MATH 301

INTRODUCTION TO PROOFS

Sina Hazratpour
Johns Hopkins University
Fall 2021

- Relations
- Functions

Relevant sections of the textbook

- Chapter 3
- Chapter 5

Associated directed graph of a relation

Suppose a set A comes equipped with a relation R. We can associate a directed graph (aka a digraph) with vertex set A and with an ordered pair $(a, b) \in A \times A$ being an edge precisely when aRb.

Associated directed graph of a relation

Suppose a set A comes equipped with a relation R. We can associate a directed graph (aka a digraph) with vertex set A and with an ordered pair $(a, b) \in A \times A$ being an edge precisely when aRb.

Exercise

Express the conditions of reflexivity, transitivity, symmetry, antisymmetry, and totality in terms of familiar connectivity conditions on the associated graph.

Exercise

If the following graphs are the associated graphs of certain relations, what facts about those relations can we infer?







Exercise (Partial order on a power set)

There is a partial order on a power set $\mathcal{P}(X)$ of a set X given by the subset relation:
Check that all the axioms of partial order are satisfied.
Show that this partial order is not total.

$$
\begin{tikzcd}
 & \{x,y,z\} & \\
\{x,y\} \arrow[ur] & \{x,z\} \arrow[u] & \{y,z\} \arrow[ul] \\
\{x\} \arrow[u] \arrow[ur, dotted] & \{y\} \arrow[ul] \arrow[ur] & \{z\} \arrow[u] \arrow[ul, dotted] \\
 & \emptyset \arrow[ul] \arrow[u] \arrow[ur] &
\end{tikzcd}
$$

In fact we can recover the partial order of $\mathcal{P}(X)$ simply from the intersection (or equivalently the union) operation.

In fact we can recover the partial order of $\mathcal{P}(X)$ simply from the intersection (or equivalently the union) operation.

For subsets A, B of X, define

$$A \leqslant B \iff A \cap B = A$$

In fact we can recover the partial order of $\mathcal{P}(X)$ simply from the intersection (or equivalently the union) operation.

For subsets A, B of X, define

$$A \leqslant B \iff A \cap B = A$$

Exercise

Show that $\leqslant$ is a partial order relation, and it agrees with the subset relation.

Definition

A non-empty partially ordered set $(S, \leqslant)$ *is* *filtered* *(or is said to be a filtered set) if for each* $a, b \in S$*, there is a element* c *such that* $a \leqslant c$ *and* $b \leqslant c$*.*

Definition

A non-empty partially ordered set $(S, \leqslant)$ *is filtered (or is said to be a filtered set) if for each* $a, b \in S$, *there is a element* c *such that* $a \leqslant c$ *and* $b \leqslant c$.

Remark

Every total order is a filtered.

Definition

A non-empty partially ordered set $(S, \leqslant)$ *is* *filtered* *(or is said to be a filtered set) if for each* $a, b \in S$, *there is a element* c *such that* $a \leqslant c$ *and* $b \leqslant c$.

Remark

Every total order is a filtered.

Example

The powerset $\mathcal{P}(X)$ *with the subset relation is filtered.*

Exercise

Show that for a poset P *the set of filtered subsets of* P *is again filtered.*

Minimum and maximum

Definition

We say an element a of a poset P is a minimum (aka a least element) for P if it is less than or equal to any other element, that is

$$\forall x \in P \; (a \leqslant x)$$

Minimum and maximum

Definition

We say an element a of a poset P is a minimum *(aka a least element) for P if it is less than or equal to any other element, that is*

$$\forall x \in P \; (a \leqslant x)$$

Dually, we say an element a of a poset P is a maximum *(aka a greatest element) for P if it is greater than or equal to any other element, that is*

$$\forall x \in P \; (x \leqslant a)$$

Example

- *In* $(\mathbb{N}, \leqslant)$, 0 *is a minimum; there is no maximum.*
- *Let* $n \in \mathbb{N}$ *with* $n > 0$. *Then* $\underline{0}$ *is a least element of* $(\underline{n}, \leqslant)$, *and* $\underline{n-1}$ *is a greatest element.*
- $(\mathbb{Z}, \leqslant)$ *has no maximum or minimum.*
- *The interval* $((0, 1], \leqslant)$ *has a maximum but not a minimum.*

Definition

We say that an element is minimal for a partial order if no element is less than it. Dually, we say that an element is maximal for a partial order if no element is greater than it.

Definition

We say that an element is minimal for a partial order if no element is less than it. Dually, we say that an element is maximal for a partial order if no element is greater than it.

Example

Recall for a set X, we formed the set of all inhabited subsets of X as follows

$$\mathcal{P}^+(X) =_{\text{def}} \mathcal{P}(X) \setminus \{\emptyset\}$$

$(\mathcal{P}^+(X), \subseteq)$ *is again a poset where the order is given by given by the subset relation. In this poset, every singleton is minimal but not a minimum if X has more than one element. The maximal element X is also a maximum.*

Proposition

In every poset any maximum (resp. minimum) is a maximal (resp. minimal) element.

Our logical idea of function

A function f from a set X to a set Y is a specification of a unique element $f(x) \in Y$ for each $x \in X$.

Our logical idea of function

A function f from a set X to a set Y is a specification of a unique element $f(x) \in Y$ for each $x \in X$. We write $f: X \to Y$ to denote the assertion that f is a function with domain X and codomain Y.

To describe a particular function, one must specify

- its domain,
- its codomain, and
- the effect of function upon a typical (“variable”) element of its domain.

Our logical idea of function

A function f from a set X to a set Y is a specification of a unique element $f(x) \in Y$ for each $x \in X$. We write $f\colon X \to Y$ to denote the assertion that f is a function with domain X and codomain Y.

To describe a particular function, one must specify

- its domain,
- its codomain, and
- the effect of function upon a typical ("variable") element of its domain.

For instance the "squaring" function on the set of real numbers is specified in either of the following ways:

1. $f\colon \mathbb{R} \to \mathbb{R}$ where $f(x) = x^2$ for every real number x, or
2. $x \mapsto x^2\colon \mathbb{R} \to \mathbb{R}$,
3. $\lambda(x : \mathbb{R}).x^2\colon \mathbb{R} \to \mathbb{R}$.

How to define a function? (I)

The simplest way to define a function is to give its value at every x with an explicit well-defined expression.

Example

- *Let* $f : \mathbb{N} \to \mathbb{N}$ *be the function defined by* $f = \lambda(n : \mathbb{N}).n + 1$.
- *Let* $g : \mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R} \to \mathbb{R}$ *be the function defined by* $g(x, y) = x^2 + y^2$.
- *Let* $p : \mathbb{N} \to \mathbb{N}$ *be the function defined by* $p(n) =$ *the largest prime number less than or equal to* n.
- *The assignment to each real number the greatest integer less than or equal to it. We call this function the floor function. We denote this function by* $\lfloor - \rfloor : \mathbb{R} \to \mathbb{Z}$.
- *The assignment to each real number the least integer greater than or equal to it. We call this function the ceiling function. We denote this function by* $\lceil - \rceil : \mathbb{R} \to \mathbb{Z}$.

