



An Overview of SaT Segmentation Methodology and Its Applications in Image Processing

40

Xiaohao Cai, Raymond Chan, and Tieyong Zeng

Contents

Introduction	1386
SaT Methodology	1389
SaT-Based Methods and Applications	1392
T-ROF Method	1392
Two-Stage Method for Poisson or Gamma Noise	1393
SLaT Method for Color Images	1396
Two-Stage Method for Hyperspectral Images	1398
Tight-Frame-Based Method for Images with Vascular Structures	1400
Wavelet-Based Segmentation Method for Spherical Images	1401
Three-Stage Method for Images with Intensity Inhomogeneity	1403
Conclusions	1405
References	1406

Abstract

As a fundamental and challenging task in many subjects such as image processing and computer vision, image segmentation is of great importance but is constantly challenging to deliver, particularly, when the given images or data are

X. Cai (✉)

School of Electronics and Computer Science, University of Southampton, Southampton, UK
e-mail: x.cai@soton.ac.uk

R. Chan (✉)

Department of Mathematics, College of Science, City University of Hong Kong, Kowloon Tong, Hong Kong, China
e-mail: rchan.sci@cityu.edu.hk

T. Zeng (✉)

Department of Mathematics, The Chinese University of Hong Kong, Shatin, Hong Kong, China
e-mail: zeng@math.cuhk.edu.hk

corrupted by different types of degradations like noise, information loss, and/or blur. In this article, we introduce a segmentation methodology – smoothing and thresholding (SaT) – which can provide a flexible way of producing superior segmentation results with fast and reliable numerical implementations. A bunch of methods based on this methodology are to be presented, including many applications with different types of degraded images in image processing.

Keywords

Image segmentation · Image processing · Mumford-shah model · Variational model; Inverse problem

Introduction

Image segmentation aims to group objects in an image with similar characteristics together. It is one of the fundamental tasks in image processing and computer vision, having numerous engineering, medical, and commercial applications. It also serves as a preliminary step for higher level computer vision tasks like object recognition and interpretation. Most of the methods in literature face the following dilemmas: (i) lack of flexibility, applicability, and interpretability and (ii) difficult to trade off the efficiency and effectiveness. It is therefore not an easy task for users to know which method could fulfill their needs. In this regard, the users are required to make modifications here and there on existing methods accordingly, which is however frustrating if the users are not familiar with segmentation technologies. It is important to have a segmentation methodology which is simple to understand and apply and, at the same time, fast and reliable. In this article, we introduce a segmentation methodology – smoothing and thresholding (SaT) – which is able to meet these challenges (Cai et al. 2013b, 2017, 2019; Cai and Steidl 2013; Chan et al. 2014).

The piecewise constant Mumford-Shah (PCMS) model (nonconvex, a special case of the Mumford-Shah model (Mumford and Shah 1989)) and the Rudin-Osher-Fatemi (ROF) model (convex, Rudin et al. 1992) are two of the most famous variational models in the research areas of image segmentation and restoration, respectively. Note that image restoration intends to remove image degradations such as noise, blur, or occlusions.

Let $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^2$ be a bounded, open set with Lipschitz boundary and $f : \Omega \rightarrow [0, 1]$ be a given (degraded) image. In 1989 Mumford and Shah proposed solving segmentation problems by minimizing over $\Gamma \subset \Omega$ and $u \in H^1(\Omega \setminus \Gamma)$ the energy functional

$$E_{\text{MS}}(u, \Gamma; \Omega) = \mathcal{H}^1(\Gamma) + \lambda' \int_{\Omega \setminus \Gamma} |\nabla u|^2 dx + \lambda \int_{\Omega} (u - f)^2 dx, \quad \lambda', \lambda > 0, \quad (1)$$

where \mathcal{H}^1 denotes the one-dimensional Hausdorff measure in \mathbb{R}^2 . The functional E_{MS} contains three terms: the penalty term on the length of Γ , the H^1 semi-norm that enforces the smoothness of u in $\Omega \setminus \Gamma$, and the data fidelity term controlling the

distance of u to the given image f . Related approaches in a spatially discrete setting were proposed in Blake and Zisserman (1987) and Geman and Geman (1984). An early attempt to solve the challenging task of finding a minimizer of the nonconvex and non-smooth Mumford-Shah functional (1) was done by approximating it using a sequence of simpler elliptic problems; see Ambrosio and Tortorelli (1990) for the so-called Ambrosio-Tortorelli approximation. Many approaches to simplify model (1) were meanwhile proposed in the literature, for example, in Pock et al. (2009b), a convex relaxation of the model was suggested. Another important simplification is to restrict its solution to be piecewise constant, which leads to the so-called PCMS model.

The PCMS model is based on the restriction $\nabla u = 0$ on $\Omega \setminus \Gamma$, which results in

$$E_{\text{PCMS}}(u, \Gamma; \Omega) = \mathcal{H}^1(\Gamma) + \lambda \int_{\Omega} (u - f)^2 dx. \quad (2)$$

Assuming that $\Omega = \bigcup_{i=0}^{K-1} \Omega_i$ with pairwise disjoint sets Ω_i and constant functions $u(x) \equiv m_i$ on Ω_i , $i = 0, \dots, K-1$, model (2) can be rewritten as

$$E_{\text{PCMS}}(\Omega, m) = \frac{1}{2} \sum_{i=0}^{K-1} \text{Per}(\Omega_i; \Omega) + \lambda \sum_{i=0}^{K-1} \int_{\Omega_i} (m_i - f)^2 dx, \quad (3)$$

where $\Omega := \{\Omega_i\}_{i=0}^{K-1}$, $m := \{m_i\}_{i=0}^{K-1}$, and $\text{Per}(\Omega_i; \Omega)$ denotes the perimeter of Ω_i in Ω . If the number of phases is two, i.e., $K = 2$, the PCMS model is the model of the active contours without edges (Chan-Vese model) (Chan and Vese 2001),

$$E_{\text{CV}}(\Omega_1, m_0, m_1) = \text{Per}(\Omega_1; \Omega) + \lambda \left(\int_{\Omega_1} (m_1 - f)^2 dx + \int_{\Omega \setminus \Omega_1} (m_0 - f)^2 dx \right). \quad (4)$$

In Chan and Vese (2001), the authors proposed to solve (4), where it can easily get stuck in local minima. To overcome this drawback, a convex relaxation approach was proposed in Chan et al. (2006a). More precisely, it was shown that a global minimizer of $E_{\text{CV}}(\cdot, m_0, m_1)$ for fixed m_0, m_1 can be found by solving

$$\bar{u} = \arg \min_{u \in BV(\Omega)} \left\{ TV(u) + \lambda \int_{\Omega} ((m_0 - f)^2 - (m_1 - f)^2) u dx \right\}, \quad (5)$$

and setting $\Omega_1 := \{x \in \Omega : \bar{u}(x) > \rho\}$ for any choice of $\rho \in [0, 1]$; see also Bellettini et al. (1991) and Bresson et al. (2007). Note that the first term of (5) is known as the total variation (TV) and the space BV is the space of functions of bounded variation; see Section 2 for the definition. In other words, (5) is a tight relaxation of the Chan-Vese model with fixed m_0 and m_1 . For the convex formulation of the full model (4), see Brown et al. (2012).

There are many other approaches for two-phase image segmentation based on the Chan-Vese model and its convex version; see, e.g., Zhang et al. (2008), Bresson et al. (2007), Dong et al. (2010), and Bauer et al. (2017). In particular, a hybrid level

set method was proposed in Zhang et al. (2008), which replaces the first term of (4) by a boundary feature map and the data fidelity terms in (4) by the difference between the given image f and a fixed threshold chosen by a user or a specialist. Method Zhang et al. (2008) was used in medical image segmentation. However, since every time it needs the user to choose a proper threshold for its model, it is not automatic and thus its applications are restricted. In Bresson et al. (2007), the TV term of (5) was replaced by a weighted TV term which helps the new model to capture much more important geometric properties. In Dong et al. (2010), the TV term of (5) was replaced by a wavelet frame decomposition operator which, similar to the model in Bresson et al. (2007), can also capture important geometric properties. Nevertheless, for its solution u , no similar conclusions as the ones in Chan et al. (2006a) can be addressed; that is, there is no theory to support that its segmentation result $\Omega_1 = \{x : u(x) > \rho\}$ for $\rho \in [0, 1)$ is a solution as to some kind of objective functional. In Bauer et al. (2017), the Chan-Vese model was extended for 3D biopore segmentation in tomographic images.

