

# RANGE CHECK I

1	THE PROCESS	1
2	THE MATHS	2
3	THE CODE	4
4	EXAMPLE	5
	REFERENCES	7

## 1. THE PROCESS

- (1) The circuit operates over the finite field  $\mathbb{Z}/p\mathbb{Z}$ , where  $p$  is an  $n$ -bit prime (typically,  $n \approx 256$  in practice):

$$2^{n-1} < p < 2^n.$$

- (2) Assume<sup>1</sup> integer  $a$  lies in the range

$$-p/2 < a \leq p/2$$

and define  $r$  as the least residue<sup>2</sup> of  $a$  modulo  $p$ :

$$a \equiv r \pmod{p}, \quad 0 \leq r < p.$$

- (3) Fix<sup>3</sup>  $\kappa \leq n - 1$ . In practice,  $\kappa$  is typically at most 64 and is chosen as small as possible to minimize circuit size.

- (4) Compute the least residue  $r^\sharp$  of  $r + 2^{\kappa-1}$  modulo  $p$ , i.e. [Algorithm 3.3 Step 2, Listing 3]

$$r^\sharp \equiv r + 2^{\kappa-1} \pmod{p}, \quad 0 \leq r^\sharp < p.$$

- (5) Compute the  $\kappa$  least significant bits of  $r^\sharp$  [Proposition 2.3, Algorithm 3.1, Listing 1]:

$$r^\sharp = 2^\kappa q_\kappa + 2^{\kappa-1} r_{\kappa-1} + \cdots + 2^0 r_0, \quad q_\kappa \in \mathbb{Z}, \quad r_i \in \{0, 1\}, \quad 0 \leq i < \kappa.$$

- (6) Impose constraints in the arithmetic circuit [Algorithm 3.2, Listing 2]:

- For  $0 \leq i < \kappa$ , ensure  $r_i \equiv 0$  or  $1 \pmod{p}$  by requiring

$$r_i(r_i - 1) \equiv 0 \pmod{p}.$$

- Require [Algorithm 3.2, Listing 2; Algorithm 3.3 Step 3, Listing 3]

$$r + 2^{\kappa-1} \equiv 2^{\kappa-1} r_{\kappa-1} + \cdots + 2^0 r_0 \pmod{p}.$$

- (7) Assuming  $-p/2 < a \leq p/2$  in the first place and  $\kappa \leq n - 1$ , these constraints guarantee [Proposition 2.1]

$$-2^{\kappa-1} \leq a < 2^{\kappa-1} \quad \text{and} \quad a + 2^{\kappa-1} = 2^{\kappa-1} r_{\kappa-1} + \cdots + 2^0 r_0,$$

provided each  $r_i$  is taken as a least residue.<sup>4</sup>

**Remark 1.1.** Although the outline above and code in §3 illustrate how to verify whether a *single* integer  $a$  lies in the range  $[-2^{\kappa-1}, 2^{\kappa-1})$ , the procedure extends naturally to an array of integers. One simply applies the same sequence of steps to each element. ■

**Remark 1.2.** Suppose we wish to verify that an integer  $a$  lies in the interval  $[-S, S)$  where  $S$  is not a power of two. A common strategy is to choose  $\kappa$  such that  $2^{\kappa-1} \leq S$ , and then to verify that  $a \in [-2^{\kappa-1}, 2^{\kappa-1})$ , which is a subinterval of  $[-S, S)$ . This check is sufficient when  $a$  naturally falls within  $[-2^{\kappa-1}, 2^{\kappa-1})$ . However, if  $a$  lies in the remainder of the interval (i.e., in  $[-S, -2^{\kappa-1})$  or in  $[2^{\kappa-1}, S)$ ), then the  $\kappa$ -bit range check alone will fail to capture the full range. In such cases, one would need to adjust by, for example, verifying that  $a - 2^{\kappa-1}$  (or  $a + 2^{\kappa-1}$ ) lies in a correspondingly shifted range. This additional shifting complicates the procedure, so in practice it is often preferable either to choose  $S$  to be a power of two or to restrict  $a$  to a subinterval for which the simple  $\kappa$ -bit check suffices. ■

<sup>1</sup> This is a hypothesis of Proposition 2.1(b), which we invoke in (7). If it cannot be justified by off-circuit reasoning, then the result does not hold in general. One possible off-circuit justification is that  $a$  is represented as a signed 64-bit integer (i64) in the Rust framework. If  $p > 2^{65}$  then, since i64 constrains values to  $-2^{63} \leq a < 2^{63}$ , every valid i64 value necessarily satisfies  $-p/2 < a \leq p/2$ , ensuring the assumption holds without requiring an explicit range check.

