

# Lecture 14: Advanced Logistic Regression and Classification Evaluation

CS109A: Introduction to Data Science

Harvard University

- **Course:** CS109A: Introduction to Data Science
- **Lecture:** Lecture 14
- **Instructors:** Pavlos Protopapas, Kevin Rader, Chris Tanner
- **Topics:** Logistic Regression Inference, Interactions, Decision Boundaries, Regularization, Multiclass Classification, Confusion Matrix, ROC/AUC

## Key Summary

This lecture builds upon the foundations of logistic regression to cover advanced topics essential for practical classification tasks.

### Key Topics:

- Inference in logistic regression: confidence intervals and hypothesis tests using Z-statistics
- Interpreting coefficients with binary predictors and the concept of reference groups
- Multiple logistic regression with interaction terms
- Decision boundaries: what they are and how polynomial features create non-linear boundaries
- Regularization (Ridge/L2) in logistic regression to prevent overfitting
- Multiclass classification: One-vs-Rest (OvR) and Multinomial approaches
- Classification evaluation: Confusion Matrix, Sensitivity, Specificity, Precision, ROC curves, and AUC

## Contents

# 1 Review: The Probabilistic View of Logistic Regression

## 1.1 Setting Up the Problem

In logistic regression, our response variable  $Y$  takes values 0 or 1 (binary outcomes). We model the **probability of success**  $P(Y = 1|X)$  using a probabilistic framework.

Since  $Y$  is binary (0 or 1), each observation follows a **Bernoulli distribution**:

$$Y_i|X_i \sim \text{Bernoulli}(p_i)$$

where  $p_i = P(Y_i = 1|X_i)$  is the probability of success for observation  $i$ .

### Definition:

**Bernoulli Distribution** A random variable  $Y$  follows a Bernoulli distribution with parameter  $p$  if:

$$P(Y = y) = p^y(1 - p)^{1-y}$$

This gives:

- $P(Y = 1) = p$  (probability of success)
- $P(Y = 0) = 1 - p$  (probability of failure)

The binomial distribution with  $n = 1$  is simply a Bernoulli distribution.

## 1.2 Linking Probability to Predictors

We link the probability  $p$  to our predictors through the **log-odds** (logit) function:

$$\log\left(\frac{p}{1-p}\right) = \beta_0 + \beta_1 X$$

Solving for  $p$ , we get the **sigmoid function**:

$$p = \frac{e^{\beta_0 + \beta_1 X}}{1 + e^{\beta_0 + \beta_1 X}}$$

This is the “exponentiated odds” form mentioned in the lecture.

## 1.3 The Likelihood Function

Given our data, we want to find the  $\beta$  values that make observing our data most likely. The likelihood function for a single observation is the Bernoulli PMF:

$$L(p|y) = p^y(1 - p)^{1-y}$$

**Example:**

Understanding the Bernoulli Likelihood Let's verify the likelihood makes intuitive sense:

- If  $y = 1$  (success):  $L = p^1(1 - p)^0 = p$ . We want  $p$  to be high.
- If  $y = 0$  (failure):  $L = p^0(1 - p)^1 = 1 - p$ . We want  $p$  to be low (so  $1 - p$  is high).

The formula correctly captures what we want: high probability for what actually happened.

The total likelihood for all  $n$  observations is:

$$L(\beta) = \prod_{i=1}^n p_i^{y_i} (1 - p_i)^{1-y_i}$$

where the  $\beta$  parameters are hidden inside each  $p_i$ .

## 2 Maximum Likelihood Estimation (MLE)

### 2.1 The Estimation Process

To find the optimal  $\beta$  values, we:

1. Take the **logarithm** of the likelihood (easier to work with sums than products)
2. Take the **derivative** with respect to each  $\beta$
3. Set the derivatives equal to **zero** and solve

#### Warning

##### No Closed-Form Solution!

Unlike linear regression where we can solve for  $\hat{\beta} = (X^T X)^{-1} X^T Y$  directly, logistic regression has no closed-form solution. The equations are non-linear and must be solved **numerically**.

