# **RELUI**

# DON'T LOOK UP

1	THE PROCESS	1
2	THE MATHS	2
3	THE CODE	4
4	Example	5
Rı	EFER ENCES	7

#### 1. THE PROCESS

(1) The circuit operates over the finite field  $\mathbb{Z}/p\mathbb{Z}$ , where p is an n-bit prime (typically,  $n \approx 256$  in practice):

$$2^{n-1} .$$

(2) Assume  $^{1}$  integer a lies in the range

$$-p/2 < a \le p/2$$

and define r as the least residue<sup>2</sup> of a modulo p:

$$a \equiv r \mod p, \quad 0 \leqslant r < p.$$

- (3) Fix<sup>3</sup>  $\kappa \le n-1$ . In practice,  $\kappa$  is typically at most 64 and is chosen as small as possible to minimize circuit size.
- (4) Compute the least residue  $r^{\sharp}$  of  $r + 2^{\kappa 1}$  modulo p, i.e. [Algorithm 3.3 Step 2, Listing 3]

$$r^{\sharp} \equiv r + 2^{\kappa - 1} \mod p, \quad 0 \leqslant r^{\sharp} < p.$$

(5) Compute the  $\kappa$  least significant bits of  $r^{\sharp}$  [Proposition 2.3, Algorithm 3.1, Listing 1]:

$$r^{\sharp} = 2^{\kappa} q_{\kappa} + 2^{\kappa - 1} r_{\kappa - 1} + \dots + 2^{0} r_{0}, \quad q_{\kappa} \in \mathbb{Z}, \quad r_{i} \in \{0, 1\}, \quad 0 \le i < \kappa.$$

- (6) Impose constraints in the arithmetic circuit [Algorithm 3.2, Listing 2]:
  - For  $0 \le i < \kappa$ , ensure  $r_i \equiv 0$  or 1 mod p by requiring

$$r_i(r_i-1) \equiv 0 \mod p$$
.

• Require [Algorithm 3.2, Listing 2; Algorithm 3.3 Step 3, Listing 3]

$$r + 2^{\kappa - 1} \equiv 2^{\kappa - 1} r_{\kappa - 1} + \dots + 2^{0} r_{0} \mod p$$
.

(7) Assuming  $-p/2 < a \le p/2$  in the first place and  $\kappa \le n-1$ , these constraints guarantee [Proposition 2.1]

$$-2^{\kappa-1} \le a < 2^{\kappa-1}$$
 and  $a+2^{\kappa-1}=2^{\kappa-1}r_{\kappa-1}+\cdots+2^{\kappa-1}r_{0}$ 

provided each  $r_i$  is taken as a least residue.<sup>4</sup>

(8) Finally, compute<sup>5</sup> [Algorithm 3.3 Step 4, Listing 3]

$$ReLU(a) = r_{\kappa-1}r$$
.

**Remark 1.1.** Although the outline above and code in §3 illustrate how to verify the ReLU of a *single* integer *a*, the procedure extends naturally to an array of integers. One simply applies the same sequence of steps to each element, treating each entry's ReLU independently.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> This is a hypothesis of Proposition 2.1(b), which we invoke in (7). If it cannot be justified by off-circuit reasoning, then the result does not hold in general. One possible off-circuit justification is that a is represented as a signed 64-bit integer (i64) in the Rust framework. If  $p > 2^{65}$  then, since i64 constrains values to  $-2^{63} \le a < 2^{63}$ , every valid i64 value necessarily satisfies  $-p/2 < a \le p/2$ , ensuring the assumption holds without requiring an explicit range check.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> Since arithmetic circuit wires are represented by canonical elements in  $\{0, \dots, p-1\}$ , we must translate conditions on a into equivalent statements about r, and vice versa. This is particularly relevant when performing signed comparisons or encoding operations.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>3</sup> The assumption that  $\kappa \le n-1$  means that integers cannot have multiple bitstring representations modulo p. There are  $2^{\kappa}$  bitstrings of length  $\kappa$ , and we want this to be less than p. For example,  $0 \equiv 2^4(1) + 2^0(1) \mod 17$ , so 00000 and 10001 both represent 0 modulo the 5-bit integer 17.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>4</sup> In practice, wires in an arithmetic circuit are represented by canonical elements in  $\{0, \dots, p-1\}$ . Hence, if  $r_i \equiv 0$  or 1 mod p, we indeed get  $r_i \in \{0, 1\}$ .