<sup>2</sup> Since arithmetic circuit wires are represented by canonical elements in  $\{0, \dots, p-1\}$ , we must translate conditions on  $a$  into equivalent statements about  $r$ , and vice versa. This is particularly relevant when performing signed comparisons or encoding operations.

<sup>3</sup> The assumption that  $\kappa \leq n - 1$  means that integers cannot have multiple bitstring representations modulo  $p$ . There are  $2^\kappa$  bitstrings of length  $\kappa$ , and we want this to be less than  $p$ . For example,  $0 \equiv 2^4(1) + 2^0(1) \pmod{17}$ , so 00000 and 10001 both represent 0 modulo the 5-bit integer 17.

<sup>4</sup> In practice, wires in an arithmetic circuit are represented by canonical elements in  $\{0, \dots, p-1\}$ . Hence, if  $r_i \equiv 0$  or  $1 \pmod{p}$ , we indeed get  $r_i \in \{0, 1\}$ .

## 2. THE MATHS

**Proposition 2.1.** *Let  $m$  and  $n$  be integers satisfying  $2^{n-1} < m < 2^n$ . Let  $a$  be an integer, and let  $r$  denote its least residue modulo  $m$ . Suppose  $\kappa$  is a nonnegative integer such that  $\kappa < n$ , and for each  $0 \leq i < \kappa$ , let  $a_i$  be an integer with  $r_i$  as its least residue modulo  $m$ . Finally, define  $r^\sharp$  as the least residue of  $r + 2^{\kappa-1}$  modulo  $m$ .*

(a) *The following statements are equivalent:*

- (i)  $a_i \equiv 0$  or  $1 \pmod m$  for each  $0 \leq i < \kappa$  and  $r + 2^{\kappa-1} \equiv 2^{\kappa-1}a_{\kappa-1} + \cdots + 2^0a_0 \pmod m$ .
- (ii)  $r_i \in \{0, 1\}$  for each  $0 \leq i < \kappa$ , and  $r^\sharp = 2^{\kappa-1}r_{\kappa-1} + \cdots + 2^0r_0$ .

(b) *If  $-m/2 < a \leq m/2$  and (i) holds, then  $r^\sharp = a + 2^{\kappa-1}$ . Consequently: if  $r_{\kappa-1} = 0$ , then  $-2^{\kappa-1} \leq a < 0$ , while if  $r_{\kappa-1} = 1$ , then  $0 \leq a < 2^{\kappa-1}$ .*

**Remark 2.2.** In part (a), statement (i), the condition  $a_i \equiv 0$  or  $1 \pmod m$  is equivalent to  $a_i(a_i - 1) \equiv 0 \pmod m$  when  $m$  is prime.

Part (b) may be restated as follows: If  $-m/2 < a \leq m/2$  and (i) holds, then  $-2^{\kappa-1} \leq a < 2^{\kappa-1}$ , and  $(1 - r_{\kappa-1}, r_{\kappa-2}, \dots, r_0)$  is the  $\kappa$ -bit two's complement representation of  $a$ . ■

*Proof of Proposition 2.1.* (a) Suppose (ii) holds. Then, for each  $0 \leq i < \kappa$ , we have  $r_i \in \{0, 1\}$ , which, since  $a_i \equiv r_i \pmod m$ , implies that  $a_i \equiv 0$  or  $1 \pmod m$ . Furthermore,

$$r + 2^{\kappa-1} \equiv r^\sharp \equiv 2^{\kappa-1}r_{\kappa-1} + \cdots + 2^0r_0 \equiv 2^{\kappa-1}a_{\kappa-1} + \cdots + 2^0a_0 \pmod m.$$

Thus, (i) holds.

