

INLG 2022 DialogSum Challenge: Dialogue Summarization using BART

Conrad Lundberg, Leyre Sánchez Viñuela, and Siena Biales

University of Tübingen

conrad.lundberg@student.uni-tuebingen.de leyre.sanchez-vinuela@student.uni-tuebingen.de siena.biales@student.uni-tuebingen.de



Outline

- Task introduction
- Model overview
- Explored methods
 - Intermediate task transfer learning
 - Direct and reported speech
 - Data augmentation
- Results
- Conclusion



Task Introduction

- DialogSum is a shared task on summarizing real-life scenario dialogues
- Dialog summarization differs from monologic text summarization
- Model must address:
 - semantic roles
 - resolving definite pronouns/coreference
 - various other complexities
- Evaluation metrics: ROUGE scores, BERTScore, human evaluation



Model overview

- Fine-tuned BART model on 12,460 dialogue/summary pairs
 - Initially fine-tuned on the CNN/Dailymail corpus
- Examined topics: 7434 unique topics found
 - Not utilized for final model
- Post-processing
 - Replace any instances of #Person3# or #Person4# with #Person1# or #Person2#
 - Replace instances of duplicate labels, such as #Person1#Person1# or #Person2#Person2#



Explored methods

- Intermediate Task Transfer Learning
- Direct and Reported Speech
- Data Augmentation



Intermediate Task Transfer Learning

- Pruksachatkun et al. (2020) show intermediate tasks improve various target tasks
 - Some improved target tasks across the board: HellaSwag, Cosmos QA
- HellaSwag dataset
 - Natural language inference dataset modeled as multiple-choice questions
 - Trained 1 epoch on 10% of the HellaSwag training split as intermediate task
 - Did not improve ROUGE scores, discarded in final model

XSum dataset

- News articles and one-sentence summaries
- Trained 1 epoch on the XSum training split as intermediate task
- Did not improve ROUGE scores, discarded in final model



Direct and Reported Speech

- Direct speech of dialogues vs. narrative style of news articles
 - o 1st and 2nd person vs. 3rd person
- Hypothesis: if we fine-tune BART with more similar data to what it had originally been fine-tuned on, we can get better results
 - Transform the dialogues to reported speech to reflect style of news articles
 - Fine-tune BART with the dialogues in their reported-speech form
- Result: the ROUGE scores are lower
 - o Possible reason: poor quality of rule-based direct-to-reported-speech algorithm



Data Augmentation

- SamSum: human-annotated dialogue dataset for abstractive summarization
 - 16k messenger-like conversations with summaries
- Fine-tuned BART with merged SamSum and DialogSum datasets
- Results: lower ROUGE scores
 - Possible reason: shorter length of SamSum dialogues and summaries
 - Written dialogues (SamSum) vs. spoken conversations (DialogSum)



Results

- Some "good" summaries had low ROUGE scores
 - Length discrepancies
 - Novel word choices

TARGET	#Person1# tells Kate that Masha and Hero get divorced. Kate is surprised because she			
GENERATED	thought they are perfect couple. #Person1# tells Kate Masha and Hero are getting divorced. Kate is surprised because she thought they are the perfect couple.			
TARGET	#PersonI# and Mike are discussing what kind of emotion should be expressed by Mike in this play. They have different understandings.			
GENERATED	#Person1# thinks Mike is acting hurt and sad because that's not how his character would act in this situation, but #Person2# thinks Jason and Laura had been together for 3 years so his reaction would be one of both anger and sadness.			

Table 1: Examples of a generated summary close to the target summary (above) and a less ideal generated summary (below)



Results

- Results very close to others on the leaderboard
- ROUGE scores on the hidden dataset were higher

	R1	R2	RL	BERTSCORE
Public	47.29	21.65	45.92	92.26
Hidden	49.75	25.15	46.50	91.76

DialogSum Challenge Website: https://cylnlp.github.io/dialogsum-challenge/



Conclusion

- Basic fine-tuned BART is able to achieve relatively successful dialogue summarization
- Compared to other submissions, we had good results on both the public testset and hidden testset
- Future work:
 - Intermediate task transfer learning on a different dataset or for more epochs
 - Directed to reported speech using better algorithm
 - Dataset augmentation with a different dataset



Thank you for your attention!

We encourage you to look at our paper to learn more!