Institute for Structural Integrity – Statute

'e.V. frame – version ev-forma-03-03-2025-00 (supersedes: -)

The institute is slightly irritated.

Preamble

This Institute serves no individual, no market, no self-image.

It exists to bring forth structures that carry when needed,
and step aside once their effect is fulfilled.

Its task is to create the conditions under which human capabilities can act
– and forms that enable shared progress.

Actions are based on verifiable facts and open documentation.
(Legal procedures remain unaffected. Terms used are defined in the appendix.)

Note on independence

The Institute is politically, religiously, and ideologically neutral.

It rejects all forms of extremism, misanthropy, or ideologically motivated appropriation.

---

§ 1 Name, Registered Office, Financial Year

(1) The association is named "Institute for Structural Integrity e.V."

(2) Its registered office is in Tübingen.

(3) The financial year is the calendar year.

---

§ 2 Purpose

(1) The purpose of the association is to develop, apply and disseminate structurally effective methods and systems that demonstrably improve social capacity to act.

(2) This is implemented in the following areas:

  a) the testing and provision of freely accessible organizational and decision-making structures;

b) the development of education, care, and infrastructure models oriented toward the common good;

c) the research and application of new technologies with structural impact — e.g., in areas such as renewable energy, adaptive technology use, or resilient system solutions;

d) cooperation with civil society, science, public institutions, and nonprofit organizations.

(3) The association pursues exclusively and directly nonprofit purposes within the meaning of §§51–68 of the German Fiscal Code (AO).

---

§ 3 Membership

(1) Anyone who contributes effectively in line with the association's purpose may become a member.
Proof is provided through a comprehensible, dated record — e.g. in written form, digital text, or as a transcribed excerpt from a video recording.

(2) Admission is decided by the board. There is no entitlement to membership.

(3) Membership ends only through resignation or expulsion. The suspension or loss of a role does not affect membership.

(4) Anyone who becomes an effective part of the structure through action, observation, or resonance is structurally included — regardless of formal membership.

(5) No membership fees are charged.

(6) The board maintains a simple member registry including name, date of entry, and contact information.

---

§ 3a Internal Structural Logic and External Legal Effect

(1) Internally, roles arise solely through action.
Externally, legal procedures apply.

(2) Contracts, cooperations, and legal declarations are made only by the board or a person authorized by it.

(3) Terms such as forms, effect, trust, and similar describe internal functional principles without direct external effect.

(4) The association is liable according to legal provisions. The board is authorized to obtain appropriate insurance for forms involving risk.

§ 4 Board

(1) The board consists of at least one natural person; there is no upper limit.

(2) The term of office is three years; re-election is permitted.

(3) The board represents the association in and out of court (§ 26 BGB).

(4) Resolutions are passed by simple majority; in case of a tie, the chairperson decides.

Titles such as "Chief Locomotive Irregularity Officer," "Head of Formulated Formfulness," or "Assistant to the Emotional Manager" may be self-assigned in cases of insufficient integrity.

---

§ 5 Membership Assembly

(1) Held at least once a year; convened by the board.

(2) Invitation at least two weeks in advance by email with agenda.

(3) Responsibilities:
  – Election and removal of the board;
  – Discharge of the board;
  – Amendments to the statute;
  – Dissolution of the association.

(4) Resolutions are passed by a simple majority of those present.

(5) Meetings may be conducted physically, digitally, or in hybrid form.

---

§ 6 Clarification and Integrity Disturbance

Where effect fails or contradictions arise, any role may initiate clarification.

Clarification is itself a form and is documented in the Effect Ledger, including reviewer entry.

The goal is the restoration of actionability — not the assignment of blame.

§ 7 Use of funds

Financial accounting is carried out in accordance with the German Commercial Code (HGB) and the German Fiscal Code (AO).

Impact Units (IU) serve solely to supplement impact transparency in external reporting. They do not replace internal accounting or decision-making, and are not intended for performance comparisons between institutions.

(1) The association's funds may only be used for statutory purposes.

(2) Members do not receive any donations from the association's funds.

(3) Appropriate remuneration for activities is permissible provided that it serves the purpose and complies with Section 55 of the Fiscal Code (AO).