In Vese and Chan (2002), Chan and Vese proposed a multiphase segmentation model based on the PCMS model using level sets. However, this method can also get stuck easily in local minima. Convex (non-tight) relaxation approaches for the PCMS model were proposed, which are basically focusing on solving

$$\min_{m_i, u_i \in [0, 1]} \left\{ \sum_{i=0}^{K-1} \int_{\Omega} |\nabla u_i| dx + \lambda \sum_{i=0}^{K-1} \int_{\Omega} (m_i - f)^2 u_i dx \right\}, \quad \text{s.t.} \quad \sum_{i=0}^{K-1} u_i = 1. \quad (6)$$

For more detail along this line, refer, e.g., to Bar et al. (2011), Cai (2015), Cai et al. (2015), Lellmann and Schnörr (2011), Li et al. (2010), Pock et al. (2009a), Yuan et al. (2010b), Zach et al. (2008) and the references therein.

In 1992, Rudin, Osher, and Fatemi (Rudin et al. 1992) proposed the variational model

$$\min_{u \in BV(\Omega)} \left\{ TV(u) + \frac{\mu}{2} \int_{\Omega} (u - f)^2 dx \right\}, \quad \mu > 0. \quad (7)$$

which has been studied extensively in the literature; see, e.g., Chambolle (2005), Chambolle et al. (2010), Chan et al. (2006b) and references therein.

A subtle connection between image segmentation and image restoration has been raised in Cai et al. (2013b). In detail, a two-stage image segmentation method is proposed – SaT method – which finds the solution of a convex variant of the Mumford-Shah model in the first stage, followed by a thresholding step in the second one. The convex minimization functional in the first stage (the smoothing stage) is the ROF functional (7) plus an additional smoothing term $\int_{\Omega} |\nabla u|^2 dx$. In Cai et al. (2019), a linkage between the PCMS and ROF models was shown, which gives rise to a new image segmentation paradigm: manipulating image segmentation through image restoration plus thresholding. This is also the essence of the SaT segmentation methodology.

The remainder of this article is organized as follows. Firstly, the SaT segmentation and its advantages are introduced. After that, more SaT-based methods and applications are presented and demonstrated, followed by a brief conclusion.

SaT Methodology

The main procedures of the SaT segmentation methodology are first smoothing and then thresholding, where the smoothing step is executed by solving pertinent convex objective functions (note that most of segmentation models in literature are nonconvex and therefore much harder to handle compared to convex models) and the thresholding step is just completed by thresholding the result from the smoothing step using proper thresholds; see an instance given below.

The smoothing process in Cai et al. (2013b) is to solve the convex minimization problem (cf. the non-smooth Mumford-Shah functional (1)):

$$\inf_{g \in W^{1,2}(\Omega)} \left\{ \frac{\mu}{2} \int_{\Omega} (f - Ag)^2 dx + \frac{\lambda}{2} \int_{\Omega} |\nabla g|^2 dx + \int_{\Omega} |\nabla g| dx \right\}, \quad (8)$$

where λ and μ are positive parameters and A is the blurring operator if the observed image is blurred by A or the identity operator if there is no blurring. The minimizer of (8) is a smoothed approximation of f . The first term in (8) is the data-fitting term, the second term ensures smoothness of the minimizer, and the third term ensures regularity of the level sets of the minimizer. We emphasize that model (8) can be minimized quickly by using currently available efficient algorithms such as the split-Bregman algorithm (Goldstein and Osher 2009) or the Chambolle-Pock method (Chambolle and Pock 2011). After we have obtained g in (8), assume we are given the thresholds

$$\min\{g\} = \rho_0 < \rho_1 < \cdots < \rho_{K-1} < \rho_K = \max\{g\}.$$

Then we threshold g by setting $x \in \Omega$ to be in the sub-domain Ω_i if $\rho_{i-1} \leq g(x) < \rho_i$. The values $\{\rho_i\}_{i=1}^{K-1}$ can be obtained by applying the K-means method, a popular clustering method, on the intensity of g , or they can be obtained by trial and error in order to get a finer segmentation.

Theorem 1. *Let Ω be a bounded connected open subset of \mathbb{R}^2 with a Lipschitz boundary. Let $f \in L^2(\Omega)$ and $\text{Ker}(A) \cap \text{Ker}(\nabla) = \{0\}$, where A is a bounded linear operator from $L^2(\Omega)$ to itself and $\text{Ker}(A)$ is the kernel of A . Then (8) has a unique minimizer $g \in W^{1,2}(\Omega)$.*

Proof. See Cai et al. (2013b) for the detailed proof.

Figures 1, 2, and 3 illustrate the SaT framework using the two-phase segmentation strategy in Cai et al. (2013b).




Fig. 1 Segmentations with Gaussian noise and blur. (a) Given binary image; (b) degraded image with motion blur (for the motion blur, the motion is vertical and the filter size is 15) and Gaussian noise (with mean 10^{-3} and variance 2×10^{-3}); (c) Chan-Vese method (Chan and Vese 2001); and (d) SaT segmentation with K-means thresholding (Cai et al. 2013b)




Fig. 2 SaT segmentation framework illustration using a two-phase segmentation example. (a) Given image (size 384×480); (b) obtained smoothed image (i.e., a solution of the convex model in Cai et al. 2013b); (c) segmentation result (boundary highlighted in yellow color) after thresholding (b) using threshold 0.2. Particularly, (b) and (c) correspond to the first and second steps in the SaT segmentation framework, respectively

The good performance of the SaT approach is solidly backed up. If we set the parameter λ in (8) to zero, one can show (see Cai and Steidl 2013 and Cai et al. 2019) that the SaT method is equivalent to the famous Chan-Vese segmentation method (Chan and Vese 2001), which is a simplified Mumford-Shah model. Furthermore, numerical experiments show that a properly selected λ can usually increase segmentation accuracies.

The SaT method is very efficient and flexible. It performs excellently for degraded images (e.g., noisy and blurry images and images with information loss). It also has the following advantages. Firstly, the smoothing model with (8) is strictly convex. This guarantees a unique solution of (8), which can be solved efficiently by many optimization methods. Secondly, the thresholding step is independent of the smoothing step. Therefore, the SaT approach is capable of segmentations with arbitrary phases, and one can easily try different thresholds without recalculating (8). On the contrary, for other segmentation methods, the number of phases K has to be determined before the calculation, and it is usually computationally expensive to regenerate a different segmentation if K changes. Thirdly, the SaT approach is




Fig. 3 (continued)

very flexible. One can easily modify the smoothing step to better segment images with specific properties.

The SaT segmentation methodology has been used for images corrupted by Poisson and Gamma noises (Chan et al. 2014), degraded color images (Cai et al. 2017), images with intensity inhomogeneity (Chan et al. 2019), hyperspectral images (Chan et al. 2020), vascular structures (Cai et al. 2011, 2013a), spherical images (Cai et al. 2020), etc.

SaT-Based Methods and Applications

To exemplify the excellent performance of the SaT segmentation methodology, in the following, a few methods related to the SaT segmentation methodology with different applications are introduced.

T-ROF Method

In Cai and Steidl (2013) and Cai et al. (2019), the *thresholded-ROF* (T-ROF) method was proposed. It highlights a relationship between the PCMS model (3) and the ROF model (7), proving that thresholding the minimizer of the ROF model leads to a partial minimizer of the PCMS model when $K = 2$ (Chan-Vese model (4)), which remains true under specific assumptions when $K > 2$.

