Linear Models for Expression Profiling

Generalized linear models (GLMs) provide a framework for analyzing "counting"-based sequencing data from more than two conditions. The simplest case that really illustrates the power of GLMs is a two-by-two condition experiment. These conditions may be two independent treatments (+/- drug, +/- hypoxia, etc.), or one condition with ribosome and mRNA abundance profiling in parallel.

Below are two sample 2x2 experimental designs. The rows and columns are individual conditions and the table entries are the experimental samples.

The GLM analysis estimates expression levels and expression changes from the full data set. Different GLMs

| | no ISRIB | +ISRIB |
|-------|-----------|--------------|
| no Tm | ribo_untr | ribo_isrib |
| +Tm | ribo_tm | ribo_tmisrib |

| | Normox | Нурох |
|-----------|-----------|-----------|
| mRNA | mrna_norm | mrna_hypo |
| Ribo Prof | ribo_norm | ribo_hypo |

can be compared to test whether different conditions affect expression significantly -- including whether the combination of the two conditions can be explained as the sum of each condition individually, or whether they "interact".

Consider three genes showing different patterns of expression change in response to hypoxia, with replicated ribosome profiling and mRNA-Seq measurements in each:

| Gene | Effect | mrna_norm | mrna_hypo | ribo_norm | ribo_hypo |
|------|---------------|-------------|-------------|-------------|-------------|
| A | no change | 195 and 200 | 210 and 205 | 305 and 310 | 290 and 315 |
| В | transcription | 200 and 210 | 95 and 100 | 295 and 310 | 150 and 155 |
| С | translation | 310 and 295 | 295 and 300 | 105 and 100 | 395 and 410 |
| D | both | 100 and 105 | 195 and 205 | 145 and 150 | 440 and 455 |

Here's an R data frame of those counts. In the real example, there are some dummy genes included to keep the size factors and dispersions from getting weird.

```
> rawCounts[1:4,]
  mrna_normox_a mrna_normox_b mrna_hypox_a mrna_hypox_b ribo_normox_a ribo_normox_b ribo_hypox_a ribo_hypox_b
           195
                          200
                                        210
                                                     205
                                                                   305
                                                                                  310
                                                                                                290
                                                                                                             315
В
            200
                          210
                                        95
                                                     100
                                                                   295
                                                                                  310
                                                                                                150
                                                                                                             155
C
            310
                          295
                                        295
                                                     300
                                                                    105
                                                                                  100
                                                                                                395
                                                                                                             410
```

Here's an R data frame for the condition matrix in the data set. There are two factors, the biol factor, which is mrna or ribo, and the oxia factor, which is norm or hypo. These are created as factors using factor (..., levels=...) so we can control the order of the factor levels and make sure that the

defaults are mrna and norm. There is also a third factor that will be used to test for translation-only regulation.

> conditions

```
biol oxia bioloxia
mrna normox a mrna norm
                            norm
mrna normox b mrna norm
                            norm
mrna hypox a mrna hypo
                            norm
mrna hypox b
             mrna hypo
                            norm
ribo normox a ribo norm
                            norm
ribo normox b ribo norm
                            norm
ribo hypox a
              ribo hypo ribohypo
ribo hypox b
              ribo hypo ribohypo
```

The simplest model is no gene expression change at all from hypoxia. In this model, the read count depends only on the "type" of sample (i.e., mRNA-Seq or ribosome profiling) and nothing else. There are two parameters, one giving the mRNA expression level and one giving the protein synthesis expression level.

| | Normox | Нурох |
|--|----------------------|----------------------|
| mRNA | mrna_norm = biolmrna | mrna_hypo = biolmrna |
| Ribo Prof ribo_norm = biolribo ribo_hypo = b | | ribo_hypo = biolribo |

Here is the GLM for that model. The two parameters are estimated for each gene and log2-scaled. The deviance is also computed -- this is a measure of how well the optimized GLM fits the actual data. You can think of it as the probability of generating the real count data, assuming this GLM is true.

```
<- fitNbinomGLMs(countData, count ~ biol - 1)
> glmNoChg
> format(glmNoChg[1:4,])
  biolmrna biolribo deviance converged
Α
     7.665
              8.248
                        1.689
                                   TRUE
     7.244
В
              7.825
                      182.252
                                   TRUE
C
              7.976
     8.232
                      377.661
                                   TRUE
D
     7.244
              8.212
                      376.897
                                   TRUE
```

