

Cambridge International AS & A Level

GEOGRAPHY

9696/31

Paper 3 Advanced Physical Geography Options

October/November 2024

MARK SCHEME

Maximum Mark: 60

Published

This mark scheme is published as an aid to teachers and candidates, to indicate the requirements of the examination. It shows the basis on which Examiners were instructed to award marks. It does not indicate the details of the discussions that took place at an Examiners' meeting before marking began, which would have considered the acceptability of alternative answers.

Mark schemes should be read in conjunction with the question paper and the Principal Examiner Report for Teachers.

Cambridge International will not enter into discussions about these mark schemes.

Cambridge International is publishing the mark schemes for the October/November 2024 series for most Cambridge IGCSE, Cambridge International A and AS Level components, and some Cambridge O Level components.

This document consists of **23** printed pages.

Generic Marking Principles

These general marking principles must be applied by all examiners when marking candidate answers. They should be applied alongside the specific content of the mark scheme or generic level descriptions for a question. Each question paper and mark scheme will also comply with these marking principles.

GENERIC MARKING PRINCIPLE 1:

Marks must be awarded in line with:

- the specific content of the mark scheme or the generic level descriptors for the question
- the specific skills defined in the mark scheme or in the generic level descriptors for the question
- the standard of response required by a candidate as exemplified by the standardisation scripts.

GENERIC MARKING PRINCIPLE 2:

Marks awarded are always **whole marks** (not half marks, or other fractions).

GENERIC MARKING PRINCIPLE 3:

Marks must be awarded **positively**:

- marks are awarded for correct/valid answers, as defined in the mark scheme. However, credit is given for valid answers which go beyond the scope of the syllabus and mark scheme, referring to your Team Leader as appropriate
- marks are awarded when candidates clearly demonstrate what they know and can do
- marks are not deducted for errors
- marks are not deducted for omissions
- answers should only be judged on the quality of spelling, punctuation and grammar when these features are specifically assessed by the question as indicated by the mark scheme. The meaning, however, should be unambiguous.

GENERIC MARKING PRINCIPLE 4:

Rules must be applied consistently, e.g. in situations where candidates have not followed instructions or in the application of generic level descriptors.

GENERIC MARKING PRINCIPLE 5:

Marks should be awarded using the full range of marks defined in the mark scheme for the question (however; the use of the full mark range may be limited according to the quality of the candidate responses seen).

GENERIC MARKING PRINCIPLE 6:

Marks awarded are based solely on the requirements as defined in the mark scheme. Marks should not be awarded with grade thresholds or grade descriptors in mind.

A Level Geography 9696 (Paper 3 and Paper 4) specific marking instructions

Examiners must use the following annotations:

Annotation	Meaning	Use
	Correct point	Point-marked questions only: Resource-based questions part (a)
	Level 4	Levels-marked questions only: Essay questions
	Level 3	Levels-marked questions only: Resource-based questions part (b), and Essay questions
	Level 2	Levels-marked questions only: Resource-based questions part (b), and Essay questions
	Level 1	Levels-marked questions only: Resource-based questions part (b), and Essay questions
	Level 0 – No creditable response	Levels-marked questions only: Resource-based questions part (b), and Essay questions
Highlight	Creditworthy part of an extended response	Levels-marked questions only: Resource-based questions part (b), and Essay questions
Item level comment	Short statement to justify the level given for an essay, using wording from the mark scheme	Levels-marked questions only: Essay questions
	Evaluative point	Levels-marked questions only: Essay questions
	Omission or further development/detail needed to gain credit	All questions
	Unclear or validity is doubted	All questions
	Developed point	All questions
	Appropriate example or case study given	All questions
	Irrelevant	All questions
	Material that does not answer the question	All questions

Annotation	Meaning	Use
	Highlighting a significant part of an extended response – to be used with another annotation e.g. IRRL or EVAL	Levels-marked questions only: Resource-based questions part (b), and Essay questions
SEEN	1. Diagram or essay plan has been seen but no specific credit given 2. Additional page has been checked	1. Any diagrams or essay plans 2. All blank pages in the provided generic answer booklet and/or extension answer booklet(s).
R	Rubric error	Optional questions only (place at start of question not being credited): Whole paper

Examiners must consider the following guidance when marking the essay questions:

Candidates are free to develop their own approach to the question and responses will vary depending on the example(s) chosen. Whichever approach is chosen, essays which address the question and support their argument with relevant examples will be credited. The direction of the response and evaluation made will depend on the approach chosen, and any evaluation is therefore valid if argued and based on evidence.