### 2.2 Numerical Optimization

Since we cannot solve analytically, we use numerical methods:

- **Gradient Descent:** Iteratively move in the direction that decreases the loss
- **Newton-Raphson Method:** Uses second derivatives for faster convergence

We typically minimize the **negative log-likelihood**, which is equivalent to maximizing the likelihood. This negative log-likelihood is called **Binary Cross-Entropy**:

$$\text{Loss} = - \sum_{i=1}^n [y_i \log(p_i) + (1 - y_i) \log(1 - p_i)]$$

#### Key Information

##### What Happens Under the Hood in sklearn

When you call `LogisticRegression().fit(X, y)`, sklearn is running an optimization algorithm (typically LBFGS or similar) to minimize the binary cross-entropy loss and find the optimal  $\beta$  coefficients.

### 3 Inference in Logistic Regression

Once we have estimates  $\hat{\beta}$ , we want to:

1. **Interpret** what these coefficients mean
2. **Quantify uncertainty** through confidence intervals
3. **Test hypotheses** about whether coefficients are significantly different from zero

#### 3.1 Z-Statistics vs T-Statistics

#### 3.2 Practical Implications

For confidence intervals:

- Linear regression:  $\hat{\beta} \pm t_{\alpha/2, df} \times SE(\hat{\beta})$  (often  $\approx 2$ )
- Logistic regression:  $\hat{\beta} \pm \mathbf{1.96} \times SE(\hat{\beta})$  for 95% CI

The value 1.96 comes from the standard normal distribution (Z-distribution).

#### 3.3 Using statsmodels for Inference

While `sklearn` is great for prediction, it does not provide standard errors directly. For statistical inference, use `statsmodels`:

```
1 import statsmodels.formula.api as smf
2
3 # Fit logistic regression with formula interface
4 model = smf.logit("heart_disease ~ max_heart_rate", data=df).fit()
5
6 # View the summary with coefficients, standard errors, z-stats, p-values, and
   CIs
7 print(model.summary())
```

Listing 1: Logistic Regression with statsmodels

The output will include:

- **Coefficients** ( $\hat{\beta}$ )
- **Standard Errors** (from Fisher's Information)
- **Z-statistic**:  $z = \hat{\beta} / SE(\hat{\beta})$
- **P-value**: for testing  $H_0 : \beta = 0$
- **95% Confidence Interval**:  $\hat{\beta} \pm 1.96 \times SE$

#### Key Information

##### Fisher's Information

The standard errors in logistic regression come from **Fisher's Information**, which measures the curvature of the log-likelihood function at its maximum. More curvature (sharper peak) means more certainty, hence smaller standard errors.

## 4 Interpreting Coefficients with Binary Predictors

When a predictor  $X$  is binary (0 or 1), interpretation becomes especially clear.

### 4.1 Setting Up: Reference Groups

Consider predicting heart disease based on biological sex:

- $X = 1$  if female
- $X = 0$  if male (the **reference group** or **baseline group**)

The model is:

$$\log\left(\frac{p}{1-p}\right) = \beta_0 + \beta_1 \cdot \text{Female}$$

### 4.2 Interpreting $\beta_0$ (Intercept)

When  $X = 0$  (male):

$$\log(\text{Odds}_{\text{male}}) = \beta_0$$

So  $\beta_0$  is the **log-odds of success for the reference group**.

#### Example:

Calculating  $\beta_0$  from Data From the lecture, approximately 52% of males had heart disease:

- Probability:  $\hat{p} = 0.52$
- Odds:  $\frac{0.52}{1-0.52} = \frac{0.52}{0.48} \approx 1.08$
- Log-odds:  $\ln(1.08) \approx 0.077$

So  $\beta_0 \approx 0.077$  (or about 0.214 with exact numbers).

### 4.3 Interpreting $\beta_1$ (Slope for Binary Predictor)

$\beta_1$  represents the **difference in log-odds** comparing the indicated group (female) to the reference group (male).