Some functions on power sets

Example

- $\lambda(x : X).\{x\} : X \to \mathcal{P}(X)$. *We sometimes denote this function by* $\{-\}$.
- $\lambda(A : \mathcal{P}\mathcal{P}(X)).\bigcup_{a \in A} a : \mathcal{P}(\mathcal{P}(X)) \to \mathcal{P}(X)$.

How to define a function? (II)

It is sometimes convenient to define a function using different specifications for different elements of the domain.

How to define a function? (II)

It is sometimes convenient to define a function using different specifications for different elements of the domain.

Example

The absolute value function $|-| : \mathbb{R} \to \mathbb{R}$*, defined for* $x \in \mathbb{R}$

$$|x| = \begin{cases} x & \text{if } x \geqslant 0 \\ -x & \text{if } x \leqslant 0 \end{cases}$$

How to define a function? (II)

It is sometimes convenient to define a function using different specifications for different elements of the domain.

Example

The absolute value function $|-| : \mathbb{R} \to \mathbb{R}$, *defined for* $x \in \mathbb{R}$

$$|x| = \begin{cases} x & \text{if } x \geqslant 0 \\ -x & \text{if } x \leqslant 0 \end{cases}$$

When specifying a function $f : X \to Y$ by cases, it is important that the conditions be:

How to define a function? (II)

It is sometimes convenient to define a function using different specifications for different elements of the domain.

Example

The absolute value function $|{-}| : \mathbb{R} \to \mathbb{R}$*, defined for* $x \in \mathbb{R}$

$$|x| = \begin{cases} x & \text{if } x \geqslant 0 \\ -x & \text{if } x \leqslant 0 \end{cases}$$

When specifying a function $f : X \to Y$ by cases, it is important that the conditions be:

- exhaustive: given $x \in X$, at least one of the conditions on X must hold; and
- compatible: if any $x \in X$ satisfies more than one condition, the specified value must be the same no matter which condition is picked.

Characteristic functions

Definition

Let X be a set and let $U \subseteq X$. The characteristic function of U in X is the function $\chi_U \colon X \to \{0, 1\}$ defined by

$$\chi_U(a) = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } a \in U \\ 0 & \text{if } a \notin U \end{cases}$$

Characteristic functions

Definition

Let X be a set and let $U \subseteq X$. The characteristic function of U in X is the function $\chi_U \colon X \to \{0, 1\}$ defined by

$$\chi_U(a) = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } a \in U \\ 0 & \text{if } a \notin U \end{cases}$$

Example

$\chi_E : \mathbb{N} \to \{0, 1\}$ *is the function defined by*

$$\chi_E(n) = \begin{cases} 0 & \textit{if } n \textit{ is even} \\ 1 & \textit{if } n \textit{ is odd.} \end{cases}$$

$\chi_{\mathbb{Q}} : \mathbb{R} \to \{0, 1\}$ *is the function defined by*

$$\chi_{\mathbb{Q}}(x) = \begin{cases} 0 & \textit{if } x \textit{ is rational} \\ 1 & \textit{if } x \textit{ is irrational.} \end{cases}$$

Example

$\chi_E : \mathbb{N} \to \{0, 1\}$ *is the function defined by*

$$\chi_E(n) = \begin{cases} 0 & \textit{if } n \textit{ is even} \\ 1 & \textit{if } n \textit{ is odd.} \end{cases}$$

$\chi_{\mathbb{Q}} : \mathbb{R} \to \{0, 1\}$ *is the function defined by*

$$\chi_{\mathbb{Q}}(x) = \begin{cases} 0 & \textit{if } x \textit{ is rational} \\ 1 & \textit{if } x \textit{ is irrational.} \end{cases}$$

Try to draw the graph of the second function, or at least try to imagine it in your mind.

Exercise

Show that

1. $\chi_{U \cap V} = \chi_U \chi_V$
2. $\chi_{U \cap V} = \chi_U + \chi_V - \chi_U \chi_V$
3. $\chi_{U^c} = 1 - \chi_U$

Our mechanistic idea of function



Functions as machines

We might think of a function as a *machine* which, when given an *input*, produces an *output*. This "machine" is defined by saying what the possible inputs and outputs are, and then providing a list of instructions (an *algorithm*) for the machine to follow, which on any input produces an output—and, moreover, if fed the same input, the machine always produces the same

Warning

Our algorithmic idea of function implies that functions are computable in some sense. Note that this idea is at odds with a view of functions as well-formed logical expressions.
For example, concerning the characteristic function $\chi_{\mathbb{Q}}$, it is not at all clear what it means to be presented with a real number as input, let alone whether it is possible to determine, algorithmically, whether such a number is rational or not.

It is much harder to make formal what is meant by an “algorithm”. This was first done by Alan Turing and Alonzo Church.

Equality of functions

Definition (function extensionality)

Functions $f\colon X \to Y$ and $g\colon X \to Y$ are equal if and only if the sentence

$$\forall x \in X \left(f(x) = g(x)\right)$$

is true.

Exercise

Show that for any set A there is a unique function $\emptyset \to A$.

Compositionality of functions

For any set X, we can define a function $\mathrm{id}\colon X \to X$ by letting $\mathrm{id}(x)$ to be the same as x.

Compositionality of functions

For any set X, we can define a function $\mathrm{id}\colon X \to X$ by letting $\mathrm{id}(x)$ to be the same as x. This function is called the identity function on X.

Compositionality of functions

For any set X, we can define a function $\mathrm{id}\colon X \to X$ by letting $\mathrm{id}(x)$ to be the same as x. This function is called the identity function on X.

More interestingly, let $f\colon X \to Y$ and $g\colon Y \to Z$ be functions. We can define a new function $k\colon X \to Z$ by letting

$$k(x) =_{\mathrm{def}} g(f(x))$$

Compositionality of functions

For any set X, we can define a function $\mathrm{id}\colon X \to X$ by letting $\mathrm{id}(x)$ to be the same as x. This function is called the identity function on X.

More interestingly, let $f\colon X \to Y$ and $g\colon Y \to Z$ be functions. We can define a new function $k\colon X \to Z$ by letting

$$k(x) =_{\mathrm{def}} g(f(x))$$

The function k is called the composition of f and g which we also call "f composed with g" (or "g after f") and which we denote by $g \circ f$.

Compositionality of functions

For any set X, we can define a function $\mathrm{id}\colon X \to X$ by letting $\mathrm{id}(x)$ to be the same as x. This function is called the identity function on X.

