

The worst case performance for `insert_element` is logarithmic time($O(\log n)$), which occurs when the size of the data(n) increases exponentially as time increases linearly. The performance is logarithmic because of the method call to `__recursive_insert`. The method `__recursive_insert` traverses the entire tree, from the root down to each leaf node. Because the tree is balanced, the traversal is dependent on the height of the tree, resulting in logarithmic lookup time. The `__recursive_insert` method also calls the recursive method `update_height`, which performs at linear time in the worst case because it calls itself until it traverses through the entire tree. The `__recursive_insert` method also calls `__balance`, which runs in logarithmic time because it rotates the nodes in the tree until the difference between the right and left subtree of each node is less than or equal to one.

The worst case performance for `remove_element` is also logarithmic time($O(\log n)$) due to the method call to `__recursive_remove`. Because the tree is balanced, the performance is dependent on the height of the tree. The `__recursive_remove` method calls 3 other methods: `locate_min`, `update_height`, and `__balance`. The method call to `locate_min` runs in logarithmic time because the method traverses the left side of the tree in order to find the minimum value of the tree. The method `update_height` also performs in logarithmic time because it must traverse the tree, which varies depending on the height of the tree. Finally, the method call to `__balance` also performs in logarithmic time because it calls the methods `__get_balance`, `__left_rotation`, and `__right_rotation`. Both rotation methods call `update_height`, leading to logarithmic performance. The `__get_balance` method always performs at constant time. After evaluating these method calls, I am confident that `remove_element` also runs in logarithmic time due to the requirement of traversing through the tree in order to reach the value being removed.

The performance for `to_list` is at least linear($O(n)$) because the method should visit every node in the tree regardless of how balanced the structure is.