When  $X = 1$  (female):

$$\log(\text{Odds}_{\text{female}}) = \beta_0 + \beta_1$$

Therefore:

$$\beta_1 = \log(\text{Odds}_{\text{female}}) - \log(\text{Odds}_{\text{male}})$$

#### Example:

Calculating  $\beta_1$  from Data From the lecture, approximately 25% of females had heart disease:

- Odds for females:  $\frac{0.25}{0.75} = 0.33$
- Log-odds for females:  $\ln(0.33) \approx -1.11$
- Difference:  $-1.11 - 0.077 \approx -1.19$  (about  $-1.272$  with exact numbers)

A negative  $\beta_1$  means females have **lower** log-odds of heart disease than males.

#### 4.4 Exponentiation: Odds Ratios

Raw coefficients are on the log-odds scale, which is hard to interpret. **Exponentiate** to get interpretable **odds ratios**:

- $e^{\beta_0}$  = Odds for the reference group
- $e^{\beta_1}$  = **Odds Ratio** (multiplicative change in odds)

##### Definition:

Odds Ratio Interpretation If  $e^{\beta_1} = 0.28$ :

“The odds of heart disease for females are **0.28 times** (or 28%) the odds for males.”

Equivalently: “Females have 72% **lower odds** of heart disease compared to males.”

##### Warning

##### Odds $\neq$ Probability!

Never say “72% lower probability.” The relationship between odds ratios and probability changes is non-linear and depends on the baseline probability.

**Correct:** “The odds are 0.28 times as high” or “72% reduction in odds”

**Incorrect:** “72% reduction in probability”

## 5 Multiple Logistic Regression

Just like in linear regression, we can include multiple predictors:

$$\log\left(\frac{p}{1-p}\right) = \beta_0 + \beta_1 X_1 + \beta_2 X_2 + \cdots + \beta_p X_p$$

### 5.1 Key Points

1. **Interpretation:** Each  $\beta_j$  represents the change in log-odds for a one-unit increase in  $X_j$ , **holding all other predictors constant**.
2. **Same issues as linear regression:**
  - Multicollinearity (correlated predictors inflate variance)
  - Overfitting (too many predictors relative to sample size)
3. **Visualization:** Instead of fitting an S-curve to a scatter plot, we're fitting an "S-shaped hyperplane" in higher dimensions.

### 5.2 Interaction Terms

Interaction terms allow the effect of one predictor to **depend on** the value of another predictor.

#### Example:

Heart Disease: Age  $\times$  Female Interaction Model:

$$\log(\text{Odds}) = \beta_0 + \beta_1 \cdot \text{Age} + \beta_2 \cdot \text{Female} + \beta_3 \cdot (\text{Age} \times \text{Female})$$

Let's separate this by sex:

**For Males (Female = 0):**

$$\log(\text{Odds})_{\text{male}} = \beta_0 + \beta_1 \cdot \text{Age}$$

- Intercept:  $\beta_0$
- Slope for Age:  $\beta_1$

**For Females (Female = 1):**

$$\log(\text{Odds})_{\text{female}} = \beta_0 + \beta_1 \cdot \text{Age} + \beta_2 + \beta_3 \cdot \text{Age}$$

$$= (\beta_0 + \beta_2) + (\beta_1 + \beta_3) \cdot \text{Age}$$

- Intercept:  $\beta_0 + \beta_2$  (different from males!)
- Slope for Age:  $\beta_1 + \beta_3$  (different from males!)

#### Coefficient Interpretations:

- $\beta_1$ : Effect of age on log-odds **for males** (reference group)
- $\beta_3$ : How much the age effect **differs** for females compared to males

If  $\beta_3 = 0$ , the age effect is the same for both sexes (no interaction). Testing  $H_0 : \beta_3 = 0$  tests whether



the interaction is significant.

## 6 From Probabilities to Classifications

Logistic regression outputs **probabilities**  $\hat{p} = P(Y = 1|X)$ . To make actual **classifications** (predict 0 or 1), we need a **threshold**.

