A SMALL REMARK ON SZEGO THEOREMS.

1. Suppose that we have a real symmetric weight w(z) on the unit circle $\mathbb{T}=\{z:|z|=1\}$, i.e. $w:\mathbb{T}\to\mathbb{R}_{\geq 0}$ is a non-negative function and $w(\overline{z})=w(z)$. The space $L^2(\mathbb{T},\omega\frac{|dz|}{2\pi})$ is defined in the usual way. Orthogonal polynomials $\Phi_0,\Phi_1,\Phi_2,\ldots$ with respect to w are defined recursively by

$$\Phi_0(z) = 1,$$

$$\Phi_n(z) = z^n + \dots, \qquad \langle \Phi_n(z), z^m \rangle_{L^2(\mathbb{T}, \omega \frac{|dz|}{2\pi})} = 0,$$

where $\langle f,g\rangle_{L^2(\mathbb{T},\omega\frac{|dz|}{2\pi})}=\int_{\mathbb{T}}f(z)\cdot\overline{g(z)}\,w(z)\frac{|dz|}{2\pi}$. In other words, Φ_0,Φ_1,\ldots is the result of the orthogonalization procedure applied to the system $1,z,z^2,\ldots$ in $L^2(\mathbb{T},\omega\frac{|dz|}{2\pi})$.

- 2. Given a polynomial Φ set $\Phi^*(z) := z^n \Phi(z^{-1})$. It is straightforward to check that Φ_n^* is the only polynomial of degree at most n such that $\Phi_n^*(0) = 1$ and $\Phi_n^* \perp z^m$ if $1 \le m \le n$.
- 3. Given $n \ge 0$ consider the polynomial $z\Phi_n(z)$. Properties of Φ_n immediately implies that $z\Phi_n(z)$ is monic and is orthogonal to z^m if $1 \le m \le n$. Thus we should have

$$z\Phi_n(z) = \Phi_{n+1}(z) + \alpha_n \Phi_n^*(z). \tag{1}$$

for some constant α_n . Substituting z=0 we find that

$$\alpha_n = -\Phi_{n+1}(0).$$

Coefficients α_n are called *Verblunsky coefficients* and (1) is called *Szego recursive relation*. Note that one can express $\|\Phi_n\|_{L^2(\mathbb{T},\omega^{\lfloor \underline{dz} \rfloor})}$ via α_n by

$$\beta_n := \|\Phi_n\|_{L^2(\mathbb{T},\omega^{\frac{|dz|}{2\pi}})}^2 = \|\Phi_0\|_{L^2(\mathbb{T},\omega^{\frac{|dz|}{2\pi}})}^2 \prod_{j=0}^{n-1} (1 - |\alpha_j|^2).$$

4. Let us introduce another Hilbert space denoted by H^2 :

$$H^{2} := \{ \sum_{j \ge 0} a_{j} z^{j}, \mid \| \sum_{j \ge 0} a_{j} z^{j} \|_{H^{2}}^{2} = \sum_{j \ge 0} |a_{j}|^{2} < \infty \}.$$
 (2)

The space H^2 is called *Hardy space*. Alternatively one can say that H^2 is the (closed) span of $1, z, z^2, \ldots$ in $L^2(\mathbb{T}, \frac{|dz|}{2\pi})$ and one has

$$||f||_{H^2}^2 = \int_{\mathbb{T}} |f(z)| \frac{|dz|}{2\pi}.$$

Note that $H^2 \subset L^2(\mathbb{T}, \frac{|dz|}{2\pi})$ is exactly the space of square integrable functions that admits a holomorphic continuation to the unit disc. Denote by $P_+: L^2(\mathbb{T}, \frac{|dz|}{2\pi}) \to H^2$ the orthogonal projection (Riesz projector).

5. Given a non-negative weight on $\mathbb T$ one can define the Toeplitz operator $T(w): H^2 \to H^2$ by

$$T(w)f := P_{+}(w \cdot f).$$

If $w = \sum_{i \in \mathbb{Z}} a_j z^j$ is the Fourier series for w then the matrix of T(w) in the basis $1, z, z^2, \dots$ is given by

$$\begin{pmatrix} a_0 & a_1 & a_2 & \cdots \\ a_{-1} & a_0 & a_1 & \cdots \\ a_{-2} & a_{-1} & a_0 & \cdots \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \ddots \end{pmatrix}$$

6. Let $Q_n: H^2 \to H^2$ be the orthogonal projection onto the span of $1, z, \ldots, z^n$ and $R_n:=\mathbb{1}-Q_n$. Then determinants of *finite size* matrices $Q_nT(w)Q_n$ (thought as operators on the span of $1, z, \ldots, z^n$) can be expressed via the norms β_n of orthogonal polynomials (see the paragraph 3), one has

$$\det_{Q_n H^2} Q_n T(w) Q_n = \beta_n \cdot \beta_{n-1} \cdot \dots \cdot \beta_0.$$
 (3)

To prove (3) just consider the matrix $Q_nT(w)Q_n$ in the basis Φ_0,\ldots,Φ_n .