Conversely, suppose (i) holds. Then, since  $a_i \equiv 0$  or  $1 \pmod m$  and  $r_i$  is the least residue of  $a_i \pmod m$ , it follows that  $r_i \in \{0, 1\}$  for all  $0 \leq i < \kappa$ . Additionally,

$$r^\sharp \equiv r + 2^{\kappa-1} \equiv 2^{\kappa-1}a_{\kappa-1} + \cdots + 2^0a_0 \equiv 2^{\kappa-1}r_{\kappa-1} + \cdots + 2^0r_0 \pmod m.$$

Thus, there exists an integer  $t$  such that

$$r^\sharp + tm = 2^{\kappa-1}r_{\kappa-1} + \cdots + 2^0r_0.$$

To show that  $t = 0$ , we consider the cases:

- If  $t \geq 1$ , then since  $r^\sharp \geq 0$ ,  $2^{n-1} < m$ , and  $\kappa \leq n - 1$ , we obtain

$$r^\sharp + tm \geq m > 2^{n-1} \geq 2^\kappa > 2^{\kappa-1} + \cdots + 2^0 \geq 2^{\kappa-1}r_{\kappa-1} + \cdots + 2^0r_0,$$

contradicting the equality above.

- If  $t \leq -1$ , then since  $r^\sharp < m$ , we have

$$r^\sharp + tm < 0 \leq 2^{\kappa-1}r_{\kappa-1} + \cdots + 2^0r_0,$$

again leading to a contradiction.

Thus, we must have  $t = 0$ , proving that (ii) holds.

(b) Since  $a + 2^{\kappa-1} \equiv r + 2^{\kappa-1} \equiv r^\sharp \pmod m$ , we can write

$$a + 2^{\kappa-1} = r^\sharp + tm$$

for some integer  $t$ . Assuming (i) holds, which is equivalent to (ii), we obtain

$$a + 2^{\kappa-1} = r^\sharp + tm = 2^{\kappa-1}r_{\kappa-1} + \cdots + 2^0r_0 + tm.$$

To determine  $t$ , we consider two cases:

- If  $t \geq 1$ , then using  $2^{n-1} < m$  and  $\kappa \leq n - 1$ , we find

$$a \geq m - 2^{\kappa-1} \geq m - 2^{n-2} > m - m/2 = m/2.$$

This contradicts  $a \leq m/2$ .

- If  $t \leq -1$ , then

$$a \leq (2^{\kappa-2} + \dots + 2^0) - m < 2^{\kappa-1} - m \leq 2^{n-2} - m < m/2 - m = -m/2.$$

This contradicts  $a > -m/2$ .

Since both cases contradict the given bounds on  $a$ , we conclude that  $t = 0$ , yielding

$$r^\# = a + 2^{\kappa-1}.$$

Now, we analyze the sign of  $a$ :

- If  $r_{\kappa-1} = 0$ , then

$$a + 2^{\kappa-1} = 2^{\kappa-2}r_{\kappa-2} + \dots + 2^0r_0 \leq 2^{\kappa-2} + \dots + 2^0 < 2^{\kappa-1}.$$

Thus,

$$a < 2^{\kappa-1} - 2^{\kappa-1} = 0.$$

- If  $r_{\kappa-1} = 1$ , then

$$a + 2^{\kappa-1} = 2^{\kappa-1} + 2^{\kappa-2}r_{\kappa-2} + \dots + 2^0r_0 \geq 2^{\kappa-1}.$$

Hence

$$a \geq 0.$$

□

**Proposition 2.3.** Let  $q_0 \in \mathbb{Z}$ . For  $0 \leq i < \kappa$ , let  $q_{i+1}$  and  $a_i$  be the unique integers satisfying  $q_i = 2q_{i+1} + a_i$  and  $a_i \in \{0, 1\}$ .