Because the GLM parameters are log2-scaled, it's hard to see how they line up with our real counts. We can compute two new columns, each of which reverses the log scaling. Once we do this, we can see how the parameters for gene A are good estimates of the actual read counts, whereas in gene B, the parameters split the difference between the hypoxia and normoxia value (i.e., gene B mrnaCounts is ~ 150 , whereas normoxic mRNA is ~ 200 and hypoxic mRNA is ~ 100).

```
> glmNoChg$mrnaCounts <- 2**(glmNoChg$biolmrna)
> glmNoChg$riboCounts <- 2**(glmNoChg$biolribo)
> format(glmNoChg[1:4,])
```

```
biolmrna biolribo deviance converged mrnaCounts riboCounts
Α
     7.665
               8.248
                         1.689
                                      TRUE
                                                 202.9
                                                             304.0
     7.244
               7.825
                                                 151.5
В
                       182.252
                                      TRUE
                                                             226.8
C
     8.232
                       377.661
               7.976
                                      TRUE
                                                 300.6
                                                             251.7
D
     7.244
               8.212
                       376.897
                                      TRUE
                                                 151.5
                                                             296.6
```

We next try a model where hypoxia can cause an expression change. However, this change is the same in the mRNA abundance and protein synthesis samples. It's an extra parameter that's 0 for the "default" ox i a condition, norm, and adds a contribution of ox i ahypo in hypo.

| | Normox | Нурох |
|-----------|----------------------|---------------------------------|
| mRNA | mrna_norm = biolmrna | mrna_hypo = biolmrna + oxiahypo |
| Ribo Prof | ribo_norm = biolribo | ribo_hypo = biolribo + oxiahypo |

```
> glmNoTrl <- fitNbinomGLMs( countData, count ~ biol + oxia - 1 )</pre>
```

Add columns to this GLM that compute the read count values according to the formula above, as well as the non-log-scaled hypoxia effect.

```
> glmNoTrl$mrnaNormC <- 2**(glmNoTrl$biolmrna)
> glmNoTrl$mrnaHypoC <- 2**(glmNoTrl$biolmrna + glmNoTrl$oxiahypo)</pre>
> glmNoTrl$riboNormC <- 2**(glmNoTrl$biolribo)</pre>
> glmNoTrl$riboHypoC <- 2**(glmNoTrl$biolribo + glmNoTrl$oxiahypo)
  glmNoTrl$hypoxChange <- 2**(glmNoTrl$oxiahypo)</pre>
 format(glmNoTrl[1:4,])
  biolmrna biolribo oxiahypo deviance converged mrnaNormC mrnaHypoC
                                                                              riboNormC riboHypoC hypoxChange
                                                TŘUE
                                                                                  303.3
Α
     7.659
               8.245 0.01046
                                  1.6559
                                                         202.13
                                                                     203.60
                                                                                              305.5
                                                                                                          1.0073
В
     7.666
               8.252 -1.02523
                                   0.8727
                                                TRUE
                                                         203.16
                                                                      99.82
                                                                                  304.8
                                                                                              149.8
                                                                                                          0.4913
     7.785
               7.530 0.78696 220.5277
                                                TRUE
                                                         220.57
                                                                     380.58
                                                                                  184.8
                                                                                              318.9
                                                                                                          1.7254
C
               7.328 1.25289
                                  8.7819
                                                TRUF
                                                           89.59
                                                                     213.52
                                                                                  160.6
                                                                                              382.8
                                                                                                          2.3832
```

This model fits the data for gene B much better than the glmNoChg model, and the count estimates match the data very closely. It also improves D quite a bit. It can't improve much on the fit of gene A. It's possible to test whether the decrease in deviance is "big enough", i.e., statistically significant.

```
> pNoTrlVsNoChg <- nbinomGLMTest( glmNoTrl, glmNoChg )
> pNoTrlVsNoChg[1:4]
[1] 0.9157 0.0000 0.0000 0.0000
```

Here the p values for gene A (the first in the list) is quite high, whereas those for genes B through D are both quite low. The model where expression changes in hypoxia explains the data for genes B - D much better than the model where expression depends only on whether the sample is mRNA-Seq or ribosome profiling.