More interestingly, let $f\colon X \to Y$ and $g\colon Y \to Z$ be functions. We can define a new function $k\colon X \to Z$ by letting

$$k(x) =_{\mathrm{def}} g(f(x))$$

The function k is called the composition of f and g which we also call "f composed with g" (or "g after f") and which we denote by $g \circ f$.

$$\begin{tikzcd} & Y \arrow[dr, "g"] & \\ X \arrow[ur, "f"] \arrow[rr, "g\circ f"'] & & Z \end{tikzcd}$$



The order of composition

The order of composition is somewhat confusing; the syntactic order does not match the diagrammatic order. In the diagram above, f appears to the left of g while in the syntactic expression of composition $g \circ f$, the function f appears appears on the right.

Nevertheless, they both mean the same thing: in order to evaluate the expression $g(f(x))$ you first evaluate f on input x, and then evaluate g. The function g waits for the the result $f(x)$ of application of f to the input x and once that is available, g applies to the value $f(x)$.


X
Y
Z
f
g
y
g(y)
x
f(x)
g(f(x))



$$\lambda y.g(y) \circ \lambda x.f(x) = \lambda x.g[f(x)/y]$$



$$\lambda y.g(y) \circ \lambda x.f(x) = \lambda x.g\,[f(x)/y]$$

$$\lambda y.log_2 y \circ \lambda x.2^x = \lambda x.log_2 y\,[2^x/y] = log_2 2^x = x$$

The composition of function introduced above has two important properties:

unitality for any function $f\colon X \to Y$, we have $f \circ \mathrm{id}_X = f$ and $\mathrm{id}_Y \circ f = f$.

associativity for any functions $f\colon W \to X$, $g\colon X \to Y$ and $h\colon Y \to Z$, we have

$$h \circ (g \circ f) = (h \circ g) \circ f\,.$$

Constant functions

Definition

We say a function $f\colon X \to Y$ is constant if for all $x, x' \in X$ we have $f(x) = f(x')$.

Constant functions

Definition

We say a function $f\colon X \to Y$ *is constant if for all* $x, x' \in X$ *we have* $f(x) = f(x')$.

Exercise

Show that the identity function $\mathrm{id}\colon \emptyset \to \emptyset$ *is constant.*

Constant functions

Definition

We say a function $f\colon X \to Y$ *is constant if for all* $x, x' \in X$ *we have* $f(x) = f(x')$.

Exercise

Show that the identity function $\mathrm{id}\colon \emptyset \to \emptyset$ *is constant.*

Exercise

Suppose $f\colon X \to Y$ *and* $g\colon Y \to Z$ *are functions. Show that if either* f *or* g *is constant then the composition* $g \circ f$ *is constant.*

Commuting diagrams of functions

We say a square

$$\begin{tikzcd} A \arrow[r, "f"] & B \arrow[d, "g"] \\ C \arrow[u, "h"] \arrow[r, "k"'] & D \end{tikzcd}$$

of sets and functions commutes if

$$g \circ f \circ h = k$$

Commuting diagrams of functions

We say a square

$$\begin{tikzcd} A \arrow[r, "f"] \arrow[d, "h"'] & B \arrow[d, "g"] \\ C \arrow[r, "k"'] & D \end{tikzcd}$$

of sets and functions commutes if

$$g \circ f = k \circ h$$

Functions and relations

Functions can be seen as a special kind of relations.

Functions and relations

Functions can be seen as a special kind of relations.

Definition

A binary relation $R(x, y)$ on A and B is functional if for every x in A there exists a unique y in B such that $R(x, y)$.

Functions and relations

Functions can be seen as a special kind of relations.

Definition

A binary relation $R(x, y)$ on A and B is functional if for every x in A there exists a unique y in B such that $R(x, y)$. We can express this formally by the following sentence

$$\big(\forall x \exists y R(x, y)\big) \wedge \big(\forall x \forall y \forall z (R(x, y) \wedge R(x, z) \Rightarrow y = z)\big)$$

Functions and relations

Functions can be seen as a special kind of relations.

Definition

A binary relation $R(x, y)$ on A and B is functional if for every x in A there exists a unique y in B such that $R(x, y)$. We can express this formally by the following sentence

$$\big(\forall x \exists y R(x, y)\big) \wedge \big(\forall x \forall y \forall z (R(x, y) \wedge R(x, z) \Rightarrow y = z)\big)$$

If R is a functional relation, we can define a function $f_R \colon X \to Y$ by setting $f_R(x)$ to be equal to the unique y in B such that $R(x, y)$.

Functions and relations

Functions can be seen as a special kind of relations.

Definition

A binary relation $R(x, y)$ on A and B is functional if for every x in A there exists a unique y in B such that $R(x, y)$. We can express this formally by the following sentence

$$\big(\forall x \exists y R(x, y)\big) \wedge \big(\forall x \forall y \forall z (R(x, y) \wedge R(x, z) \Rightarrow y = z)\big)$$

If R is a functional relation, we can define a function $f_R \colon X \to Y$ by setting $f_R(x)$ to be equal to the unique y in B such that $R(x, y)$. Conversely, it is not hard to see that if $f \colon X \to Y$ is any function, the relation $R_f(x, y)$ defined by $f(x) = y$ is a functional relation.

For any function $f\colon X \to Y$, we define as subset of $X \times Y$ known as the graph of f.

$$\mathbf{Gr}(f) = \{(x, y) \mid f(x) = y\}$$

Define functions h, i, and p as follows:

$$h = \lambda x.(x, f(x)) \tag{1}$$

$$i = \lambda(x, y).(x, y) \tag{2}$$

$$p = \lambda(x, y).y \tag{3}$$

Exercise

Show that the functions f, h, i, and p fit into the following square of sets and functions commutes:

$$\begin{tikzcd}
\mathbf{Gr}(f) \arrow[r, "i"] & X \times Y \arrow[d, "p"] \\
X \arrow[u, "h"] \arrow[r, "f"'] & Y
\end{tikzcd}$$

Composition of relations

Given a relation R on X and Y and a relation S on Y and Z we can compose them to get a relation $S \circ R$ on X and Z defined as follows:

$$x(S \circ R)z \iff \exists y \in Y\,(xRy \wedge yRz)$$

Exercise

Let B be the "brothership" relation (xBy means x is a brother of y) and S be the "sistership" relation. Show that the composite relation $S \circ B$ is not equivalent to B.