(a) Then

$$q_0 = 2^\kappa q_\kappa + 2^{\kappa-1}a_{\kappa-1} + 2^{\kappa-2}a_{\kappa-2} + \dots + 2^0a_0. \quad (2.1)$$

(b) The following statements are equivalent: (i)  $0 \leq q_0 < 2^\kappa$ ; (ii)  $q_\kappa = 0$ ; (iii)  $(a_{\kappa-1}, \dots, a_0)$  is the  $\kappa$ -bit binary representation of  $q_0$ .

*Proof.* (a) We induct on  $\kappa$ . The result holds trivially for  $\kappa = 0$ . Suppose the result holds with  $\kappa = n$  for some  $n \geq 0$ . Now consider  $\kappa = n + 1$ . We have  $q_i = 2q_{i+1} + a_i$ ,  $a_i \in \{0, 1\}$  for  $0 \leq i < n$  and also  $i = n$ . By inductive hypothesis,

$$\begin{aligned} q_0 &= 2^n q_n + 2^{n-1}a_{n-1} + 2^{n-2}a_{n-2} + \dots + 2^0a_0 \\ &= 2^n(2q_{n+1} + a_n) + 2^{n-1}a_{n-1} + 2^{n-2}a_{n-2} + \dots + 2^0a_0 \\ &= 2^{n+1}q_{n+1} + 2^n a_n + \dots + 2^0a_0. \end{aligned}$$

(b) Suppose  $0 \leq q_0 < 2^\kappa$ . In view of (2.1), this implies

$$2^\kappa q_\kappa = q_0 - (2^{\kappa-1}a_{\kappa-1} + 2^{\kappa-2}a_{\kappa-2} + \dots + 2^0a_0) \leq q_0 < 2^\kappa.$$

Hence  $q_\kappa < 1$ . Also,

$$-2^\kappa q_\kappa = (2^{\kappa-1}a_{\kappa-1} + 2^{\kappa-2}a_{\kappa-2} + \dots + 2^0a_0) - q_0 \leq 2^{\kappa-1} + 2^{\kappa-2} + \dots + 2^0 < 2^\kappa.$$

Hence  $q_\kappa > -1$ . We must therefore have  $q_\kappa = 0$ , which implies

$$q_0 = 2^{\kappa-1}a_{\kappa-1} + 2^{\kappa-2}a_{\kappa-2} + \dots + 2^0a_0,$$

i.e.,  $(a_{\kappa-1}, \dots, a_0)$  is the  $\kappa$ -bit binary representation of  $q_0$ . Finally, if this holds, then  $0 \leq q_0 < 2^\kappa$ , because the right-hand side lies between 0 and  $2^{\kappa-1} + 2^{\kappa-2} + \dots + 2^0 = 2^\kappa - 1$ . □

### 3. THE CODE

The pseudocode and Rust code that follow are designed for implementation within the *ExpanderCompilerCollection* (ECC) framework [1]. This library provides a specialized interface for constructing and verifying arithmetic circuits.

---

**Algorithm 3.1** *to\_binary*: compute  $\kappa$  least significant bits of binary representation of a nonnegative integer

---

**Require:** nonnegative integers  $q_0$  and  $\kappa$

**Ensure:** a list  $r$  representing the  $\kappa$  least significant binary digits of  $q_0$

```

1:  $r \leftarrow []$  ▷ Initialize an empty list
2: for  $i \leftarrow 0$  to  $\kappa - 1$  do
3:   append  $q_0 \bmod 2$  to  $r$ 
4:    $q_0 \leftarrow \lfloor q_0/2 \rfloor$  ▷ Shift  $q_0$  to the right by one bit
5: end for
6: return  $r$ 

```

---

```

1 fn to_binary<C: Config>(api: &mut API<C>, q_0: Variable, kappa: usize) -> Vec<Variable> {
2     let mut r = Vec::with_capacity(kappa); // Preallocate vector
3     let mut q = q_0; // Copy q_0 to modify iteratively
4
5     for _ in 0..kappa {
6         r.push(api.unconstrained_bit_and(q, 1)); // Extract least significant bit
7         q = api.unconstrained_shift_r(q, 1); // Shift right by 1 bit
8     }
9
10    r
11 }

