B-Safe User Manual v. 0.0.4 for B-Safe v. 0.0.5-03

Alexander Stemke (1), Lars Andersen (1), Oliver Sailer (1), Dunfu Yang (1) reviewed by Oliver Sailer (1) and Louise Whitehead (1,2)

- (1) Boehringer Ingelheim Pharma GmbH & Co. KG
- (2) Biostatistics Research Group, Newcastle University, UK

2024-08-14

Contents

Introduction	2
Using the App Getting Started	3
Data Preparation Data example Adding an Arm	
Analysis selection	6
Select patients with the respective treatment	6
Select Safety Analysis	7
Select Safety Topic	
Used Seed	
Pool by Study	
MAP Prior	9
MAP Prior - Incidence Proportion	10
MAP Prior: Exposure-Adjusted Adverse Event Rate	10
Contact 1	1
References 1	2

List of Figures

1	TEAL filtration	ĸ
1		
2	TEAL filtration selection	
3	Add Arm	7
4	Analysis Selection	8
5	Pool by study	9
6	MAP Prior	9
7	MAP Prior	.0
8	Forrest Plot - Incidence Proportions	.1
9	MAP Prior summary - Incidence Proportions	2
List	of Tables	
1	Variable Columns	3
2	Additional Variables	4
3	Data example	4
4	Data example: Addition Variables	
5	Heterogeneity	0

Introduction


B-SAFE is an R-Shiny app. The app is an innovate software tool for statistical analysis of adverse event summary data. The app can enhance the descriptive analysis for a current trial with historical information on one or more treatment arms for increased precision. It features a Bayesian Meta-Analytic Predictive (MAP) Prior approach [1] and a robust extension [2], which incorporates historical information for safety analyses on adverse events into safety analyses for a new trial. The use of historical information has been used for efficacy analyses in the past and now being extended to safety analyses.

This user manual details how to use the app. The user manual documents and specifies the input parameters with their expected outputs. Refer to the Statistical Analysis Plan for the methodology used.

- Coding: Alexander Stemke, Lars Andersen
- Statistical Analysis: Oliver Sailer, Alexander Stemke
- Testing: Lars Andersen, Dunfu Yang
- Support by Steven Brooks, Kevin Kunzmann, Dooti Roy, Christina Schlecker, James O. Turay, Louise Whitehead, Lin Feng Zou

Using the App

This section introduces the user to the app and how to prepare the data set for analysis. From this section on, we will use example data (see section ??data-example)) to demonstrate the usage of the entire application. This simple data example is further specified in section 3.



You will land on a page similar to this. It es recommended to go trough the app from top to bottom. The left and middle panel in fig. correspond to each other. Each bullet point has a section within this manual.

Getting Started

The **Getting Started** page is the first bullet point from the top. Each of the tabs will be explained in detail in the following sections. It provides an overview of the usage of the app. The app has two main uses:

- A deep dive into a Bayesian MAP Prior analysis of a selected adverse endpoint
- A Posterior Probability analysis to compare a set of adverse events between two treatment arms

Analyses for Incidence Proportions and Exposure-Adjusted Adverse Event Rates (i.e., Incidence Rates) are available.

As you are a user of the app, the first step has already been taken and the app was set up successfully. Therefor, you had to specify a dataset as .csv as described in 1.

You can then jump directly to **Default comparisons** ?? for a summary table with a default analysis.

Table 1: Variable Columns

Variable	Data Type	Description		
STUDYID	character/numeric	Study number or name		
HIST	boolean	0 for current trial and 1 for historical trial		
ARM	character/numeric	Defines the various treatment arms in the respective study		
N	numeric	Total number of patients in the respective study		
N WITH AE	numeric	Total number of patients for the respective study safety topic who		
		experienced at least one adverse event		
SAF TOPIC	character	Describes the type of adverse event. Safety topic will be read in		
		by your dataset, max 30 characters		
TOT EXP	numeric	Total exposure time for the respective safety topic: sum of patients		
		treatment exposure period until occurrence of first event.		

Note that TOT EXP (total exposure time) is only needed for analysis of the Exposure Adjusted Incidence Rate.

Additionally, other variables including Dose, Freq (Frequency), Length (Length of treatment), Treat (Treatment) can be included, as shown in Table ??. With these additional variables, subgroup analysis can be done. Note that in Table ??, for variables Freq and Length, the unit does not have to be "day". The time scale depends on the input and should be consistent throughout the entire dataset. Moreover, further variables could be included (see therefor also the data example 4).

