# AMATH 562 Assignment 9

Tyler Chen

Exercise 9.1

Solution

## Exercise 9.2

Let X be a solution to the following SDE

$$dX_t = \kappa(\theta - X_t)dt + \delta\sqrt{X_t}dW_t$$

Define

$$u(t,x) = \mathbb{E}\left[\exp\left(-\int_{t}^{T} X_{s} ds\right) \middle| X_{t} = x\right]$$

Derive a PDE for the function u. To solve the PDE for u, try a solution of the form

$$u(t,x) = \exp(-xA(t) - B(t)),$$

where A and B are deterministic functions of t. Show that A and B must satisfy a pair of coupled ODEs (with appropriate terminal conditions at time T). Bonus question: solve the ODEs (it may be helpful to note that one of the ODEs is a Riccati equation).

## Solution (direct)

First observe that  $u(t, X_t)$  is not a martingale as,

$$\mathbb{E}[u(t, X_t) | \mathcal{F}_s] = \mathbb{E}\left[\mathbb{E}\left[\exp\left(-\int_t^T X_z dz\right) \middle| \mathcal{F}_t\right] \middle| \mathcal{F}_s\right]$$

$$= \mathbb{E}\left[\exp\left(-\int_t^T X_z dz\right) \middle| \mathcal{F}_s\right]$$

$$\neq \mathbb{E}\left[\exp\left(-\int_s^T X_z dz\right) \middle| \mathcal{F}_s\right]$$

$$= u(s, X_s)$$

Define,

$$M_t = \exp\left(-\int_0^t X_z dz\right) u(t, X_t) = \mathbb{E}\left[\exp\left(-\int_0^T X_z dz\right) \middle| \mathcal{F}_t\right]$$

where we have used the fact that  $\exp(-\int_0^t X_z dz) \in \mathcal{F}_t$ .

Clearly,

$$\mathbb{E}[M_t | \mathcal{F}_s] = \mathbb{E}\left[\mathbb{E}\left[\exp\left(-\int_0^T X_z dz\right) \middle| \mathcal{F}_t\right] \middle| \mathcal{F}_s\right] = \mathbb{E}\left[\exp\left(-\int_0^T X_z dz\right) \middle| \mathcal{F}_s\right] = M_s$$

Therefore  $M_t$  is a martingale.

Note that,

$$du(t, X_t) = \partial_t u(t, X_t) dt + \partial_x u(t, X_t) dX_t + \frac{1}{2} \partial_x^2 u(t, X_t) d[X, X]_t$$
$$= \left( \partial_t + \mu(t, X_t) \partial_x + \frac{1}{2} \sigma^2(t, X_t) \partial_x^2 \right) u(t, X_t) dt + \sigma(t, X_t) \partial_x u(t, X_t) dW_t$$

Write  $v(t, X_t) = \exp(-\int_0^t X_z dz)$ . This does not depend on  $X_t$  so,

$$dv(t, X_t) = \partial_t v(t, X_t) dt + \partial_x v(t, X_t) dX_t + \frac{1}{2} \partial_x^2 v(t, X_t) d[X, X]_t$$
$$= -X_t v(t, X_t) dt$$

We supress the arguments to  $u, v, \mu, \sigma$  and compute,

$$dM_t = u(t, X_t) dv(t, X_t) + v(t, X_t) du(t, X_t) + d[u, v]_t$$

$$= u(-X_t v) dt + v \left(\partial_t + \mu \partial_x + \frac{1}{2} \sigma^2 \partial_x^2\right) u dt + (\cdots) dW_t$$

$$= \left(\partial_t + \frac{1}{2} \sigma^2 \partial_x^2 + \mu \partial_x - X_t\right) u dt + (\cdots) dW_t$$

Since  $M_t$  is a martingale, the dt term must be zero. Moreover, v is always positive. Therefore,

$$\left[ \left( \partial_t + \frac{1}{2} \sigma^2(t, x) \partial_x^2 + \mu(t, x) \partial_x - x \right) u(t, x) \right]_{t=t, x=X_t} = 0$$

The boundary condition is obtained by,

$$u(T, X_T) = \mathbb{E}\left[\exp\left(-\int_T^T X_z dz\right) \middle| \mathcal{F}_T\right] = 1$$

The rest of the solution is included below.