Theorem 2 (Relation between ROF and PCMS models for $K = 2$). *Let $K = 2$ and $u^* \in BV(\Omega)$ solve the ROF model (7). For given $0 < m_0 < m_1 \leq 1$, let $\tilde{\Sigma} := \{x \in \Omega : u^*(x) > \frac{m_1+m_0}{2}\}$ fulfill $0 < |\tilde{\Sigma}| < |\Omega|$. Then $\tilde{\Sigma}$ is a minimizer of the PCMS model (4) for $\lambda := \frac{\mu}{2(m_1-m_0)}$ and fixed m_0, m_1 . In particular, $(\tilde{\Sigma}, m_0, m_1)$ is a partial minimizer of (4) if $m_0 = \text{mean}_f(\Omega \setminus \tilde{\Sigma})$ and $m_1 = \text{mean}_f(\tilde{\Sigma})$.*

Proof. See Cai et al. (2019) for the detailed proof.

This linkage between the PCMS model and the ROF model validates the effectiveness of the proposed SaT method in Cai et al. (2013b) for image segmentation. Due to the significance of the PCMS model and ROF model, respectively, in image segmentation and image restoration, this linkage bridges to some extent these two research areas and might serve as a motivation to improve and design better



Fig. 3 Four-phase segmentation. (a) Clean 256×256 image; (b) given noisy image (Gaussian noise with zero mean and variance 0.03); (c)–(e) results of methods Li et al. (2010), Sandberg et al. (2010) and Yuan et al. (2010b), respectively; (f) obtained smoothed image (i.e., a solution of the convex model in Cai et al. (2013b)); (g) segmentation result after thresholding (f) using thresholds $\rho_1 = 0.1652$, $\rho_2 = 0.4978$, $\rho_3 = 0.8319$; (h)–(k) boundary of each phase of the result in (g)

methods. A direct benefit is the newly proposed efficient segmentation method – T-ROF method. The T-ROF method exactly follows the paradigm to perform image segmentation through image restoration plus iterative thresholding, where these thresholds are selected automatically following certain rules. This appears to be more sophisticated than the SaT method (Cai et al. 2013b) which is based on K-means. It is worth emphasizing that the ROF model and the T-ROF model both need to be solved once, and the T-ROF method gives optimal segmentation results akin to the PCMS model. The convergence of the T-ROF method regarding threshold automatic selection is also proved.

On the one hand, the T-ROF method can be regarded as a special case of the SaT method. However, it is directly obtained from the linkage between the PCMS model and the ROF model and thus is more theoretically justified. Moreover, the strategy of choosing the thresholds automatically and optimally in the T-ROF method is not covered in the SaT method in Cai et al. (2013b). The strategy makes the T-ROF method more effective particularly for degraded images whose phases have close intensities. On the other hand, the T-ROF method inherits the advantages of the SaT method – fast speed and computational cost independent of the required number of phases K . In contrast, methods solving the PCMS model become computational demanding as the required number of phases increases.

To demonstrate the great performance of the T-ROF method, Fig. 4 gives an example of segmenting a synthetic retina image based on one manually segmented result from the DRIVE dataset (<http://www.isi.uu.nl/Research/Databases/DRIVE/>). Figures 4a and b are the clean manual segmentation image and the noisy image generated by adding Gaussian noise with mean 0 and variance 0.1. Note that in Fig. 4a, the original binary manual segmentation image is changed to three phases by lowering the intensity of those vessels on the right hand side from 1 to 0.3; the intensities of the background and the vessels on the left hand side are, respectively, 0 and 1. Obviously, segmenting the noisy three-phase image in Fig. 4b is extremely challenging due to those thin blood vessels which have a big chance of being smoothed out. Figure 4 shows that the T-ROF method together with the SaT method (Cai et al. 2013b) achieves the best result (with much faster speed compared with others). For more detail of the T-ROF method, please refer to Cai and Steidl (2013) and Cai et al. (2019).

Two-Stage Method for Poisson or Gamma Noise

The Poisson noise and the multiplicative Gamma noise are firstly recalled below. For the Poisson noise, for each pixel $x \in \Omega$, we assume that the intensity $f(x)$ is a random variable following the Poisson distribution with mean $g(x)$, i.e., its probability mass function is

$$p_{f(x)}(n; g(x)) = \frac{(g(x))^n e^{-g(x)}}{n!},$$




Fig. 4 Retina image segmentation which contains extremely thin vessels (size 584×565). (a) Clean image; (b) noisy image; (c)–(h) results of methods (Li et al. 2010; Pock et al. 2009a; Yuan et al. 2010b; He et al. 2012; Cai et al. 2013b) and the T-ROF method (Cai et al. 2019), respectively

where n is the intensity of f at the pixel x . In this case, we say that f is corrupted by Poisson noise. For the Gamma noise, suppose that for each pixel $x \in \Omega$ the random variable $\eta(x)$ follows the Gamma distribution, i.e., its probability density function is

$$p_{\eta(x)}(y; \theta, K) = \frac{1}{\theta^K \Gamma(K)} y^{K-1} e^{-\frac{y}{\theta}} \text{ for } y \geq 0, \quad (9)$$

where Γ is the usual Gamma-function and θ and K denote the scale and shape parameters in the Gamma distribution, respectively. Notice that the mean of $\eta(x)$ is

$K\theta$ and the variance of $\eta(x)$ is $K\theta^2$. For multiplicative noise, we assume in general that the mean of $\eta(x)$ equals 1; see Aubert and Aujol (2008) and Durand et al. (2010). Then we have $K\theta = 1$ and its variance is $1/K$. We assume the degraded image is $f(x) = g(x) \cdot \eta(x)$ and say that f is corrupted by multiplicative Gamma noise.

The construction of a data fidelity term can be inspired by the following observations. With the abuse of notation, suppose f is the given image with noise following a certain statistical distribution, and let $p(g|f)$ be the conditional probability of g when we have observed f . Then based on maximum a posteriori approach, restoring the image g is equivalent to maximizing the probability $p(g|f)$. Assume the prior distribution of g is given by

$$p(g) \propto \exp(-\beta \int_{\Omega} |\nabla g| dx),$$

where β is a parameter. If the noise follows the Poisson distribution, then maximizing $p(g|f)$ corresponds to minimizing the functional

$$\int_{\Omega} (g - f \log g) dx + \beta \int_{\Omega} |\nabla g| dx \quad (10)$$

(see Le et al. 2007). If the noise is multiplicative following the Gamma distribution, then maximizing $p(u|f)$ corresponds to minimizing the functional

$$\int_{\Omega} \left(\frac{f}{g} + \log g \right) dx + \beta \int_{\Omega} |\nabla g| dx \quad (11)$$

(see Aubert and Aujol 2008). However, it is observed in the numerical examples in Aubert and Aujol (2008) and Shi and Osher (2008) that for the denoising model (11) the noise survives much longer at low image values if we increase the regularization parameter. Therefore, in Shi and Osher (2008), the authors suggested to take $w = \log g$ and change the objective functional (11) to

$$\int_{\Omega} (f e^{-w} + w) dx + \beta \int_{\Omega} |\nabla w| dx. \quad (12)$$

In Chan et al. (2014), a two-stage method for segmenting blurry images in the presence of Poisson or multiplicative Gamma noise is proposed. It was inspired by the SaT segmentation method in Cai et al. (2013b) and the Gamma noise denoising method in Steidl and Teuber (2010). Specifically, the data fidelity term of the model (8) at the first stage of the SaT segmentation method in Cai et al. (2013b) was replaced by the one which is suitable for Gamma noise, i.e.,

$$\inf_{g \in W^{1,2}(\Omega)} \left\{ \mu \int_{\Omega} (Ag - f \log Ag) dx + \frac{\lambda}{2} \int_{\Omega} |\nabla g|^2 dx + \int_{\Omega} |\nabla g| dx \right\}. \quad (13)$$




Fig. 5 Segmentations of a fractal image corrupted with Gamma noise and blur. (a) Degraded image; (b)–(d) results of methods (Yuan et al. 2010a; Dong et al. 2011), and SaT with user-provided thresholds (Chan et al. 2014), respectively. For clarity, only the top-left corner of the segmentations is shown. We see that the SaT method produces the best result, with the segmentation line (the yellow line) very close to the real boundary

Then at the second stage the solution g is thresholded to reveal different segmentation features.

The follow Theorems 3 and 4 assure that model (13) has a unique minimizer with identity or blurring operator A .

Theorem 3. *Let Ω be a bounded connected open subset of \mathbb{R}^2 with a Lipschitz boundary. Let $f \in L^\infty(\Omega)$ with $\inf f > 0$ and A be the identity operator. Then (13) has a unique minimizer $u \in W^{1,2}(\Omega)$ satisfying $0 < \inf f \leq u \leq \sup f$.*

Proof. See Chan et al. (2014) for the detailed proof.