Exercise

- *Prove that if both R and S are partial orders then $S \circ R$ is a partial order.*
- *Prove that if both R and S are equivalence relations then $S \circ R$ is an equivalence relation.*

Exercise

Show that for any equivalence relation R on a set X we have

1. $R \circ R = R$.
2. $R \circ R \circ \ldots \circ R = R$

Composition of functions from compositions of relations

Theorem

Suppose $f\colon X \to Y$ and $g\colon Y \to Z$ are functions. Consider the corresponding relations R_f and R_g. The relation corresponding to the composite function $g \circ f$ is equivalent to the composite relations $R_g \circ R_f$, that is,

$$\forall x \in X \forall z \in Z \left(x \, R_{g \circ f} \, z \iff x \, (R_g \circ R_f) \, z \right)$$

Isomorphisms of sets

Definition

An *isomorphism* *between two sets* X *and* Y *is a pair of function*

$$f\colon X \to Y \quad \text{and} \quad g\colon Y \to X$$

such that $g \circ f = \mathrm{id}_X$, *and* $f \circ g = \mathrm{id}_Y$*.*

Isomorphisms of sets

Definition

An *isomorphism* *between two sets X and Y is a pair of function*

$$f\colon X \to Y \ \text{ and } \ g\colon Y \to X$$

such that $g \circ f = \mathrm{id}_X$, and $f \circ g = \mathrm{id}_Y$.

We can think of functions f and g above as no data-loss “processes”, e.g. conversion of files to different format without data being lost.

Isomorphisms of sets

Definition

An isomorphism between two sets X and Y is a pair of function

$$f\colon X \to Y \ \text{ and } \ g\colon Y \to X$$

such that $g \circ f = \mathrm{id}_X$, and $f \circ g = \mathrm{id}_Y$.

We can think of functions f and g above as no data-loss “processes”, e.g. conversion of files to different format without data being lost.

Definition

The sets X and Y are said to be isomorphic in case there exists an isomorphism between them. In this case, we use the notation $X \cong Y$.

Exercise

Show that for any set A, it is isomorphic to $\emptyset$ if and only if A does not have any elements. Can you prove this without the LEM?

Previously, we defined the cartesian product $A \times B$ of two sets A and B to consists of all the pairs (a, b) where $a \in A$ and $b \in B$. Now, we show that if we have more two sets the order of forming products does not matter.

Exercise

1. *For all sets A, B, C we have*

$$(A \times B) \times C \cong (A \times B) \times C$$

For this reason, we use $A \times B \times C$ to denote either sets.

Exercise

Show that two finite sets are isomorphic if and only if they have the same number of elements.

Exercise

Show that for any function $f\colon X \to Y$, we have

$$\mathbf{Gr}(f) \cong X\,.$$

A remark on disjoint unions

We introduced the operation of taking disjoint union of two sets as follows:

$$A \sqcup B = \{\mathrm{inl}(x) \mid x \in A\} \cup \{\mathrm{inr}(x) \mid x \in B\}$$

A remark on disjoint unions

We introduced the operation of taking disjoint union of two sets as follows:

$$A \sqcup B = \{\mathrm{inl}(x) \mid x \in A\} \cup \{\mathrm{inr}(x) \mid x \in B\}$$

Exercise

Show that

$$A \sqcup B \cong (\{0\} \times A) \cup (\{1\} \times B)$$

A remark on disjoint unions

We introduced the operation of taking disjoint union of two sets as follows:

$$A \sqcup B = \{\mathrm{inl}(x) \mid x \in A\} \cup \{\mathrm{inr}(x) \mid x \in B\}$$

Exercise

Show that

$$A \sqcup B \cong (\{0\} \times A) \cup (\{1\} \times B)$$

Inspired by this fact we define the disjoint union of a family $\{A_i \mid i \in I\}$ of sets to be

$$\bigsqcup_{i \in I} A_i = \bigcup_{i \in I} \{i\} \times A_i \, .$$

A remark on disjoint unions

We introduced the operation of taking disjoint union of two sets as follows:

$$A \sqcup B = \{\mathrm{inl}(x) \mid x \in A\} \cup \{\mathrm{inr}(x) \mid x \in B\}$$

Exercise

Show that

$$A \sqcup B \cong (\{0\} \times A) \cup (\{1\} \times B)$$

Inspired by this fact we define the disjoint union of a family $\{A_i \mid i \in I\}$ of sets to be

$$\bigsqcup_{i \in I} A_i = \bigcup_{i \in I} \{i\} \times A_i \,.$$

An element of $\bigsqcup_{i \in I} A_i$ is a pair (i, a) where $i \in I$ and $a \in A_i$.

Inverse of a relation

We can always define an inverse to a relation:

Definition

For a relation R on X and Y we define the inverse of R to be a relation R^{-1} on Y and X defined by

$$yR^{-1}x \Leftrightarrow xRy$$

Exercise

Show that if a relation R is functional then it is not necessarily the case that R^{-1} is functional.

Arithmetic of sets

We define the operation of addition on sets as follows: For sets X and Y let the sum $X + Y$ be defined by their disjoint union $X \sqcup Y$.

Exercise

1. *Show that the addition operation on sets is both commutative and associative.*
2. *Show that the empty set is the unit (aka neutral element) of addition of sets.*

Exercise

Show that $\underline{m} + \underline{n} \cong \underline{m + n}$ *for all natural numbers* m *and* n.

Exercise

1. *Show that if S and S' are isomorphic, then for all sets X, we have $X + S \cong X + S'$.*
2. *Prove that for any singleton S, we have $\mathbb{N} + S \cong \mathbb{N}$.*

Sometimes, when the context precludes risk of confusion, we use the notation 1 for any singleton set. Therefore, we can simplify the last statement in above to

$$\mathbb{N} + 1 \cong \mathbb{N}.$$

Definition

- *A retract (aka left inverse) of function $f\colon A \to B$ is a morphism $r\colon B \to A$ such that $r \circ f = \mathrm{id}_A$. In this case we also say A is a retract of B.*
- *A section (aka right inverse) of function $f\colon A \to B$ is a morphism $s\colon B \to A$ such that $f \circ s = \mathrm{id}_B$.*

$$\begin{tikzcd}
& A \arrow[rr, "\mathrm{id}_A"] \arrow[dr, "f"'] & & A \\
B \arrow[ur, "s"] \arrow[rr, "\mathrm{id}_B"'] & & B \arrow[ur, "r"'] &
\end{tikzcd}$$

Example

- *The circle is a retract of punctured disk.*
- *The maps from the infinite helix to the circle has a section, but no continuous section.*

Injections

Definition

A function $f\colon X \to Y$ is injective (or one-to-one) if

$$\forall a, b \in X,\ f(a) = f(b) \Rightarrow a = b$$

An injective function is said to be an injection.

Surjections

Definition

A function $f\colon X \to Y$ is surjective (aka onto) if

$$\forall y \in Y,\ \exists x \in X,\ f(x) = y$$

holds. A surjective function is said to be a surjection.

Proposition

1. *A function with a retract is injective.*
2. *A function with a section is surjective.*

Injection and retracts

Does every injection have a retract?

Injection and retracts

No. Consider the function $\emptyset \to \mathbf{1}$.