Answer questions from **two** different options.

Tropical environments

If answering this option, answer Question 1 and **either** Question 2 **or** Question 3.

Question	Answer	Marks
1(a)	<p>Fig. 1.1 is a photograph which shows a tower karst landscape in China.</p> <p>Describe the physical features of the tower karst landscape shown in Fig. 1.1.</p> <p>The following physical features could be described:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none">• Tall/conical hills• Vertical/steep hillsides/cliffs• High density of towers• Relatively even tower summit heights• Patches of bare rock (which might be evidence of rock falls)• Well wooded/vegetated• Rise from a flat alluvial plain/floodplain• Large meandering river on the flat valley floor• Towers seem to be in line• Vertical cracks/fissures <p>1 mark for each descriptive point. Evidence from photograph required for maximum marks. No credit given for identifying human features.</p>	4

Question	Answer	Marks
1(b)	<p>Explain the formation of tropical tower karst.</p> <p>It is a tower karst landscape. Tower karst seems to develop from cone karst in areas with tectonic uplift. The main factors are:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Relatively thick, well-jointed limestone rock • Intense carbonation weathering along the joints under hot, wet conditions (tropical, sub-tropical) • Weathering might be aided by vegetation cover (humic acids from rapidly decomposing vegetation in tropical climates) • Preferential weathering leads to depressions (dolines), guided by joint systems, which increase in size and may collapse forming cone karst • Collapse of subterranean cave systems • Uplift leads to intense weathering at the water table, leading to undercutting and retreat of the towers • Rivers developing on the flat plains between the towers might increase the undercutting and collapse <p>Award marks based on the quality of explanation and breadth of the response using the marking levels below.</p> <p>Level 3 (5–6) Response clearly explains the formation of tropical tower karst. Response is well founded in detailed knowledge and strong conceptual understanding of the topic. Examples used are appropriate and integrated effectively into the response.</p> <p>Level 2 (3–4) Response explains the formation of tropical tower karst. Response develops on a largely secure base of knowledge and understanding. Examples may lack detail or development.</p> <p>Level 1 (1–2) Response describes the formation of tropical tower karst. Knowledge is basic and understanding may be inaccurate. Examples are in name only or lacking entirely.</p> <p>Level 0 (0) No creditable response.</p>	6

Question	Answer	Marks
2	<p>Assess the view that the main difference between the climates of humid tropical and seasonally humid tropical environments is the amount of annual rainfall.</p> <p>Discussion of the climates of the two environments should consider both temperature and rainfall, although the emphasis will be mainly on rainfall. The differences are caused by the seasonal movement of the overhead sun and the passage of the intertropical convergence zone (ITCZ).</p> <p>Humid tropical environments tend to have greater rainfall amounts and, although it is concentrated in two periods, tend to have some rainfall in most months. Seasonally humid tropical environments have rainfall concentrated in one period when the ITCZ is overhead. Rainfall amounts also tend to be smaller. Temperatures are relatively high and constant throughout the year in humid tropical environments whereas temperatures are high in the dry season (higher than the humid tropical environment) in seasonally humid tropical environments and lower during the rainy season. Some candidates might also refer to monsoon climates.</p> <p>Award marks based on the quality of the response using the marking levels below.</p> <p>Level 4 (16–20) Response thoroughly discusses the view that the main difference between the climates of humid tropical and seasonally humid tropical environments is the amount of annual rainfall. An effective and sustained evaluation with a sound conclusion. Response is well founded in detailed exemplar knowledge and strong conceptual understanding of the topic. Examples used are appropriate and integrated effectively into the response.</p> <p>Level 3 (11–15) Response discusses the view that the main difference between the climates of humid tropical and seasonally humid tropical environments is the amount of annual rainfall. Response is broadly evaluative in character, comprising some explanatory or narrative content and a conclusion. Response develops on a largely secure base of knowledge and understanding with the use of example(s).</p> <p>Level 2 (6–10) Response demonstrates some knowledge and understanding of the view that the main difference between the climates of humid tropical and seasonally humid tropical environments is the amount of annual rainfall. Response is mainly descriptive or explanatory in approach and contains a brief or thinly supported evaluation. Responses without the use of example(s) to support the response will not get above the middle of Level 2 (8 marks).</p> <p>Level 1 (1–5) Response makes a few general points about the view that the main difference between the climates of humid tropical and seasonally humid tropical environments is the amount of annual rainfall. A descriptive response comprising a few simple points. Knowledge is basic and understanding may be poor and lack relevance to the question set.</p> <p>Level 0 (0) No creditable response.</p>	20