#### Solution

With  $\gamma(u,x)=x$ ,  $\phi(x)=1$ , g(u,x)=0 this is a subcase of an example in the notes. We then know u(t,x) solves,

$$(\partial_t + \mathcal{A})u + g = 0,$$
  $u(T, \cdot) = \phi,$   $\mathcal{A} = \frac{1}{2}\sigma^2\partial_x^2 + \mu\partial_x - \gamma = 0$ 

Assume u has the form,

$$u(t,x) = \exp\left(-xA(t) - B(t)\right)$$

First compute,

$$\partial_t u = (-xA' - B')u$$
  $\partial_x u = -Au$   $\partial_x^2 u = A^2 u$ 

This gives,

$$0 = \left[\partial_t + \frac{1}{2}\delta^2 x \partial_x^2 + \kappa(\theta - x)\partial_x - x\right] u$$
$$= \left[-xA' - B' + \frac{1}{2}\delta^2 x A^2 + \kappa(\theta - x)(-A) - x\right] u$$
$$= \left[\left(-A' + \frac{1}{2}\delta^2 A^2 + \kappa A - 1\right)x + (-B' - \kappa\theta A)\right] u$$

Observe u(t,x) > 0 for all t,x. Therefore we require the bracketed term above to be zero for all x,t. Setting the coefficients of the x terms and constant terms to zero gives a coupled pair of ODEs,

$$\begin{cases} -A'(t) + \frac{1}{2}\delta^2 A^2(t) + \kappa A(t) - 1 = 0 \\ -B'(t) - \kappa \theta A(t) = 0 \end{cases}$$

We have,

$$1 = \varphi(x) = u(T, x) = \exp\left(-xA(T) - B(T)\right)$$

This gives terminal condition,

$$A(T) = 0 B(T) = 0$$

We solve this in Mathematica without boundary conditions using,

This gives solution,

$$A(t) = \frac{\sqrt{-2\delta^2 - \kappa^2} \tan\left(\frac{1}{2} \left(2c_1\sqrt{-2\delta^2 - \kappa^2} + t\sqrt{-2\delta^2 - \kappa^2}\right)\right) - \kappa}{\delta^2}$$
$$B(t) = \frac{\theta\kappa \left(2\log\left(\cos\left(c_1\sqrt{-2\delta^2 - \kappa^2} + \frac{1}{2}t\sqrt{-2\delta^2 - \kappa^2}\right)\right) + \kappa t\right)}{\delta^2} + c_2$$

where,

$$c_1 = \frac{1}{2\sqrt{-2\delta^2 - \kappa^2}} \left[ 2\arctan\left(\frac{\kappa}{\sqrt{-2\delta^2 - \kappa}}\right) - T\sqrt{-2\delta^2 - \kappa^2} \right]$$
$$c_2 = -\frac{\theta\kappa \left( 2\log\left(\cos\left(c_1\sqrt{-2\delta^2 - \kappa^2} + \frac{1}{2}T\sqrt{-2\delta^2 - \kappa^2}\right)\right) + \kappa T \right)}{\delta^2}$$

#### Exercise 9.3

For  $i=1,2,\ldots,d$  let  $X^{(i)}$  satisfy, d.

$$dX_t^{(i)} = -\frac{b}{2}X_t^{(i)}dt + \frac{1}{2}\sigma dW_t^{(i)}$$

where  $(W_t^{(i)})_{i=1}^d$  are independent Brownian motions. Define

$$R_t := \sum_{i=1}^d \left( X_t^{(i)} \right)^2, \qquad B_t := \sum_{i=1}^d \int_0^t \frac{1}{\sqrt{R_s}} X_s^{(i)} dW_s^{(i)}$$

Show that B is a Brownian motion. Derive an SDE for R that involves only dt and  $dB_t$  terms (i.e., no  $dW_t^{(i)}$  terms should appear).

### Solution

We use the Lévy characterization of Brownian motion. In particular, we must show B is a martingale, B has continuous sample paths, and  $B_0 = 0$  with  $[B, B]_t = t$  for all  $t \ge 0$ .

Write,

$$dB_t = d \left[ \sum_{i=1}^d \int_0^t \frac{1}{\sqrt{R_s}} X_s^{(i)} dW_s^{(i)} \right] = \sum_{i=1}^d \frac{1}{\sqrt{R_t}} X_t^{(i)} dW_t^{(i)}$$

As  $B_t$  is an Itô integral it is a martingale with respect to a filtration  $\mathbb{F} = (\mathcal{F}_{\sqcup})_{t \geq 0}$  for  $W_t^{(i)}$ .

Similarly,  $B_t$  has continuous sample paths as  $W_t^{(i)}$  have continuous sample paths.

