

Description

Here we provide some explanation about content of files.

Detecting a marked vertex on a complete binary tree.ipynb

We implement an algorithm for a quantum walk on a tree proposed by Ashley Montanaro, that allows to improve the search on a tree that is generated by backtracking algorithm. The algorithm is described in the paper “Quantum walk speedup of backtracking algorithms”, Ashley Montanaro (<https://arxiv.org/abs/1509.02374>), page 7, Algorithm 2: Detecting a marked vertex.

The walk is based on a set of diffusion operators D_x , where for each vertex x , D_x can be implemented with only local knowledge, i.e. based only on whether x is marked and the neighbourhood structure of x . The algorithm contains two operators: R_A for the set of vertices an even distance from the root (including the root) and R_B for the set of vertices an odd distance from the root. R_A and R_B are formed by direct sums of operators D_x of according vertices.

The main part of algorithm that we implement (Algorithm 2) is Phase estimation for the operator $R_B R_A$, and if our tree contains a marked vertex, we should measure the eigenvalue 1 with high probability (with probability at least 1/2). If tree does not contain a marked vertex, the probability to measure the eigenvalue 1 is not exceeding 1/4.

We work on a binary tree with a root and n layers. Our implementation requires $n + 1$ qubits for encoding. Basis states are generated in a recursive way and ensure that Hamming distance between basis states of parent and both its children is at most 2. Our solution is scalable.

Detecting a marked vertex - solution without phase estimation.ipynb

Implementation is similar to the previous file, with exception that we do not implement Phase estimation. Instead, we do probabilistic sampling:

$$\frac{1}{m} \sum_{i=0}^{m-1} |\langle 00 \dots 0 | (R_B R_A)^i | 00 \dots 0 \rangle|^2$$

This implementation allows to reduce the number of qubits, number of controlled operations and overall reduce potential number of repetitions of operation $R_B R_A$.

We pick $m = 2^{\text{bits_of_precision}}$ and collect statistics for probabilities over all $i: 0 \leq i < m$. The proposed algorithm is expected to pick $i: 0 \leq i < m$ randomly.

The solution is also scalable.

DAG size estimation.ipynb

We implement an algorithm for DAG size estimation by Andris Ambainis and Martins Kokainis. The algorithm is described in the paper “Quantum algorithm for tree size estimation, with applications to backtracking and 2-player games”, Andris Ambainis and Martins Kokainis (<https://arxiv.org/abs/1704.06774v2>), page 6, Algorithm 1: Algorithm for DAG size estimation.

The implementation of algorithm has many parts adapted from Montanaro algorithm (described in the paper “Quantum walk speedup of backtracking algorithms”, Ashley Montanaro (<https://arxiv.org/abs/1509.02374>), page 7, Algorithm 2: Detecting a marked vertex). The algorithm is based on a set of diffusion operators D_x , where for each vertex x , D_x can be implemented with only local knowledge, i.e. based only on the neighborhood structure of x . This time basis states are denoting corresponding edges, and so D_x acts on states that describe edges, that are adjacent to corresponding vertex x . The algorithm contains two operators: R_A for the set of vertices an even distance from the root (including the root) and R_B for the set of vertices an odd distance from the root. R_A and R_B are formed by direct sums of operators D_x of according vertices.

The main part of algorithm that we implement (Algorithm 1) is Phase estimation for the operator $R_B R_A$, we obtain angle θ , and output is estimation of number of edges in the corresponding DAG: $T = \frac{1}{\alpha^2 \sin^2 \frac{\theta}{2}}$.

Operators D_x , R_A , R_B and Phase estimation are implemented similarly to our previous algorithm implementation.

We operate with variable δ to obtain the desired precision on our estimate of DAG size. Picked value of δ influences other parameters of the algorithm. n is distance from the root to farthest leaf (depth), T_0 is an upper bound on the number of edges (we put it as 2^{n+1}), $\alpha = \sqrt{2n\delta^{-1}}$. Then, according to the paper, we calculate $\delta_{min} = \frac{\delta^{1.5}}{4\sqrt{3nT_0}}$, and so we determine number $bits_of_precision = \left\lceil \log \frac{1}{\delta_{min}} \right\rceil$ as the number of bits (qubits) of precision for Phase estimation procedure. At the end of algorithm, we receive bit values for estimate θ , convert it into decimal_value, and then calculate $\theta = 2\pi \frac{decimal_value}{2^{bits_of_precision}}$. The final step is to put the value into the formula: $T = \frac{1}{\alpha^2 \sin^2 \frac{\theta}{2}}$.

For complete binary tree of depth n , the number of edges should be $2^{n+1} - 2$, e.g., for $n = 2$ we have 6 edges. This is to note that the algorithm does not count additional edge that is added to the root of the tree.

We run our experiments on complete binary tree as well as trees where we remove some pairs of the leaves (starting from the right side of the tree). In our simulations, the number `remove_pair_count` states how many pairs have been removed. So final algorithm result is expected to be: $2^{n+1} - 2 - 2 * \text{remove_pair_count}$.