### 6.1 The 0.5 Threshold

The most common approach:

$$\hat{Y} = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } \hat{p} \geq 0.5 \\ 0 & \text{if } \hat{p} < 0.5 \end{cases}$$

**Intuition:** If the probability of success is at least 50%, predict success.

#### Key Information

##### What about exactly 0.5?

In practice, this rarely matters. You can round up, round down, or flip a coin. The exact handling of 0.5 typically has negligible impact on overall performance.

### 6.2 Extension to Multiple Classes ( $K > 2$ )

When you have 3+ classes, the 0.5 rule doesn't work—you're not guaranteed any class has probability  $> 0.5$ .

#### Solution: Plurality Wins

Predict the class with the **highest probability**, even if it's below 0.5.

Example with 3 classes:

- $P(\text{CS}) = 0.35$
- $P(\text{Stat}) = 0.40$
- $P(\text{Other}) = 0.25$

Prediction: **Stat** (highest probability)

## 7 Decision Boundaries

A **decision boundary** is the line (or curve) where the model switches from predicting one class to another.

### 7.1 Mathematical Derivation

The decision boundary occurs where  $P(Y = 1) = 0.5$ . Let's trace through the math:

1.  $P(Y = 1) = 0.5$
2. Odds =  $\frac{0.5}{1-0.5} = \frac{0.5}{0.5} = 1$
3. Log-odds =  $\ln(1) = 0$

Therefore, the decision boundary is defined by:

$$\beta_0 + \beta_1 X_1 + \beta_2 X_2 + \dots = 0$$

#### Definition:

**Decision Boundary** The decision boundary in logistic regression is the set of all points where:

$$X\beta = 0$$

Equivalently, it's where the log-odds equals zero, the odds equals one, and the probability equals 0.5.

### 7.2 Linear vs Non-Linear Decision Boundaries

#### Linear Decision Boundary:

If the model only includes linear terms ( $X_1, X_2, \dots$ ), the equation  $\beta_0 + \beta_1 X_1 + \beta_2 X_2 = 0$  defines a **straight line** (or hyperplane in higher dimensions).

#### Non-Linear Decision Boundary:

If the model includes:

- **Polynomial terms:**  $X_1^2, X_2^2, X_1^3, \dots$
- **Interaction terms:**  $X_1 \cdot X_2$

Then the decision boundary becomes a **curve** (or curved surface).

#### Example:

**Creating a Circular Decision Boundary** To create a circular decision boundary, include quadratic terms:

$$\log(\text{Odds}) = \beta_0 + \beta_1 X_1 + \beta_2 X_2 + \beta_3 X_1^2 + \beta_4 X_2^2 + \beta_5 X_1 X_2$$

Setting this equal to zero can give a circle, ellipse, or other conic section depending on the coefficient values.

**Warning****Complexity vs Overfitting**

More complex decision boundaries (from polynomial features) can capture more intricate patterns, but they also risk **overfitting**—fitting the training data too closely and performing poorly on new data.

## 8 Regularization in Logistic Regression

When we have many features or polynomial terms, the model can become overfit. **Regularization** prevents this by penalizing large coefficients.

### 8.1 The Regularized Loss Function

Standard logistic regression minimizes binary cross-entropy. With **Ridge (L2) regularization**, we add a penalty:

$$\text{Loss}_{\text{regularized}} = \underbrace{- \sum_{i=1}^n [y_i \log(p_i) + (1 - y_i) \log(1 - p_i)]}_{\text{Binary Cross-Entropy}} + \underbrace{\lambda \sum_{j=1}^p \beta_j^2}_{\text{L2 Penalty}}$$

- $\lambda$  controls the **strength of regularization**
- The penalty is applied to all  $\beta_j$  **except**  $\beta_0$  (the intercept)

### 8.2 Effect of $\lambda$

- $\lambda = 0$ : No regularization (standard logistic regression)
- $\lambda$  small: Weak regularization, coefficients can be large
- $\lambda$  large: Strong regularization, coefficients shrink toward zero
- $\lambda \rightarrow \infty$ : All coefficients become zero (except intercept)

### 8.3 sklearn's C Parameter

#### Important:

Understanding C in sklearn sklearn uses **C** instead of  $\lambda$ , where  $C \approx 1/\lambda$ :