Theorem 4. *Let Ω be a bounded connected open subset of \mathbb{R}^2 with a Lipschitz boundary. Let $f \in L^\infty(\Omega)$ with $\inf f > 0$, and let \mathcal{A} be a continuous linear operator from $W^{1,2}(\Omega)$ to itself. Assume $\text{Ker}(\mathcal{A}) \cap \text{Ker}(\nabla) = \{0\}$, and then (13) has a unique minimizer $u \in W^{1,2}(\Omega)$.*

Proof. See Chan et al. (2014) for the detailed proof.

Figure 5 gives an example which shows the great performance of the SaT-based method (Chan et al. 2014) for images with multiplicative Gamma noise.

SLaT Method for Color Images

Extending or conceiving segmentation methods for color images is not a simple task since one needs to discriminate segments with respect to both luminance and chrominance information. The two-phase Chan-Vese model (Chan and Vese 2001) was generalized to deal with vector-valued images in Chan et al. (2000) by combining the information in the different channels using the data fidelity term. Many methods are applied in the usual RGB color space (Cai 2015; Chan et al.

2000; Cremers et al. 2007; Jung et al. 2007; Kay et al. 2009; Martin et al. 2001; Pock et al. 2009a; Storath and Weinmann 2014), among others. It is often mentioned that the RGB color space is not well adapted to segmentation because for real-world images the R, G, and B channels can be highly correlated. In Rotaru et al. (2008), RGB images are transformed into HSI (hue, saturation, and intensity) color space in order to perform segmentation. In Benninghoff and Garcke (2014), a general segmentation approach was developed for gray-value images and further extended to color images in the RGB, the HSV (hue, saturation, and value), and the CB (chromaticity-brightness) color spaces. However, a study on this point in Paschos (2001) has shown that the Lab (perceived lightness, red-green, and yellow-blue) color space defined by the CIE (Commission Internationale de l'Eclairage) is better adapted for color image segmentation than the RGB and the HSI color spaces. In Cardelino et al. (2013), RGB input images were first converted to Lab space. In Wang et al. (2015), color features were described using the Lab color space and texture using histograms in RGB space.

A careful examination of the methods that transform a given RGB image to another color space (HSI, CB, Lab, etc.) before performing the segmentation task has shown that these algorithms are always applied only to noise-free RGB images (though these images unavoidably contain quantization and compression noise). For instance, this is the case of Benninghoff and Garcke (2014), Cardelino et al. (2013), Rotaru et al. (2008) and Wang et al. (2015), among others. One of the main reasons is that if the input RGB image is degraded, the degradation would be hard to control after a transformation to another color space (Paschos 2001).

A color image is usually represented by a vector valued function $f = (f_1, f_2, f_3) : \Omega \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^3$, where the components f_1 , f_2 , and f_3 generally represent red, green, and blue channels, respectively. The difficulty for color image segmentation partly comes from the strong interchannel correlation. A novel extension of the SaT approach is the smoothing, lifting, and thresholding (SLaT) method introduced in Cai et al. (2017), which is able to work on vector-valued (color) images possibly corrupted with noise, blur, and missing data. One first solves (8) for the three components f_1 , f_2 , and f_3 to obtain three smooth functions g_1 , g_2 , and g_3 . Then one transforms (g_1, g_2, g_3) to another color space $(\bar{g}_1, \bar{g}_2, \bar{g}_3)$ which can reduce interchannel correlation. This is the lifting process, and the Lab color space is usually a good choice. In the thresholding step, one performs K-means to threshold the lifted image with 6 channels $(g_1, g_2, g_3, \bar{g}_1, \bar{g}_2, \bar{g}_3)$ to get the phases.

In Cai et al. (2017), model (8) was also extended to tackle information loss and both Gaussian and Poisson noises. In particular, the existence and uniqueness of the extended model with information loss and both Gaussian and Poisson noises was also proved.

This SLaT method is easy to implement with promising results; see Fig. 6 with images chosen from the Berkeley Segmentation Dataset and Benchmark (<https://www2.eecs.berkeley.edu/Research/Projects/CS/vision/bsds/>) Moreover, the SLaT method has the ability to segment color images corrupted by noise, blur, or when some pixel information is lost. More experimental results in Cai et al. (2017) on



Fig. 6 Color image segmentation for degraded images. First row: degraded color images (the first three images are degraded by various noise and blur, and the last two images are degraded by 60% information loss and noise). Second row: Pock et al. (2009a). Third row: SLaT method (Cai et al. 2017)

RGB images coupled with Lab secondary color space demonstrate that the method gives much better segmentation results for images with degradation than some state-of-the-art segmentation models both in terms of quality and CPU time cost.

Two-Stage Method for Hyperspectral Images

Remotely sensed hyperspectral images are images taken from drones, airplanes, or satellites that record a wide range of electromagnetic spectrum, typically more than 100 spectral bands from visible to near-infrared wavelengths. Since different materials reflect different spectral signatures, one can identify the materials at each pixel of the image by examining its spectral signatures. Hyperspectral images are used in many applications, including agriculture (Patel et al. 2001; Datt et al. 2003), disaster relief (Eismann et al. 2009), food safety (Gowen et al. 2007), military (Manolakis and Shaw 2002; Stein et al. 2002), and mineralogy (Höriq et al. 2001).

One of the most important problems in hyperspectral data exploitation is hyperspectral image classification. It has been an active research topic in past decades (Fauvel et al. 2013). The pixels in the hyperspectral image are often labeled manually by experts based on careful review of the spectral signatures and investigation of the scene. Given these ground-truth labels of some pixels (also called “training pixels”), the objective of hyperspectral image classification is to assign labels to part or all of the remaining pixels (the “testing pixels”) based on their spectral signatures and their locations.

In Chan et al. (2020), a two-stage method was proposed based on the SaT method (Cai et al. 2013b) for hyperspectral image classification. Pixel-wise classifiers, such

as the classical support vector machine (SVM), consider spectral information only. As spatial information is not utilized, the classification results are not optimal, and the classified image may appear noisy. Many existing methods, such as morphological profiles, superpixel segmentation, and composite kernels, exploit the spatial information. In Chan et al. (2020), a two-stage approach was proposed. In the first stage, SVMs are used to estimate the class probability for each pixel. In the second stage, the SaT model is applied to each probability map to denoise and segment the image into different classes. The proposed method effectively utilizes both spectral and spatial information of the datasets and is fast as only convex minimization is needed in addition to the SVMs.

We emphasize that the convex model used in Chan et al. (2020) is the model (8) at the first stage of the SaT segmentation method in Cai et al. (2013b), with a constraint, i.e.,

$$\inf_{g_k} \left\{ \frac{\mu}{2} \int_{\Omega} (f_k - Ag_k)^2 dx + \frac{\lambda}{2} \int_{\Omega} |\nabla g_k|^2 dx + \int_{\Omega} |\nabla g_k| dx \right\},$$

$$\text{s.t. } g_k|_{\Omega_{\text{train}}} = f_k|_{\Omega_{\text{train}}}, \quad (14)$$

where f_k represents the probability map of the k th class obtained from stage one using the SVM method, g_k is the improved probability map of the k th class, and Ω_{train} is the set of training pixels. After obtaining g_k , $k = 1 \dots, K$, individual pixels will be labeled to a set which possesses the maximum values among $g_k(x)$, $k = 1 \dots, K$. Note that the above stage two performs like the SaT strategy.

Figure 7 gives an example which shows the great performance of the two-stage method (Chan et al. 2020) for hyperspectral image classification. For more detail, please refer to Chan et al. (2020).