Clearly  $B_0 = 0$  as  $W_0^{(i)} = 0$ .

Now,

$$(dB_t)(dB_t) = \frac{1}{R_t} \sum_{i=1}^d \sum_{j=1}^d X_t^{(i)} X_t^{(j)} dW_t^{(i)} dW_t^{(j)}$$

$$= \frac{1}{R_t} \left( \sum_{j=1}^d \left( X_t^{(i)} dW_t^{(i)} \right)^2 + 2 \sum_{i=1}^d \sum_{j=1}^i X_t^{(i)} X_t^{(j)} dW_t^{(i)} dW_t^{(j)} \right)$$

Using the heuristic,  $dW_t^{(i)}dW_t^{(j)} = \delta_{ij}dt$  and the definition of  $R_t$  we have,

$$d[B, B]_t = \frac{1}{R_t} \sum_{i=1}^d (X_t^{(i)})^2 dt = dt$$

Therefore,  $[B, B]_t = t$ .

This proves B is a Brownian motion.

Compute, using Itô's formula,

$$dR_t = d\left[\sum_{i=1}^d \left(X_t^{(i)}\right)^2\right] = \sum_{i=1}^d 2X_t^{(i)} dX_t^{(i)} + \frac{1}{2}2d[X^{(i)}, X^{(i)}]_t = \sum_{i=1}^d 2X_t^{(i)} dX_t^{(i)} + d[X^{(i)}, X^{(i)}]_t$$

Using our heuristics we have,

$$d[X^{(i)}, X^{(i)}]_t = \left(dX_t^{(i)}\right) \left(dX_t^{(i)}\right) = \left(-\frac{b}{2}X_t^{(i)}dt + \frac{1}{2}\sigma dW_t^{(i)}\right)^2 = \frac{\sigma^2}{4}dt$$

Now,

$$\begin{split} \sum_{i=1}^{d} 2X_{t}^{(i)} \mathrm{d}X_{t}^{(i)} + \mathrm{d}[X^{(i)}, X^{(i)}]_{t} &= \sum_{i=1}^{d} 2X_{t}^{(i)} \left( -\frac{b}{2} X_{t}^{(i)} \mathrm{d}t + \frac{1}{2} \sigma \mathrm{d}W_{t}^{(i)} \right) + \frac{\sigma^{2}}{4} \mathrm{d}t \\ &= \sum_{i=1}^{d} \left( \frac{\sigma^{2}}{4} - b \left( X_{t}^{(i)} \right)^{2} \right) \mathrm{d}t + \sigma \sqrt{R_{t}} \frac{1}{\sqrt{R_{t}}} X_{t}^{(i)} \mathrm{d}W_{t}^{(i)} \end{split}$$

Therefore, simplifying slightly we have,

$$dR_t = (d\sigma^2/4 - bR_t)dt + \sigma\sqrt{R_t}dB_t$$

Exercise 9.4

Solution

## Exercise 9.5

Consider a diffusion  $X = (X_t)_{t \ge 0}$  that lives on a finite interval  $(l,r), 0 < l < r < \infty$  and satisfies the SDE

$$dX_t = \mu X_t dt + \sigma X_t dW_t$$

One can easily check that the endpoints l and r are regular (you do not have to prove it here). Assume both endpoints are killing. Find the transition density  $\Gamma(t, x; T, y)$  of X.

## Solution

We have,  $\Gamma(\cdot, \cdot; T, y)$  satisfies,

$$(\partial_t + \mathcal{A}(t))\Gamma(\cdot, t; T, y) = 0 \qquad \qquad \Gamma(T, \cdot; T, y) = \delta_y$$

where the infinitesimal generator  $\mathcal{A}$  is,

$$\mathcal{A} = \mu x \partial_x + \frac{1}{2} \sigma^2 x^2 \partial_x^2$$

We seek a spectral representation for  $\mathcal{A}$ . That is, a basis  $\{\Psi_n\}_{n\geq 0}$  for such that  $\mathcal{A}\Psi_n = \lambda_n \Psi_n$ . Since the endpoints are killing we also require,

$$\Psi_n(l) = 0, \qquad \qquad \Psi_n(r) = 0$$

We make a change of variables. Let  $z = \log(x)$ . Then,

$$\partial_x = \frac{1}{x}\partial_z,$$
  $\partial_x^2 = -\frac{1}{x^2}\partial_z + \frac{1}{x}\partial_z^2$ 

Then, in terms of z we have generator,

$$\mathcal{A}_z = \left(\mu - \frac{\sigma^2}{2}\right)\partial_z + \frac{1}{2}\sigma^2\partial_z^2$$

This equation is very similar to a damped harmonic oscillator. We therefore guess that the eigenfunctions have the form,

$$\psi_n(z) = \exp(\gamma_n z) \left[ A \sin\left(\frac{n\pi(z - \log(l))}{\log(r) - \log(l)}\right) + B \cos\left(\frac{n\pi(z - \log(l))}{\log(r) - \log(l)}\right) \right]$$

In order to satisfy the boundary conditions listed above we need B = 0. The constant A will be determined by the normalization of  $\psi_n$ , so we will leave it off until the end.

