

Bradley Scott
Data601
HW13

Problem 1

$$E[X_1] = \frac{1}{2} \int_{-1}^1 x(1 + \theta x) dx = \frac{1}{2} \left[\frac{x^2}{2} + \frac{x^3 \theta}{3} \right]_{-1}^1 = \frac{1}{2} \left[\left(\frac{1}{2} + \frac{\theta}{3} \right) - \left(\frac{1}{2} - \frac{\theta}{3} \right) \right] = \frac{\theta}{3}$$

$$E[k\bar{X}_n] = l E[\bar{X}_n] = kE \left[\frac{X_1 + \dots + X_n}{n} \right] = k * \frac{nE[X_1]}{n} = \frac{k\theta}{3}$$

Want $E[k\bar{X}_n] = \theta$ for an unbiased estimator

so setting $\frac{k\theta}{3} = \theta$ we get $k = 3$

Problem 2

part 1

$$E[X] = \int_{\theta}^{\infty} x * (\lambda e^{-\lambda(x-\theta)}) dx = \theta + \frac{1}{\lambda} \text{ (used wolfram alpha and verified)}$$

$$E[X^2] = \int_{\theta}^{\infty} x^2 * (\lambda e^{-\lambda(x-\theta)}) dx = \theta^2 + \frac{2\theta}{\lambda} + \frac{2}{\lambda^2} \text{ (used wolfram alpha and verified)}$$

$$E[X] = \frac{x_1 + \dots + x_k}{k} = \bar{X} = \theta + \frac{1}{\lambda} \text{ (equation 1)}$$

$$E[X^2] = \frac{x_1^2 + \dots + x_k^2}{k} = S = \theta^2 + \frac{2\theta}{\lambda} + \frac{2}{\lambda^2} \text{ (equation 2)}$$

from equation 1

$$\theta = \bar{X} - \frac{1}{\lambda}$$

putting this into equation 2

$$S = \left(\bar{X} - \frac{1}{\lambda} \right)^2 + \frac{2 \left(\bar{X} - \frac{1}{\lambda} \right)}{\lambda} + \frac{2}{\lambda^2}$$

$$\Rightarrow S = \bar{X}^2 - \frac{2\bar{X}}{\lambda} + \frac{1}{\lambda^2} + \frac{2\bar{X}}{\lambda} - \frac{2}{\lambda^2} + \frac{2}{\lambda^2}$$

$$\Rightarrow S = \bar{X}^2 + \frac{1}{\lambda^2}$$

$$\Rightarrow \lambda = \sqrt{\frac{1}{S - \bar{X}^2}} \text{ Note that because } \lambda > 0 \text{ we don't take the negative root}$$

plugging this into equation 1 we get

$$\theta = \bar{X} - \frac{1}{\lambda} = \bar{X} - \frac{1}{\sqrt{\frac{1}{S - \bar{X}^2}}} = \bar{X} - \sqrt{S - \bar{X}^2}$$

So we have

$$\lambda = \sqrt{\frac{1}{S - \bar{X}^2}}$$

and

$$\theta = \bar{X} - \sqrt{S - \bar{X}^2}$$

part 2

$$x_1 = 3, x_2 = 0.5, x_3 = 2.5, x_4 = 2, x_5 = 5 \\ x_6 = 3.5, x_7 = 10, x_8 = 9, x_9 = 18, x_{10} = 1.5$$

$$\bar{X} = \frac{3 + 0.5 + 2.5 + 2 + 5 + 3.5 + 10 + 9 + 18 + 1.5}{10} = 5.5$$

$$S = \frac{3^2 + 0.5^2 + 2.5^2 + 2^2 + 5^2 + 3.5^2 + 10^2 + 9^2 + 18^2 + 1.5^2}{10} = 56.4$$

$$\lambda = \sqrt{\frac{1}{S - \bar{X}^2}} = \sqrt{\frac{1}{56.4 - 5.5^2}} \approx 0.195$$

$$\theta = \bar{X} - \sqrt{S - \bar{X}^2} = 5.5 - \sqrt{56.4 - 5.5^2} \approx 0.386$$

Problem 3
part 1

$$L = f(x_1, \dots, x_n; \theta, \lambda) = \lambda e^{-\lambda(x_1 - \theta)} * \dots * \lambda e^{-\lambda(x_n - \theta)} = \lambda^n e^{-\lambda(\sum_{i=1}^n x_i - n\theta)}$$

$$\ln(L) = \ln(\lambda^n e^{-(\sum_{i=1}^n x_i - n\theta)}) = n \ln(\lambda) - \lambda \left(\sum_{i=1}^n x_i - n\theta \right)$$

$$\frac{\partial \ln(L)}{\partial \theta} = \lambda n = 0$$

This isn't helpful because we know $\lambda >$

0 and if n is 0 then we are not estimating anything

Instead we need to think about how we can maximize $\ln(L)$ with respect to θ
 We know that $x_i > \theta$ but when θ approaches the smallest x_i is when we would maximize $\ln(L)$ with respect to θ so

$$\theta = \min (x_1, \dots, x_n)$$

$$\frac{\partial \ln(L)}{\partial \lambda} = \frac{n}{\lambda} - \left(\sum_{i=1}^n x_i - n\theta \right) = 0$$

$$\Rightarrow \lambda = \frac{n}{\left(\sum_{i=1}^n x_i - n\theta \right)}$$

part 2

$$x_1 = 3, x_2 = 0.5, x_3 = 2.5, x_4 = 2, x_5 = 5 \\ x_6 = 3.5, x_7 = 10, x_8 = 9, x_9 = 18, x_{10} = 1.5$$

$$n = 10$$

$$\theta = 0.5$$

$$\lambda = \frac{10}{(3 - 10(0.5)) + (0.5 - 10(0.5)) + (2.5 - 10(0.5)) + \dots + (1.5 - 10(0.5))}$$

$$= \frac{10}{(-2) + (-4.5) + (-2.5) + (-3) + (0) + (-1.5) + (5) + (4) + (13) + (-3.5)}$$

$$= \frac{10}{50} = \frac{1}{5}$$