Injection and retracts

Proposition

Let $f : X \to Y$ be a function. If f is injective and X is inhabited, then f has a retract.

Injection and retracts

Proof.

Suppose that f is injective and X is inhabited. Since X is inhabited, we get always fix an element of it, say $x_0 \in X$. Now, define $r \colon Y \to X$ as follows.

$$r(y) = \begin{cases} x & \text{if } y = f(x) \text{ for some } x \in X \\ x_0 & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

Note that r is well-defined since if for some y, the there are elements x and x' such that $y = f(x) = f(x')$, then, by injectivity of f, we have $x = x'$, and therefore, the value of r is uniquely determined.

To see that r is a retract of f, let $x \in X$. Letting $y = f(x)$, we see that y falls into the first case in the specification of r, so that $r(f(x)) = g(y) = a$ for some $a \in X$ for which $y = f(a)$. But, $f(x) = y = f(a)$, and by injectivity of f we have $x = a$. Therefore, for every $x \in X$,

Injection and retracts

Was this proof constructive?

A function $f\colon X \to Y$ induces a function

$$f_*\colon \mathcal{P}(X) \to \mathcal{P}(Y)$$

defined by

$$f_*(U) = \{y \in Y \mid \exists x \in U\,(y = f(x))\}$$

for any subset U of X.

A function $f\colon X \to Y$ induces a function

$$f_*\colon \mathcal{P}(X) \to \mathcal{P}(Y)$$

defined by

$$f_*(U) = \{y \in Y \mid \exists x \in U\,(y = f(x))\}$$

for any subset U of X. The subset $f_*(S)$ is called the image of U under f.

A function $f\colon X \to Y$ induces a function

$$f_*\colon \mathcal{P}(X) \to \mathcal{P}(Y)$$

defined by

$$f_*(U) = \{y \in Y \mid \exists x \in U\,(y = f(x))\}$$

for any subset U of X. The subset $f_*(S)$ is called the image of U under f. Note that

$$\mathrm{id}_* = \mathrm{id}_{\mathcal{P}(X)}$$

A function $f\colon X \to Y$ induces a function

$$f_*\colon \mathcal{P}(X) \to \mathcal{P}(Y)$$

defined by

$$f_*(U) = \{y \in Y \mid \exists x \in U\,(y = f(x))\}$$

for any subset U of X. The subset $f_*(S)$ is called the image of U under f. Note that

$$\mathrm{id}_* = \mathrm{id}_{\mathcal{P}(X)}$$

Proposition

Show that a function $f\colon X \to Y$ is surjective if and only if $f_*(X) = Y$.

A function $f : X \to Y$ induces a function

$$f_* : \mathcal{P}(X) \to \mathcal{P}(Y)$$

defined by

$$f_*(U) = \{y \in Y \mid \exists x \in U\,(y = f(x))\}$$

for any subset U of X. The subset $f_*(S)$ is called the image of U under f. Note that

$$\mathrm{id}_* = \mathrm{id}_{\mathcal{P}(X)}$$

Proposition

Show that a function $f : X \to Y$ is surjective if and only if $f_*(X) = Y$.

We sometimes denote the set $f_*(X)$ by $\mathbf{Im}(f)$.

Suppose $f\colon X \to Y$ and $g\colon Y \to Z$ are functions. We prove that

$$g_* \circ f_* = (g \circ f)_* \,.$$

Recall that in order to prove equality of functions we need to use function extensionality.

Suppose $f\colon X \to Y$ and $g\colon Y \to Z$ are functions. We prove that

$$g_* \circ f_* = (g \circ f)_* \,.$$

Recall that in order to prove equality of functions we need to use function extensionality.

Suppose T is a subset of Z. Then

$$\begin{aligned}
(g_* \circ f_*)\,U &= g_*\,\{y \in Y \mid \exists x \in U\,(y = f(x))\} \\
&= \big\{z \in Z \mid \exists y \in Y\,\exists x \in U\,(y = f(x) \wedge z = g(y)\big\} \\
&= \{z \in Z \mid \exists x \in U\,(z = g(f(x)))\} \\
&= (g \circ f)_* U
\end{aligned}$$

Pre-images

A function $f\colon X \to Y$ induces a function

$$f^{-1}\colon \mathcal{P}(Y) \to \mathcal{P}(X)$$

defined by

$$f^{-1}(S) = \{x \in X \mid f(x) \in S\}$$

for any subset S of Y.

Pre-images

A function $f\colon X \to Y$ induces a function

$$f^{-1}\colon \mathcal{P}(Y) \to \mathcal{P}(X)$$

defined by

$$f^{-1}(S) = \{x \in X \mid f(x) \in S\}$$

for any subset S of Y.

The subset $f^{-1}(S)$ is called the pre-image of S under f.

Pre-images

A function $f\colon X \to Y$ induces a function

$$f^{-1}\colon \mathcal{P}(Y) \to \mathcal{P}(X)$$

defined by

$$f^{-1}(S) = \{x \in X \mid f(x) \in S\}$$

for any subset S of Y.

The subset $f^{-1}(S)$ is called the pre-image of S under f.

Note that

$$\mathrm{id}_X^{-1} = \mathrm{id}_{\mathcal{P}(X)}$$

Injections and subsingletons

Definition

A set U is said to be a subsingleton *if it is a subset of the one-element set* $\mathbf{1}$.

Injections and subsingletons

Definition

A set U is said to be a **subsingleton** *if it is a subset of the one-element set* $\mathbf{1}$.

Proposition

A function $f\colon X \to Y$ is injective if and only if for every $y \in Y$ the fibres $f^{-1}(y)$ are all subsingletons.

Example of isomorphism: infinite binary number

We define an infinite binary number to be an infinite sequence of binary digits (each 0 or 1).

000... — 0

101...

$0110 \to 0 \cdot 2^0 + 1 \cdot 2^1 + 1 \cdot 2^2 + 0 \cdot 2^3 = 0 + 2 + 4 + 0 = 6$

1111...

$87 = 7 \times 10^0$ ...

Example of isomorphism: infinite binary number

We define an infinite binary number to be an infinite sequence of binary digits (each 0 or 1).

Consider the set $\mathbb{B}_\infty$ of infinite binary numbers.