Question	Answer	Marks
3	<p>With reference to a case study from either the rainforest ecosystem or savanna ecosystem, assess the relative significance of the threats to the ecosystem.</p> <p>The detail provided will depend on the environment chosen and the nature of the case study. Threats to the ecosystem will be essentially related to human activity such as the effects of deforestation partly determined by the extent of deforestation in both ecosystems, how it has been achieved (selective logging, clearcutting, burning) and what it has been replaced with (crops, mining, HEP, highways, etc). These will include loss of biodiversity, soil degradation, increased soil erosion and runoff into rivers. These, especially in rainforest ecosystems, may have an influence on the local weather patterns. The threats posed by changes of climate with global warming might also be considered. Candidates may also consider threats linked to population growth (e.g. increasing the demand for bushmeat, fuel) and mismanagement (e.g. corruption of national park officials), as well as natural threats such as wildfires (possible link to global warming), flooding, etc.</p> <p>Significance of threats could also be assessed in terms of how easy each threat is to manage (local vs global).</p> <p>Award marks based on the quality of the response using the marking levels below.</p> <p>Level 4 (16–20) Response thoroughly discusses a case study from either the rainforest ecosystem or savanna ecosystem to assess the relative significance of the threats to the ecosystem. An effective and sustained evaluation with a sound conclusion. Response is well founded in detailed exemplar knowledge and strong conceptual understanding of the topic. Examples used are appropriate and integrated effectively into the response.</p> <p>Level 3 (11–15) Response discusses a case study from either the rainforest ecosystem or savanna ecosystem to assess the relative significance of the threats to the ecosystem. Response is broadly evaluative in character, comprising some explanatory or narrative content and a conclusion. Response develops on a largely secure base of knowledge and understanding with the use of example(s).</p> <p>Level 2 (6–10) Response demonstrates some knowledge and understanding of a case study from either the rainforest ecosystem or savanna ecosystem to assess the relative significance of the threats to the ecosystem. Response is mainly descriptive or explanatory in approach and contains a brief or thinly supported evaluation. Responses without the use of example(s) to support the response will not get above the middle of Level 2 (8 marks).</p>	20

Question	Answer	Marks
3	<p>Level 1 (1–5) Response makes a few general points about a case study from <u>either</u> the rainforest ecosystem <u>or</u> savanna ecosystem to assess the relative significance of the threats to the ecosystem. A descriptive response comprising a few simple points. Knowledge is basic and understanding may be poor and lack relevance to the question set.</p> <p>Level 0 (0) No creditable response.</p>	

Coastal environments

If answering this option, answer Question 4 and **either** Question 5 **or** Question 6.

Question	Answer	Marks
4(a)	<p>Fig. 4.1 shows the global distribution of coastal sand dunes.</p> <p>Describe the global distribution of coastal sand dunes shown in Fig. 4.1.</p> <p>The main points that could be mentioned are:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • They occur on the coasts of all continents in the northern and southern hemispheres/widespread • More in the northern hemisphere • Fewer on the coasts of the Mediterranean • More on east coast than north coast of North America • Relatively sparse on coast of South America • Evenly spread along the coasts of Africa and Australia • Less frequent on the coasts of Asia • None around Antarctic nor Arctic Ocean except a small section in northern Russia • Sparse in equatorial regions/SE Asian islands • Most coastlines around North Atlantic have sand dunes e.g. Gulf of Mexico, western coast of Africa • More common around Atlantic and Indian Oceans than around the Pacific • Large areas in Australia/New Zealand and NW Europe <p>1 mark for each descriptive point. Reserve 1 mark for use of data/specific locations from the map.</p> <p>Max. 2 marks for simple statements of locations. The idea of a global distribution needs to be identified.</p>	4