- **Large C** (e.g., 100): **Weak** regularization (small  $\lambda$ ). Model fits training data more closely. Risk of overfitting.
- **Small C** (e.g., 0.01): **Strong** regularization (large  $\lambda$ ). Coefficients shrink. Simpler model. Risk of underfitting.

```

1 from sklearn.linear_model import LogisticRegression
2 from sklearn.model_selection import GridSearchCV
3
4 # Strong regularization (small C = large lambda)
5 model = LogisticRegression(penalty='l2', C=0.1)
6
7 # Find optimal C through cross-validation
8 param_grid = {'C': [0.001, 0.01, 0.1, 1, 10, 100]}
9 grid_search = GridSearchCV(
10     LogisticRegression(penalty='l2'),
11     param_grid,
12     cv=5,
```

```
13     scoring='accuracy'  
14 )  
15 grid_search.fit(X_train, y_train)  
16 print(f"Best C: {grid_search.best_params_['C']}")
```

Listing 2: Regularized Logistic Regression in sklearn

## 8.4 Visualizing Regularization's Effect on Decision Boundaries

Imagine an overfit model with a very “wiggly” decision boundary that perfectly separates training points. Regularization smooths out this boundary, making it more generalizable:

- **Unregularized:** Complex, possibly overfit boundary
- **Regularized:** Smoother, more generalizable boundary

## 9 Multiclass Classification

When the response variable has **more than two categories** (e.g., CS major, Stats major, Other), we need to extend binary logistic regression.

We focus on **nominal** categories (no inherent order). For **ordinal** categories (e.g., low/medium/high), specialized ordinal regression methods exist.

### 9.1 Approach 1: Multinomial Logistic Regression

Choose one class as the **reference group** (e.g., “Other”). Build  $K - 1$  separate log-odds models comparing each class to the reference.

For 3 classes (CS, Stat, Other):

$$\log \left( \frac{P(\text{CS})}{P(\text{Other})} \right) = \beta_0^{(1)} + \beta_1^{(1)} X_1 + \dots$$
$$\log \left( \frac{P(\text{Stat})}{P(\text{Other})} \right) = \beta_0^{(2)} + \beta_1^{(2)} X_1 + \dots$$

**Note:** These are fit simultaneously, not independently, ensuring probabilities sum to 1.

```
1 from sklearn.linear_model import LogisticRegression
2
3 # Multinomial is now the default in newer sklearn versions
4 model = LogisticRegression(multi_class='multinomial', solver='lbfgs')
5 model.fit(X_train, y_train)
6
7 # Predict probabilities for all classes
8 probs = model.predict_proba(X_test) # Shape: (n_samples, n_classes)
```

Listing 3: Multinomial Logistic Regression in sklearn

### 9.2 Approach 2: One-vs-Rest (OvR)

Build  $K$  separate binary classifiers:

- Classifier 1: CS vs Not-CS
- Classifier 2: Stat vs Not-Stat
- Classifier 3: Other vs Not-Other

For prediction, run all classifiers and pick the one with highest probability/confidence.

```
1 from sklearn.multiclass import OneVsRestClassifier
2 from sklearn.linear_model import LogisticRegression
3
4 model = OneVsRestClassifier(LogisticRegression())
5 model.fit(X_train, y_train)
6 predictions = model.predict(X_test)
```

Listing 4: One-vs-Rest Classification

### 9.3 Softmax: Converting Scores to Probabilities

Both approaches produce “scores” for each class. To convert to proper probabilities (that sum to 1), we use the **Softmax function**:

$$P(Y = k|X) = \frac{e^{s_k}}{\sum_{j=1}^K e^{s_j}}$$

where  $s_k$  is the score (log-odds) for class  $k$ .

#### Key Information

##### Properties of Softmax

- All outputs are between 0 and 1
- All outputs sum to exactly 1
- Higher scores get higher probabilities
- The relative differences are preserved (monotonic transformation)



## 10 Classification Evaluation: The Confusion Matrix

Once we have a classifier, how do we evaluate its performance? Simple accuracy can be misleading, especially with imbalanced classes.

