

7.- Structural induction and datatypes

How do you define the following *infinite* sets?

- 1 $\{3, 7, 11, 15, 19, 23, \dots\}$
- 2 The set of all strings formed by 0's and 1's.
- 3 The set of all strings on some alphabet Σ .
- 4 The set of all arithmetic expressions on integers with sum and product.

Inductively defined sets

To define a set S “inductively” we need to give three things:

- **Basis:** Specify one or more elements that are in S .
 - **Induction Rule:** Give one or more rules telling how to construct a new element from an existing element in S .
 - **Closure:** Specify that no other elements are in S .
- The closure is generally assumed implicitly.
 - The functions that constructs the basis and the induction rules are called constructors.

Datatypes

- An inductive datatype is a type whose values are created using a fixed set of constructors.
- Each constructor has the form: $Ct(params)$
- Examples:
 - **datatype** List = Empty | Cons(**int**, List)
 - **datatype** Tree1 = Empty | Node(Tree1, **int**, Tree1)
 - **datatype** Tree2 = Leaf | Node(Tree2, **int**, Tree2)
 - **datatype** Tree3 = Leaf(**int**) | Node(Tree3, Tree3)

- Datatypes can be generic/polymorphic.
- An inductive datatype is declared as follows:

datatype $D\langle T_1, \dots, T_n \rangle = \text{Ctors}$

where

- Ctors is a non-empty | -separated list of constructors and
 - T_1, \dots, T_n are type-parameters/variables.
- Examples
 - **datatype** $\text{List}\langle T \rangle = \text{Empty} \mid \text{Cons}(T, \text{List}\langle T \rangle)$
 - **datatype** $\text{Tree1}\langle T \rangle = \text{Empty} \mid \text{Node}(\text{Tree1}\langle T \rangle, T, \text{Tree1}\langle T \rangle)$
 - **datatype** $\text{Tree2}\langle T \rangle = \text{Leaf} \mid \text{Node}(\text{Tree2}\langle T \rangle, T, \text{Tree2}\langle T \rangle)$

6.- Structural induction and datatypes

- A constructor can optionally declare a destructor for any of its parameters.
 - `Cons(head: T, tail: List<T>)`
 - `Node(left: Tree<T>, root: T, right: Tree<T>)`
- For each constructor `Ct`, the datatype implicitly declares a boolean member `Ct?`, which returns true for those values that have been constructed using `Ct`.

```
var t0 := Empty;  
var t1 := Node(t0, 5, t0);
```

then `t1.Node?` evaluates to true and `t0.Node?` does to false, whereas `t1.Empty?` evaluates to false and `t0.Empty?` does to true

Defining functions over inductively defined sets

Let S be an inductively defined set.

To inductively define a function f on the set S

- Basis: For all constant constructors c of S , define $f(c)$.
- Induction: For each constructor c of arity k , define $f(c(x_1, \dots, x_k))$ in terms of $f(x_1), \dots, f(x_k)$ (if necessary).

Exercises: Define a function that returns

- a function that returns the length of a list
- a function that returns the depth of a tree
- a function that returns the number of pairs of equal consecutive elements in a list
- a predicate saying whether a tree is full (i.e all its nodes have zero or two children).

match statement/expression

- Likewise the if-statement/expression, **match** for inductive datatypes can be used (with different syntax) as an statement (in methods, i.p. lemmas):

```
match Expr {  
  case Empty  $\Rightarrow$  Stmts0  
  case Node(l, d, r)  $\Rightarrow$  Stmts1  
}
```

or an expression (in functions, i.p. predicates):

```
match Expr  
  case Empty  $\Rightarrow$  Expr0  
  case Node(l, d, r)  $\Rightarrow$  Expr1
```

- Patterns can be nested.
- Patterns should be linear (i.e. each variable occurs exactly once in a pattern)

```
match xs
  case Nil ⇒ ...
  case Cons(h1, Nil) ⇒ ...
  // case Cons(h1, Cons(h1, t)) ⇒ ... //Non-linear pattern
  case Cons(h1, Cons(h2, t)) ⇒ ...
  case Cons(h1, Cons(h2, Cons(h3, Nil))) ⇒ ...
  case Cons(h1, Cons(h2, Cons(h3, Cons(h4, t)))) ⇒ ...
```


Structural Induction Proofs

Let S be an inductively defined set.

Let $P(x)$ be a property of x .

Claim. To show that, for all $x \in S$, $P(x)$, it suffices to show that:

- Basis: For all constant constructors c of S , $P(c)$.
- Induction: For each constructor c of arity k , show that if $P(x_1), \dots, P(x_k)$, then $P(c(x_1, \dots, x_k))$.

$(P(x_1), \dots, P(x_k))$ are the induction hypothesis

Exercise: Prove that the number of pairs of equal consecutive elements in a list is less or equal its length.