Question	Answer	Marks
4(b)	<p>Explain why sand dunes occur on some coastlines.</p> <p>Explanation will be based on the following factors:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Need for a sand supply • Need for relatively strong and frequent onshore winds, hence conspicuous in swell-wave/constructive wave environments • Relatively large tidal range to expose sand to dry out for onshore transport, hence wide, flat, sandy beach required • May be associated with longshore drift • Obstacle to break the wind e.g. driftwood, rock, plant • Vegetation to stabilise dunes • Sheltered from storms • Low levels of human disturbance, or possibly areas where humans have encouraged sand dune development through conservation • Relatively low-lying coastline required/unlikely to occur on rocky coastlines <p>Award marks based on the quality of explanation and breadth of the response using the marking levels below.</p> <p>Level 3 (5–6) Response clearly explains why sand dunes occur on some coastlines. Response is well founded in detailed knowledge and strong conceptual understanding of the topic. Examples used are appropriate and integrated effectively into the response.</p> <p>Level 2 (3–4) Response explains why sand dunes occur on some coastlines. Response develops on a largely secure base of knowledge and understanding. Examples may lack detail or development.</p> <p>Level 1 (1–2) Response describes how sand dunes occur on some coastlines. Knowledge is basic and understanding may be inaccurate. Examples are in name only or lacking entirely.</p> <p>Level 0 (0) No creditable response.</p>	6

Question	Answer	Marks
5	<p>'Some coral reefs are under greater threat than others.'</p> <p>How far do you agree with this view?</p> <p>Corals exhibit a symbiotic relationship between coral polyps and zooxanthellae algae, and have specific conditions for growth (sea temperature, sunlight for photosynthesis, wave conditions, salinity, etc).</p> <p>The main threats to coral reefs are therefore:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Warming sea temperatures • Acidification leading to bleaching • Rising sea level (low level threat as coral can grow faster than sea level rises) • Pollution – from land (fertilisers), sediment, industrial, oil • Physical damage – storms, blast fishing, anchors, etc. • Fishing – beam trawling, cyanide fishing • Sedimentation • Tourism activities and coastal development e.g. release of sewage • Invasive species (COTS, Lionfish) <p>Better answers will note that some of these threats are global and apply to all coral reefs. However, many will be site specific, such as tourism, pollution, etc. Some candidates may also discuss protected areas and the management of reefs, including education of tourists. Level of development could be important here as it may determine the level of threat, and also the level of management that is possible. Differentiation of the Levels will be in terms of the detail on the threats and the argument about differing vulnerability.</p> <p>Award marks based on the quality of the response using the marking levels below.</p> <p>Level 4 (16–20) Response thoroughly discusses the view that some coral reefs are under greater threat than others. An effective and sustained evaluation with a sound conclusion. Response is well founded in detailed exemplar knowledge and strong conceptual understanding of the topic. Examples used are appropriate and integrated effectively into the response.</p> <p>Level 3 (11–15) Response discusses the view that some coral reefs are under greater threat than others. Response is broadly evaluative in character, comprising some explanatory or narrative content and a conclusion. Response develops on a largely secure base of knowledge and understanding with the use of example(s).</p> <p>Level 2 (6–10) Response demonstrates some knowledge and understanding of the view that some coral reefs are under greater threat than others. Response is mainly descriptive or explanatory in approach and contains a brief or thinly supported evaluation. Responses without the use of example(s) to support the response will not get above the middle of Level 2 (8 marks).</p>	20

Question	Answer	Marks
5	<p>Level 1 (1–5) Response makes a few general points about the view that some coral reefs are under greater threat than others. A descriptive response comprising a few simple points. Knowledge is basic and understanding may be poor and lack relevance to the question set.</p> <p>Level 0 (0) No creditable response.</p>	