Fig. 7 Hyperspectral image classification of the Indian Pines dataset. (a) Ground truth, (b) training set (10% of total pixels), and (c) classification with SaT (Chan et al. 2020) (98.83% overall accuracy)

Tight-Frame-Based Method for Images with Vascular Structures

The segmentation problem of branching tubular objects in 2D and 3D images arises in many applications, for example, extracting roads in aerial photography, and anatomical surfaces of blood vessels in medical images. Identifying tube-like structures is of great importance in medical imaging, with the primary application of segmenting blood vessels in magnetic resonance angiography (MRA) images. Unlike classical segmentation problems, vessel segmentation is characterized by different aims such as the following: (a) detect correctly branches and complex topologies, (b) detect vessels of very different thickness (from very thin to very thick), (c) repair small occlusions (false disconnections), (d) remove noise incorrectly segmented, and (e) control the minimum thickness of the vessels by a user-given precision. Moreover, when used in a real-time medical environment, automatic, robust, and efficient methods are essential. All these requirements make the vessel segmentation problem very challenging.

Many different approaches for image segmentation and, in particular, vessel segmentation have been proposed in the literature; see, for example, Chapman et al. (2004), Chen and Amini (2004), Dong et al. (2010), Franchini et al. (2010), Gooya et al. (2008), Krissian et al. (2000), Lorigo et al. (2001), Sum and Cheung (2008), Yan and Kassim (2006), Zonoobi et al. (2009) and the extended reviews Cremers et al. (2007) and Kirbas and Quek (2004). Below we give a brief account of some of these methods.

In Cai et al. (2011, 2013a), a tight-frame-based method was proposed to automatically identify tube-like structures in medical imaging, with the primary application of segmenting blood vessels in magnetic resonance angiography images. The method iteratively refines a region that encloses the potential boundary of the vessels. At each iteration, the tight-frame algorithm was applied to denoise and smooth the potential boundary and sharpen the region, in a similar fashion as the SaT strategy. The cost per iteration is proportional to the number of pixels in the image. It is proved that the iteration converges in a finite number of steps to a binary image whereby the segmentation of the vessels can be done straightforwardly.

Let $\mathbf{f} = \text{vec}(f)$ denote the vector obtained by concatenating the columns of f . It is worth mentioning the tight-frame algorithms used in, e.g., Cai et al. (2008) can be presented in the following generic form:

$$\mathbf{f}^{(i+\frac{1}{2})} = \mathcal{U}(\mathbf{f}^{(i)}), \quad (15)$$

$$\mathbf{f}^{(i+1)} = \mathcal{A}^T \mathcal{T}_{\lambda}(\mathcal{A} \mathbf{f}^{(i+\frac{1}{2})}), \quad i = 1, 2, \dots \quad (16)$$

Here $\mathbf{f}^{(i)}$ is an approximate solution at the i th iteration, \mathcal{U} is a problem-dependent operator, and $\mathcal{T}_{\lambda}(\cdot)$ is the soft-thresholding operator defined as follows. Given vectors $\mathbf{v} = [v_1, \dots, v_n]^T$ and $\boldsymbol{\lambda} = [\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_n]^T$, $\mathcal{T}_{\lambda}(\mathbf{v}) \equiv [t_{\lambda_1}(v_1), \dots, t_{\lambda_n}(v_n)]^T$, where

$$t_{\lambda_k}(v_k) \equiv \begin{cases} \text{sgn}(v_k)(|v_k| - \lambda_k), & \text{if } |v_k| > \lambda_k, \\ 0, & \text{if } |v_k| \leq \lambda_k. \end{cases} \quad (17)$$

Let $P^{(i+1)}$ be the diagonal matrix where the diagonal entry is 1 if the corresponding index is in $\Lambda^{(i+1)}$ and 0 otherwise. Then

$$\mathbf{f}^{(i+1)} \equiv (I - P^{(i+1)})\mathbf{f}^{(i+\frac{1}{2})} + P^{(i+1)}\mathcal{A}^T \mathcal{F}_\kappa(\mathcal{A}\mathbf{f}^{(i+\frac{1}{2})}). \quad (18)$$

By reordering the entries of the vector $\mathbf{f}^{(i+1)}$ into columns, we obtain the image $f^{(i+1)}$. We remark that the effect of (18) is to denoise and smooth the image on $\Lambda^{(i+1)}$.

Figures 8 and 9 give examples which show the great performance of the tight-frame-based method (Cai et al. 2013a) for images with tube-like structures. For more detail, please refer to Cai et al. (2013a).

Wavelet-Based Segmentation Method for Spherical Images

Spherical images are common in nature, for example, in cosmology (McEwen et al. 2007b), astrophysics (Schmitt et al. 2012), planetary science (Audet 2014),




Fig. 8 Segmentation of the kidney volume dataset. (a) Given CTA image; (b) CURVES segmentation (Lorigo et al. 2001); (c) ADA segmentation (Franchini et al. 2009); (d) tight-frame-based method (Cai et al. 2013a)




Fig. 9 Segmentation of the brain volume dataset. (a) Given MRA image; (b) CURVES segmentation (Lorigo et al. 2001); (c) ADA segmentation (Franchini et al. 2010); (d) tight-frame-based method (Cai et al. 2013a)

geophysics (Simons et al. 2011), and neuroscience (Rathi et al. 2011), where images are naturally defined on the sphere. Clearly, images defined on the sphere are different to Euclidean images in 2D and 3D in terms of symmetries, coordinate systems, and metrics constructed (see, e.g., Li and Hai 2010).

Wavelets have become a powerful analysis tool for spherical images, due to their ability to simultaneously extract both spectral and spatial information. A variety of wavelet frameworks have been constructed on the sphere in recent years, e.g., Baldi et al. (2009), McEwen et al. (2018), and have led to many insightful scientific studies in the fields mentioned above (see McEwen et al. 2007b, Schmitt et al. 2012, Audet 2014, Simons et al. 2011, Rathi et al. 2011). Different types of wavelets on the sphere have been designed to probe different structures in spherical images, for example, isotropic or directional and geometrical features, such as linear or curvilinear structures, to mention a few. Axisymmetric wavelets (Baldi et al. 2009; Leistedt et al. 2013) are useful for probing spherical images with isotropic structure, directional wavelets (McEwen et al. 2018) for probing directional structure, ridgelets (Michailovich and Rathi 2010; Starck et al. 2006) for analyzing antipodal signals on the sphere, and curvelets (Starck et al. 2006; Chan et al. 2017) for studying highly anisotropic image content such as curve-like features (we refer to Candés and Donoho (2005) for the general definition

of Euclidean ridgelets and curvelets). Fast algorithms have been developed to compute exact forward and inverse wavelet transforms on the sphere for very large spherical images containing millions of pixels (McEwen et al. 2007a). Localization properties of wavelet constructions have also been studied in detail (McEwen et al. 2018), showing important quasi-exponential localization and asymptotic uncorrelation properties for certain wavelet constructions. An investigation into the use of axisymmetric and directional wavelets for sparse image reconstruction was performed recently in Wallis et al. (2017), showing excellent performance.

In Cai et al. (2020), a wavelet-based method was proposed to segment images on the sphere, accounting for the underlying geometry of spherical data. The method is a direct extension of the tight-frame-based segmentation method (Cai et al. 2011, 2013a) used to automatically identify tube-like structures such as blood vessels in medical imaging. It is compatible with any arbitrary type of wavelet frame defined on the sphere, such as axisymmetric wavelets, directional wavelets, curvelets, and hybrid wavelet constructions. Such an approach allows the desirable properties of wavelets to be naturally inherited in the segmentation process. In particular, directional wavelets and curvelets, which were designed to efficiently capture directional signal content, provide additional advantages in segmenting images containing prominent directional and curvilinear features.

Figure 10 gives an example which shows the great performance of the wavelet-based segmentation method for spherical images. For more detail, please refer to Cai et al. (2020).

Three-Stage Method for Images with Intensity Inhomogeneity

The intensity inhomogeneity is a common phenomenon in real-world images and may bring considerable difficulties for image segmentation (Li et al. 2008). The intensity inhomogeneity can be roughly divided into two types: the extrinsic one and the intrinsic one. The extrinsic intensity inhomogeneity is globally revoked by the image acquisition devices or illumination variations which frequently appear in medical images. On the other hand, the intrinsic one is caused by the local discrepancy of the image color, intensity, or texture pattern in objects and backgrounds which usually appear in natural images.