The fit of gene C is better (lower deviance) as well, though it can't predict expression levels right. For instance, the actual mRNA abundance is ~300 in all samples, but glmNoTrl estimates ~225 for normoxic mRNA and ~440 for hypoxic mRNA. The model has only a single 0x i ahypo parameter and so it can't capture a change in ribosome profiling data that doesn't show up in mRNA abundance.

In order to capture this, we could add 2 extra factors, one for mRNA change in hypoxia and one for ribosome profiling change in hypoxia. Alternately, we could keep 0x i ahypo and add a 3rd factor corresponding to the change in translational efficiency in hypoxia -- that is, the additional change in ribosome profiling in hypoxia, on top of the change in mRNA abundance. This second alternative is closer to the biology we want to study.

The extra factor appears only in hypoxia ribosome profiling. In linear models, it's called an "interaction" term because it captures the interaction between the sample type (ribosome profiling, i.e., biol ribo) and the treatment (hypoxia, i.e., 0xia hypo). R can create these interaction terms automatically if we combine individual factors using "*" rather than "+".

| | Normox | Нурох |
|-----------|----------------------|---|
| mRNA | mrna_norm = biolmrna | mrna_hypo = biolmrna + oxiahypo |
| Ribo Prof | ribo_norm = biolribo | ribo_hypo = biolribo + oxiahypo + biolribo:oxiahypo |

Mathematically speaking, we now have 4 parameters (biolmrna, biolribo, oxiahypo, and biolribo:oxiahypo) that we're using to represent 4 different conditions. This is the fully saturated ("full") model, as we couldn't add any other parameter to it. We could choose a different set of 4 parameters (e.g., in place of biolribo:oxiahypo, we could instead add a 3rd factor, oxiatranslation that took on the value hypo only in ribo_hypo, and then ribo_hypo = biolribo + oxiatranslationhypo as discussed above) but they could be computed from these 4 parameters by simple arithmatic.

```
> glmFull <- fitNbinomGLMs( countData, count ~ biol * oxia - 1 )</pre>
```

Here we calculate the counts for each condition using the formula above.

D

102.8

200.2

```
> glmFull$mrnaNormC <- 2**(glmFull$biolmrna)</pre>
> glmFull$mrnaHypoC <- 2**(glmFull$biolmrna + glmFull$oxiahypo)</pre>
> glmFull$riboNormC <- 2**(glmFull$biolribo)</pre>
> glmFull$riboHypoC <- 2**(glmFull$biolribo + glmFull$oxiahypo</p>
     + glmFull$"biolribo:oxiahypo")
> glmFull$hypoxMrnaChg <- 2**(glmFull$oxiahypo)</pre>
> glmFull$hypoxTEChg <- 2**(glmFull$"biolribo:oxiahypo")</pre>
> format(glmFull[1:4,])
  biolmrna biolribo oxiahypo biolribo:oxiahypo deviance converged
                                         -0.10261
Α
     7.630
               8.265
                      0.06845
                                                     1.0627
                                                                  TRUE
В
     7.684
               8.242 -1.07496
                                          0.07633
                                                     0.7613
                                                                  TRUE
C
               6.680 -0.02685
     8.245
                                          1.98971
                                                     0.8314
                                                                  TRUE
     6.684
               7.205
                      0.96157
                                          0.62910
                                                     0.7887
                                                                  TRUE
  mrnaNormC mrnaHypoC riboNormC riboHypoC hypoxMrnaChg hypoxTEChg
      198.1
                                       300.5
                 207.7
                            307.7
                                                    1.0486
                                                                0.9313
Α
В
      205.6
                  97.6
                            302.7
                                       151.5
                                                    0.4747
                                                                1.0543
                 297.8
C
      303.4
                            102.6
                                       399.8
                                                    0.9816
                                                                3.9716
```

147.6

444.5

1.9474

1.5466

These counts all fit the actual data very well, and the mRNA and TE fold-changes match the values I picked when making up the data. We can compare this model, in which hypoxia affects mRNA abundance and translation, to the other two.

```
> pFullVsNoChg <- nbinomGLMTest( glmFull, glmNoChg )
> pFullVsNoTrl <- nbinomGLMTest( glmFull, glmNoTrl )
> pFullVsNoChg[1:3]
[1] 0.731 0.000 0.000 0.000
> pFullVsNoTrl[1:3]
[1] 4.327e-01 6.358e-01 0.000e+00 6.936e-05
```