Question	Answer	Marks
6	<p>Assess the influence of wave characteristics on the formation and characteristics of coastal landforms.</p> <p>The question does not differentiate between the types of landforms, thus both erosional and depositional landforms are relevant for discussion. The assessment will be in terms of the wave characteristics (energy, breaking type, direction of approach, wave refraction) and their impact on coasts. Much of the emphasis may be on coasts dominated by depositional landforms but features such as cliffs, wave-cut shore platforms, are also acceptable. Discussion of other factors might be appropriate, such as rock type and structure for erosional landforms, sub-aerial weathering, coastal morphology such as river estuaries (e.g. for development of spits), the supply of sediment for depositional landforms and sea level change. Also, the role of management by humans could be relevant.</p> <p>Award marks based on the quality of the response using the marking levels below.</p> <p>Level 4 (16–20) Response thoroughly discusses the influence of wave characteristics on the formation and characteristics of coastal landforms. An effective and sustained evaluation with a sound conclusion. Response is well founded in detailed exemplar knowledge and strong conceptual understanding of the topic. Examples used are appropriate and integrated effectively into the response.</p> <p>Level 3 (11–15) Response discusses the influence of wave characteristics on the formation and characteristics of coastal landforms. Response is broadly evaluative in character, comprising some explanatory or narrative content and a conclusion. Response develops on a largely secure base of knowledge and understanding with the use of example(s).</p> <p>Level 2 (6–10) Response demonstrates some knowledge and understanding of the influence of wave characteristics on the formation and characteristics of coastal landforms. Response is mainly descriptive or explanatory in approach and contains a brief or thinly supported evaluation. Responses without the use of example(s) to support the response will not get above the middle of Level 2 (8 marks).</p> <p>Level 1 (1–5) Response makes a few general points about the influence of wave characteristics on the formation and characteristics of coastal landforms. A descriptive response comprising a few simple points. Knowledge is basic and understanding may be poor and lack relevance to the question set.</p> <p>Level 0 (0) No creditable response.</p>	20

Hazardous environments

If answering this option, answer Question 7 and **either** Question 8 **or** Question 9.

Question	Answer	Marks
7(a)	<p>Fig. 7.1 shows average tsunami heights along the coastline of North and South Island, New Zealand, over the last 2500 years.</p> <p>Describe the distribution of average tsunami heights of 10.0–11.9m <u>and</u> 12m and above shown in Fig. 7.1.</p> <p>The main relevant points are:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Concentrated on extreme south-west tip of South Island • A concentration on the east coast of North Island • Intermittent on the north coast of North Island • North-west tip of North Island • Lack on the west coast of South Island • Those over 10m are more common on coasts of South Pacific Ocean than Tasman Sea • More widespread on North Island than South Island • Found almost all the way along the SE coast of North Island, at the very northern tip of North Island, in the extreme SW of South Island, and there is a small section on the north side of the promontory midway down the east coast of South Island (the Banks Peninsula). • 10.0–11.9 m more widespread than 12 m and above • 12 m and above tsunami are located at the extreme NE tip of North Island, along the NE edge of the peninsula at the north of North Island, and in a couple of very small areas in SW South Island. <p>1 mark for each descriptive point. Map evidence required for maximum marks. Candidates should ensure they distinguish between the North and South Islands</p>	4

Question	Answer	Marks
7(b)	<p>Explain <u>two</u> factors that influence the height of a tsunami on reaching a coastline.</p> <p>A tsunami is a series of waves, usually in an ocean, caused by the displacement of a large volume of water. Earthquakes, volcanic eruptions, landslides above or below water, all have the potential to generate a tsunami. It is not a tidal wave. Thus size (both energy level and spatial size) of the triggering mechanisms, distance from the disturbance (how far the wave has travelled) and nature of the coastline (configuration, water depth. etc) are all important factors.</p> <p>Award marks based on the quality of explanation and breadth of the response using the marking levels below.</p> <p>Level 3 (5–6) Response clearly explains <u>two</u> factors that influence the height of a tsunami on reaching a coastline. Response is well founded in detailed knowledge and strong conceptual understanding of the topic. Examples used are appropriate and integrated effectively into the response.</p> <p>Level 2 (3–4) Response explains <u>one or two</u> factors that influence the height of a tsunami on reaching a coastline. Response develops on a largely secure base of knowledge and understanding. Examples may lack detail or development.</p> <p>Level 1 (1–2) Response describes factors that influence the height of a tsunami on reaching a coastline. Knowledge is basic and understanding may be inaccurate. Examples are in name only or lacking entirely.</p> <p>Level 0 (0) No creditable response.</p>	6