The extrinsic inhomogeneous intensities are usually smoothly varying. Involving the local intensity information in the energy functional is a common way to address the issue of extrinsic inhomogeneity. Li et al. (2008) and Wang et al. (2010) used Gaussian kernel methods to characterize the intensities in local regions. The intrinsic intensity inhomogeneity varies sharply. Some texture segmentation algorithms (e.g., Brox et al. 2010 and Cremers et al. 2007) have been proposed to tackle such kinds of intensity inhomogeneity. New features (e.g., structure tensors (Ge et al. 2015), salient information (Kim and Kim 2013)) were also designed to get the desired segmentation results. Zhi and Shen (2018) proposed a level set-based method by incorporating saliency information and image intensity as region external energy to




Fig. 10 Results of light probe image – the Uffizi Gallery. First row: noisy image shown on the sphere (a) and in 2D using a Mollweide projection (b) and the zoomed-in red rectangle area of the noisy (c) and original images (d), respectively; second to fourth rows from left to right: results of methods K-means (e), WSSA-A (f), WSSA-D (g) with $N = 6$ (even N), and WSSA-H (h), respectively. Note that methods WSSA-A, WSSA-D, and WSSA-H are the wavelet-based segmentation method (Cai et al. 2020), respectively, equipped with axisymmetric wavelets, directional wavelets, and hybrid wavelets defined on the sphere

motivate the curve evolution. These models can handle the intensity inhomogeneity to some extent.

In Li et al. (2020), a new three-stage segmentation framework was proposed based on the SaT method and the intensity inhomogeneity information of an image. The first stage in this framework is to perform a dimension lifting method. An intensity inhomogeneity image is added as an additional channel, which results in a vector-valued image. In the second stage, a SaT model is applied to each channel of the vector-valued image to obtain a smooth approximation. The semi-proximal alternating direction method of multipliers (sPADMM) (Han et al. 2018) is used to




Fig. 11 Segmentation results on single-channel images. In the first and the third rows, the first column, images from the Alpert’s dataset (size: 300×225) and, the second column, the corresponding intensity inhomogeneity images, respectively. In the second and the fourth rows, from the first column to the last column, segmentation results of the methods in Cai et al. (2017), Li et al. (2010, 2020), Zhi and Shen (2018), Wang et al. (2009) and the ground truth

solve this model, and it is proved that the sPADMM for solving this convex model has Q-linear convergence rate. In the last stage, a thresholding method is applied to the smoothed vector-valued image to get the final segmentation.

Figure 11 shows the great performance of the three-stage method (Li et al. 2020) incorporating intensity inhomogeneity information, and Fig. 12 demonstrates that Li et al. (2020) provides the most accurate segmentation results in comparison with five state-of-the-art methods including a deep learning approach (U-net method) (Ronneberger et al. 2015). For more detail, please refer to Li et al. (2020).

Conclusions

In this article, we introduced the SaT (smoothing and thresholding) segmentation methodology and methods developed based on this methodology with many applications in image processing. The SaT method provides an efficient and flexible methodology for image segmentations. It is easy to adapt the SaT method for




Fig. 12 Column (a), the original images from the 100 test dataset; column (b), segmentation results of the U-net method (Ronneberger et al. 2015); column (c), segmentation results of the method in Li et al. (2020); and column (d), segmentation results of the method in Cai et al. (2017)

various segmentation tasks. The SaT approach connects the segmentation problem to image restoration problem. Recent researches show that the SaT method can also be applied to classification problems. We hope that, with this article, the SaT method can reach audiences from broader areas and can inspire more cross-disciplinary researches.

Acknowledgments Supported in part by HKRGC Grants No. CUHK14306316, CUHK14301718, CityU11301120, CityU Grant 9380101, CRF Grant C1007-15G, AoE/M-05/12.

References

- Ambrosio, L., Tortorelli, V.: Approximation of functions depending on jumps by elliptic functionals via t -convergence. *Commun. Pure Appl. Math.* **43**, 999–1036 (1990)
- Aubert, G., Aujol, J.: A variational approach to removing multiplicative noise. *SIAM J. Appl. Math.* **68**, 925–946 (2008)

- Audet, P.: Toward mapping the effective elastic thickness of planetary lithospheres from a spherical wavelet analysis of gravity and topography. *Phys. Earth Planet Inter.* **226**, 48–82 (2014)
- Baldi, P., Kerkyacharian, G., Marinucci, D., Picard, D.: Asymptotics for spherical needlets. *Ann. Stat.* **37**(3), 1150–1171 (2009)
- Bar, L., Chan, T., Chung, G., Jung, M., Kiryati, N., Mohieddine, R., Sochen, N., Vese, L.: Mumford and shah model and its applications to image segmentation and image restoration. In: *Handbook of Mathematical Imaging*, pp. 1095–1157. Springer, New York (2011)
- Bauer, B., Cai, X., Peth, S., Schladitz, K., Steidl, G.: Variational-based segmentation of biopores in tomographic images. *Comput. Geosci.* **98**, 1–8 (2017)
- Bellettini, G., Paolini, M., Verdi, C.: Convex approximations of functionals with curvature. *Math. Appl.* **2**(4), 297–306 (1991)
- Benninghoff, H., Garcke, H.: Efficient image segmentation and restoration using parametric curve evolution with junctions and topology changes. *SIAM J. Imag. Sci.* **7**(3), 1451–1483 (2014)
- Blake, A., Zisserman, A.: *Visual Reconstruction*. MIT Press, Cambridge, MA (1987)
- Bresson, X., Esedoglu, S., Vanderghynst, P., Thiran, J., Osher, S.: Fast global minimization of the active contour/snake model. *J. Math. Imag. Vis.* **28**(2), 151–167 (2007)
- Brown, E., Chan, T., Bresson, X.: Completely convex formulation of the chan-vese image segmentation model. *Int. J. Comput. Vis.* **98**, 103–121 (2012)
- Brox, T., Rousson, M., Deriche, R., Weickert, J.: Colour, texture, and motion in level set based segmentation and tracking. *Image Vis. Comput.* **28**, 376–390 (2010)
- Cai, X.: Variational image segmentation model coupled with image restoration achievements. *Pattern Recogn.* **48**(6), 2029–2042 (2015)
- Cai, X., Steidl, G.: Multiclass segmentation by iterated ROF thresholding. In: *Energy Minimization Methods in Computer Vision and Pattern Recognition*, pp. 237–250. Springer, Berlin/Heidelberg (2013)
- Cai, J., Chan, R., Shen, Z.: A framelet-based image inpainting algorithm. *Appl. Comput. Harmon. Anal.* **24**, 131–149 (2008)
- Cai, X., Chan, R., Morigi, S., Sgallari, F.: Framelet-based algorithm for segmentation of tubular structures. In: *SSVM. LNCS6667*. Springer (2011)
- Cai, X., Chan, R., Morigi, S., Sgallari, F.: Vessel segmentation in medical imaging using a tight-frame based algorithm. *SIAM J. Imag. Sci.* **6**(1), 464–486 (2013a)
- Cai, X., Chan, R., Zeng, T.: A two-stage image segmentation method using a convex variant of the Mumford–Shah model and thresholding. *SIAM J. Imag. Sci.* **6**(1), 368–390 (2013b)
- Cai, X., Fitschen, J., Nikolova, M., Steidl, G., Storath, M.: Disparity and optical flow partitioning using extended potts priors. *Inf. Inference J. IMA* **4**, 43–62 (2015)
- Cai, X., Chan, R., Nikolova, M., Zeng, T.: A three-stage approach for segmenting degraded color images: smoothing, lifting and thresholding (SLaT). *J. Sci. Comput.* **72**(3), 1313–1332 (2017). <https://doi.org/10.1007/s10915-017-0402-2>
- Cai, X., Chan, R.H., Schönlieb, C.B., Steidl, G., Zeng, T.: Linkage between piecewise constant Mumford–Shah model and Rudin–Osher–Fatemi model and its virtue in image segmentation. *SIAM J. Sci. Comput.* **41**(6), B1310–B1340 (2019)
- Cai, X., Wallis, C.G.R., Chan, J.Y.H., McEwen, J.D.: Wavelet-based segmentation on the sphere. *Pattern Recogn.* **100** (2020). <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.patcog.2019.107,081>
- Candès, E., Donoho, D.: Continuous curvelet transform: II. Discretization and frames. *Appl. Comput. Harmon. Anal.* **19**(2), 198–222 (2005)
- Cardelino, J., Caselles, V., Bertalmio, M., Randall, G.: A contrario selection of optimal partitions for image segmentation. *SIAM J. Imag. Sci.* **6**(3), 1274–1317 (2013)
- Chambolle, A.: Total variation minimization and a class of binary MRF models. In: Rangarajan, A., Vemuri, B.C., Yuille, A.L. (eds.) *Energy Minimization Methods in Computer Vision and Pattern Recognition – EMMCVPR 2005. Lecture Notes in Computer Science*, vol. 3757, pp. 136–152. Springer, Berlin (2005)
- Chambolle, A., Pock, T.: A first-order primal-dual algorithm for convex problems with applications to imaging. *J. Math. Imag. Vis.* **40**(1), 120–145 (2011)