### 10.1 The Confusion Matrix

The confusion matrix organizes all possible prediction outcomes:

		Predicted	
		Negative (0)	Positive (1)
Actual	Negative (0)	<b>TN</b> (True Negative)	<b>FP</b> (False Positive)
	Positive (1)	<b>FN</b> (False Negative)	<b>TP</b> (True Positive)

#### Definition:

Confusion Matrix Terms

- **True Positive (TP)**: Actually positive, predicted positive. **Correct!**
- **True Negative (TN)**: Actually negative, predicted negative. **Correct!**
- **False Positive (FP)**: Actually negative, predicted positive. **Type I Error.**
- **False Negative (FN)**: Actually positive, predicted negative. **Type II Error.**

#### Example:

Medical Diagnosis Context Consider a test for cancer:

- **TP**: Patient has cancer, test says positive. (Detected the disease)
- **TN**: Patient is healthy, test says negative. (Correctly cleared)
- **FP**: Patient is healthy, test says positive. (False alarm, unnecessary anxiety)
- **FN**: Patient has cancer, test says negative. (Missed diagnosis—**dangerous!**)

```

1 from sklearn.metrics import confusion_matrix, classification_report
2
3 y_pred = model.predict(X_test)
4
5 # Confusion matrix
6 cm = confusion_matrix(y_test, y_pred)
7 print("Confusion Matrix:")
8 print(cm)
9 # [[TN, FP],
10 #  [FN, TP]]
11
12 # Detailed report
13 print(classification_report(y_test, y_pred))

```

Listing 5: Computing Confusion Matrix in sklearn

## 11 Key Performance Metrics

Different metrics focus on different aspects of performance. The choice depends on the application and costs of different errors.

### 11.1 Sensitivity (Recall, True Positive Rate)

$$\text{Sensitivity} = \text{TPR} = \frac{TP}{TP + FN}$$

**Question answered:** Of all actually positive cases, what fraction did we correctly identify?

**When it matters:** When **missing a positive is costly**. In medical diagnosis, we don't want to miss cancer patients (minimize FN).

### 11.2 Specificity (True Negative Rate)

$$\text{Specificity} = \text{TNR} = \frac{TN}{TN + FP}$$

**Question answered:** Of all actually negative cases, what fraction did we correctly identify?

**When it matters:** When **false alarms are costly**. In spam filtering, we don't want to mark important emails as spam (minimize FP).

### 11.3 Precision (Positive Predictive Value)

$$\text{Precision} = \text{PPV} = \frac{TP}{TP + FP}$$

**Question answered:** Of all cases we predicted positive, what fraction are actually positive?

**When it matters:** When **acting on positive predictions is costly**. If treatment is expensive/harmful, we want predictions to be reliable.

### 11.4 False Positive Rate

$$\text{FPR} = 1 - \text{Specificity} = \frac{FP}{TN + FP}$$

**Question answered:** Of all actually negative cases, what fraction did we incorrectly classify as positive?

#### Warning

##### The Base Rate Problem (Bayes' Theorem)

Even with 99% sensitivity and 99% specificity, if a disease is very rare (e.g., 0.1% prevalence), most positive test results will be **false positives**!

This is why precision (PPV) can be low even with excellent sensitivity and specificity when the base rate is low.

## 12 The Threshold Trade-off

The classification threshold (default 0.5) is not sacred. Adjusting it creates a **trade-off** between sensitivity and specificity.