Question	Answer	Marks
8	<p>'Some types of mass movement are more hazardous than others.'</p> <p>How far do you agree with this view?</p> <p>This requires an analysis of the various types of mass movement (landslides of many types, mudflows, avalanches, rock fall, soil creep) with an assessment of their hazardous potential. 'Type' could also be interpreted in terms of rate of movement (fast/slow), water content and material the mass movement is composed of. Hazardous potential will depend on size, speed of movement, frequency, predictability and location with respect to the impacts on human activity. Candidates may also consider the management of these hazards to reduce the risks, such as the use of hazard mapping, prevention methods and the ability to predict the mass movement as these might vary with type of mass movement and their manageability.</p> <p>Award marks based on the quality of the response using the marking levels below.</p> <p>Level 4 (16–20) Response thoroughly discusses the view that some types of mass movement are more hazardous than others. An effective and sustained evaluation with a sound conclusion. Response is well founded in detailed exemplar knowledge and strong conceptual understanding of the topic. Examples used are appropriate and integrated effectively into the response.</p> <p>Level 3 (11–15) Response discusses the view that some types of mass movement are more hazardous than others. Response is broadly evaluative in character, comprising some explanatory or narrative content and a conclusion. Response develops on a largely secure base of knowledge and understanding with the use of example(s).</p> <p>Level 2 (6–10) Response demonstrates some knowledge and understanding of the view that some types of mass movement are more hazardous than others. Response is mainly descriptive or explanatory in approach and contains a brief or thinly supported evaluation. Responses without the use of example(s) to support the response will not get above the middle of Level 2 (8 marks).</p> <p>Level 1 (1–5) Response makes a few general points about the view that some types of mass movement are more hazardous than others. A descriptive response comprising a few simple points. Knowledge is basic and understanding may be poor and lack relevance to the question set.</p> <p>Level 0 (0) No creditable response.</p>	20

Question	Answer	Marks
9	<p>Assess the view that storm surges are the most significant hazard from large-scale atmospheric disturbances (cyclones, hurricanes, typhoons).</p> <p>Hazards from large-scale atmospheric disturbances are storm surges, coastal flooding, intense rainfall, river flooding, mass movement and high winds. These hazards need to be discussed with an assessment of the hazardous effects of storm surges with respect to the other hazards. It may be appropriate for candidates to include ways hazards can be managed or the responses such as buildings, levées, monitoring and evacuation for storm surges compared to the other hazards. The level of development of an area may well be discussed, as LICs may be less able to cope with some hazards than others in terms of social impacts, but HICs may face greater economic impacts. Other factors such as the physical nature of the coast may be important (storm surge more impactful on low-lying/gently shelving coasts). It is expected that the assessment will be underpinned by relevant specific examples.</p> <p>Award marks based on the quality of the response using the marking levels below.</p> <p>Level 4 (16–20) Response thoroughly discusses the view that storm surges are the most significant hazard from large-scale atmospheric disturbances (cyclones, hurricanes, typhoons). An effective and sustained evaluation with a sound conclusion. Response is well founded in detailed exemplar knowledge and strong conceptual understanding of the topic. Examples used are appropriate and integrated effectively into the response.</p> <p>Level 3 (11–15) Response discusses the view that storm surges are the most significant hazard from large-scale atmospheric disturbances (cyclones, hurricanes, typhoons). Response is broadly evaluative in character, comprising some explanatory or narrative content and a conclusion. Response develops on a largely secure base of knowledge and understanding with the use of example(s).</p> <p>Level 2 (6–10) Response demonstrates some knowledge and understanding of the view that storm surges are the most significant hazard from large-scale atmospheric disturbances (cyclones, hurricanes, typhoons). Response is mainly descriptive or explanatory in approach and contains a brief or thinly supported evaluation. Responses without the use of example(s) to support the response will not get above the middle of Level 2 (8 marks).</p> <p>Level 1 (1–5) Response makes a few general points about the view that storm surges are the most significant hazard from large-scale atmospheric disturbances (cyclones, hurricanes, typhoons). A descriptive response comprising a few simple points. Knowledge is basic and understanding may be poor and lack relevance to the question set.</p> <p>Level 0 (0) No creditable response.</p>	20

Hot arid and semi-arid environments

If answering this option, answer Question 10 and **either** Question 11 **or** Question 12.