For convenience, write,

$$\psi = \psi_n,$$
  $\gamma = \gamma_n,$   $k = \frac{n\pi}{\log(l/r)},$   $\cos(z') = \cos(k(z - \log l))$ 

We then have,

$$\partial_z \psi(z) = \gamma \psi + \exp(\gamma z) k \cos(z')$$

$$\partial_z^2 \psi(z) = \gamma^2 \psi + \gamma \exp(\gamma z) k \cos(z') + \gamma \exp(\gamma z) k \cos(z') - k^2 \psi$$

$$= \gamma^2 \psi + 2\gamma \exp(\gamma z) k \cos(z') - k^2 \psi$$

We seek  $\gamma$  such that  $A_z\psi = \lambda\psi$  for some constant  $\lambda$ . That is, in our expression of  $A_z\psi$  we require the terms not containing a  $\psi$  be zero. Thus,

$$0 = \left(\mu - \frac{\sigma^2}{2}\right) \exp(\gamma z) k \cos(z') + \left(\frac{\sigma^2}{2}\right) 2\gamma \exp(\gamma z) k \cos(z')$$
$$= \left[\left(\mu - \frac{\sigma^2}{2}\right) + \sigma^2 \gamma\right] \exp(\gamma z) \cos(z')$$

Suppose  $k \neq 0$  (i.e. that the solution is non-trivial). Since  $\exp(\gamma z)$  and  $\cos(z') \neq 0$  we have,

$$0 = \left(\mu - \frac{\sigma^2}{2}\right) + \sigma^2 \gamma$$

Solving for  $\gamma$  we have,

$$\gamma = \frac{1}{2} - \frac{\mu}{\sigma^2}$$

The eigenvalues are,

$$\lambda_n = \left(\mu - \frac{\sigma^2}{2}\right)\gamma + \left(\frac{\sigma^2}{2}\right)\left(\gamma^2 - k^2\right) = -\frac{\sigma^2}{2}[k^2 + \gamma^2]$$

Transforming back to x we have,  $\hat{\Psi}_n(x) = \psi_n(\log(x))$  satisfies,

$$\mathcal{A}\hat{\Psi}_n(x) = \lambda_n \hat{\Psi}_n(x),$$
 
$$\mathcal{A} = \mu x \partial_x + \frac{1}{2}\sigma^2 x^2 \partial_x^2$$

Define,

$$m(y) = \frac{2}{\sigma^2 y^2} \exp\left(\int dy \frac{2\mu y}{\sigma^2 y^2}\right) = \frac{2}{\sigma^2 y^2} \exp\left(\frac{2\mu}{\sigma^2} \log(y)\right) = \frac{2}{\sigma^2} y^{2\mu/\sigma^2 - 2} = \frac{2}{\sigma^2} y^{-2\gamma - 1}$$

It is clear that the  $\hat{\Psi}_n$  are orthogonal (properties of sines). We compute,

$$\langle \hat{\Psi}_n(x), \hat{\Psi}_n(x) \rangle_m = \int_l^r \Psi_n(x)^2 m(x) dx = \log(r/l) / \sigma^2$$

We then satisfy  $\langle \Psi_k, \Psi_l \rangle_m = \delta_{kl}$  by defining,

$$\Psi_n(x) = \frac{\hat{\Psi}_n(x)}{\sqrt{\langle \Psi_n(x), \Psi_n(x) \rangle_m}}$$

Explicitly,

$$\Psi_n(x) = \frac{\sigma}{\sqrt{\log(r/l)}} x^{\gamma} \sin(k(z - \log l)) = \frac{\sigma}{\sqrt{\log(r/l)}} x^{1/2 - \mu/\sigma^2} \sin\left(n\pi \frac{\log(x/l)}{\log(r/l)}\right)$$

Finally,

$$\Gamma(t, x; T, y) = m(y) \sum_{n} \exp((T - t)\lambda_n) \Psi_n(x) \Psi_n(y)$$

Explicitly,

$$\Gamma(t, x; T, y) = \frac{2}{\log(r/l)} \left(\frac{x}{y}\right)^{1/2 - \mu/\sigma^2} y^{-1} \sum_{n} \exp((T - t)\lambda_n) \sin\left(n\pi \frac{\log(x/l)}{\log(r/l)}\right) \sin\left(n\pi \frac{\log(y/l)}{\log(r/l)}\right)$$

Since the  $\Psi_n$  are normalized then  $\Gamma$  is normalized.