Table 2: Additional Variables

Variable	Data Type	Description
Dose	numeric	Amount/weight of the medication the patient took
Freq(Frequency)	integer	Number of times in a day the medication was taken by the patient
Length	integer	Total number of days the patient was on the treatment
Treat	character	The specific treatment the patient was on

Data Preparation

Once you have set up the app, under data preparation the available data is displayed. In the following section the data set will be introduced. Afterwards in section , the teal functionality of data filtration will briefly introduced, before we prepare

Data example

The following data set example was generated for this introduction.

Table 3: Data example

-	STUDYID	HIST	ARM	N	SAF TOPIC	N WITH AE	TOT EXP
1	Study#1	1	g1	143	vomitting	34	257.98
2	Study#1	1	g1	120	vomitting	26	338.18
3	Study#1	1	g1	198	vomitting	27	318.65
4	Study#1	1	g1	179	insomnia	36	316.20
5	Study#1	1	g1	200	insomnia	33	334.95
6	Study#1	1	g1	156	insomnia	32	262.24
7	Study#1	0	g1	200	insomnia	34	193.03
8	Study#2	0	g2	109	vomitting	65	334.73
9	Study#2	0	g2	190	vomitting	57	263.33
10	Study#2	0	g2	119	insomnia	55	203.99
11	Study#2	0	g2	124	insomnia	59	273.15
12	Study#2	0	g2	187	vomitting	58	226.69
13	Study#3	1	g3	138	vomitting	58	226.69
14	Study#3	1	g3	114	vomitting	65	334.73
15	Study#3	1	g3	121	vomitting	55	203.99
16	Study#3	1	g3	200	insomnia	59	213.91
17	Study#3	1	g3	183	insomnia	57	263.33
18	Study#3	1	g3	163	insomnia	36	316.20

In brief those three studies are presented. Each study has a STUDYID which coresponds in this case with its ARM. Study#1 includes historical (HIST = 1) and non historical (HIST = 0) information. And has only for the Placebo treatment both available. Study#2 includes only current data and Study#3 only. historical (HIST = 1).

All additional information, that are not per se releveant for the B-SAFE module, are displayed in 4 In addition to the predefined additional variables (section 2), this dataset also includes information on the region of the study.

	Table 4: Data example: Addition Variables						
	STUDYID	DOSE	FREQ	LENGTH	TREAT	REGION	
1	Study#1	150	2	120	AgentA	Africa	
2	Study#1	250	3	240	AgentB	America	
3	Study#1	500	1	365	Placebo	Eurasia	
4	Study#1	150	3	365	AgentA	Eurasia	
5	Study#1	250	1	120	AgentB	Africa	
6	Study#1	500	2	240	Placebo	America	
7	Study#1	500	2	240	Placebo	Eurasia	
8	Study#2	250	1	240	AgentB	America	
9	Study#2	500	2	365	Placebo	Africa	
10	Study#2	150	3	120	AgentA	Eurasia	
11	Study#2	250	1	365	AgentB	America	
12	Study#2	150	3	240	AgentA	Eurasia	
13	Study#3	150	3	240	AgentA	Africa	
14	Study#3	250	1	365	AgentB	America	
15	Study#3	500	2	120	Placebo	Africa	
16	Study#3	150	2	240	AgentA	Eurasia	
17	Study#3	250	3	365	AgentB	America	

It is important to understand, that for the meta-analysis, the data subset which will be used is defined by the variable ARM. Accordingly the creation of a arm will be explained next.

1

120

Placebo

Africa

Adding an Arm

Study#3

18

Each analysis is performed for the data defined by the variable ARM. Before we use the predefined arms, or add a new arm, it is crucial to note, that the filtering via TEAL 1, has to be done, before any arm is created.

By collapsing 1. in 1 you can view your data and by 2. in 1 you can collapse the TEAL filtration.

500




Figure 1: TEAL filtration

It is possible to filter for each variable of the dataset. 1. (see 2) select the variable you would like to filter. In this example we choose the total exposure time and the region. 2. we chose an exposure under 500 and America and Eurasia as a region. Any further arm creation or analysis would be performed on this subset.

For now, we don't need further filtration, this feature is very useful, if you would like to investigate certain subgroup characteristics or see directly a potential arm creation. Under 3. it is displate how many observations remain in this subset. For any further information, please refer to TEALs documentation.




Figure 2: TEAL filtration selection

Starting with our original data set we will now create a data subset called an arm, which we will investigate further.