```

Listing 1: ECC Rust API: compute  $\kappa$  least significant bits of binary representation of a nonnegative integer

---

**Algorithm 3.2** *from\_binary*: reconstruct a nonnegative integer from at most  $\kappa$  least significant bits and impose constraints

---

**Require:** list of binary digits  $r$  and nonnegative integer  $\kappa$

**Ensure:** *reconstructed\_integer*: the integer represented by the first  $\kappa$  bits of  $r$

```

1: reconstructed_integer  $\leftarrow 0$ 
2: for  $i \leftarrow 0$  to  $\max\{\kappa - 1, \text{len}(r) - 1\}$  do
3:    $\text{bit} \leftarrow r[i]$  ▷ Binary digit check: ensure  $\text{bit} \in \{0, 1\}$ 
4:    $\text{bit\_minus\_one} \leftarrow 1 - \text{bit}$ 
5:    $\text{bit\_by\_bit\_minus\_one} \leftarrow \text{bit} \times \text{bit\_minus\_one}$ 
6:   assert  $\text{bit\_by\_bit\_minus\_one} = 0$ 
7:    $\text{bit\_by\_two\_to\_the\_i} \leftarrow \text{bit} \times 2^i$ 
8:   reconstructed_integer  $\leftarrow \text{reconstructed\_integer} + \text{bit\_by\_two\_to\_the\_i}$ 
9: end for
10: return reconstructed_integer

```

---

```

1 fn binary_digit_check<C: Config>(api: &mut API<C>, r: &[Variable]) {
2     for &bit in r.iter() {
3         let bit_minus_one = api.sub(1, bit);
4         let bit_by_bit_minus_one = api.mul(bit, bit_minus_one);
5         api.assert_is_zero(bit_by_bit_minus_one);
6     }
7 }
8
9 fn from_binary<C: Config>(api: &mut API<C>, r: &[Variable], kappa: usize) -> Variable {
10    binary_digit_check(api, r);
11    let mut reconstructed_integer = api.constant(0);
12
13    for (i, &bit) in r.iter().take(kappa).enumerate() {
14        let bit_by_two_to_the_i = api.mul(1 << i, bit);
15        reconstructed_integer = api.add(reconstructed_integer, bit_by_two_to_the_i);
16    }
17
18    reconstructed_integer
19 }

```

Listing 2: ECC Rust API: reconstruct nonnegative integer from at most  $\kappa$  least significant bits and impose constraints

---

**Algorithm 3.3** range\_check: verify whether  $a$  lies in  $[-2^{\kappa-1}, 2^{\kappa-1})$

---

**Require:**  $p$  is an  $n$ -bit prime,  $a$  is an integer in  $(-p/2, p/2]$ , and  $\kappa \leq n-1$  is a nonnegative integer

**Ensure:** Returns 1 if  $a \in [-2^{\kappa-1}, 2^{\kappa-1})$ , and 0 otherwise.

```

1: lr_a ← a mod p
2: shift ← 2κ-1
3: lr_a_shifted ← lr_a + shift mod p
4: bits ← to_binary(lr_a_shifted, κ)
5: reconstructed ← from_binary(bits, κ)
6: assert_equal(reconstructed, lr_a_shifted)
7: return (lr_a == reconstructed_a)

```

▷ Step 1: Compute least residue of  $a$  modulo  $p$   
 ▷ Step 2: Shift  $lr\_a$  by  $2^{\kappa-1}$   
 ▷ Step 3: Convert  $lr\_a\_shifted$  to an unconstrained  $\kappa$ -bit representation  
 ▷ Step 4: Impose binary constraints and reconstruct  
 ▷ Step 5: Return 1 if  $a$  is in the desired range, 0 otherwise

