

## SBQ Answering Scheme

Inference	Comparison
<p>“What conclusion can you get from...”          “What does _ tell you”          “How far does source A agree with source B?”</p>	<p>How far are they different?  <u>How are</u> the two sources different/similar (ONLY 1)  <u>How similar</u> are the two sources? (BOTH)</p>
<p><b>V - verb</b> (to persuade, to convince) <b>NO SHOW/TELL/INFORM</b>  <b>A - audience</b> (who was this msg intended for)  <b>M - message</b> (what is the source trying to say) <b>INFERENCE</b>  <b>I - intended outcome</b> (reaction from target audience)</p> <p>E - evidence (For pictorial sources its what you can see)  <b>- ALWAYS INCLUDE THE TITLES / TEXT FOR PICTORIAL SOURCES</b></p> <p>E - explain</p>	<p><b>1. Have a valid POC</b></p> <p>Content (lower level)          Sources A and B are similar in terms of content as they both imply _____          Sources A and B are different [MUST BE OPPOSITE] in content as they both imply _____</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• From source A _____ (Ev)</li> <li>• From source B _____ (Ev)</li> </ul> <p>Tone</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Sources A and B are different in terms of tone. While source A is _____ source B is _____</li> <li>• From source A _____ (Ev)             <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>○ Implies that (Ev)</li> </ul> </li> <li>• From source B _____ (Ev)             <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>○ Implies that (ex)</li> </ul> </li> </ul>

## Reliability + Surprise

Paragraph	Content of Paragraph	
<b>1 - INFERENCE [2-3]</b>	Reliability	Surprise
	Infer msg of source + Quote evidence + explain +	Infer message + state surprise/not surprise + supporting evidence. (Source level)
<b>2 - CROSS-REFERENCE [4-5]</b>	Cross reference to contextual knowledge or to <b>other sources</b> ( <i>other sources easier</i> ) Quote. Explain both source differences. But make sure the source chosen is legit in terms of provenance else mark is cut down	
	reliable in terms of content <div style="border: 1px solid black; padding: 5px; margin-top: 10px;">                     Inference                      evidence                      explain                 </div>	<i>What caused this to be said/done [event]</i> it does (not) surprise me that _____ because (context) *if you change to other side, MUST repeat inference from source

3 - PURPOSE/TONE/CONTEXT [6-7]	Check reliability via purpose/tone/context	
	reliably in terms of purpose	Its intended purpose/when critically analyzed supports why i am/not surprised
	Verb audience message intended outcome	Verb audience message intended outcome

### Utility

	USEFUL	NOT USEFUL
Para 1	Inference from source + evidence + explain	Not useful + Infer from source
Para 2	Cross-reference to CK/Other sources	VAMI/Context Tone

### Use all sources

1st para	<p>Establish the “2” sides            Sources ____ support the statement that ____ while sources ____ do not support the statement and suggest that _____</p> <p><b>DO NOT COPY THE QN</b></p> <p>Economic interests -&gt; branch into from internal and external threats</p>
2nd para	<p>Sources _____ support the statement as they tell us that [Main Argument/Criterion].</p> <p>[Infer and cite source evidence followed by explanation. Eg. Source B inference + ev + ex, Source D inference + ev + ex]</p> <p>[Evaluate source(s)]</p> <p>*Note: If you have a few criteria for ‘support’, you may wish to present them in separate paragraphs before moving on to the ‘do not support’ paragraph. However, if you can lump up all your main arguments under one common criterion, you may present them in one paragraph.</p> <p>**Note: For the evaluation of sources, do at least for 2 sources. And they can be from either side or both sides.</p>

3rd para	<p>Sources _____ do not support the statement as they us that [Main Argument/Criterion].</p> <p>[Infer and cite source evidence followed by explanation. Eg. Source A inference + ev + ex, Source C inference + ev + ex]</p> <p>[Evaluate source(s)]</p> <p>*Note: If you have a few criteria for 'do not support', you may wish to present them in separate paragraphs. However, if you can lump up all your main arguments under one common criterion, you may present them in one paragraph.</p> <p>**Note: For the evaluation of sources, do at least for 2 sources. And they can be from either side or both sides.</p>
----------	--