Example of isomorphism: infinite binary number

We define an infinite binary number to be an infinite sequence of binary digits (each 0 or 1).
Consider the set $\mathbb{B}_\infty$ of infinite binary numbers.
Define a function

$$\alpha : \mathbb{B}_\infty \to [0, 1]$$

by

$$\alpha(x_0 x_1 \ldots x_i \ldots) = \sum_{i=0}^{\infty} x_i \, 2^{-(i+1)}$$

Exercise

1. *Show that this function is not injective by considering the fibre* $\alpha^{-1}(1/2)$.
2. *What is the fibre* $\alpha^{-1}(1/3)$*?*

$\mathbb{B}_\infty$ has an interesting subset $\mathbb{B}_\infty^+$ consisting of all monotone infinite binary numbers, that is the sequences $x = x_0 x_1 \ldots$ with the property that

$$\forall i \in \mathbb{N} \left(\exists j \in \mathbb{N} \, (j \leqslant i \wedge x_j = 1) \Rightarrow x_i = 1 \right)$$

$\mathbb{B}_\infty$ has an interesting subset $\mathbb{B}_\infty^+$ consisting of all monotone infinite binary numbers, that is the sequences $x = x_0 x_1 \ldots$ with the property that

$$\forall i \in \mathbb{N} \left(\exists j \in \mathbb{N} \, (j \leqslant i \wedge x_j = 1) \Rightarrow x_i = 1\right)$$

Proposition

Show that the set $\mathbb{B}_\infty^+$ is isomorphic to the set $\mathbb{N}$ of natural numbers.

Proof.

Assign to every sequence the least i where $x_i = 1$. Clearly this assignment is well-defined and therefore defines a function $f : \mathbb{B}^{+}_{\infty} \to \mathbb{N}$.

Proof.

Assign to every sequence the least i where $x_i = 1$. Clearly this assignment is well-defined and therefore defines a function $f\colon \mathbb{B}^+_\infty \to \mathbb{N}$. Assign to a natural number n the infinite binary sequence where the k-th digit is 1 for every $k \geqslant n$ and all other digits are 0. Clearly this assignment is well-defined and therefore defines a function $g\colon \mathbb{N} \to \mathbb{B}^+_\infty$.

Proof.

Assign to every sequence the least i where $x_i = 1$. Clearly this assignment is well-defined and therefore defines a function $f\colon \mathbb{B}^{+}_{\infty} \to \mathbb{N}$. Assign to a natural number n the infinite binary sequence where the k-th digit is 1 for every $k \geqslant n$ and all other digits are 0. Clearly this assignment is well-defined and therefore defines a function $g\colon \mathbb{N} \to \mathbb{B}^{+}_{\infty}$. We now show that f and g are inverses of each other: Let n be a natural number. $f(g(n)) = n$ since n is the earliest place where 1 appears in the sequence $g(n)$.

Proof.

Assign to every sequence the least i where $x_i = 1$. Clearly this assignment is well-defined and therefore defines a function $f\colon \mathbb{B}^+_\infty \to \mathbb{N}$. Assign to a natural number n the infinite binary sequence where the k-th digit is 1 for every $k \geqslant n$ and all other digits are 0. Clearly this assignment is well-defined and therefore defines a function $g\colon \mathbb{N} \to \mathbb{B}^+_\infty$. We now show that f and g are inverses of each other: Let n be a natural number. $f(g(n)) = n$ since n is the earliest place where 1 appears in the sequence $g(n)$. Also, for a monotone $x_0x_1 \ldots x_n \ldots$, suppose $f(x_0x_1 \ldots x_n \ldots) = i$. Hence, $x_0x_1 \ldots x_{i-1}x_ix_{i+1} \ldots = 00 \ldots 011 \ldots$ where the first 1 appears at digit i.

Proof.

Assign to every sequence the least i where $x_i = 1$. Clearly this assignment is well-defined and therefore defines a function $f\colon \mathbb{B}^+_\infty \to \mathbb{N}$. Assign to a natural number n the infinite binary sequence where the k-th digit is 1 for every $k \geqslant n$ and all other digits are 0. Clearly this assignment is well-defined and therefore defines a function $g\colon \mathbb{N} \to \mathbb{B}^+_\infty$. We now show that f and g are inverses of each other: Let n be a natural number. $f(g(n)) = n$ since n is the earliest place where 1 appears in the sequence $g(n)$. Also, for a monotone $x_0x_1 \dots x_n \dots$, suppose $f(x_0x_1 \dots x_n \dots) = i$. Hence, $x_0x_1 \dots x_{i-1}x_ix_{i+1} \dots = 00\dots011\dots$ where the first 1 appears at digit i. Therefore

$$g(f(x_0x_1 \dots x_n \dots)) = g(i) = 00\dots011\dots = x_0x_1 \dots x_i \dots \,.$$

Proof.

Assign to every sequence the least i where $x_i = 1$. Clearly this assignment is well-defined and therefore defines a function $f\colon \mathbb{B}^+_\infty \to \mathbb{N}$. Assign to a natural number n the infinite binary sequence where the k-th digit is 1 for every $k \geqslant n$ and all other digits are 0. Clearly this assignment is well-defined and therefore defines a function $g\colon \mathbb{N} \to \mathbb{B}^+_\infty$. We now show that f and g are inverses of each other: Let n be a natural number. $f(g(n)) = n$ since n is the earliest place where 1 appears in the sequence $g(n)$. Also, for a monotone $x_0x_1 \ldots x_n \ldots$, suppose $f(x_0x_1 \ldots x_n \ldots) = i$. Hence, $x_0x_1 \ldots x_{i-1}x_ix_{i+1} \ldots = 00\ldots011\ldots$ where the first 1 appears at digit i. Therefore

$$g(f(x_0x_1 \ldots x_n \ldots)) = g(i) = 00\ldots011\ldots = x_0x_1 \ldots x_i \ldots\ .$$

Therefore, f and g are inverse of each other and together they establish an isomorphism $\mathbb{B}^+_\infty \cong \mathbb{N}$. $\square$

Suppose $f\colon X \to Y$ and $g\colon Y \to Z$ are functions. We prove that

$$f^{-1} \circ g^{-1} = (g \circ f)^{-1} .$$

Recall that in order to prove equality of functions we need to use function extensionality.

Suppose $f\colon X \to Y$ and $g\colon Y \to Z$ are functions. We prove that

$$f^{-1} \circ g^{-1} = (g \circ f)^{-1} .$$

Recall that in order to prove equality of functions we need to use function extensionality.
Suppose T is a subset of Z. Then

$$\begin{aligned}
(f^{-1} \circ g^{-1})T &= f^{-1}\{y \in Y \mid g(y) \in T\} \\
&= \big\{x \in X \mid f(x) \in \{y \in Y \mid g(y) \in T\}\big\} \\
&= \{x \in X \mid g(f(x)) \in T\} \\
&= (g \circ f)^{-1}T
\end{aligned}$$

Fibres

Definition

For a function $f\colon X \to Y$, and an element $y \in Y$, the subset

$$f^{-1}(y) = \{x \in X \mid f(x) = y\}$$

of X is called the fibre of f at y and also the pre-image of y under f. Although, technically incorrect, people write $f^{-1}(y)$ instead of $f^{-1}(\{y\})$.

Example

Consider the function $\lfloor - \rfloor\colon \mathbb{R} \to \mathbb{Z}$ which takes a real number to the greatest integer less than it. What are the fibres

- $\lfloor - \rfloor^{-1}(0)$?
- $\lfloor - \rfloor^{-1}(\lfloor \pi \rfloor)$?