- Chambolle, A., Caselles, V., Novaga, M., Cremers, D., Pock, T.: An introduction to total variation for image analysis. *Theor. Found. Numer. Methods Sparse Recover. Radon Ser. Comput. Appl. Math.* **9**, 263–340 (2010)
- Chan, T.F., Vese, L.A.: Active contours without edges. *IEEE Trans. Image Process.* **10**(2), 266–277 (2001)
- Chan, T.F., Sandberg, B.Y., Vese, L.A.: Active contours without edges for vector-valued images. *J. Vis. Commun. Image Represent.* **11**(2), 130–141 (2000)
- Chan, T.F., Esedoglu, S., Nikolova, M.: Algorithms for finding global minimizers of image segmentation and denoising models. *SIAM J. Appl. Math.* **66**(5), 1632–1648 (2006a)
- Chan, T.F., Esedoglu, S., Park, F., Yip, A.: Total variation image restoration: overview and recent developments. In: *Handbook of Mathematical Models in Computer Vision*, pp. 17–31. Springer, New York (2006b)
- Chan, R., Yang, H., Zeng, T.: A two-stage image segmentation method for blurry images with Poisson or multiplicative Gamma noise. *SIAM J. Imag. Sci.* **7**(1), 98–127 (2014)
- Chan, J., Leistedt, B., Kitching, T., McEwen, J.D.: Second-generation curvelets on the sphere. *IEEE Trans. Sig. Proc.* **65**(1), 5–14 (2017)
- Chan, R., Yang, H., Zeng, T.: Total Variation and Tight Frame Image Segmentation with Intensity Inhomogeneity (2019). arXiv e-prints arXiv:1904.01760
- Chan, R., Kan, K.K., Nikolova, M., Plemmons, R.J.: A two-stage method for spectral-spatial classification of hyperspectral images. *J. Math. Imag. Vis.* **62**, 790–807 (2020)
- Chapman, B., Parker, D., Stapelton, J., Parker, D.: Intracranial vessel segmentation from time-of-flight mra using pre-processing of the mip z-buffer: accuracy of the ZBS algorithm. *Med. Image Anal.* **8**(2), 113–126 (2004)
- Chen, J., Amini, A.: Quantifying 3d vascular structures in mra images using hybrid pde and geometric deformable models. *IEEE Trans. Med. Imag.* **23**(10), 1251–1262 (2004)
- Cremers, D., Rousson, M., Deriche, R.: A review of statistical approaches to level set segmentation: integrating color, texture, motion and shape. *Int. J. Comput. Vis.* **72**(2), 195–215 (2007)
- Datt, B., McVicar, T., Van Niel, T., Jupp, D., Pearlman, J.: Preprocessing eo-1 hyperion hyperspectral data to support the application of agricultural indexes. *IEEE Trans. Geosci. Remote Sens.* **41**(6), 1246–1259 (2003)
- Dong, B., Chien, A., Shen, Z.: Frame based segmentation for medical images. *Commun. Math. Sci.* **32**, 1724–1739 (2010)
- Dong, B., Chien, A., Shen, Z.: Frame based segmentation for medical images. *Commun. Math. Sci.* **9**(2), 551–559 (2011)
- Durand, S., Fadili, J., Nikolova, M.: Multiplicative noise removal using l1 fidelity on frame coefficients. *J. Math. Imag. Vis.* **38**, 201–226 (2010)
- Eismann, M., Stocker, A., Nasrabadi, N.: Automated hyperspectral cueing for civilian search and rescue. *Proc. IEEE* **97**(6), 1031–1055 (2009)
- Fauvel, M., Tarabalka, Y., Benediktsson, J., Chanussot, J., Tilton, J.: Advances in spectral-spatial classification of hyperspectral images. *Proc. IEEE* **101**(3), 652–675 (2013)
- Franchini, E., Morigi, S., Sgallari, F.: Composed segmentation of tubular structures by an anisotropic pde model. In: Tai, X.-C., et al. (eds.) *SSVM 2009. LNCS5567*, pp. 75–86 (2009)
- Franchini, E., Morigi, S., Sgallari, F.: Segmentation of 3D tubular structures by a PDE-based anisotropic diffusion model. In: Dæhlen, M., et al. (eds.) *MMCS 2008. LNCS5862*, pp. 224–241 (2010)
- Ge, Q., Liang, X., Wang, L., Zhang, Z., Wei, Z.: A hybrid active contour model with structured feature for image segmentation. *Sig. Process* **108**, 147–158 (2015)
- Geman, S., Geman, D.: Stochastic relaxation, Gibbs distributions, and the Bayesian restoration of images. *IEEE Trans. Pattern Anal. Mach. Intell.* **6**, 721–741 (1984)
- Goldstein, T., Osher, S.: The split Bregman method for l1-regularized problems. *SIAM J. Imag. Sci.* **2**(2), 323–343 (2009)
- Gooya, A., Liao, H., et al.: A variational method for geometric regularization of vascular segmentation in medical images. *IEEE Trans. Image Process.* **17**(8), 1295–1312 (2008)