Starting with 1. (see fig. 3) select the variables we are interested in. In this case we chose DOSE and TREAT. 2. choose the variables characteristics. In this case, we are interested to borrow information from the Placebo treatments. In general it is discouraged to borrow information from treatment arms that are not Placebo or standard of care. 3. you add the arm, by doing so a pop up will open and requests naming your arm and to 4. accept your naming.

In this case the subset of dose 500 and Placebo treatment is identical, but especially with an increasing number of studies to borrow from, it is better to ensure your correct choices. On our example ARM: Placebo500 we will now perform any further analysis.

Analysis selection

The filtered data is then displayed on the right hand side of the screen when 1. in figure 4 is collapsed. If there are different treatment options in the uploaded dataset file, ar you have created different ARMS you can 2. choose a specific ARM from the drop-down menu in figure 4. The data for which the analysis will be performed is displayed under 3 on the right hand side of the screen.

Under 2. we have several options, we will explain each of them next.

Select patients with the respective treatment

If there are different treatment options in the uploaded dataset file, ar you have created different ARMS you can 2. choose a specific ARM from the drop-down menu in figure 4. In this case we choose our created ARM Placebo500. g1 would not be a wise choice, it includes different treatments.




Figure 3: Add Arm

Select Safety Analysis

The drop-down menu in figure 4 provides two analyses options to choose from:

• Incidence Proportion: sometimes known as Adverse Event Rate which describes the proportion of patients with at least one of the Adverse Event of interest in our trial, i.e., Incidence Proportion = $\frac{\sum N_{-}WITH_{-}AE}{\sum N}$ where $\sum N_{-}WITH_{-}AE = \text{total number of patients with AE and } \sum N = \text{total number of patients}.$

Incidence proportion can also be described as the estimated probability of a patient having one or more AEs during the specific period.

• Exposure Adjusted Adverse Event Rate: sometimes referred to as Incident rate, which describes the incident rate of AEs per patient per unit time assuming a constant hazard rate. This could be interpreted as the number of patients having one or more adverse events per unit time under assumption of constant risk over the observational period. Exposure Adjusted Event Rate = $\frac{\sum N_{-}WITH_{-}AE}{\sum t_{i}}$ where $\sum N_{-}WITH_{-}AE = \text{number of subjects who had at least one AE}, t_{i} = \text{subject } i$'s total exposure time to first AE or censoring.

The choice of Safety Analysis option is based on the need of the user. Later section will be divided into subsection to give a proper insight to the use of the app.

Select Safety Topic

The user should select a safety topic (AE endpoint) that a (robust) MAP Prior will explore from the drop-down menu in Figure 4. The filtered data will be displayed on the right hand side of the screen.

By default, the first AE endpoint (ordered alphabetically) will be selected and displayed. In our example we choose also vomitting.

Used Seed

The user can manually set the seed for reproducibility of results of the Markov Chain Monte Carlo simulations and other random draws (see figure 4). Be aware that reproducibility is only guaranteed, while you are in the same session. For more information, please refer to the statistical analysis plan. For more information how to save your analysis please see section TODO




Figure 4: Analysis Selection

By default, a seed is given, but you can always overwrite the seed.

Pool by Study

If the defined treatment arm includes subsets within the trial, e.g., several different doses, the user can choose to pool these together or keep them separated for the purpose of MAP Prior analysis. The default option is to pool the studies together (see 1. figure 5).

As can be seen in 2. the sum over the study is taken, if the studies won't be pooled, each subgroup is treated as a own group within the hierarchical model. For the demonstration we changed the ARM to g1. If we 3. select no pooling we get the three different subgroups from different regions with different frequency.

For the reminder of the demonstration using Placebo500, there is no difference whether we pool or not.




Figure 5: Pool by study

MAP Prior

Figure 6: MAP Prior ✓ Map Prior ✓ Map Prior Setween-Trial Heterogeneity Prior Distribution Model Estimates Displayed are the point estimates for the mean (dots) and their resp Half-normal Selection or data has changed please update Map Prior $\frac{\tau}{\sigma}$ controls the amount of historical borrowing and is a ratio of the between-trial heterogeneity au and standard deviation σ : 4. Large Effective Sample § ze Method Information Ratio Expected Lo Update Map Priors 3.

The MAP Prior as proposed (see [1]) is estimated using a meta-analytic predictive model which accounts for the between-trial heterogeneity.

First collapse the section under 1. in fig. 6. Under 2. you have different options. The B-SAFE module uses the Half-normal distribution as a prior distribution for the between trial heterogeneity parameter τ as seen in fig. 6 which is a special case of the normal distribution that is truncated to values greater than or equal to zero.