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>5</sup> No extra constraint is required to check  $ReLU(a) = r_{\kappa-1}r$  because  $r_{\kappa-1}$  must be 1 if  $a \ge 0$  and 0 otherwise, as enforced by the binary decomposition constraints. However, if we need to *prove* that ReLU(a) matches a *public input* or a previously computed *external* value, we can add a further constraint to ensure they coincide. We'd need to assume this external value lies in [0, p) or else do a range check.

#### 2. THE MATHS

**Proposition 2.1.** Let m and n be integers satisfying  $2^{n-1} < m < 2^n$ . Let a be an integer, and let r denote its least residue modulo m. Suppose  $\kappa$  is a nonnegative integer such that  $\kappa < n$ , and for each  $0 \le i < \kappa$ , let  $a_i$  be an integer with  $r_i$  as its least residue modulo m. Finally, define  $r^{\sharp}$  as the least residue of  $r + 2^{\kappa - 1}$  modulo m.

(a) The following statements are equivalent:

(i) 
$$a_i \equiv 0$$
 or  $1 \mod m$  for each  $0 \le i < \kappa$  and  $r + 2^{\kappa - 1} \equiv 2^{\kappa - 1} a_{\kappa - 1} + \dots + 2^0 a_0 \mod m$ .

(ii) 
$$r_i \in \{0,1\}$$
 for each  $0 \le i < \kappa$ , and  $r^{\sharp} = 2^{\kappa-1} r_{\kappa-1} + \cdots + 2^0 r_0$ .

(b) If  $-m/2 < a \le m/2$  and (i) holds, then  $r^{\sharp} = a + 2^{\kappa - 1}$ . Consequently: if  $r_{\kappa - 1} = 0$ , then  $-2^{\kappa - 1} \le a < 0$ , while if  $r_{\kappa - 1} = 1$ , then  $0 \le a < 2^{\kappa - 1}$ .

**Remark 2.2.** In part (a), statement (i), the condition  $a_i \equiv 0$  or  $1 \mod m$  is equivalent to  $a_i(a_i - 1) \equiv 0 \mod m$  when m is prime.

Part (b) may be restated as follows: If  $-m/2 < a \le m/2$  and (i) holds, then  $-2^{\kappa-1} \le a < 2^{\kappa-1}$ , and  $(1 - r_{\kappa-1}, r_{\kappa-2}, \dots, r_0)$  is the  $\kappa$ -bit two's complement representation of a.

Moreover, if  $-m/2 < a \le m/2$  and (i) holds, then by part (b), ReLU(a) =  $r_{\kappa-1}r$ .

*Proof of Proposition 2.1.* (a) Suppose (ii) holds. Then, for each  $0 \le i < \kappa$ , we have  $r_i \in \{0, 1\}$ , which, since  $a_i \equiv r_i \mod m$ , implies that  $a_i \equiv 0$  or 1 mod m. Furthermore,

$$r + 2^{\kappa - 1} \equiv r^{\sharp} \equiv 2^{\kappa - 1} r_{\kappa - 1} + \dots + 2^{0} r_{0} \equiv 2^{\kappa - 1} a_{\kappa - 1} + \dots + 2^{0} a_{0} \mod m.$$

Thus, (i) holds.

Conversely, suppose (i) holds. Then, since  $a_i \equiv 0$  or  $1 \mod m$  and  $r_i$  is the least residue of  $a_i \mod m$ , it follows that  $r_i \in \{0, 1\}$  for all  $0 \le i < \kappa$ . Additionally,

$$r^{\sharp} \equiv r + 2^{\kappa - 1} \equiv 2^{\kappa - 1} a_{\kappa - 1} + \dots + 2^{0} a_{0} \equiv 2^{\kappa - 1} r_{\kappa - 1} + \dots + 2^{0} r_{0} \mod m.$$

Thus, there exists and integer t such that

$$r^{\sharp} + tm = 2^{\kappa - 1}r_{\kappa - 1} + \dots + 2^{0}r_{0}.$$

To show that t = 0, we consider two cases:

• If  $t \ge 1$ , then since  $r^{\sharp} \ge 0$ ,  $2^{n-1} < m$ , and  $\kappa \le n-1$ , we obtain

$$r^{\sharp} + tm \geqslant m > 2^{n-1} \geqslant 2^{\kappa} > 2^{\kappa-1} + \dots + 2^{0} \geqslant 2^{\kappa-1} r_{\kappa-1} + \dots + 2^{0} r_{0},$$

contradicting the equality above.

• If  $t \le -1$ , then since  $r^{\sharp} < m$ , we have

$$r^{\sharp} + tm < 0 \leq 2^{\kappa - 1} r_{\kappa - 1} + \dots + 2^{0} r_{0}$$

again leading to a contradiction.