(4) Revenue from commercial activities—especially license or usage fees—goes entirely to the charitable purpose. After deducting statutory taxes, any surplus funds are specifically channeled to tax-exempt projects or organizations that demonstrate a high level of integrity according to the impact criteria in the appendix.

---

§ 8 External effects

Forms with external impact create understandable responsibility.
Roles that act publicly or legally visibly mark this responsibility.
The structure creates transparency but does not replace legal obligations.

---

§ 9 Dissolution

Dissolution requires a two-thirds majority of the membership assembly.
Assets shall be transferred to a tax-privileged organization with a comparable purpose.
Commercial repurposing or private distribution is excluded.

---

§ 10 Scope of Application

The following appendix "Framework" constitutes the internal scope of application.
It is part of this statute but not legally binding towards third parties.

The board may update specific parameters of the appendix by written resolution, provided that integrity, transparency, and nonprofit character are maintained..

Forms, documents, and tools developed within this framework may be published by the board under open licenses (e.g., CC-BY 4.0, MIT). Any further use requires the publication of resulting impact data in accordance with this framework.

Appendix

---

1 Glossary of Terms

Term – Definition	Operational Meaning
Effect	Verifiable improvement of a previously defined system state. Effect arises exclusively through application; intentions do not count – Luhmann, in mild dissent: "Yo."
Trust	Trust is reproducible coherence in open action. It arises through demonstrable reliability – and fades when that reliability can no longer be substantiated.
Role	Functional shell created through action – not by appointment. Roles remain valid as long as they generate effect.
Impulse	Structured proposal with embedded form of action and clear objective. Unfolds effect only if transparently documented, plausibly reasoned, and activated through action. Contains all information required for immediate execution by any capable person or entity.
Clarification	Structured process for restoring operational clarity; replaces blame with transparent traceability.
Integrity Disturbance	Traceable disruption of effect, trust, or clarity. Affected forms / roles are paused until clarification occurs.
Responsibility	Documented connection between action, role, and outcome; independent of intent, legally accountable under law.

2 Impact Metrics – External Reporting Values

What counts as effect

Effect is a traceable improvement of a specific system value (metric).
The chosen metric must be observable, plausibly influenceable, and documentable.

Typical metrics (examples):

♦ **Waiting time for help**

e.g. "Days from first contact to support by crisis service"
→ *Shows direct improvement in service speed.*

♦ **Reduction of administrative burden**

e.g. "Number of pages on forms"
→ *Needs no explanation*

♦ **Comprehensibility of information**

e.g. "Flesch Index of informational materials"
→ *Captures how barrier-free content is designed.*

♦ **Self-efficacy in everyday life**

e.g., "Self-assessment: 'I can make a difference' (scale 1–10)"
→ *Shows whether a project creates inner security of action.*

♦ **Accessibility of public bodies**

e.g. "Proportion of contact points available outside core hours"
→ *Measures structural inclusion and barrier removal.*

♦ **Stability of long-term effects**

e.g. "Maintaining effectiveness after 6 months"
→ *Shows whether an effect is permanent or only short-term.*

♦ **Technical-ecological efficiency**

e.g. "Power consumption before/after with new solution"
→ *Use technical metrics to measure impact.*

♦ **Reintegration provides potential**

e.g., "Number of people who return to work, education, or daily routine after receiving support"
→ *Measures whether projects bring people back to life in a stable way – especially in cases of previous invisibility due to psychological or structural overload.*

♦ **Social connectivity**

e.g. "Perceived belonging (scale) before and after participation"
→ *Shows whether projects reduce isolation and promote integration.*

♦ **Collaboration resonance**

e.g. "Duration, quality, and voluntary repetition of cooperation"
→ *Evaluates impact on the environment through measurable relationship management.*

Impact is measurable as soon as two values are comparable — before and after the action. Everything else follows from the difference.

