YaIniT

Yet an almost universal Init Tool

https://github.com/initiate414

WORKING DRAFT

This is the Draft of the Documentation for the Project YaIniT and not the state of the development or published works

Author: Dieter Miosga Computer Network Specialist mailto: dieter.miosga@gmx.de

Statistics : Pages: 7 Words: 1121 Characters: 7270

Table of Contents

Fc	preword	
		5
1.	The principles of the boot and administration Tool YaIniT	
	1.1 The need of an open and free operating system versus the need of	_
	open advertising for free GNU/Linux operating system distributions	
_	1.2 A Tool is necessary	
2.	Components and Execution Logic (User's Guide)	٠.٤
	2.1 INIT	
	2.1.2 INIT Parameters	
	Parameters controlling the console output	
	Parameters controlling the debug level	
	Parameters controlling the debug level	
	Parameters controlling the system initialization	
	Parameters specifying the target system	
	Parameters in a compressed or abbreviated form	
	Parameters controlling the target system	
	2.1.3 The INIT programmation and logic	
	2.2 BUSYBOX	
	2.3 The Linux Kernel	
	2.4 KEXEC	
	2.5 Additional Tools and Features	. 32
	2.5.1 Dialog facilitating features : dialog, xdialog and yad	. 32
	2.5.2 configator	
3.	Detailed Structure (Administrator's Guide)	. 33
	3.0 General YaIniT configurability	
	3.1 INIT again: Execution Logic and Elements	
	3.1.1 Configuration	
	3.1.2 Logical steps and program flow	. 35
	3.1.3 Integration of customizations for hardware and distribution.	
	3.2 BUSYBOX again: setup and configuration	
	3.2.1 Configuration	
	3.2.2 Predefined Setup and Optimization	
	3.3 Linux KERNEL again: setup and configuration	
	3.3.1 Configuration	
	3.4 KEXEC again: setup and configuration	
	3.4.1 Configuration	
	3.4.2 Predefined Setup and Optimization	
	3.5 TOOLS again: setup and configuration	
	3.5.1 Configuration of configator	
	3.5.2 Use of configator	
	3.5.3 Configuration of dialog	
	3.5.4 Configuration of xdialog	
	3.5.5 Configuration of yad	
4.	APPENDIX	
	4.1 On the usefulness of examples and templates from free software.	
	4.2 The AUR Kernel Repository of Arch-Linux	
	4.2.1 Linux kernel post-factum patches / pf.natalenko.name	. 49
	4.2.2 Linux kernel RealTime patches /	
	ftp.kernel.org/pub/linux/kernel/projects/rt	. 52

4.2.3 Linux kernel GRSecurity and PaX patches / grsecurity.net53
4.2.4 Linux kernel l-pa / https://aur.archlinux.org/packages/linux-
1-pa61
4.3 Big Distributions and their useful kernel patches61
4.3.1 The OpenSuSE Linux kernel patches61
4.3.2 The Gentoo Linux kernel patches62
4.3.3 The Mageia Linux kernel patches62
4.3.4 The Ubuntu Linux kernel patches62
4.3.5 The Fedora Linux kernel patches63
4.4 Restrictions on Kernel Configurations by GNU/Linux distributions 63
4.5 Comments on the adaptive changes for YaIniT64
4.5.1 The more exact Linux kernel configuration, applying the
yainit-x86-config.patch64
4.5.2 Linux kernel configuration with the patching scripts do-make-
ash and do-makeg-ash64
4.5.3 Applying the patchets from bigger distributions64
5. INDEX65
5. INDEX65
6. GLOSSARY66
6. GLOSSARY66

Foreword

Almost ten years after the initiation of the GNU/Linux system by starting the public development of Linux in 1991, the presentation of distributions by regular unified surveys started in the way of commercially driven websites.

Since that day, it was observable, that the distributions do want to concur, with the effect, that a common standard for a free and open sourced operating system remains incomplete for the needs of users.

One of these lacks for a common and complete standard is the way, a GNU/Linux distribution will start its initialization, right after the Linux kernel is up and wants to execute the first application, called **init**, which has to be located in the root directory of the residing boot file system.

For today, the different configuration setups and initialization logic of distributions remain incompatible.

This small study and more or less administratively development work, wants to show how far the effort to create one unifying and almost universal **init** can be driven and how much of various GNU/Linux system creations can be administered by a such a tool,

keeping fulfilled the following criteria:

- With the fewest means necessary else, that all remain fully GPL compatible. All used programs and tools underlie the GPL.
- No overhead or specialist team necessary for to use it: An understanding of the Almquist Shell for programming init and knowledge of the C programming language to be capable to modify the system tools in case of failure or necessary customization.
- Recursive and Reusable: All written programs can be used on any platform and optimization is applied not only for performance but also for size.
- Widespread use: Can be elaborated and serve as a fundamental for the decisions, that private persons, personnel in public administrations and enterprises will have to take in choosing from the overwhelming number of publications of hundreds of different distributions, all approaches to satisfy the same criteria of investigation. Frees from time expensive, long enduring and recurring work for basic system setup and hardly accessible designed distribution specific structures.

Summer Solstice Release, Spain, 2nd of July, 2014

Dieter Miosga

Dear Reader!

Meanwhile have passed only a few months after I published my works here. Lots of things have passed since that day and nobody ever wrote me an answer, neither entered an issue.

Did I really a perfect work in anyway, in any step?

I truly did not intent to ignite new warfare on the international level, but was completely disappointed from a response by state authorities such as the proceeding for the elections to the European Parliament in the Spanish state of Aragon. This proceeding restricted a participation with a vote by postal mail on the territory of the Spanish Republic and was announced 13 days before the election, rather than a month ago.

Today, I can see Linus Torvalds with the sword in his hand,

http://www.heise.de/newsticker/meldung/Zu-Hause-bei-Linus-Torvalds-2263307.html https://www.youtube.com/watch?feature=player_embedded&v=HSgUPqygAwwhttps://www.youtube.com/watch?feature=player_embedded&v=HSgUPqygAww#t=0

defending his (un)rights as an US-American T(i)rade Mark holder and wonder why the folks at ilovemypeace.com stopped sending Spam-Bills to me.

Do you want to read the progress of history on the psychiatrists work doors? Here it is:

since 2000:

Americans.....,

have Bob Hope, Stevie Wonder, Johnny Cash and Alice in Wonderland!

Europeans.....,

have no hope no cash, no wonder, no land!

since 2010:

Europeans.....,

are always looking for politeness, smartness and dignity!

Americans......

have Linux, Microsoft, Cloud Computing and Dick Knitty,

which sound a little bit harder, but it seems, that everything works!

What about, dear reader, instead of sending me the witnesses of Jehova spreading their mission for a World Government, which puts us in history far before the secularization,

that you enter on my work side here and now as an issue,

why you do not blog your explanation for the reason why you do not mention Mr. Netanjahu as the king Midas of Jordan's Land

???????????