Thus, we must have t = 0, proving that (ii) holds.

(b) Since  $a + 2^{\kappa - 1} \equiv r + 2^{\kappa - 1} \equiv r^{\sharp} \mod m$ , we can write

$$a+2^{\kappa-1}=r^{\sharp}+tm$$

for some integer t. Assuming (i) holds, which is equivalent to (ii), we obtain

$$a + 2^{\kappa - 1} = r^{\sharp} + tm = 2^{\kappa - 1}r_{\kappa - 1} + \dots + 2^{0}r_{0} + tm.$$

To determine t, we consider the cases:

• If  $t \ge 1$ , then using  $2^{n-1} < m$  and  $\kappa \le n-1$ , we find

$$a \ge m - 2^{\kappa - 1} \ge m - 2^{n - 2} > m - m/2 = m/2.$$

This contradicts  $a \le m/2$ .

• If  $t \leq -1$ , then

$$a \le (2^{\kappa - 2} + \dots + 2^{0}) - m < 2^{\kappa - 1} - m \le 2^{n - 2} - m < m/2 - m = -m/2.$$

This contradicts a > -m/2.

Since both cases contradict the given bounds on a, we conclude that t = 0, yielding

$$r^{\sharp} = a + 2^{\kappa - 1}.$$

Now, we analyze the sign of *a*:

• If  $r_{\kappa-1} = 0$ , then

$$a + 2^{\kappa - 1} = 2^{\kappa - 2} r_{\kappa - 2} + \dots + 2^{0} r_{0} \le 2^{\kappa - 2} + \dots + 2^{0} < 2^{\kappa - 1}.$$

Thus,

$$a < 2^{\kappa - 1} - 2^{\kappa - 1} = 0.$$

• If  $r_{\kappa-1} = 1$ , then

$$a + 2^{\kappa - 1} = 2^{\kappa - 1} + 2^{\kappa - 2} r_{\kappa - 2} + \dots + 2^{0} r_{0} \ge 2^{\kappa - 1}.$$

Hence

 $a \ge 0$ .

**Proposition 2.3.** Let  $q_0 \in \mathbb{Z}$ . For  $0 \le i < \kappa$ , let  $q_{i+1}$  and  $a_i$  be the unique integers satisfying  $q_i = 2q_{i+1} + a_i$  and  $a_i \in \{0,1\}$ .

(a) Then

$$q_0 = 2^{\kappa} q_{\kappa} + 2^{\kappa - 1} a_{\kappa - 1} + 2^{\kappa - 2} a_{\kappa - 2} + \dots + 2^0 a_0. \tag{2.1}$$

(b) The following statements are equivalent: (i)  $0 \le q_0 < 2^{\kappa}$ ; (ii)  $q_{\kappa} = 0$ ; (iii)  $(a_{\kappa-1}, \dots, a_0)$  is the  $\kappa$ -bit binary representation of  $q_0$ .

*Proof.* (a) We induct on  $\kappa$ . The result holds trivially for  $\kappa = 0$ . Suppose the result holds with  $\kappa = n$  for some  $n \ge 0$ . Now consider  $\kappa = n + 1$ . We have  $q_i = 2q_{i+1} + a_i$ ,  $a_i \in \{0,1\}$  for  $0 \le i < n$  and also i = n. By inductive hypothesis,

$$q_0 = 2^n q_n + 2^{n-1} a_{n-1} + 2^{n-2} a_{n-2} + \dots + 2^0 a_0$$
  
=  $2^n (2q_{n+1} + a_n) + 2^{n-1} a_{n-1} + 2^{n-2} a_{n-2} + \dots + 2^0 a_0$   
=  $2^{n+1} q_{n+1} + 2^n a_n + \dots + 2^0 a_0$ .

(b) Suppose  $0 \le q_0 < 2^{\kappa}$ . In view of (2.1), this implies

$$2^{\kappa}q_{\kappa} = q_0 - \left(2^{\kappa - 1}a_{\kappa - 1} + 2^{\kappa - 2}a_{\kappa - 2} + \dots + 2^0a_0\right) \leqslant q_0 < 2^{\kappa}.$$

Hence  $q_{\kappa}$  < 1. Also,

$$-2^{\kappa}q_{\kappa} = \left(2^{\kappa-1}a_{\kappa-1} + 2^{\kappa-2}a_{\kappa-2} + \dots + 2^{0}a_{0}\right) - q_{0} \leqslant 2^{\kappa-1} + 2^{\kappa-2} + \dots + 2^{0} < 2^{\kappa}.$$

Hence  $q_{\kappa} > -1$ . We must therefore have  $q_{\kappa} = 0$ , which implies

$$q_0 = 2^{\kappa - 1} a_{\kappa - 1} + 2^{\kappa - 2} a_{\kappa - 2} + \dots + 2^0 a_0$$

i.e.,  $(a_{\kappa-1},\ldots,a_0)$  is the  $\kappa$ -bit binary representation of  $q_0$ . Finally, if this holds, then  $0 \le q_0 < 2^{\kappa}$ , because the right-hand side lies between 0 and  $2^{\kappa-1} + 2^{\kappa-2} + \cdots + 2^0 = 2^{\kappa} - 1$ .