- 7. From this point we will assume that $w(z) = |D(z)|^2$ where D is holomorphic function in the unit disc and, moreover, it is an outer function. The latter means that $2\Im \log D$ is the harmonic conjugate to harmonic extension of log w to the unit disc; in particular we assume that $\log w$ is integrable on \mathbb{T} .
- 8. There is a natural way to interpret Φ_n^* as a solution to some extremal problem. Namely, let us consider a problem of minimizing

$$\int_{\mathbb{T}} |\Phi(z)|^2 w(z) \frac{|dz|}{2\pi} \tag{4}$$

over all polynomials Φ such that deg $\Phi \leq n$ and $\Phi(0) = 1$. One can think of this minimum as of the distance between 0 and the affine hyperplane given by the linear condition $\Phi(0) = 1$. Such a distance is achieved on the unique vector in this hyperplane that is orthogonal to it. Using such arguments one sees that the minimum is attained on Φ_n^* .

9. Notice that if we drop the condition that Φ is a polynomial, than the minimum of (4) is attained on $\Phi(z) = D(0)/D(z)$ (recall that we have $w(z) = |D(z)|^2$). The fact that D is an outer function ensures that D(z) do not vanish in the unit disc and thus D(0)/D(z) is a holomorphic function in the unit disc and thus lies in the closed span of $1, z, z^2, \ldots$ in $L^2(\mathbb{T}, w(z) \frac{|dz|}{2\pi})$. A straightforward computation shows that D(0)/D(z) is orthogonal to z, z^2, \ldots , therefore it provides the minimum for (4). This motivates the following theorem called *The first Szego Theorem*:

Theorem 1. Assume that the weight w(z) is bounded from above and $w(z) = |D(z)|^2$ for some outer function D. Then

- (1) We have $\beta_n = \|\Phi_n^*\|_{L^2(\mathbb{T}, w^{\lfloor dz \rfloor})}^2 \to |D(0)|^2$ as $n \to +\infty$ and (2) $\Phi_n^*(z) \to D(0)/D(z)$ as $n \to +\infty$ for any |z| < 1 uniformly on compacts of the unit disc.

where the notation Φ_n^* was defined above.

10. Assume now that D(0) = 1 (this can be achieved just by a renormalization of the weight). Then Theorem 1 provides that $\beta_n \to 1$ and it is reasonable to ask if one can compute the determinant of the Toeplitz operator T(w), i.e. what is the limit $\lim_{n\to+\infty}\prod_{j=0}^n\beta_j$. The answer to this question is provided by *The second (Strong) Szego Theorem*:

Theorem 2. Assume that $d/dz \log D$ is square integrable on the unit disc and let $\log D = \sum_{n\geq 0} L_n z^n$. Then we have

$$\prod_{n=0}^{+\infty} \beta_n = \det T(w) = \exp \left[\sum_{n \ge 1} n |L_n|^2 \right] = \exp \left[\int_{\{z : |z| < 1\}} \frac{|D'(z)|}{|D(z)|} \frac{|dz|}{\pi} \right].$$

11. The aim of next paragraphs is to sketch the proof of this theorem that is due to Borodin and Okunkov. The det T(w) is the Fredholm determinant of the operator T(w) (see computations below), so it is equal to $\lim_{n\to+\infty} \det_{Q_nH^2}(Q_nT(w)Q_n) = \prod_{n=0}^{+\infty} \beta_n$. The

fact that
$$\exp\left[\sum_{n\geq 1}n|L_n|^2\right]=\exp\left[\int\limits_{\{z\ :\ |z|<1\}}\frac{|D'(z)|}{|D(z)|}\frac{|dz|}{\pi}\right]$$
 is a straightforward computation,

thus it remains to show that $\det T(w) = \exp\left[\sum_{n\geq 1} n|L_n|^2\right]$. In order to do this we compute $\det T(w)$ using a link between Toepletz and Hankel operators.