We verify in Mathematica that  $\Gamma$  satisfies both the KFE and KBE.

## Exercise 9.6

Consider a two-dimensional diffusion processes  $X = (X_t)_{t \geq 0}$  and  $Y = (Y_t)_{t \geq 0}$  that satisfy the SDEs

$$dX_t = dW_t^1 dY_t = dW_t^2$$

where  $W_t^1$  and  $W_t^2$  are two independent Brownian motions. Define a function u as follows

$$u(x,y) = \mathbb{E}\left[\phi(X_{\tau})|X_t = x, Y_t = y\right], \qquad \tau = \inf\{s \ge t : Y_s = a\}$$

- 1. State a PDE and boundary conditions satisfied by the function u.
- 2. Let us define the Fourier transform and and inverse Fourier transform, respectively, as follows

Fourier Transform: 
$$\hat{f}(\omega) := \int e^{-i\omega x} f(x) \mathrm{d}x$$
 Inverse Transform: 
$$f(x) := \frac{1}{2\pi} \int e^{i\omega x} \hat{f}(\omega) \mathrm{d}\omega$$

Use Fourier transforms and a conditioning argument to derive an expression for u(x,y) as an inverse Fourier transform. Use this result to derive an explicit form for  $\mathbb{P}(X_{\tau} \in dz | X_t = x, Y_t = y)$  (i.e., an expression involving no integrals).

3. Show the expression you derived in part 2 for u(x, y) satisfies the PDE and BCs you stated in part 1.

### Solution

1. Since there are no dt terms in either Brownian motion, and since the coefficient in both of the  $dW_t$  term is 1 we have, generator,

$$\mathcal{A} = \frac{1}{2}\partial_x^2 + \frac{1}{2}\partial_y^2$$

The PDE satisfied by u is,

$$\mathcal{A}u = \left(\frac{1}{2}\partial_x^2 + \frac{1}{2}\partial_y^2\right)u = 0 \qquad \iff \left(\partial_x^2 + \partial_y^2\right)u = 0$$

If y = a then  $\tau = t$  so  $X_{\tau} = x$ . We therefore have boundary condition,

$$u(x,a) = \phi(x)$$

2. Given starting position (x, y) at time t, and time  $\tau$ , from the notes we know  $X_{\tau}$  is normally distributed with mean x and variance  $\tau - t$  by the independent increments property of Brownian motion. We know the characteristic function of a normally distributed random variable with distribution  $\mathcal{N}(\mu, \sigma^2)$  is  $e^{i\omega x - \sigma^2 \omega^2/2}$ . Therefore,

$$\mathbb{E}\left[e^{i\omega X_{\tau}}\middle|\tau,X_{t}=x,Y_{t}=y\right]=e^{i\omega x-(\tau-t)\omega^{2}/2}$$

Thus, using iterated conditioning.

$$\begin{split} \mathbb{E}\left[e^{i\omega X_{\tau}}|X_t=x,Y_t=y\right] &= \mathbb{E}\left[\mathbb{E}[e^{i\omega X_{\tau}}|\tau,X_t=x,Y_t=y]|X_t=x,Y_t=y\right] \\ &= \mathbb{E}\left[e^{i\omega x - (\tau-t)\omega^2/2}|X_t=x,Y_t=y\right] \\ &= e^{i\omega x}\mathbb{E}\left[e^{-(\tau-t)\omega^2/2}|X_t=x,Y_t=y\right] \end{split}$$

We have previously shown that the first hitting time of a Brownian motion  $\tau_m$  satisfies,

$$\mathbb{E}\left[e^{-\lambda\tau_m}\right] = e^{-|m|\sqrt{2\lambda}}$$

where  $\tau_m = \inf\{t \ge 0 : W_t = m\}$  and  $W_0 = 0$ .