### 12.1 Lowering the Threshold (e.g., $0.5 \rightarrow 0.3$ )

The model becomes more “eager” to predict positive:

- More True Positives (TP  $\uparrow$ ) — **good**
- Fewer False Negatives (FN  $\downarrow$ ) — **good**
- **Sensitivity increases**

But also:

- More False Positives (FP  $\uparrow$ ) — **bad**
- Fewer True Negatives (TN  $\downarrow$ ) — **bad**
- **Specificity decreases**

**Use case:** Medical screening where missing a case is unacceptable.

### 12.2 Raising the Threshold (e.g., $0.5 \rightarrow 0.7$ )

The model becomes more “conservative” about predicting positive:

- Fewer False Positives (FP  $\downarrow$ ) — **good**
- More True Negatives (TN  $\uparrow$ ) — **good**
- **Specificity increases**

But also:

- Fewer True Positives (TP  $\downarrow$ ) — **bad**
- More False Negatives (FN  $\uparrow$ ) — **bad**
- **Sensitivity decreases**

**Use case:** When false positives are very costly (e.g., invasive surgery based on prediction).

#### Key Information

##### No Free Lunch

You cannot simultaneously maximize both sensitivity and specificity. Improving one typically hurts the other. The optimal threshold depends on the **relative costs** of false positives vs false negatives in your specific application.

## 13 ROC Curves and AUC

The **ROC Curve** (Receiver Operating Characteristic) visualizes the trade-off across **all possible thresholds**.

### 13.1 Constructing the ROC Curve

1. For each threshold from 0 to 1:
  - Calculate the True Positive Rate (TPR = Sensitivity)
  - Calculate the False Positive Rate (FPR = 1 - Specificity)
2. Plot each (FPR, TPR) pair as a point
3. Connect the points to form the curve

**Axes:**

- X-axis: False Positive Rate (FPR)
- Y-axis: True Positive Rate (TPR)

### 13.2 Interpreting the ROC Curve

- **Perfect classifier:** Goes from (0,0) to (0,1) to (1,1). It achieves 100% TPR with 0% FPR.
- **Random classifier:** The diagonal line from (0,0) to (1,1). TPR equals FPR at every threshold.
- **Good classifier:** Curves toward the upper-left corner (0,1).

### 13.3 AUC: Area Under the Curve

The **AUC** summarizes the entire ROC curve in a single number:

$$\text{AUC} = \int_0^1 \text{ROC}(x) dx$$

- **AUC = 1.0:** Perfect classifier
- **AUC = 0.5:** Random classifier (useless)
- **AUC = 0.8-0.9:** Good classifier
- **AUC < 0.5:** Worse than random (predictions are inverted)

#### Key Information

##### Probabilistic Interpretation of AUC

AUC equals the probability that a randomly chosen positive example is ranked higher (assigned higher probability) than a randomly chosen negative example.

AUC = 0.8 means: if you pick one positive and one negative case at random, there's an 80% chance the model assigns a higher probability to the positive case.

```
1 from sklearn.metrics import roc_curve, roc_auc_score
2 import matplotlib.pyplot as plt
3
```

```
4 # Get predicted probabilities
5 y_proba = model.predict_proba(X_test)[:, 1]
6
7 # Calculate ROC curve
8 fpr, tpr, thresholds = roc_curve(y_test, y_proba)
9
10 # Calculate AUC
11 auc = roc_auc_score(y_test, y_proba)
12 print(f"AUC: {auc:.3f}")
13
14 # Plot
15 plt.figure(figsize=(8, 6))
16 plt.plot(fpr, tpr, label=f'ROC Curve (AUC = {auc:.3f})')
17 plt.plot([0, 1], [0, 1], 'k--', label='Random Classifier')
18 plt.xlabel('False Positive Rate')
19 plt.ylabel('True Positive Rate')
20 plt.title('ROC Curve')
21 plt.legend()
22 plt.grid(True, alpha=0.3)
23 plt.show()
```

Listing 6: ROC Curve and AUC in sklearn

## 14 Alternative Inference: Bootstrap and Permutation

Just as in linear regression, we have two approaches to inference:

### 14.1 Probabilistic Approach (Default)

Uses mathematical theory (Fisher's Information) to derive standard errors, confidence intervals, and p-values. This is what `statsmodels` provides.