Question	Answer	Marks
10(a)	<p>Fig. 10.1 shows a model of landforms in a hot arid environment.</p> <p>Describe landforms X and Y shown in Fig. 10.1.</p> <p>Landform X is a butte/inselberg/mesa. Characteristics are:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Flat-topped • Isolated/detached from the mountain front • Vertical cliff at the summit • Gentler slope below the cap • Almost round in shape • Rises from flat plain • Wide base <p>Landform Y is an alluvial fan. Characteristics are:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Emerges from a canyon/wadi • Spreads over the flat plain both laterally and longitudinally • Stops a short distance from the mountain front • Shallow angle/relatively flat/gentle slope from canyon to plain • Small ‘point’ at lowest end • Appears to get wider as further from canyon mouth <p>No mark for naming the landform. 1 mark for each descriptive point. Reserve 2 marks for each landform.</p>	4

Question	Answer	Marks
10(b)	<p>Explain the formation of the landforms labelled X and Y in Fig. 10.1.</p> <p><u>Landform X (butte/inselberg/mesa):</u></p> <p>A remnant of the mountain front detached by weathering and erosion from the mountain front. A resistant residual with a protective more resistant cap rock. Retreat will be aided by rock fall from the cap rock. The gentler slope at the base of the cap rock will be affected by mass movement, surface slope wash and rock falling from the cap rock.</p> <p>Uplift of the land led to river erosion cutting down into the plateau surface – either during rare rainfall events or during a wetter pluvial period in the past. V-shaped valleys were formed and then slope retreat widened these valleys into steep sided but flat-bottomed features. Slope retreat was encouraged by undercutting of the meandering rivers, weathering of the slopes, and the protection provided by the cap rock. Eventually the ‘valleys’ became so wide that the butte became detached from the inselberg, but with a piece of the cap rock remaining.</p> <p><u>Landform Y (alluvial fan/playa):</u></p> <p>Flash flood emanating from the canyon spreads out therefore loses energy and gradually deposits any sediment it is carrying. The coarser material is deposited first near the canyon mouth and the finer material is carried further out onto the plain. This leads to a concave profile being steeper near the canyon mouth. This could have operated in a pluvial period in the past.</p> <p>Candidates may identify landform Y as a playa, where water from the mountain front may have collected in a depression and then evaporated to leave behind salts.</p> <p>Award marks based on the quality of explanation and breadth of the response using the marking levels below.</p> <p>Level 3 (5–6) Response clearly explains the formation of the landforms labelled X <u>and</u> Y in Fig. 10.1. Response is well founded in detailed knowledge and strong conceptual understanding of the topic. Examples used are appropriate and integrated effectively into the response.</p> <p>Level 2 (3–4) Response explains the formation of the landforms labelled X <u>and/or</u> Y in Fig. 10.1. Response develops on a largely secure base of knowledge and understanding. Examples may lack detail or development.</p> <p>Level 1 (1–2) Response describes the formation of the landforms labelled X <u>and</u> Y in Fig. 10.1. Knowledge is basic and understanding may be inaccurate. Examples are in name only or lacking entirely.</p> <p>Level 0 (0) No creditable response.</p>	6