Since we start at position y at time t (rather that position 0 and time 0 as above), we know that,

$$\mathbb{E}\left[e^{-(\omega^2/2)(\tau-t)}|X_t=x,Y_t=y\right]=e^{-|a-y||\omega|}$$

Therefore,

$$\mathbb{E}\left[e^{i\omega X_{\tau}}|X_{t}=x,Y_{t}=y\right]=e^{-|a-y||\omega|}$$

Write,

$$\phi(x) = \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{\mathbb{R}} e^{i\omega x} \hat{\phi}(\omega) d\omega$$

Then,

$$u(x,y) = \mathbb{E}[\phi(X_{\tau})|X_t = x, Y_t = y] = \mathbb{E}\left[\frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{\mathbb{R}} e^{i\omega X_{\tau}} \hat{\phi}(\omega) d\omega \middle| X_t = x, Y_t = y\right]$$

Now, bringing the expectation through the integral, and applying the above result,

$$\mathbb{E}\left[\frac{1}{2\pi}\int_{\mathbb{R}}e^{i\omega X_{\tau}}\hat{\phi}(\omega)\mathrm{d}\omega\Big|X_{t}=x,Y_{t}=y\right] = \frac{1}{2\pi}\int_{\mathbb{R}}\hat{\phi}(\omega)\mathbb{E}\left[e^{i\omega X_{\tau}}|X_{t}=x,Y_{t}=y\right]\mathrm{d}\omega$$
$$=\frac{1}{2\pi}\int_{\mathbb{R}}\hat{\phi}(\omega)e^{-|a-y||\omega|}e^{i\omega x}\mathrm{d}\omega$$

First recall,  $\mathbb{E}[\phi(X)] = \int \phi(x) f_X(x) dx$  and  $\mathbb{P}(X \in dz) = f_X(z) dz$ . Then, taking  $\phi(x) = \mathbb{1}_{\{x \in dz\}}$  means  $\mathbb{E}[\phi(X)] = f_X(z) dz = \mathbb{P}(X \in dz)$ . Therefore,

$$u(x,y) = \mathbb{E}[\mathbb{1}_{\{X_{\tau} \in dz\}} | X_t = x, Y_t = y] = \mathbb{P}(X_{\tau} \in dz | X_t = x, Y_t = y)$$

In this case,

$$\hat{\phi}(\omega) = \int_{\mathbb{R}} e^{-i\omega x} \mathbb{1}_{\{x \in dz\}} dx = e^{-i\omega z} dz$$

Thus, computing this integral by splitting it at 0,

$$u(x,y) = \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{\mathbb{R}} e^{-i\omega z} dz e^{-|a-y||\omega|} e^{i\omega x} d\omega$$
$$= \frac{1}{2\pi} \left[ \frac{2|a-y|}{(a-y)^2 + (x-z)^2} \right] dz$$
$$= \frac{1}{\pi} \left[ \frac{|y-a|}{(y-a)^2 + (x-z)^2} \right] dz$$

3. First observe,

$$u(x,a) = \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{\mathbb{R}} \hat{\phi}(\omega) e^{-|a-a|} |\omega| e^{i\omega x} d\omega = \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{\mathbb{R}} \hat{\phi}(\omega) e^{i\omega x} d\omega = \phi(x)$$

Define,

$$c = \begin{cases} 1 & y \ge a \\ -1 & y < a \end{cases}$$

Now observe,

$$\partial_x^2 u(x,y) = \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{\mathbb{R}} \hat{\phi}(\omega) e^{-c(y-a)|\omega|} \partial_x^2 e^{i\omega x} d\omega = \frac{(i^2 \omega^2)}{2\pi} \int_{\mathbb{R}} \hat{\phi}(\omega) e^{-c(y-a)|\omega|} e^{i\omega x} d\omega$$

Then,

$$\partial_y^2 u(x,y) = \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{\mathbb{R}} \hat{\phi}(\omega) \partial_y^2 e^{-c(y-a)|\omega|} e^{i\omega x} d\omega = \frac{c^2 \omega^2}{2\pi} \int_{\mathbb{R}} \hat{\phi}(\omega) e^{-c(y-a)|\omega|} e^{i\omega x} d\omega$$

Thus, since  $i^2 = -1$  and  $c^2 = 1$ ,

$$(\partial_x^2 + \partial_y^2)u(x,y) = 0$$

Note there is probably some issue with the partial derivative with respect to y at y = a, since |y - a| is not differentiable at this point.

Therefore  $u(x,y) = \mathbb{E}[\phi(X_{\tau})|X_t = x, Y_t = y]$  satisfies the PDE from 1.