Impact Units

1) Efficiency-Impact-Unit (EIU)
Shows the percentage of improvement achieved per person-week deployed.
Formula:
EIU = (Δ% ÷ persons × weeks) × Confidence Factor
(subtracts general headaches)

2) Net-Impact-Unit (NIU)
Shows the total volume of effect achieved in the affected target group.
Formula:
NIU = Δ% × number of directly benefited × Confidence Factor

3) Negative-Impact-Unit (-IU)
Shows the extent of documented negative effects within or outside the target group.
Formula:
-IU = Δ% × number of negatively affected × Confidence Factor × Severity Factor

Definitions

■ **Δ%: measured relative improvement of a clearly defined baseline value**

■ **Persons × Weeks: documented team effort**

■ **Confidence Factor (CF):** assessment of measurement quality
  A = 1.0 | B = 0.8 | C = 0.6 | D = 0.4 | E = 0.2

■ **Severity Factor (SF):** weighting of negative impact
  A = 3.0 | B = 2.0 | C = 1.5 | D = 1.2 | E = 1.0

Notes

→ For long-term projects, NIU can be multiplied by the duration of effect (in months).
→ IU-per-Euro is permitted as an external metric for funders.
→ Romance remains scalable – but is rated as imprecise.

2a Donation impact

A donation only receives an EIU/NIU value if a verifiable effect (Δ%) is achieved using its funds.

Example:
Donation: 1,000€
  Effect: 20% improvement of a baseline
  Effort: 6 person-weeks
  Effected: 500 people
  CF: 0.8

Calculation:
   EIU = (20 ÷ 6) × 0.8 = 2.67
   NIU = 20 × 500 × 0.8 = 8 000
   IU-per-Euro = 2.67 / 1000€ | NIU-per-Euro = 8000 / 1000€

2b Impact Signature (optional)

If a measure improves three or more different metrics at the same time and these are causally related, the effect can be summarized as a signature.

IU calculation:

   – Δ% = weighted average of individual effects
   – remaining formula as in EIU/NIU
   – add note: "impact signature" in the ledger entry

This serves to bundle complex effects — not to inflate individual results.

---

3 Impulse Logic

An **impulse package** contains:

   ■   Short goal & metric (Δ % estimate)

   ■   Task list with estimated team-weeks

   ■   Risk assessment (author or AI assist)

Release rule:

 1) Two peer-OKs and no critical risk flag ⇒ package becomes a **Form** (Status: open)

     (An AI system calibrated for this purpose may count as a peer if verified.)

 2) Missing OK or risk flag ⇒ clarification under § 6 of the statute.
 3) A role is taken on – from this acceptance, action emerges.
 4) The impulse package is linked in the notes column of the corresponding ledger entry.

---

4 Effect Ledger – Minimal Structure

Required columns:

Form-ID | Target Metric | Before → After | Person-Weeks | Affected | CF | EIU | NIU | -IU | Status

Workflow:

1) Create row, assign Form-ID

2) Estimate initial value & team-weeks

3) Perform action

4) Enter result, affected people, and CF → EIU/NIU are calculated

5) Set status (e.g. "done"); dormant coloring after 90 days automatically
      Optional: CF-proof link for documentation

Note: Short logs may be kept daily and entered into the ledger quarterly.

---

5 Insurance & Liability

For risk-prone forms, the board may take out suitable insurance or engage external professionals.

Legal liability remains unaffected.

---

6 Open License Policy

Forms, technologies, models and documents may be published under open-source licenses (e.g. MIT, CC-BY).

Commercial use

Is license-free up to an annual revenue of €50,000 (exemption threshold).

Above this, a contribution to local IU projects is expected — in the form of a nonprofit-forwarded share (e.g. 5 % of the amount exceeding the threshold).

These contributions are not enforceable, but a reflection of structural integrity.

Non-profit use

Permanently license-free, tied to the expectation of IU-oriented fund usage and transparent impact tracking.

8 Data Protection

Personal ledger data is processed exclusively in accordance with GDPR Art. 6 Sec. 1 lit. f.

Only what is required for impact measurement is collected.

---

9 Internal Redistribution Rule

Surplus funds go to the nonprofit organization with the highest published **IU-per-Euro score**.
If values are nearly equal, the board decides at its reasonable discretion.

(This statute is a form. It is meant to sit well with those who carry it.)

[End of Framework – version forma-03-03-2025-00 – institut.forma@protonmail.com]