#### 3. THE CODE

The pseudocode and Rust code that follow are designed for implementation within the *ExpanderCompilerCollection* (ECC) framework [1]. This library provides a specialized interface for constructing and verifying arithmetic circuits.

## **Algorithm 3.1** to\_binary: compute $\kappa$ least significant bits of binary representation of a nonnegative integer

**Require:** nonnegative integers  $q_0$  and  $\kappa$ 

```
Ensure: a list r representing the \kappa least significant binary digits of q_0

1: r \leftarrow []

2: for i \leftarrow 0 to \kappa - 1 do

3: append q_0 \mod 2 to r

4: q_0 \leftarrow \lfloor q_0/2 \rfloor

5: end for

6: return r
```

```
fn to_binary <C: Config > (api: &mut API < C>, q_0: Variable, kappa: usize) -> Vec < Variable > {
   let mut r = Vec::with_capacity(kappa); // Preallocate vector
   let mut q = q_0; // Copy q_0 to modify iteratively

for _ in 0..kappa {
        r.push(api.unconstrained_bit_and(q, 1)); // Extract least significant bit
        q = api.unconstrained_shift_r(q, 1); // Shift right by 1 bit
}

r
```

Listing 1: ECC Rust API: compute  $\kappa$  least significant bits of binary representation of a nonnegative integer

# **Algorithm 3.2** from binary: reconstruct a nonnegative integer from at most $\kappa$ least significant bits and impose constraints

**Require:** list of binary digits r and nonnegative integer  $\kappa$ 

**Ensure:** reconstructed\_integer: the integer represented by the first  $\kappa$  bits of r

```
1: reconstructed_integer \leftarrow 0

2: for i \leftarrow 0 to max\{\kappa - 1, \text{len}(r) - 1\} do

3: bit \leftarrow r[i] \Rightarrow Binary digit check: ensure bit \in \{0, 1\}

4: bit_minus_one \leftarrow 1 - bit

5: bit_by_bit_minus_one \leftarrow bit \times bit_minus_one

6: assert bit_by_bit_minus_one = 0

7: bit_by_two_to_the_i \leftarrow bit \times 2^i

8: reconstructed_integer \leftarrow reconstructed_integer + bit_by_two_to_the_i

9: end for

10: return reconstructed_integer
```

```
fn binary_digit_check<C: Config>(api: &mut API<C>, r: &[Variable]) {
      for &bit in r.iter() {
          let bit_minus_one = api.sub(1, bit);
          let bit_by_bit_minus_one = api.mul(bit, bit_minus_one);
          api.assert_is_zero(bit_by_bit_minus_one);
      }
  }
  fn from_binary <C: Config > (api: &mut API <C>, r: &[Variable], kappa: usize) -> Variable {
      binary_digit_check(api, r);
10
      let mut reconstructed_integer = api.constant(0);
      for (i, &bit) in r.iter().take(kappa).enumerate() {
13
          let bit_by_two_to_the_i = api.mul(1 << i, bit);</pre>
14
          reconstructed_integer = api.add(reconstructed_integer, bit_by_two_to_the_i);
15
16
18
      reconstructed_integer
19 }
```

Listing 2: ECC Rust API: reconstruct nonnegative integer from at most  $\kappa$  least significant bits and impose constraints

# **Algorithm 3.3** verify\_relu: verify ReLU(a) in an arithmetic circuit

```
Require: p is an n-bit prime, a is an integer in (-p/2, p/2], and kappa \leq n-1 is a nonnegative integer

Ensure: relu\_of\_a = max\{0, a\}

relu\_of\_a = max\{0, a\}
```

```
fn verify_relu<C: Config>(api: &mut API<C>, a: Variable, kappa: usize) -> Variable {
       // Step 1: Shift a by 2^(kappa - 1) mod p
      let shift = api.constant(1 << (kappa - 1));
let a_shifted = api.add(a, shift); // = a + 2^(kappa - 1) mod p</pre>
       // Step 2: Convert a_shifted to binary (unconstrained)
      let bits = to_binary(api, a_shifted, kappa);
       // Step 3: From binary -> impose bit constraints, reconstruct the sum
      let reconstructed = from_binary(api, &bits, kappa);
       // Step 4: Enforce that reconstructed == a_shifted mod p
      api.assert_is_equal(reconstructed, a_shifted);
14
       // Step 5: Compute ReLU(a) = a * bits[kappa-1]
      let relu_of_a = api.mul(a, bits[kappa - 1]);
16
18
       relu_of_a
19 }
```

