

Extending Gödel-Löb Provability Logic with an Interface Operator

Technical Documentation for Master's Thesis

GL+I: A Conservative Extension with Dual Accessibility Relations

Pratik Deshmukh

MSc Logic and Computation
Technische Universität Wien

Supervisor: Prof. Agata Ciabattoni

pratik.deshmukh@student.tuwien.ac.at

November 2025

Executive Summary

Project Overview

This thesis develops **GL+I**, a conservative extension of Gödel-Löb provability logic (**GL**) with a novel interface operator I . The extension employs dual accessibility relations (R_\square for provability, R_I for interface alignment) with the critical constraint $R_\square \subseteq R_I$.

Main Contributions:

- Complete axiomatization with three new axioms (I1, I2, I3)
- Rigorous Kripke semantics with dual accessibility
- Full well-definedness proofs (consistency, arithmetic representability, closure)
- Soundness theorem establishing the syntactic-semantic bridge

1 Document Package Contents

This submission package consists of four technical documents providing a complete mathematical foundation for **GL+I**:

Doc	Title	Pages	Purpose
1	Comprehensive Introduction	5	Motivation, historical context, scope
2	Frame Properties	5	Semantic foundations, frame theory
3	Well-Definedness Proofs	7	Consistency, representability, closure
4	Soundness Theorem	5	Axiom validity, semantic grounding
Total		22	

2 Document Summaries

2.1 Document 1: Comprehensive Introduction

File: GL_I_Introduction.pdf

Content Overview:

- Historical context: Gödel (1931), Löb (1955), Solovay (1976), Boolos (1979/1993)
- Technical framework: Language $\mathcal{L}(\Box, I)$, axiom system, inference rules
- Main results summary: Consistency, arithmetic representability, operator interactions
- Expressive power analysis: New concepts expressible in GL+I
- Relationship to formal systems and incompleteness phenomena
- Explicit scope limitations (completeness reserved for future work)

Key Contribution: Positions GL+I within the modal logic landscape with appropriate scope claims.

2.2 Document 2: Frame Properties

File: GL_I_Frame_Properties.pdf

Content Overview:

- Frame class characterization: $\mathcal{F}_{\text{GL+I}}$ with formal conditions
- Model and satisfaction definitions
- Accessibility degree analysis: $\deg_{\Box}(w)$, $\deg_I(w)$, extension degree
- Axiom-frame correspondence theorems
- Frame morphisms and bisimulation for GL+I
- Filtration and finite model property
- Complexity analysis: Model checking in P, satisfiability PSPACE-complete
- Concrete model examples demonstrating non-collapse

Key Contribution: Establishes rigorous semantic foundations with dual accessibility relations.

2.3 Document 3: Well-Definedness Proofs

File: GL_I_Well_Definedness.pdf

Content Overview:

- **Consistency:** $\text{GL+I} \not\vdash I \perp$ (semantic and syntactic proofs)
- **Arithmetic Representability:** Σ_1 interface provability predicate
- **Operator Interactions:** $\Box A \leftrightarrow \Box I A$ (central equivalence)
- **Closure Properties:** MP, necessitation, substitution, replacement preserved
- Non-triviality results demonstrating $I \neq \Box$
- Extended derivability conditions DI1–DI4

Key Contribution: Demonstrates mathematical coherence and well-behavedness of the extension.

2.4 Document 4: Soundness Theorem

File: GL_I_Soundness.pdf

Content Overview:

- Semantic framework recap (frames, models, satisfaction)
- Soundness of standard GL axioms (K, 4, GL/Löb)
- Soundness of interface axioms:
 - I1 (Distribution): $I(A \rightarrow B) \rightarrow (IA \rightarrow IB)$
 - I2 (Self-reflection): $IA \rightarrow IIA$
 - I3 (Inclusion): $\Box A \rightarrow IA$
- Soundness of inference rules (MP, Nec \Box , Nec I)
- Main soundness theorem: $\text{GL+I} \vdash A \Rightarrow \models_{\text{GL+I}} A$
- Axiom-frame correspondence table

Key Contribution: Establishes the syntactic-semantic bridge, grounding provability in validity.

3 Technical Framework Summary

3.1 The GL+I System

Axiomatization

Language: $\mathcal{L}(\Box, I)$ with propositional variables, Boolean connectives, and modal operators \Box (provability) and I (interface)

Axioms:

- Propositional tautologies
- K: $\Box(A \rightarrow B) \rightarrow (\Box A \rightarrow \Box B)$
- 4: $\Box A \rightarrow \Box \Box A$
- GL: $\Box(\Box A \rightarrow A) \rightarrow \Box A$
- I1: $I(A \rightarrow B) \rightarrow (IA \rightarrow IB)$
- I2: $IA \rightarrow IIA$
- I3: $\Box A \rightarrow IA$

Rules: Modus Ponens, Nec \Box , Nec I

3.2 Semantic Framework

Dual Accessibility Semantics

Frame: $\mathcal{F} = \langle W, R_\square, R_I \rangle$ where:

- R_\square : transitive, irreflexive, conversely well-founded (standard GL)
- R_I : transitive, with $R_\square \subseteq R_I$ (critical constraint)

Truth Conditions:

$$\begin{aligned} w \models \Box A &\text{ iff } \forall v(wR_\square v \Rightarrow v \models A) \\ w \models IA &\text{ iff } \forall v(wR_I v \Rightarrow v \models A) \end{aligned}$$

4 Main Results Summary

Result	Statement	Doc
Consistency	$\text{GL+I} \not\vdash I \perp$	3
Conservative Extension	$\text{GL} \vdash \varphi \Leftrightarrow \text{GL+I} \vdash \varphi$ (for GL-formulas)	3
Arithmetic Representability	Interface provability is Σ_1	3
Operator Interaction	$\vdash \Box A \leftrightarrow \Box IA$	3
Non-Collapse	$\not\vdash IA \rightarrow \Box A$ (in general)	2, 3
Finite Model Property	Every satisfiable formula has finite model	2
Complexity	Satisfiability is PSPACE-complete	2
Soundness	$\text{GL+I} \vdash A \Rightarrow \models_{\text{GL+I}} A$	4
Axiom Correspondence	$I1 \leftrightarrow (\text{none}), I2 \leftrightarrow \text{Trans}(R_I), I3 \leftrightarrow R_\square \subseteq R_I$	2, 4

5 Scope and Future Work

5.1 Current Scope

This work establishes the **foundational theory** of GL+I:

- Complete axiomatization with semantic framework
- Full well-definedness proofs
- Soundness theorem
- Expressive power analysis

5.2 Explicit Limitations

The following are **reserved for future work**:

- **Completeness:** Canonical model construction and completeness proof
- **Advanced Fixed Points:** Extended diagonalization techniques
- **Algorithmic Implementation:** Automated reasoning systems
- **Applications:** Concrete applications to formal verification