## 1 Structure of the integrals

The general structure of the quantities to be computed in APFEL is the combination of terms I that have the form of Mellin convolutions between an operator O and distribution function d, that is:

$$I(x) = x \int_0^1 dz \int_0^1 dy \, O(z) d(y) \delta(x - yz) = x \int_x^1 \frac{dz}{z} O\left(\frac{x}{z}\right) d(z) = x \int_x^1 \frac{dz}{z} O(z) d\left(\frac{x}{z}\right). \tag{1.1}$$

However, very often, typically in the presence of mass effects, the integration phase space is modified and the convolution in eq. (1.1), limiting ourselves to the leftmost identity, is generalised as:

$$I(x,\eta) = x \int_{x/\eta}^{1} \frac{dz}{z} O(z,\eta) d\left(\frac{x}{\eta z}\right). \tag{1.2}$$

where  $\eta \leq 1$ , with  $\eta = 1$  reproducing eq. (1.1). However, for purposes that will become clear later, we want to write the integral in eq. (1.2) in the form of eq. (1.1), that is in such a way that lower bound of the integral is not the rescaled variable  $x/\eta$  but the physical Bjorken x. To do so, one needs to perform the change of variable  $y = \eta z$ , so that:

$$I(x,\eta) = \int_{x}^{\eta} dy \, O\left(\frac{y}{\eta}, \eta\right) \, \frac{x}{y} d\left(\frac{x}{y}\right) \,. \tag{1.3}$$

In order to precompute the expensive part of the integral in eq. (1.5), we use the standard interpolation formula to the distribution d:

$$\frac{x}{y}d\left(\frac{x}{y}\right) = \sum_{\alpha=0}^{N_x} x_{\alpha}d(x_{\alpha})w_{\alpha}^{(k)}\left(\frac{x}{y}\right),\tag{1.4}$$

where  $\alpha$  runs over the node of a give grid in x space and the weights  $w_{\alpha}$  are typically polynomials of degree k (i.e. Laplace interpolants). Now we use eq. (1.4) in eq. (1.5) and at the same time we limit the computation of the integral I to the point  $x_{\beta}$  of the grid used in eq. (1.4). This way we get:

$$I(x_{\beta}, \eta) = \sum_{\alpha=0}^{N_x} \overline{d}_{\alpha} \int_{x_{\beta}}^{\eta} dy \, O\left(\frac{y}{\eta}, \eta\right) \, w_{\alpha}^{(k)}\left(\frac{x_{\beta}}{y}\right) \,. \tag{1.5}$$

where we have defined  $\overline{d}_{\alpha} = x_{\alpha}d(x_{\alpha})$ . In the particular case of the Laplace interpolants (in the APFEL procedure), one can show that:

$$w_{\alpha}^{(k)} \left( \frac{x_{\beta}}{y} \right) \neq 0 \quad \text{for} \quad c < y < d,$$
 (1.6)

with:

$$c = \max(x_{\beta}, x_{\beta}/x_{\alpha+1})$$
 and  $d = \min(\eta, x_{\beta}/x_{\alpha-k}),$  (1.7)

and thus eq. (1.5) can be adjusted as:

$$I(x_{\beta}, \eta) = \sum_{\alpha=0}^{N_x} \overline{d}_{\alpha} \int_c^d dy \, O\left(\frac{y}{\eta}, \eta\right) \, w_{\alpha}^{(k)}\left(\frac{x_{\beta}}{y}\right) \,. \tag{1.8}$$

Finally, we change back the integration variable  $z = y/\eta$  so that eq. (1.8) becomes:

$$I(x_{\beta}, \eta) = \sum_{\alpha=0}^{N_x} \overline{d}_{\alpha} \left[ \eta \int_{c/\eta}^{d/\eta} dz \, O(z, \eta) \, w_{\alpha}^{(k)} \left( \frac{x_{\beta}}{\eta z} \right) \right]. \tag{1.9}$$

The quantity in squared brackets is the interesting bit.

