## Homework 4: Geodesic Flow

This set of problems is adapted from [53].

Let (X,g) be a riemannian manifold. The arc-length of a smooth curve  $\gamma:[a,b]\to X$  is

$$\text{arc-length of } \gamma \ := \ \int_a^b \left| \frac{d\gamma}{dt} \right| \, dt \ , \quad \text{ where } \quad \left| \frac{d\gamma}{dt} \right| \ := \ \sqrt{g_{\gamma(t)} \left( \frac{d\gamma}{dt}, \frac{d\gamma}{dt} \right)} \ .$$

- 1. Show that the arc-length of  $\gamma$  is independent of the parametrization of  $\gamma$ , i.e., show that, if we reparametrize  $\gamma$  by  $\tau:[a',b']\to [a,b]$ , the new curve  $\gamma'=\gamma\circ\tau:[a',b']\to X$  has the same arc-length. A curve  $\gamma$  is called a curve of constant velocity when  $\left|\frac{d\gamma}{dt}\right|$  is independent of t. Show that, given any curve  $\gamma:[a,b]\to X$  (with  $\frac{d\gamma}{dt}$  never vanishing), there is a reparametrization  $\tau:[a,b]\to [a,b]$  such that  $\gamma\circ\tau:[a,b]\to X$  is of constant velocity.
- 2. Given a smooth curve  $\gamma:[a,b]\to X$ , the action of  $\gamma$  is  $\mathcal{A}(\gamma):=\int_a^b\left|\frac{d\gamma}{dt}\right|^2dt$ . Show that, among all curves joining x to y,  $\gamma$  minimizes the action if and only if  $\gamma$  is of constant velocity and  $\gamma$  minimizes arc-length.

**Hint:** Suppose that  $\gamma$  is of constant velocity, and let  $\tau:[a,b] \to [a,b]$  be a reparametrization. Show that  $\mathcal{A}(\gamma \circ \tau) \geq \mathcal{A}(\gamma)$ , with equality only when  $\tau=$  identity.

3. Assume that (X,g) is geodesically convex, that is, any two points  $x,y\in X$  are joined by a unique (up to reparametrization) minimizing geodesic; its arc-length d(x,y) is called the riemannian distance between x and y.

Assume also that (X,g) is geodesically complete, that is, every geodesic can be extended indefinitely. Given  $(x,v)\in TX$ , let  $\exp(x,v):\mathbb{R}\to X$  be the unique minimizing geodesic of constant velocity with initial conditions  $\exp(x,v)(0)=x$  and  $\frac{d\exp(x,v)}{dt}(0)=v$ .

Consider the function  $f: X \times X \to \mathbb{R}$  given by  $f(x,y) = -\frac{1}{2} \cdot d(x,y)^2$ . Let  $d_x f$  and  $d_y f$  be the components of  $df_{(x,y)}$  with respect to  $T^*_{(x,y)}(X \times X) \simeq T^*_x X \times T^*_y X$ . Recall that, if

$$\Gamma_f^{\sigma} = \{(x, y, d_x f, -d_y f) \mid (x, y) \in X \times X\}$$

is the graph of a diffeomorphism  $f:T^*X\to T^*X$ , then f is the symplectomorphism generated by f. In this case,  $f(x,\xi)=(y,\eta)$  if and only if  $\xi=d_xf$  and  $\eta=-d_yf$ .

Show that, under the identification of TX with  $T^*X$  by g, the symplectomorphism generated by f coincides with the map  $TX \to TX$ ,  $(x,v) \mapsto \exp(x,v)(1)$ .

 $\begin{array}{ll} \textbf{Hint:} & \text{The metric $g$ provides the identifications $T_x X v \simeq \xi(\cdot) = g_x(v,\cdot) \in T_x^* X$.} & \text{We need to show that, given $(x,v) \in T X$, the unique solution of } \\ (\star) \left\{ \begin{array}{l} g_x(v,\cdot) = d_x f(\cdot) \\ g_y(w,\cdot) = -d_y f(\cdot) \end{array} \right. & \text{is $(y,w) = (\exp(x,v)(1), d\frac{\exp(x,v)}{dt}(1))$.} \end{array} \right.$ 

Look up the Gauss lemma in a book on riemannian geometry. It asserts that geodesics are orthogonal to the level sets of the distance function.

To solve the <u>first</u> line in  $(\star)$  for y, evaluate both sides at  $v=\frac{d\exp(x,v)}{dt}(0)$ . Conclude that  $y=\exp(x,v)(1)$ . Check that  $d_xf(v')=0$  for vectors  $v'\in T_xX$  orthogonal to v (that is,  $g_x(v,v')=0$ ); this is a consequence of f(x,y) being the arc-length of a *minimizing* geodesic, and it suffices to check locally.

The vector w is obtained from the  $\underline{\operatorname{second}}$  line of  $(\star)$ . Compute  $-d_y f(\frac{d \exp(x,v)}{dt}(1))$ . Then evaluate  $-d_y f$  at vectors  $w' \in T_y X$  orthogonal to  $\frac{d \exp(x,v)}{dt}(1)$ ; this pairing is again 0 because f(x,y) is the arc-length of a minimizing geodesic. Conclude, using the nondegeneracy of g, that  $w = \frac{d \exp(x,v)}{dt}(1)$ . For both steps, it might be useful to recall that, given a function  $\varphi: X \to \mathbb{R}$  and a tangent vector  $v \in T_x X$ , we have  $d\varphi_x(v) = \frac{d}{du} \left[ \varphi(\exp(x,v)(u)) \right]_{u=0}$ .