Checkmatr Written Report

Andrew Mascillaro, Nabih Estefan

Overview

Chess has simple rules, but the game space is extremely large. With the strength of our current computers, we aren't even close to solving the game of chess by brute force. As a fallback, engines use a heuristic to try to find the best moves for a given player, which basically traverses a "game tree" (tree of possible moves for both players) and quantitatively evaluates the resulting position. This project primarily focuses on how to efficiently traverse such a game tree and create an effective heuristic to maximize the strength of a chess engine. To test the engine's strength, we have scripts that allow the engine to play agains humans or Stockfish (a top open source chess engine) at different rating levels. Our engine outperformed our expectations, and has won games against the 2200 rated Stockfish engine, which basically means this engine is strong enough to be a titled player in the chess world.

Background Information

Game Tree Traversal

Minimax

The most basic tree traversal is a minimax algorithm. This algorithm assumes that white and black will play their optimal moves and tries to find the most favorable move *assuming that both players play perfectly.* Essentially it is a depth first search at a fixed depth, and once that depth is reached, a static evaluation of the position is calculated. More information can be found **here**. While the space complexity of this is negligible, the time complexity is m^p, where each player has roughly m moves per ply p (a ply is half of a move or just one turn for one player). The proof for this is explained in the linked research.

Alpha Beta Pruning

A standard minimax traversal can be very slow, so shortcuts are very useful. Alpha beta pruning stops traversing a portion of the game tree that is already known to be bad. For example, if white makes a move that is pretty good, then it doesn't have to go into detail analyzing lines where it loses a piece because

that move is already proven inferior. By skipping these inferior lines, the new average runtime is estimated to be $\sqrt{m^p}$, which can be seen in **this research**.

ProbCut

This algorithm takes Alpha Beta pruning to the next level by avoiding portions of the gamespace that "probably" won't be the best line. For example, if a good move has already been found and the current move being observed seems worse *but isn't proven to be worse than other moves*, ProbCut can still choose to stop checking that move. This makes ProbCut a lot faster, but when it's done at the same depth as Alpha Beta pruning, it may perform slightly worse. The benefit, though, is that faster algorithms can go much deeper in their searches in the same amount of time, so a faster search (even if it's less accurate) can actually be a lot better. More info about the ProbCut algorithm can be found **here**.

Positional and Material Heuristic

Endgame Tablebases as a Heuristic

Our Implementation

Our project involved creating a python script that plays chess against itself, Stockfish, and human opponents. We created a custom class for storing a board and its heuristic updated in real time, as well as an engine class that can traverse the tree using any implemented algorithm at a custom depth.

What we can do

We built an algorithm that uses minimax and another algorithm that uses alpha beta pruning for move prediction. A minimax approach of depth 3 is as fast as an alpha-beta approach of depth 4, which shows how our alpha-beta approach is significantly faster.

We have a heuristic that constantly updates when a new move is made. Because our heuristic is purely focused on material and positioning of pieces, this allows us to use the existing heuristic and only change the moved piece's evaluation when updating the new heuristic. This saves the algorithm a lot of time.

Our algorithm has played a variety of games, many of which are stored in the `games/` folder of the project repostory as PGN files. It has beat Stockfish in a variety of games as shown in ~~results~~.