The expressions for the operator O that we have to deal with have this general form:

$$O(z,\eta) = R(z,\eta) + \sum_{i=0}^{n} \left[ \frac{\ln^{i}(1-z)}{1-z} \right]_{+} S^{(i)}(z,\eta) + L(\eta)\delta(1-z), \qquad (1.10)$$

where R and  $S^{(i)}$  is a regular function in z=1, that is:

$$R(1,\eta) = \lim_{z \to 1} R(z,\eta) = K(\eta) \quad \text{and} \quad S^{(i)}(1,\eta) = \lim_{z \to 1} S^{(i)}(z,\eta) = J^{(i)}(\eta),$$
(1.11)

being K,  $J^{(i)}$ , and L a finite function of  $\eta$ . Notice that the sum over i in eq. (1.10) is between zero and n where n is typically not bigger than two as it depends on the perturbative order of the expressions. Plugging eq. (1.10) into the definition of  $\Gamma_{\alpha\beta}$  in eq. (1.9) and making use of the definition of plus-prescription, we obtain:

$$\Gamma_{\beta\alpha} = \eta \int_{c/\eta}^{d/\eta} dz \left\{ R(z,\eta) \, w_{\alpha}^{(k)} \left( \frac{x_{\beta}}{\eta z} \right) + \sum_{i=0}^{n} \frac{\ln^{i}(1-z)}{1-z} \left[ S^{(i)}(z,\eta) \, w_{\alpha}^{(k)} \left( \frac{x_{\beta}}{\eta z} \right) - S^{(i)}(1,\eta) w_{\alpha}^{(k)} \left( \frac{x_{\beta}}{\eta} \right) \right] \right\} 
+ \eta \left[ \sum_{i=0}^{n} \frac{1}{(i+1)!} S^{(i)}(1,\eta) \ln^{i+1} \left( 1 - \frac{c}{\eta} \right) + L(\eta) \right] w_{\alpha}^{(k)} \left( \frac{x_{\beta}}{\eta} \right) .$$
(1.12)

Eq. (1.12) expresses the full complexity of the task. However, there are a few remarks that apply is some particular cases and that reduce the complexity. In the case of the  $(\overline{\text{MS}})$  splitting functions, there are two main simplications: the first is that  $\eta = 1$ , the second is that n = 0 in the sums. Considering that:

$$w_{\alpha}^{(k)}(x_{\beta}) = \delta_{\alpha\beta} \,, \tag{1.13}$$

and that the expressions can be manipulated in such a way that the coefficient of the plus-prescripted term S is a constant, we have that:

$$\Gamma_{\beta\alpha} = \int_{c}^{d} dz \left\{ R(z) w_{\alpha}^{(k)} \left( \frac{x_{\beta}}{z} \right) + \frac{S}{1-z} \left[ w_{\alpha}^{(k)} \left( \frac{x_{\beta}}{z} \right) - \delta_{\alpha\beta} \right] \right\} + \left[ S \ln \left( 1 - c \right) + L \right] \delta_{\alpha\beta}. \tag{1.14}$$

The same kind of simplifications apply to the case of the Zero-Mass (ZM) coefficient functions with the only exception that the sum over i extends to n's larger than zero. In particular, since we will use exact expressions only up to  $\mathcal{O}(\alpha_s)$ , i.e. NLO, we have n=1 so that:

$$\Gamma_{\beta\alpha} = \int_{c}^{d} dz \left\{ R(z) w_{\alpha}^{(k)} \left( \frac{x_{\beta}}{z} \right) + \frac{S^{(0)} + S^{(1)} \ln(1-z)}{1-z} \left[ w_{\alpha}^{(k)} \left( \frac{x_{\beta}}{z} \right) - \delta_{\alpha\beta} \right] \right\} 
+ \left[ S^{(0)} \ln(1-c) + \frac{1}{2} S^{(1)} \ln^{2} (1-c) + L \right] \delta_{\alpha\beta}.$$
(1.15)

As far as the massive coefficient functions up to  $\mathcal{O}(\alpha_s^2)$  things can be more complicated and we will discuss later. In the next section we will consider the case of hadronic observables in the ZM scheme showing how to pre-compute the integral for the double-differential distributions in Drell-Yan production.