mellman. 2017. T cell costimulatory receptor CD28 is a primary target for PD-1–mediated inhibition. *Science* 355: 1428-1433.
4. Schaab, C., T. Geiger, G. Stoehr, J. Cox, and M. Mann. 2012. Analysis of high accuracy, quantitative proteomics data in the MaxQB database. *Molecular & Cellular Proteomics* 11.
5. Ellwanger, K., U. Reusch, I. Fucek, S. Wingert, T. Ross, T. Müller, U. Schniegler-Mattox, T. Haneke, E. Rajkovic, and J. Koch. 2019. Redirected optimized cell killing (ROCK®): a highly versatile multispecific fit-for-purpose antibody platform for engaging innate immunity. In *MAbs*. Taylor & Francis. 899-918.
6. Hui, E., and R. D. Vale. 2014. In vitro membrane reconstitution of the T-cell receptor proximal signaling network. *Nature structural & molecular biology* 21: 133-142.
7. Bu, J. Y., A. S. Shaw, and A. C. Chan. 1995. Analysis of the interaction of ZAP-70 and syk protein-tyrosine kinases with the T-cell antigen receptor by plasmon resonance. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences* 92: 5106-5110.
8. Arulraj, T., and D. Barik. 2018. Mathematical modeling identifies Lck as a potential mediator for PD-1 induced inhibition of early TCR signaling. *PloS one* 13: e0206232.
9. Clemens, L., M. Kutuzov, K. V. Bayer, J. Goyette, J. Allard, and O. Dushek. 2021. Determination of the molecular reach of the protein tyrosine phosphatase SHP-1. *Biophysical Journal* 120: 2054-2066.
10. Mukherjee, S., J. Zhu, J. Zikherman, R. Parameswaran, T. A. Kadlecsek, Q. Wang, B. Au-Yeung, H. Ploegh, J. Kuriyan, and J. Das. 2013. Monovalent and multivalent ligation of the B cell receptor exhibit differential dependence upon Syk and Src family kinases. *Science signaling* 6: ra1-ra1.
11. Tsang, E., A. M. Giannetti, D. Shaw, M. Dinh, K. Joyce, S. Gandhi, H. Ho, S. Wang, E. Papp, and J. M. Bradshaw. 2008. Molecular mechanism of the Syk activation switch. *Journal of Biological Chemistry* 283: 32650-32659.
12. Rolli, V., M. Gallwitz, T. Wossning, A. Flemming, W. W. Schamel, C. Zürn, and M. Reth. 2002. Amplification of B cell antigen receptor signaling by a Syk/ITAM positive feedback loop. *Molecular cell* 10: 1057-1069.
13. Brumbaugh, K. M., B. A. Binstadt, D. D. Billadeau, R. A. Schoon, C. J. Dick, R. M. Ten, and P. J. Leibson. 1997. Functional role for syk tyrosine kinase in natural killer cell–mediated natural cytotoxicity. *The Journal of experimental medicine* 186: 1965-1974.
14. Das, J. 2010. Activation or tolerance of natural killer cells is modulated by ligand quality in a nonmonotonic manner. *Biophysical journal* 99: 2028-2037.
15. Matalon, O., and M. Barda-Saad. 2016. Cbl ubiquitin ligases mediate the inhibition of natural killer cell activity. *Communicative & integrative biology* 9: e1216739.

16. Atri, A., J. Amundson, D. Clapham, and J. Sneyd. 1993. A single-pool model for intracellular calcium oscillations and waves in the *Xenopus laevis* oocyte. *Biophysical Journal* 65: 1727-1739.
17. Parys, J. B., S. W. Sernett, S. DeLisle, P. M. Snyder, M. J. Welsh, and K. P. Campbell. 1992. Isolation, characterization, and localization of the inositol 1, 4, 5-trisphosphate receptor protein in *Xenopus laevis* oocytes. *Journal of Biological Chemistry* 267: 18776-18782.