

Automated Worm Fingerprinting

Awais Aslam Attique dawood

FAST, Islamabad


March 15, 2012

Presentation Organization

- ▶ What are computer worms?
- ▶ Need for efficient worm detection techniques
- ▶ Solution approach: Dynamic signature generation
- ▶ Experimental results of Earlybird
- ▶ Conclusion

Problem: Worms

- ▶ Standalone computer program
- ▶ Designated as a *malware*
- ▶ Replicates itself in order to spread
- ▶ Can use network to spread to connected hosts
- ▶ Can be malicious or useful (very rare)



Malicious Activities

- ▶ Increase in network traffic
- ▶ Can open backdoors and install rootkits
- ▶ Zombie for botnets
- ▶ Delete files
- ▶ Reboot systems

Worm Out-breaks in Recent Times

- ▶ Software homogeneity and unrestricted internet access
- ▶ Code Red worm took fourteen hours to infect vulnerable population (360,000 hosts)
- ▶ Slammer worm infected same number of hosts in under 10 minutes

Need for Efficient Detection Techniques

- ▶ Signature based
- ▶ Anomaly based
- ▶ Challenges in detection: Need to quickly generate signatures

Detection Techniques

Three methods for worm detection:

- ▶ Scan detection (telescopes)
- ▶ Honeypots
- ▶ Behavioural techniques at end hosts

Solution Approach: Earlybird

- ▶ Detects anomalies to generate signatures
- ▶ Invariant content in worms used as signatures
- ▶ Spreading mechanism of worms atypical of internet applications
- ▶ Frequently repeated and widely dispersed content treated as signature

A Priori Signature Creation

- ▶ Characterization is the process of analysing and identifying a new worm
- ▶ Using a priori vulnerability signatures from already know worms
- ▶ New worms exploiting known vulnerabilities
- ▶ Traffic content compared with known database of attack signatures
- ▶ Can only be used for well-known vulnerabilities

Signature Extraction

- ▶ Use decoys programs/systems in a controlled environment to get infected
- ▶ Extract infected regions
- ▶ Apply heuristics and techniques to identify invariant code strings
- ▶ Refine set of signatures by comparing them against known infections

Defining Worm Behaviour

- ▶ Behaviour different from normal applications
- ▶ Content invariance
- ▶ Content prevalence
- ▶ Address dispersion
- ▶ Extensive traffic generation


Content Invariance and Content Prevalence

- ▶ Existing worm signatures invariant across all copies
- ▶ Can make use of polymorphism methods
- ▶ Encrypting the actual worm code
- ▶ Decryption routines are still invariant
- ▶ Invariant portion of the worm will appear frequently on network





Address Dispersion

- ▶ Number of infected hosts will grow over time
- ▶ Packets containing worms will reflect varied source and destination addresses

Finding Worm Signatures



References

-  S. Singh, C. Estan, G. Varghese, and S. Savage, “Automated worm fingerprinting,” in *OSDI*, pp. 45–60, 2004.
-  http://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Intrusion_detection_system.
-  http://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Computer_worm.
-  <http://vx.netlux.org/lib/ajm01.html>.