The p values here tell us that this model improves on glmNoChg for all of genes B through D, but only improves on glmNoTrl for genes C and D. That is, adding a term for hypoxia affecting translation helps explain the gene C and D data better, whereas a single term for hypoxia impacting mRNA is enough to explain the gene B data.

```
> glmNoTrx <- fitNbinomGLMs( countData, count ~ biol + bioloxia - 1 )</pre>
> glmNoTrx$mrnaNormC <- 2**(glmNoTrx$biolmrna)</pre>
> glmNoTrx$mrnaHypoC <- 2**(glmNoTrx$biolmrna)</pre>
> glmNoTrx$riboNormC <- 2**(glmNoTrx$biolribo)</pre>
> glmNoTrx$riboHypoC <- 2**(glmNoTrx$biolribo + glmNoTrx$bioloxiaribohypo)</pre>
> glmNoTrx$hypoxChange <- 2**(glmNoTrx$bioloxiaribohypo)</pre>
> format(glmNoTrx[1:4,])
  biolmrna biolribo bioloxiaribohypo deviance converged
     7.657
               8.265
                                -0.0365
                                            1.738
                                                        TRUE
Α
     7.236
               8.242
                                -1.0010
                                          78.363
В
                                                        TRUE
C
     8.224
               6.680
                                 1.9605
                                           1.149
                                                        TRUE
D
     7.236
               7.206
                                 1.5883
                                          65.028
                                                        TRUE
  mrnaNormC mrnaHypoC riboNormC riboHypoC hypoxChange
Α
      201.9
                 201.9
                            307.7
                                       300.0
                                                   0.9750
В
      150.8
                 150.8
                            302.7
                                       151.3
                                                   0.4997
C
      299.1
                 299.1
                            102.6
                                       399.2
                                                   3.8920
                                                   3.0070
D
      150.8
                 150.8
                            147.6
                                       443.8
> pFullVsNoTrx <- nbinomGLMTest( glmFull, glmNoTrx )</pre>
> pNoTrxVsNoChg <- nbinomGLMTest( glmNoTrx, glmNoChg )</pre>
>
> pFullVsNoTrx[1:4]
[1] 4.601e-01 0.000e+00 8.065e-01 9.992e-16
glmFull$pChg <- pFullVsNoChg
glmFull$pTrx <- pFullVsNoTrx</pre>
glmFull$pTrl <- pFullVsNoTrl</pre>
glmFull$chg <- glmFull$pChg < 0.01
glmFull$trx <- glmFull$pTrx < 0.01</pre>
```

glmFull\$trl <- glmFull\$pTrl < 0.01</pre>

```
biolmrna biolribo oxiahypo biolribo:oxiahypo deviance converged mrnaNormC mrnaHypoC
              8.269
                    0.06463
                                       -0.09580
                                                               TŘUE
Α
     7.630
                                                   0.7763
                                                                         198.0
                                                                                  207.10
В
     7.683
              8.245 -1.07878
                                        0.08314
                                                   0.5543
                                                               TRUE
                                                                         205.5
                                                                                   97.31
C
     8.245
              6.684 -0.03067
                                        1.99652
                                                   0.8590
                                                               TRUE
                                                                         303.3
                                                                                  296.92
D
                                                   0.5348
                                                               TRUE
     6.683
              7.209 0.95775
                                        0.63591
                                                                         102.8
                                                                                  199.61
  riboNormC riboHypoC hypoxMrnaChg hypoxTEChg
                                                            pTrx
                                                                      pTrl
                                                                              chg
                                                  pChg
                                                                                    trx
                                                                                          trl
Α
      308.4
                301.8
                             1.0458
                                        0.9358 0.7601 5.239e-01 4.639e-01 FALSE FALSE FALSE
      303.4
                152.1
                             0.4734
                                        1.0593 0.0000 0.000e+00 6.060e-01
                                                                             TRUE
                                                                                   TRUE FALSE
C
                401.6
                             0.9790
                                        3.9904 0.0000 7.127e-01 0.000e+00
      102.8
                                                                             TRUE FALSE
                                                                                         TRUE
      147.9
                446.4
                             1.9423
                                        1.5539 0.0000 1.998e-15 5.789e-05
                                                                             TRUE TRUE
                                                                                         TRUE
```

When actually testing thousands of genes in parallel, it's important to correct for multiple hypothesis testing (the p value adjudstment).