The operation of taking fibres of a function is itself a function. More specifically, given a function f, taking fibres of f at different elements $y \in Y$ as a function is equal to the composite

$$Y \xrightarrow{\{-\}} \mathcal{P}(Y) \xrightarrow{f^{-1}} \mathcal{P}(X)\,,$$

that is for all $y \in Y$,

$$f^{-1}(y) = f^{-1}\{y\}$$

The operation of taking fibres of a function is itself a function. More specifically, given a function f, taking fibres of f at different elements $y \in Y$ as a function is equal to the composite

$$Y \xrightarrow{\{-\}} \mathcal{P}(Y) \xrightarrow{f^{-1}} \mathcal{P}(X)\,,$$

that is for all $y \in Y$,

$$f^{-1}(y) = f^{-1}\{y\}$$

Exercise

Consider the family $\{f^{-1}(y) \mid y \in Y\}$. Show that all members of this family are mutually disjoint, and that their union is fact X.

$$\coprod_{y \in Y} f^{-1}(y) \cong \bigcup_{y \in Y} f^{-1}(y) = X$$

As the last exercise suggests, we can associate to every function a family of sets given by fibres of that function at different elements of the codomain.

As the last exercise suggests, we can associate to every function a family of sets given by fibres of that function at different elements of the codomain.

Interestingly, we also have the converse association: to a family $\{Y_x \mid x \in X\}$ we associate a function as follows: let the domain to be the disjoint union $\bigsqcup_{x \in X} Y_x$ and let the codomain be X. The associated function $p \colon \{Y_x \mid x \in X\} \to X$ takes an element $\mathrm{in}(x) \in \bigsqcup_{x \in X} Y_x$ to $x \in X$.

As the last exercise suggests, we can associate to every function a family of sets given by fibres of that function at different elements of the codomain.

Interestingly, we also have the converse association: to a family $\{ Y_x \mid x \in X \}$ we associate a function as follows: let the domain to be the disjoint union $\coprod_{x \in X} Y_x$ and let the codomain be X. The associated function $p \colon \{ Y_x \mid x \in X \} \to X$ takes an element $\mathrm{in}(x) \in \coprod_{x \in X} Y_x$ to $x \in X$.

$$\begin{tikzcd} Y \arrow[d, "f"'] & \\ X \arrow[r, shift left=3, "\mathbf{T} =_{\text{def}} \text{taking fibres}"] & \{ Y_x \mid x \in X \} \arrow[l, shift left=3, "\mathbf{U} =_{\text{def}} \text{taking union}"] \end{tikzcd}$$

functions

families of sets

The set of functions

Suppose X and Y are sets. We can define a new set consisting of all the functions from X to Y. We denote this set by Y^X. Explicitly,

$$Y^X = \{f\colon X \to Y\} \cong \{R \subset X \times Y \mid R \text{ is a functional relation}\}$$

Exercise

Suppose X is a finite set with m elements and Suppose Y is a finite set with n elements. Then the set Y^X has n^m elements.

The set of functions behaves like exponentials

Proposition

Suppose X, Y, Z are sets. We have

- $X^{\emptyset} \cong 1$
- $\emptyset^X \cong 1$ *if and only if* $X = \emptyset$. *In particular* $\emptyset^{\emptyset} \cong 1$.
- $(X^Y)^Z \cong X^{Y \times Z}$.
- $X^{Y+Z} \cong X^Y \times X^Z$

Let Ω be a set with two elements, for instance $\{\top, \bot\}$. We show that

$$\Omega^X \cong \mathcal{P}(X)$$

that is the power set of X is isomorphic to the set of functions from X to Ω.

Let Ω be a set with two elements, for instance $\{\top, \perp\}$. We show that

$$\Omega^X \cong \mathcal{P}(X)$$

that is the power set of X is isomorphic to the set of functions from X to Ω. To this end we construct two functions f and g and prove that they are inverse of each other.

Let Ω be a set with two elements, for instance $\{\top, \bot\}$. We show that

$$\Omega^X \cong \mathcal{P}(X)$$

that is the power set of X is isomorphic to the set of functions from X to Ω. To this end we construct two functions f and g and prove that they are inverse of each other. The function $f\colon \Omega^X \to \mathcal{P}(X)$ is defined as $\lambda(\varphi : \Omega^X).\{x \in X \mid \varphi(x) = \top\}$.
The function $g\colon \mathcal{P}(X) \to \Omega^X$ is defined as $\lambda(S : \mathcal{P}(X)).\chi_S$ where we recall that χ_S is the characteristic function of $S \subseteq X$.

Dependent product of sets

Let $\{X_i \mid i \in I\}$ be a family of sets.

Dependent product of sets

Let $\{X_i \mid i \in I\}$ be a family of sets.

Define the set $\prod_{i \in I} X_i$ to be

$$\{h\colon I \to \bigcup_{i \in I} X_i \mid \forall i\,(h(i) \in X_i)\}$$

Dependent product of sets

Let $\{X_i \mid i \in I\}$ be a family of sets.
Define the set $\prod_{i \in I} X_i$ to be

$$\{h\colon I \to \bigcup_{i \in I} X_i \mid \forall i\,(h(i) \in X_i)\}$$

Note that if I is a finite set, say $I = \{1, 2, \cdots, n\}$ then

$$\prod_{i \in I} X_i \cong X_1 \times X_2 \times \cdots \times X_n$$

Dependent product of sets

Let $\{X_i \mid i \in I\}$ be a family of sets.
Define the set $\prod_{i \in I} X_i$ to be

$$\{h \colon I \to \bigcup_{i \in I} X_i \mid \forall i \, (h(i) \in X_i)\}$$

Note that if I is a finite set, say $I = \{1, 2, \cdots, n\}$ then

$$\prod_{i \in I} X_i \cong X_1 \times X_2 \times \cdots \times X_n$$

In case where I is a finite set, if each X_i is inhabited then the cartesian product $\prod_{i \in I} X_i$ is also inhabited.

Dependent product of sets

Let $\{X_i \mid i \in I\}$ be a family of sets.
Define the set $\prod_{i \in I} X_i$ to be

$$\{h\colon I \to \bigcup_{i \in I} X_i \mid \forall i\,(h(i) \in X_i)\}$$

Note that if I is a finite set, say $I = \{1, 2, \cdots, n\}$ then

$$\prod_{i \in I} X_i \cong X_1 \times X_2 \times \cdots \times X_n$$

In case where I is a finite set, if each X_i is inhabited then the cartesian product $\prod_{i \in I} X_i$ is also inhabited. But we cannot prove this for a general I.

Axiom of choice

Axiom of Choice (AC) asserts that the set $\prod_{i \in I} X_i$ is inhabited for *any* indexing set I and any family $(X_i \mid i \in I)$ of *inhabited* sets.

