Sprint Retrospective Report

ACTIONABLE ITEMS

- Clear standards were set for naming of new files. All must be named using camel case.
- No special characters should be used in git commit names as it caused issues in Sprint1.
- React files should all use the same structure for components to create a unified application and ease testing.
- GitHub issues must be used in place of Trello to allow us to better keep track of tasks and who is completing them.
- Clear standard set for UI by adhering to our initial design and client comments.
- More clear roles were defined; each task was broken down and assigned to only 1 person in the sprint backlog to allow for clear boundaries to be set.
- Discussion was had with the team about the standard of communication and how it must be improved upon for Sprint 2 in order to allow for things to run more smoothly.
- In every scrum meeting, we must share what we are struggling with and ask what we can do to support each other through the process.
- Documentation must be a primary focus and should be updated on the github daily.

REFLECTION

The standards for GitHub have been improved upon since the last sprint, with the team making good use of issues and project logs. The naming standards for files was adhered to, and although we felt there were some discrepancies within the commit naming scheme, effort was still made in order to make it readable and unified.

The React files had a better overall consistency to the layout and implementation of components, allowing all of us to gain a better understanding of how it operates, and therefore contribute more to the code.

We adhered to our initial design document to implement a 1-page search and we feel we met the requirements discussed with the client in our Sprint 1 review.

The team was better at communicating for Sprint 2, with scrum meetings having more of an emphasis upon how we can support each other with the tasks of the day and the impediments we were facing. However, there is room for improvement for communication between members when we were working on the project during the day, differing skills and the different roles taken on by members often left a gap in communication which was hard to bridge due to the pace needed to complete the work .

Documentation was improved upon from Sprint 1, with a new and updated backlog being uploaded to Git each day and each sprint being fully documented. We took onboard the feedback from the last sprint and split up tasks individually and broke them down in to more concise duties.