#### Advantages:

- Fast computation
- Well-established theory

#### Disadvantages:

- Relies on asymptotic approximations (large sample)
- May not be accurate for small samples

### 14.2 Empirical Approach (Bootstrap/Permutation)

#### Bootstrap for Confidence Intervals:

1. Resample your data with replacement
2. Fit the model on each bootstrap sample
3. Calculate the coefficient
4. Repeat many times to get a distribution
5. Use percentiles for confidence intervals

#### Permutation for Hypothesis Testing:

1. Shuffle the  $Y$  values (break association with  $X$ )
2. Fit the model and record the coefficient
3. Repeat many times to get the null distribution
4. Compare observed coefficient to null distribution

#### Key Information

##### Advantages of Empirical Methods in Logistic Regression

In logistic regression, we don't have to worry about:

- Heteroscedasticity (variance depends on  $p$ , not separate)
- Normality of errors (no continuous errors)

The main assumption that matters is the correct specification of the model (right predictors, right functional form).

## 15 Practical Checklist

### Before Your Midterm: Key Concepts Checklist

- ☐ Can you explain why logistic regression uses MLE instead of OLS?
- ☐ Do you understand why there's no closed-form solution (numerical methods needed)?
- ☐ Can you interpret  $\beta_0$  for a model with a binary predictor (reference group concept)?
- ☐ Can you interpret  $\beta_1$  as a difference in log-odds and  $e^{\beta_1}$  as an odds ratio?
- ☐ Do you understand the Z-distribution vs t-distribution distinction for inference?
- ☐ Can you write out how interaction terms create different slopes for different groups?
- ☐ Can you derive why  $X\beta = 0$  is the decision boundary (from  $P = 0.5$ )?
- ☐ Do you understand how polynomial terms create non-linear decision boundaries?
- ☐ Can you explain regularization and the meaning of sklearn's  $C$  parameter?
- ☐ Do you know the difference between multinomial and one-vs-rest for multiclass?
- ☐ Can you calculate sensitivity, specificity, and precision from a confusion matrix?
- ☐ Do you understand the threshold trade-off (sensitivity vs specificity)?
- ☐ Can you interpret an ROC curve and explain what AUC measures?

### Exam Tips from the Lecture

- For back-of-envelope calculations: use 2 for t-values and 1.96 for z-values
- Default answers if stuck: “cross-validation” or “it depends” or “MSE”
- Remember: logistic regression uses Z (not t) because variance is determined by p
- Coefficient interpretation: always talk about **odds**, not probability
- $C$  in sklearn is **inverse** of  $\lambda$ : small  $C$  = strong regularization

## 16 Summary: Key Formulas

### Logistic Regression Model

$$\log\left(\frac{p}{1-p}\right) = \beta_0 + \beta_1 X_1 + \cdots + \beta_p X_p$$

$$p = \frac{e^{X\beta}}{1 + e^{X\beta}} = \frac{1}{1 + e^{-X\beta}}$$

### Loss Function

Binary Cross-Entropy:

$$\text{Loss} = - \sum_{i=1}^n [y_i \log(p_i) + (1 - y_i) \log(1 - p_i)]$$

With L2 Regularization:

$$\text{Loss}_{\text{reg}} = \text{Loss} + \lambda \sum_{j=1}^p \beta_j^2$$

### Evaluation Metrics

$$\text{Sensitivity (TPR)} = \frac{TP}{TP + FN}$$

$$\text{Specificity (TNR)} = \frac{TN}{TN + FP}$$

$$\text{Precision (PPV)} = \frac{TP}{TP + FP}$$

$$\text{FPR} = \frac{FP}{TN + FP} = 1 - \text{Specificity}$$

$$\text{Accuracy} = \frac{TP + TN}{TP + TN + FP + FN}$$

### Key Relationships

- Probability  $\rightarrow$  Odds:  $\text{Odds} = \frac{p}{1-p}$
- Odds  $\rightarrow$  Probability:  $p = \frac{\text{Odds}}{1+\text{Odds}}$
- Odds  $\rightarrow$  Log-Odds:  $\log(\text{Odds})$
- Coefficient  $\rightarrow$  Odds Ratio:  $e^\beta$
- Decision Boundary: where  $X\beta = 0$  (equivalently  $p = 0.5$ )
- sklearn's C:  $C \approx 1/\lambda$  (inverse regularization strength)