Question	Answer	Marks
11	<p>Assess the view that the degradation of soils and vegetation in semi-arid environments is mainly caused by salinisation.</p> <p>Salinisation is the process by which salts accumulate in the soil where there is insufficient rain to leach away soluble salts, and upward soil water movement by capillary action plus evaporation leads to salt precipitation. Salinisation creates solonchaks soils which are easily eroded by wind. Irrigation projects can make salinisation worse e.g. areas of Pakistan and the Sahel. Salinisation is one of the main causes of land degradation but overgrazing, deforestation, overcultivation (all linked to population pressure) and climate (periods of drought) lead to desertification. Climate change is likely linked to periods of drought, so they may become more frequent or more intense.</p> <p>Award marks based on the quality of the response using the marking levels below.</p> <p>Level 4 (16–20) Response thoroughly discusses the view that the degradation of soils and vegetation in semi-arid environments is mainly caused by salinisation. An effective and sustained evaluation with a sound conclusion. Response is well founded in detailed exemplar knowledge and strong conceptual understanding of the topic. Examples used are appropriate and integrated effectively into the response.</p> <p>Level 3 (11–15) Response discusses the view that the degradation of soils and vegetation in semi-arid environments is mainly caused by salinisation. Response is broadly evaluative in character, comprising some explanatory or narrative content and a conclusion. Response develops on a largely secure base of knowledge and understanding with the use of example(s).</p> <p>Level 2 (6–10) Response demonstrates some knowledge and understanding of the view that the degradation of soils and vegetation in semi-arid environments is mainly caused by salinisation. Response is mainly descriptive or explanatory in approach and contains a brief or thinly supported evaluation. Responses without the use of example(s) to support the response will not get above the middle of Level 2 (8 marks).</p> <p>Level 1 (1–5) Response makes a few general points about the view that the degradation of soils and vegetation in semi-arid environments is mainly caused by salinisation. A descriptive response comprising a few simple points. Knowledge is basic and understanding may be poor and lack relevance to the question set.</p> <p>Level 0 (0) No creditable response.</p>	20

Question	Answer	Marks
12	<p>'Aridity is always caused by a combination of factors.'</p> <p>How far do you agree with this view?</p> <p>The main causes of aridity are pressure and wind systems, cold ocean currents, continentality and the rain shadow effect.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • High pressure – linked to the global atmospheric circulation (Hadley Cells), descending air leads to dry weather and a lack of clouds • Distance from the sea – air gains moisture over the ocean and then progressively loses it as it blows inland. After a considerable distance, the air is too dry to produce rainfall. • Offshore winds – winds blowing over the land tend to be dry and so when they blow from land to sea there is little chance of rainfall • Cold ocean currents – linked to offshore winds • The rain-shadow effect <p>Some arid areas will be affected by only one of these factors and some by a combination of these factors and this possibility needs to be assessed with respect to specific examples, for example:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Sahara – continentality, offshore winds (NE Trade winds) and a cold ocean current (Canaries current) and high pressure • Namib/Kalahari region – High pressure on the Tropic of Capricorn, combines with offshore SE Trade winds and the cold Benguela current • Atacama – high pressure on the Tropic of Capricorn, combines with offshore SE Trade winds and the cold Humboldt/Peru current, plus rain shadow effect of the Andes • In Australia, Simpson Desert affected by High pressure (Tropic of Capricorn) and distance from the sea and rain shadow. Further west, the Great Sandy Desert is affected by the same high-pressure zone, and the same SE Trade winds. However, being closer to the Indian Ocean, offshore winds and a cold ocean current (West Australian Current) also contribute to the aridity. • Similarly, multiple factors affect the deserts of North America (Mojave and Sonoran) and SW Asia (Arabian, Iranian and Thar). • However, Gobi is almost entirely the result of distance from the sea and the rain shadow effect. The Patagonian Desert of SE Argentina is caused primarily by the rain shadow effect of westerly winds blowing over the Andes mountains. However, these are temperate rather than hot deserts. <p>Award marks based on the quality of the response using the marking levels below.</p> <p>Level 4 (16–20) Response thoroughly discusses the view that aridity is always caused by a combination of factors. An effective and sustained evaluation with a sound conclusion. Response is well founded in detailed exemplar knowledge and strong conceptual understanding of the topic. Examples used are appropriate and integrated effectively into the response.</p>	20

Question	Answer	Marks
12	<p>Level 3 (11–15) Response discusses the view that aridity is always caused by a combination of factors. Response is broadly evaluative in character, comprising some explanatory or narrative content and a conclusion. Response develops on a largely secure base of knowledge and understanding with the use of example(s).</p> <p>Level 2 (6–10) Response demonstrates some knowledge and understanding of the view that aridity is always caused by a combination of factors. Response is mainly descriptive or explanatory in approach and contains a brief or thinly supported evaluation. Responses without the use of example(s) to support the response will not get above the middle of Level 2 (8 marks).</p> <p>Level 1 (1–5) Response makes a few general points about the view that aridity is always caused by a combination of factors. A descriptive response comprising a few simple points. Knowledge is basic and understanding may be poor and lack relevance to the question set.</p> <p>Level 0 (0) No creditable response.</p>	