12. Given a function $f \in L^2(\mathbb{T}, \frac{|dz|}{2\pi})$ let us introduce the Hankel operator $H(f): H^2 \to H^2$ that acts by

$$(H(f)g)(z) = P_{+}(\overline{z}f \cdot g(\overline{z})). \tag{5}$$

We denote the function $g(\overline{z})$ by $\tilde{g}(z)$ for simplicity. If $f = \sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} a_n z^n$ then in the basis $1, z, z^2, \ldots$ the operator H(f) is given by the matrix

$$\begin{pmatrix} a_1 & a_2 & a_3 & \cdots \\ a_2 & a_3 & a_4 & \cdots \\ a_3 & a_4 & a_5 & \cdots \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \ddots \end{pmatrix}$$

Let us note that

$$T(fg) = T(f)T(g) + H(f)H(\tilde{g}). \tag{6}$$

Using this relation we conclude that if f is antiholomorphic or g is holomorphic then

$$T(fg) = T(f)T(g). (7)$$

In particular, $T(f^{-1}) = T(f)^{-1}$ and $T(g^{-1}) = T(g)^{-1}$. We also have

$$H(\overline{\tilde{g}}) = H(g)^*. \tag{8}$$

13. The main trick appears in this paragraph. Due to (7) we have $T(w) = T(\overline{D}D) = T(\overline{D})T(D)$. It follows that

$$T(D^{-1})T(w)T(\overline{D}^{-1}) = \left(T(D^{-1})T(\overline{D})\right)\left(T(D)T(\overline{D}^{-1})\right) = \left(T(b)T(\overline{b})\right)^{-1} \tag{9}$$

where $b = \overline{D}^{-1}D$. Note that $T(D^{-1})$ and $T(\overline{D}^{-1})$ are both triangular in the basis $1, z, z^2, \ldots$ with $D(0)^{-1} = \overline{D}^{-1}(0) = 1$ on the diagonal. It follows that

$$\det_{Q_n H^2}(Q_n T(w)Q_n) = \det_{Q_n H^2}(Q_n T(D^{-1})T(w)T(\overline{D}^{-1})Q_n)$$

$$= \det_{Q_n H^2}(Q_n \left(T(b)T(\overline{b})\right)^{-1}Q_n).$$
(10)

Using that $b\bar{b} = 1$ and (6) we get that

$$T(b)T(\overline{b}) = 1 - H(b)H(b)^* \tag{11}$$

and thus due to the Jacobi identity the (10) can be rewritten as

$$\det_{Q_n H^2}(Q_n T(w)Q_n) = \frac{\det_{R_n H^2}(\mathbb{1} - R_n(H(b)H(b)^*)R_n)}{\det(\mathbb{1} - H(b)H(b)^*)},$$
(12)

recall that $R_n = 1 - Q_n$.

14. Recall that

$$\mathbb{1} - H(b)H(b)^* = T(b)T(\overline{b}) = T(\overline{D})T(D^{-1})T(\overline{D}^{-1})T(D) =$$

$$= T(\overline{D})T(D^{-1})T(\overline{D}^{-1})T(D) = [T(\overline{D}^{-1}), T(D)].$$

Note that (7) implies that $T(D) = e^{T(\log D)}$ and $T(\overline{D}) = e^{T(\log \overline{D})}$. It follows that

$$1 - H(b)H(b)^* = [e^{T(\log D)}, e^{T(\log \overline{D})}].$$
(13)

To compute the determinant of the righ-hand side we use the *Helton-Howe Theorem*:

Theorem 3. Let A, B be bounded operators on a Hilbert space so that [A, B] is of trace class. Then $[e^A, e^B] - 1$ is of trace class and

$$\det[e^A, e^B] = \exp(\text{Tr}[A, B]).$$

Using this theorem and (13) we can write

$$\det(\mathbb{1} - H(b)H(b)^*) = \exp(-\text{Tr}[T(\log D), T(\log \overline{D})]).$$

Due to (7) we get

$$[T(\log D), T(\log \overline{D})] = H(\log D)H(\log D)^*$$

and a straightforward computation gives us $\text{Tr}(H(\log D)H(\log D)^*) = \sum_{n\geq 0} n|L_n|^2$. By this computation and (11) we conclude that

$$\det(\mathbb{1} - H(b)H(b)^*) = \exp(-\sum_{n>0} n|L_n|^2).$$

and finaly (12) implies that

$$\det_{Q_n H^2}(Q_n T(w)Q_n) = \det_{R_n H^2}(\mathbb{1} - R_n(H(b)H(b)^*)R_n) \cdot \exp(\sum_{n \ge 0} n|L_n|^2).$$

Passing to the limit as $n \to +\infty$ we get the desired relation

$$\det T(w) = \exp(\sum_{n>0} n|L_n|^2).$$