To control the amount of information borrowed, the drop-down menu in fig. 6 gives you control of how much historical information to borrow using the recommendation (see [3]) as shown in Table 5. Generally, with larger heterogeneity less information will be borrowed.

The amount of information to be borrowed depends on the confidence the user has on the similarity between the new and historical data. In the app, the (default) hyperparameter for τ representing between-trial heterogeneity has been set to Large [4].

Heterogeneity	τ/σ
small	0.0625
moderate	0.125
substantial	0.25
large	0.5
very large	1

Table 5: Heterogeneity

For the Expected Sample Size method, the Expected Local Information Ratio proposed in [5] is used . This is due to its predictive consistency.

In fig. 6 the most important button is 3. For any relevant changes, the MCMC sample has to be calculated again. If no MAP prior was calculated, or any changes where done, then 4. is displayed.

For our example we use the default settings and continue with the incidence proportions and will investigate the exposure adjusted adverse event rate.

After 3. Updating the MAP prior, a small idle token (see fig. 7)will appear on the bottem right corner and then the results instead of 3.

Figure 7: MAP Prior



MAP Prior - Incidence Proportion

On the top-right corner of Figure 8, is the Model Estimate of which a forest plot displays the frequentist estimates for the strata (dashed lines) and the lower part shows the mean distribution and the MAP prior of the mean.

On the bottom-right corner of figure 9, a density curve and summary statistics is obtained for the MAP Prior.

The MAP prior for the incidence Proportion is generated by MCMC samples and then approximated by mixture of three Beta distributions (see figure 9).

MAP Prior: Exposure-Adjusted Adverse Event Rate


Exposure-Adjusted Event Rate is estimated as the log hazard rate using the asymptotic normal distribution. The MAP Prior for the log hazard is approximated by mixture of three normal distributions (see fig.).

Figure 8: Forrest Plot - Incidence Proportions

✓ Map Prior

Model Estimates

Displayed are the point estimates for the mean (dots) and their respective 95% frequentistic confidence intervals. For a stratified (dashed light blue line) and meta (solid dark blue line) analysis. The blue highlighted part displays the 95% credible interval (Crl) for the mean and the MAP Prior.



MAP Prior

Using a MAP approach, the prior approximated as the Beta mixture distribution:

$$0.39 \cdot Beta(1, 1.42) + 0.31 \cdot Beta(2.83, 11.2) + 0.29 \cdot Beta(4.88, 8.51)$$

Contact

For questions and feedback please contact:

• Alexander Stemke alexander.stemke@boehringer-ingelheim.com

Figure 9: MAP Prior summary - Incidence Proportions

MAP Prior

Using a MAP approach, the prior approximated as the Beta mixture distribution:

33.29%

21.03%

2.0
2.1.5
0.0
0.0%
25.0%
Proportion of Patients with vomitting with treatment Placebo500

Mean
SD Median
95% Crl
ESS

 $0.39 \cdot Beta(1, 1.42) + 0.31 \cdot Beta(2.83, 11.2) + 0.29 \cdot Beta(4.88, 8.51)$

References

MAP Prior

[1] NEUENSCHWANDER, B., CAPKUN-NIGGLI, G., BRANSON, M. and SPIEGELHALTER, D. J. (2010). Summarizing historical information on controls in clinical trials. *Clinical Trials* **7** 5–18.

29.41%

(3.66%, 85.97%)

3.91

- [2] SCHMIDLI, H., GSTEIGER, S., ROYCHOUDHURY, S., O'HAGAN, A., SPIEGELHALTER, D. and NEUEN-SCHWANDER, B. (2014). Robust meta-analytic-predictive priors in clinical trials with historical control information. *Biometrics* **70** 1023–32.
- [3] WEBER, S., LI, Y., III, J. W. S., KAKIZUME, T. and SCHMIDLI, H. (2021). Applying meta-analytic-predictive priors with the r bayesian evidence synthesis tools. *Journal of Statistical Software* **100**.
- [4] Ghadessi, M., Tang, R., Zhou, J., Liu, R., Wang, C., Toyoizumi, K., Mei, C., Zhang, L., Deng, C. Q. and Beckman, R. A. (2020). A roadmap to using historical controls in clinical trials by drug information association adaptive design scientific working group(Dia-adswg). *Orphanet Journal of Rare Diseases* 15 69.
- [5] NEUENSCHWANDER, B., WEBER, S., SCHMIDLI, H. and O'HAGAN, A. (2020). Predictively consistent prior effective sample sizes. *Biometrics* **76** 578–87.