

From step (1) to step (2) we applied the previous transformation:

$$\begin{aligned}\mathbb{E}_{q_\psi(\mathbf{z}|x^l)}[f(\mathbf{z})] &= \mathbb{E}_{q_\psi(\mathbf{z}|\mathbf{x})}[\lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \hat{f}_k(\mathbf{z})] \\ &= \lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \mathbb{E}_{q_\psi(\mathbf{z}|\mathbf{x})}[\hat{f}_k(\mathbf{z})] \tag{1}\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}&= \lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \mathbb{E}_{p(\epsilon)}[\hat{f}_k(g(\epsilon, x^l; \psi))] \tag{2} \\ &= \mathbb{E}_{p(\epsilon)}[\lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \hat{f}_k(g(\epsilon, x^l; \psi))] \\ &= \mathbb{E}_{p(\epsilon)}[f(g(\epsilon, x^l; \psi))]\end{aligned}$$

As you can see so far we didn't need g to be a bijection. But in practice we want to explicitly calculate the inverse.