Listing 3: ECC Rust API: ensuring correctness of a ReLU computation

## 4. EXAMPLE

Consider the prime p = 31, which is a 5-bit prime (n = 5), because  $2^4 \le 31 < 2^5$ .

- We fix  $\kappa = 4$ , which satisfies  $\kappa \le n 1$ .
- We let a range over  $(-p/2, p/2] \cap \mathbb{Z} = \{-15, ..., 15\}.$
- We compute r, the least residue of  $a \mod p$ .
- We compute  $r + 2^{\kappa 1}$ .

8: return relu\_of\_a

- We compute  $r^{\sharp}$ , the least residue of  $r + 2^{\kappa 1} \mod p$ .
- We compute  $(r_{\kappa-1},\ldots,r_0)$ , the  $\kappa$  least significant bits of  $r^{\sharp}$ .
- The constraints are  $r_i(r_i 1) \equiv 0 \mod p$  and

$$r + 2^{\kappa - 1} \equiv 2^{\kappa - 1} r_{\kappa - 1} + \dots + 2^{0} r_{0} \mod p.$$
 (\*)

- We compute the least residue of the right-hand side of (\*).
- We compare with  $r^{\sharp}$  to determine whether (\*) is satisfied.
- We compute  $r_{\kappa-1}r$ , which is equal to ReLU(a) if and only if the constraints are satisfied, or equivalently, if and only if  $-2^{\kappa-1} \le a < 2^{\kappa-1}$ .

а	r	$r+2^{\kappa-1}$	$r^{\sharp}$	$\kappa$ LSB $r^{\sharp}$	RHS(*)	(*) holds	$r_{\kappa-1}r$
-15	16	24	24	1000	8	×	16
-14	17	25	25	<b>1</b> 001	9	×	17
-13	18	26	26	<b>1</b> 010	10	X	18
-12	19	27	27	<b>1</b> 011	11	Х	19
-11	20	28	28	<b>1</b> 100	12	Х	20
-10	21	29	29	<b>1</b> 101	13	Х	21
-9	22	30	30	<b>1</b> 110	14	×	22
-8	23	31	0	0000	0	<b>✓</b>	0
-7	24	32	1	0001	1	/	0
-6	25	33	2	0010	2	1	0
-5	26	34	3	0011	3	1	0
-4	27	35	4	0100	4	✓	0
-3	28	36	5	0101	5	✓	0
-2	29	37	6	0110	6	✓	0
-1	30	38	7	<b>0</b> 111	7	✓	0
0	0	8	8	<b>1</b> 000	8	✓	0
1	1	9	9	<b>1</b> 001	9	✓	1
2	2	10	10	<b>1</b> 010	10	✓	2
3	3	11	11	<b>1</b> 011	11	✓	3
4	4	12	12	<b>1</b> 100	12	✓	4
5	5	13	13	<b>1</b> 101	13	✓	5
6	6	14	14	<b>1</b> 110	14	✓	6
7	7	15	15	<b>1</b> 111	15	✓	7
8	8	16	16	0000	0	×	0
9	9	17	17	0001	1	×	0
10	10	18	18	0010	2	×	0
11	11	19	19	0011	3	×	0
12	12	20	20	0100	4	×	0
13	13	21	21	0101	5	×	0
14	14	22	22	<b>0</b> 110	6	×	0
15	15	23	23	<b>0</b> 111	7	×	0

What if the assumption that  $a \in (-p/2, p/2]$  does not hold? The constraints may not be satisfied, revealing that the integer lies outside of the range  $[-2^{\kappa-1}, 2^{\kappa})$ , or  $r_{\kappa-1}r$  may not be equal to ReLU(a).

а	r	$r+2^{\kappa-1}$	$r^{\sharp}$	$\kappa$ LSB $r^{\sharp}$	RHS(*)	(*) holds	$r_{\kappa-1}r$
-30	1	9	9	<b>1</b> 001	9	✓	1
16	16	24	24	1000	8	Х	16

## REFERENCES

	TELL DICEIO
[1]	Polyhedra Network. ExpanderCompilerCollection. GitHub repository. Accessed January 28, 2025.