---

```

1 fn range_check<C: Config>(api: &mut API<C>, a: Variable, kappa: usize) -> Variable {
2   // Step 1: Shift a by 2^(kappa - 1) mod p
3   let shift = api.constant(1 << (kappa - 1));
4   let a_shifted = api.add(a, shift); // = a + 2^(kappa - 1) mod p
5
6   // Step 2: Convert a_shifted to binary (unconstrained)
7   let bits = to_binary(api, a_shifted, kappa);
8
9   // Step 3: From binary -> impose bit constraints, reconstruct the sum
10  let reconstructed = from_binary(api, &bits, kappa);
11
12  // Step 4: Compute the boolean check (1 if valid, 0 otherwise)
13  let is_valid = api.is_equal(a_shifted, reconstructed);
14
15  // Step 5: Return the boolean result (1 if in range, 0 otherwise)
16  is_valid
17 }

```

Listing 3: ECC Rust API: verify whether  $a$  lies in  $[-2^{\kappa-1}, 2^{\kappa-1})$

#### 4. EXAMPLE

Consider the prime  $p = 31$ , which is a 5-bit prime ( $n = 5$ ), because  $2^4 \leq 31 < 2^5$ .

- We fix  $\kappa = 4$ , which satisfies  $\kappa \leq n - 1$ .
- We let  $a$  range over  $(-p/2, p/2] \cap \mathbb{Z} = \{-15, \dots, 15\}$ .
- We compute  $r$ , the least residue of  $a \bmod p$ .
- We compute  $r + 2^{\kappa-1}$ .
- We compute  $r^\sharp$ , the least residue of  $r + 2^{\kappa-1} \bmod p$ .
- We compute  $(r_{\kappa-1}, \dots, r_0)$ , the  $\kappa$  least significant bits of  $r^\sharp$ .
- The constraints are  $r_i(r_i - 1) \equiv 0 \bmod p$  and

$$r + 2^{\kappa-1} \equiv 2^{\kappa-1}r_{\kappa-1} + \dots + 2^0r_0 \bmod p. \quad (*)$$

- We compute the least residue of the right-hand side of  $(*)$ .
- We compare with  $r^\sharp$  to determine whether  $(*)$  is satisfied. If it is, then  $a$  lies in  $[-2^{\kappa-1}, 2^{\kappa-1})$ . If not, then  $a$  lies outside of this range.

$a$	$r$	$r + 2^{\kappa-1}$	$r^\sharp$	$\kappa$ LSB $r^\sharp$	RHS(*)	(*) holds
-15	16	24	24	1000	8	✗
-14	17	25	25	1001	9	✗
-13	18	26	26	1010	10	✗
-12	19	27	27	1011	11	✗
-11	20	28	28	1100	12	✗
-10	21	29	29	1101	13	✗
-9	22	30	30	1110	14	✗
-8	23	31	0	0000	0	✓
-7	24	32	1	0001	1	✓
-6	25	33	2	0010	2	✓
-5	26	34	3	0011	3	✓
-4	27	35	4	0100	4	✓
-3	28	36	5	0101	5	✓
-2	29	37	6	0110	6	✓
-1	30	38	7	0111	7	✓
0	0	8	8	1000	8	✓
1	1	9	9	1001	9	✓
2	2	10	10	1010	10	✓
3	3	11	11	1011	11	✓
4	4	12	12	1100	12	✓
5	5	13	13	1101	13	✓
6	6	14	14	1110	14	✓
7	7	15	15	1111	15	✓
8	8	16	16	0000	0	✗
9	9	17	17	0001	1	✗
10	10	18	18	0010	2	✗
11	11	19	19	0011	3	✗
12	12	20	20	0100	4	✗
13	13	21	21	0101	5	✗
14	14	22	22	0110	6	✗
15	15	23	23	0111	7	✗

What if the assumption that  $a \in (-p/2, p/2]$  does not hold? Then the constraints may be satisfied, even though  $a$  does not lie in  $[-2^{\kappa-1}, 2^{\kappa-1})$ . This shows that the underlying assumption that  $a \in (-p/2, p/2]$  is crucial.

$a$	$r$	$r + 2^{\kappa-1}$	$r^\sharp$	$\kappa$ LSB $r^\sharp$	RHS(*)	(*) holds
-30	1	9	9	1001	9	✓
32	1	9	9	1001	9	✓

## REFERENCES

- [1] Polyhedra Network. *ExpanderCompilerCollection*. GitHub repository. Accessed January 28, 2025.