- Gowen, A., O'Donnell, C., Cullen, P., Downey, G., Frias, J.: Hyperspectral imaging-an emerging process analytical tool for food quality and safety control. *Trends Food Sci. Technol.* **18**(12), 590–598 (2007)
- Han, D., Sun, D., Zhang, L.: Linear rate convergence of the alternating direction method of multipliers for convex composite programming. *Math. Oper. Res.* **43**(2), 622–637 (2018)
- He, Y., Hussaini, M.Y., Ma, J., Shafei, B., Steidl, G.: A new fuzzy c-means method with total variation regularization for image segmentation of images with noisy and incomplete data. *Pattern Recogn.* **45**, 3463–3471 (2012)
- Hörig, B., Kühn, F., Oschütz, F., Lehmann, F.: Hymap hyperspectral remote sensing to detect hydrocarbons. *Int. J. Remote Sens.* **22**(8), 1413–1422 (2001)
- Jung, Y.M., Kang, S.H., Shen, J.: Multiphase image segmentation via Modica-Mortola phase transition. *SIAM J. Appl. Math.* **67**(5), 1213–1232 (2007)
- Kay, D., Tomasi, A., et al.: Color image segmentation by the vector-valued Allen–Cahn phase-field model: a multigrid solution. *IEEE Trans. Image Process.* **18**(10), 2330–2339 (2009)
- Kim, W., Kim, C.: Active contours driven by the salient edge energy model. *IEEE Trans. Image Process.* **22**, 1667–1673 (2013)
- Kirbas, C., Quek, F.: A review of vessel extraction techniques and algorithms. *CV Comput. Surv.* **36**, 81–121 (2004)
- Krissian, K., Malandain, G., Ayache, N., Vaillant, R., Troussset, Y.: Model-based detection of tubular structures in 3d images. *CVIU* **80**, 130–171 (2000)
- Le, T., Chartrand, R., Asaki, T.J.: A variational approach to reconstructing images corrupted by Poisson noise. *J. Math. Imag. Vis.* **27**, 257–263 (2007)
- Leistedt, B., McEwen, J., Vanderghynst, P., Wiaux, Y.: S2let: a code to perform fast wavelet analysis on the sphere. *Astron. Astrophys.* **558**(A128), 1–9 (2013)
- Lellmann, J., Schnörr, C.: Continuous multiclass labeling approaches and algorithms. *SIAM J. Imag. Sci.* **44**(4), 1049–1096 (2011)
- Li, S., Hai, Y.: A full-view spherical image format. In: *ICPR*, pp. 2337–2340 (2010)
- Li, C., Kao, C., Gore, J., Ding, Z.: Minimization of region-scalable fitting energy for image segmentation. *IEEE Trans. Image Process.* **17**, 1940–1949 (2008)
- Li, F., Ng, M., Zeng, T., Shen, C.: A multiphase image segmentation method based on fuzzy region competition. *SIAM J. Imag. Sci.* **3**(2), 277–299 (2010)
- Li, X., Yang, X., Zeng, T.: A three-stage variational image segmentation framework incorporating inhomogeneity information. *SIAM J. Imag. Sci.* **13**(3), 1692–1715 (2020)
- Lorigo, L., Faugeras, O., Grimson, E., et al.: Curves: curve evolution for vessel segmentation. *Med. Image Anal.* **5**, 195–206 (2001)
- Manolakis, D., Shaw, G.: Detection algorithms for hyperspectral imaging applications. *IEEE Sig. Process. Mag.* **19**(1), 29–43 (2002)
- Martin, D., Fowlkes, C., Tal, D., Malik, J.: A database of human segmented natural images and its application to evaluating segmentation algorithms and measuring ecological statistics. In: *ICCV*, vol. 2, pp. 416–423 (2001)
- McEwen, J., Hobson, M., Mortlock, D., Lasenby, A.: Fast directional continuous spherical wavelet transform algorithms. *IEEE Trans. Sig. Process.* **55**(2), 520–529 (2007a)
- McEwen, J., Vielva, P., Wiaux, Y., et al.: Cosmological applications of a wavelet analysis on the sphere. *J. Fourier Anal. Appl.* **13**(4), 495–510 (2007b)
- McEwen, J., Durastanti, C., Wiaux, Y.: Localisation of directional scale-discretised wavelets on the sphere. *Appl. Comput. Harm. Anal.* **44**(1), 59–88 (2018)
- Michailovich, O., Rath, Y.: On approximation of orientation distributions by means of spherical ridgelets. *IEEE Trans. Sig. Proc.* **19**(2), 461–477 (2010)
- Mumford, D., Shah, J.: Optimal approximation by piecewise smooth functions and associated variational problems. *Commun. Pure Appl. Math.* **XLII**, 577–685 (1989)
- Paschos, G.: Perceptually uniform color spaces for color texture analysis: an empirical evaluation. *IEEE Trans. Image Process.* **10**(6), 932–937 (2001)
- Patel, N., Patnaik, C., Dutta, S., Shekh, A., Dave, A.: Study of crop growth parameters using airborne imaging spectrometer data. *Int. J. Remote Sens.* **22**(12), 2401–2411 (2001)

- Pock, T., Chambolle, A., Cremers, D., Bischof, H.: A convex relaxation approach for computing minimal partitions. In: IEEE Conference on Computer Vision and Pattern Recognition, 2009. CVPR 2009. IEEE, pp. 810–817 (2009a)
- Pock, T., Cremers, D., Bischof, H., Chambolle, A.: An algorithm for minimizing the piecewise smooth mumford-shah functional. In: ICCV (2009b)
- Rathi, Y., Michailovich, O., Setsompop, K., et al.: Sparse multi-shell diffusion imaging. MICCAI, Int. Conf. Med. Image Comput. Comput.-Assist. Intervent. **14**(2), 58–65 (2011)
- Ronneberger, O., Fischer, P., Brox, T.: U-net: Convolutional networks for biomedical image segmentation. In: International Conference on Medical Image Computing and Computer-Assisted Intervention, pp. 234–241. Springer (2015)
- Rotaru, C., Graf, T., Zhang, J.: Color image segmentation in HSI space for automotive applications. J. Real-Time Image Process. **3**(4), 311–322 (2008)
- Rudin, L., Osher, S., Fatemi, E.: Nonlinear total variation based noise removal algorithms. *Physica D* **60**, 259–268 (1992)
- Sandberg, B., Kang, S., Chan, T.: Unsupervised multiphase segmentation: a phase balancing model. *IEEE Trans. Image Process.* **19**, 119–130 (2010)
- Schmitt, J., Starck, J., Casandjian, J., Fadili, J., Grenier, I.: Multichannel Poisson denoising and deconvolution on the sphere: application to the Fermi Gamma-ray Space Telescope. *Astron. Astrophys.* **546**(A114) (2012). https://www.aanda.org/articles/aa/full_html/2012/10/aa18234-11/aa18234-11.html
- Shi, J., Osher, S.: A nonlinear inverse scale space method for a convex multiplicative noise model. *SIAM J. Imag. Sci.* **1**, 294–321 (2008)
- Simons, F., Loris, I., Nolet, G., et al.: Solving or resolving global tomographic models with spherical wavelets, and the scale and sparsity of seismic heterogeneity. *Geophys. J. Int.* **187**, 969–988 (2011)
- Starck, J., Moudou, Y., Abrial, P., Nguyen, M.: Wavelets, ridgelets and curvelets on the sphere. *Astron. Astrophys.* **446**(3), 1191–1204 (2006)
- Steidl, G., Teuber, T.: Removing multiplicative noise by Douglas-Rachford splitting methods. *J. Math. Imag. Vis.* **36**(2), 168–184 (2010)
- Stein, D., Beaven, S., Hoff, L., Winter, E., Schaum, A., Stocker, A.: Anomaly detection from hyperspectral imagery. *IEEE Sig. Process. Mag.* **19**(1), 58–69 (2002)
- Storath, M., Weinmann, A.: Fast partitioning of vector-valued images. *SIAM J. Imag. Sci.* **7**(3), 1826–1852 (2014)
- Sum, K., Cheung, P.: Vessel extraction under non-uniform illumination: a level set approach. *IEEE Trans. Biomed. Eng.* **55**(1), 358–360 (2008)
- Vese, L., Chan, T.: A multiphase level set framework for image segmentation using the mumford and shah model. *Int. J. Comput. Vis.* **50**(3), 271–293 (2002)
- Wallis, C., Wiaux, Y., McEwen, J.: Sparse image reconstruction on the sphere: analysis and synthesis. *IEEE Trans. Image Process.* **26**(11), 5176–5187 (2017)
- Wang, L., Li, C., Sun, Q., Xia, D., Kao, C.Y.: Active contours driven by local and global intensity fitting energy with application to brain MR image segmentation. *Comput. Med. Imag. Graph.* **33**(7), 520–531 (2009)
- Wang, X., Huang, D., Xu, H.: An efficient local Chan-Vese model for image segmentation. *Pattern Recogn.* **43**, 603–618 (2010)
- Wang, X., Tang, Y., Masnou, S., Chen, L.: A global/local affinity graph for image segmentation. *IEEE Trans. Image Process.* **24**(4), 1399–1411 (2015)
- Yan, P., Kassim, A.: MRA image segmentation with capillary geodesic active contours. *Med. Image Anal.* **10**, 317–329 (2006)
- Yuan, J., Bae, E., Tai, X.C.: A study on continuous max-flow and min-cut approaches. In: 2010 IEEE Computer Society Conference on Computer Vision and Pattern Recognition, pp. 2217–2224. IEEE (2010a)
- Yuan, J., Bae, E., Tai, X.C., Boykov, Y.: A continuous max-flow approach to potts model. In: European Conference on Computer Vision, pp. 379–392 (2010b)

- Zach, C., Gallup, D., Frahm, J.-M., Niethammer, M.: Fast global labeling for real-time stereo using multiple plane sweeps. In: Vision, Modeling, and Visualization Workshop (2008)
- Zhang, Y., Matuszewski, B., Shark, L., Moore, C.: Medical image segmentation using new hybrid level-set method. In: 2008 Fifth International Conference BioMedical Visualization: Information Visualization in Medical and Biomedical Informatics, pp. 71–76 (2008)
- Zhi, X., Shen, H.: Saliency driven region-edge-based top down level set evolution reveals the asynchronous focus in image segmentation. *Pattern Recogn.* **80**, 241–255 (2018)
- Zonoobi, D., Kassim, A., Shen, W.: Vasculature segmentation in mra images using gradient compensated geodesic active contours. *J. Sig. Process. Syst.* **54**, 171–181 (2009)