Feedback — Quiz 4 **Please Note: No Grace Period** Help

Thank you. Your submission for this quiz was received.

You submitted this quiz on **Sun 30 Nov 2014 2:28 PM PST**. You got a score of **6.00** out of **6.00**.

Question 1

Consider the space shuttle data `?shuttle` in the `MASS` library. Consider modeling the use of the autolander as the outcome (variable name `use`). Fit a logistic regression model with autolander (variable auto) use (labeled as "auto" 1) versus not (0) as predicted by wind sign (variable wind). Give the estimated odds ratio for autolander use comparing head winds, labeled as "head" in the variable headwind (numerator) to tail winds (denominator).

Your Answer		Score	Explanation
1.327			
-0.031			
0.031			
0.969	✔	1.00	
Total		1.00 / 1.00	

Question Explanation

```
library(MASS)
data(shuttle)
## Make our own variables just for illustration
shuttle$auto <- 1 * (shuttle$use == "auto")
shuttle$headwind <- 1 * (shuttle$wind == "head")
fit <- glm(auto ~ headwind, data = shuttle, family = binomial)
exp(coef(fit))
```

```
## (Intercept)    headwind
##      1.3273      0.9687
```

```
## Another way without redifing variables
fit <- glm(relevel(use, "noauto") ~ relevel(wind, "tail"), data = shuttle, famil
y = binomial)
exp(coef(fit))
```

```
##                (Intercept) relevel(wind, "tail")head
##                     1.3273                    0.9687
```

Question 2

Consider the previous problem. Give the estimated odds ratio for autolander use comparing head winds (numerator) to tail winds (denominator) adjusting for wind strength from the variable magn.

Your Answer		Score	Explanation
1.00			
1.485			
0.969	✔	1.00	
0.684			
Total		1.00 / 1.00	

Question Explanation

The estimate doesn't change with the inclusion of wind strength

```
shuttle$auto <- 1 * (shuttle$use == "auto")
shuttle$headwind <- 1 * (shuttle$wind == "head")
fit <- glm(auto ~ headwind + magn, data = shuttle, family = binomial)
exp(coef(fit))
```

```
## (Intercept)    headwind  magnMedium     magnOut  magnStrong
```

```
##      1.4852      0.9685      1.0000      0.6842      0.9376
```

```
## Another way without redifing variables
fit <- glm(relevel(use, "noauto") ~ relevel(wind, "tail") + magn, data = shuttle
,
    family = binomial)
exp(coef(fit))
```

```
##            (Intercept) relevel(wind, "tail")head
##                 1.4852                    0.9685
##             magnMedium                   magnOut
##                 1.0000                    0.6842
##             magnStrong
##                 0.9376
```

Question 3

If you fit a logistic regression model to a binary variable, for example use of the autolander, then fit a logistic regression model for one minus the outcome (not using the autolander) what happens to the coefficients?

Your Answer		Score	Explanation
The coefficients get inverted (one over their previous value).			
The coefficients reverse their signs.	✔	1.00	
The intercept changes sign, but the other coefficients don't.			
The coefficients change in a non-linear fashion.			
Total		1.00 / 1.00	

Question Explanation

Remember that the coefficients are on the log scale. So changing the sign changes the numerator and denominator for the exponent.

Question 4

Consider the insect spray data `InsectSprays`. Fit a Poisson model using spray as a factor level. Report the estimated relative rate comapring spray A (numerator) to spray B (denominator).

Your Answer		Score	Explanation
0.321			
0.9457	✔	1.00	
0.136			
-0.056			
Total		1.00 / 1.00	

Question Explanation

```
fit <- glm(count ~ relevel(spray, "B"), data = InsectSprays, family = poisson)
exp(coef(fit))[2]
```

```
## relevel(spray, "B")A
##               0.9457
```

Question 5

Consider a Poisson glm with an offset, t. So, for example, a model of the form `glm(count ~ x + offset(t), family = poisson)` where `x` is a factor variable comparing a treatment (1) to a control (0) and `t` is the natural log of a monitoring time. What is impact of the coefficient for `x` if we fit the model `glm(count ~ x + offset(t2), family = poisson)` where `t2 <- log(10) + t`? In other words, what happens to the coefficients if we change the units of the offset variable. (Note, adding log(10) on the log scale is multiplying by 10 on the original scale.)

Your Answer		Score	Explanation
The coefficient estimate is multiplied by 10.			
The coefficient is subtracted by log(10).			
The coefficient estimate is unchanged	✔	1.00	
The coefficient estimate is divided by 10.			
Total		1.00 / 1.00	

Question Explanation

Note, the coefficients are unchanged, except the intercept, which is shifted by log(10). Recall that, except the intercept, all of the coefficients are interpretted as log relative rates when holding the other variables or offset constant. Thus, a unit change in the offset would cancel out. This is not true of the intercept, which is interperted as the log rate (not relative rate) with all of the covariates set to 0.

Question 6

Consider the data

```
x <- -5:5
y <- c(5.12, 3.93, 2.67, 1.87, 0.52, 0.08, 0.93, 2.05, 2.54, 3.87, 4.97)
```

Using a knot point at 0, fit a linear model that looks like a hockey stick with two lines meeting at x=0. Include an intercept term, x and the knot point term. What is the estimated slope of the line after 0?

Your Answer		Score	Explanation
1.013	✔	1.00	
2.037			

- -1.024
- -0.183

Total	1.00 / 1.00

Question Explanation

```
z <- (x > 0) * x
fit <- lm(y ~ x + z)
sum(coef(fit)[2:3])
```

```
## [1] 1.013
```