Warning

The axiom of choice is highly non-constructive: if a proof of a result that does not use the axiom of choice is available, it usually provides more information than a proof of the same result that does use the axiom of choice.

Logical incarnation of Axiom of Choice

Proposition

The axiom of choice is equivalent to the statement that for any sets X and Y and any formula $p(x, y)$ with free variables $x \in X$ and $y \in Y$, the sentence

$$\forall x \in X \, \exists y \in Y \, p(x, y) \Rightarrow \exists (f \colon X \to Y) \, \forall x \in X, \, p(x, f(x)) \tag{4}$$

holds.

Proof. Assume axiom of choice. Let X and Y be arbitrary sets and $p(x, y)$ any formula with free variables $x \in X$ and $y \in Y$. For each $x \in X$, define $Y_x = \{y \in Y \mid p(x, y)\}$. Note that Y_x is inhabited for each $x \in X$ by the assumption $\forall x \in X, \exists y \in Y, p(x, y)$. By the axiom of choice there exists a function $h\colon X \to \bigcup_{x \in X} Y_x$ such that $h(x) \in Y_x$ for all $x \in X$. We compose the function h with the inclusion $\cup_{x \in X} Y_x \rightarrowtail Y$, which we get from the fact that $Y_x \subseteq Y$ for each $x \in X$, to obtain a function $f\colon X \to Y$. But then $p(x, f(x)) = p(x, h(x))$ is true for each $x \in X$ by definition of the sets Y_x.

Conversely, suppose that we have a family $(X_i \mid i \in I)$ of inhabited sets. Consider the cartesian product $\prod_{i \in I} X_i$. We want to show that this product is inhabited. Define

$$p(i, x) =_{\text{def}} (x \in X_i)$$

Now, we apply the sentence (4) to the sets I, $\bigcup\limits_{i \in I} X_i$ and the formula $p(i, x)$ just defined: we find a function $f \colon I \to \bigcup\limits_{i \in I} X_i$ such that $p(i, f(i))$ for all $i \in I$. But, by definition of $p(i, x)$, we conclude that $f(i) \in X_i$ for all $i \in I$. Hence, f is a member of $\prod_{i \in I} X_i$. $\square$

Axiom of Choice and surjections

Given a function $p\colon Y \to X$, consider the associated family $\{Y_x \mid x \in X\}$ of sets obtained by taking fibres of p at different elements of x.

Axiom of Choice and surjections

Given a function $p\colon Y \to X$, consider the associated family $\{Y_x \mid x \in X\}$ of sets obtained by taking fibres of p at different elements of x.

Lemma

A maps $p\colon Y \to X$ is surjective if and only if the fibres Y_x are inhabited for all $x \in X$.

Axiom of Choice and surjections

Given a function $p \colon Y \to X$, consider the associated family $\{Y_x \mid x \in X\}$ of sets obtained by taking fibres of p at different elements of x.

Lemma

A maps $p \colon Y \to X$ is surjective if and only if the fibres Y_x are inhabited for all $x \in X$.

Lemma

An element of $\prod_{x \in X} Y_x$ is the same thing as a section of $p \colon Y \to X$.

Axiom of Choice and surjections

Proposition

Axiom of choice is equivalent to the statement that every surjection has a section.

Proof.

□

Axiom of Choice and surjections

Proposition

Axiom of choice is equivalent to the statement that every surjection has a section.

Proof.

Assume AC. Let $p \colon Y \to X$ be a surjection. Therefore all the fibres Y_x are inhabited. By AC, the product $\prod_{x \in X} Y_x$ is inhabited. Hence, by the last lemma above, p has a section. $\square$

Axiom of Choice and surjections

Proposition

Axiom of choice is equivalent to the statement that every surjection has a section.

Proof.

Conversely, suppose that every surjection has a section. Let $\{ Y_x \mid x \in X \}$ be family of sets where the set Y_x is inhabited for every $x \in X$. Consider the associated function $\sqcup_{x \in X} Y_x \to X$. Note that this map is surjective by our assumption and the first lemma above. Hence, it has a section which is the same thing as an element of $\prod_{x \in X} Y_x$. Therefore AC holds. $\square$

Suppose $f\colon A \to B$ and $g\colon Y \to X$ are functions. We say that f is (left) orthogonal to g (and, equivalently, g is right orthogonal to f) if for any two function that make the square

$$\begin{tikzcd} A \arrow[r, "y"] \arrow[d, "f"'] & Y \arrow[d, "p"] \\ B \arrow[r, "x"'] & X \end{tikzcd}$$

commute (i.e. $p \circ y = x \circ f$), there is a function $d\colon B \to Y$ which makes both triangles commute

$$\begin{tikzcd} A \arrow[r, "y"] \arrow[d, "f"'] & Y \arrow[d, "p"] \\ B \arrow[r, "x"'] \arrow[ur, dotted, "d"'] & X \end{tikzcd},$$

i.e.

$$p \circ d = x \text{ and } d \circ f = y$$

Proposition

- *Any map right orthogonal to* $\mathbf{2} \to \mathbf{1}$ *is injective.*
- *Any map right orthogonal to* $\emptyset \to \mathbf{1}$ *is surjective.*

Cantors' theorem: $A < P(A)$

Lemma

If a function $\sigma : A \to B^A$ is surjective then every function $f : B \to B$ has a fixed point.

Proof.

Because σ is a surjection, there is $a \in A$ such that $\sigma(a) = \lambda x : A \,.\, f(\sigma(x)(x))$, but then $\sigma(a)(a) = f(\sigma(a)(a))$. $\square$

Corollary

There is no surjection $A \to P(A)$.

Let's associate to each *finite set* X a number $\mathtt{card}(X)$, called the "cardinality" of X, which measures how many (distinct) elements the set X has. We then have

- $\mathtt{card}(X + Y) = \mathtt{card}(X) + \mathtt{card}(Y)$ and
- $\mathtt{card}(X \times Y) = \mathtt{card}(X) \times \mathtt{card}(Y)$.

Let's associate to each *finite set* X a number $\mathtt{card}(X)$, called the "cardinality" of X, which measures how many (distinct) elements the set X has. We then have

- $\mathtt{card}(X + Y) = \mathtt{card}(X) + \mathtt{card}(Y)$ and
- $\mathtt{card}(X \times Y) = \mathtt{card}(X) \times \mathtt{card}(Y)$.

More generally, for any finite set I and a family of finite sets $\{X_i \mid i \in I\}$, we have

- $\mathtt{card}(\bigsqcup_{i\in I} X_i) = \sum_{i\in I} \mathtt{card}(X_i)$ and
- $\mathtt{card}(\prod_{i\in I} X_i) = \prod_{i\in I} \mathtt{card}(X_i)$

Questions