

Anushka Verma (Z1911937)
CSCI 466 Section 1
Today's Date: 01/25/2021
Due Date: 01/29/2021
Assignment 2

Normalization

1. **Company**(EmpID, EmpName, EmpAddr, (ProjID, ProjName, MgrID, MgrName, HoursWorked)) **Functional Dependencies :**

- $\text{EmpID} \rightarrow \text{EmpName}, \text{EmpAddr}$
- $\text{ProjID} \rightarrow \text{ProjName}, \text{MgrID}, \text{MgrName}$
- $\text{EmpID}, \text{ProjID} \rightarrow \text{HoursWorked}$
- $\text{MgrID} \rightarrow \text{MgrName}$

- a) Is this relation in 1NF? If not, explain why not, then make the necessary changes to fix it.

No, this is not a relation in 1NF. The reason being the groups are being repeated.

First Normal Form:

Company(EmpID, EmpName, EmpAddr, ProjID, ProjName, MgrID, MgrName, HoursWorked)

- b) Is this relation in 2NF? If not, explain why not, then make the necessary changes to fix it.

No, this is not a relation in 2NF. This is because the elements should fully depend on the entire primary key which in our case, they don't.

Second Normal Form:

Employee (EmpID, EmpName, EmpAddr)

Projects (ProjID, ProjName, MgrName, MgrID)

Time (EmpID, ProjID, HoursWorked)

Supervisor (MgrID, MgrName)

Anushka Verma (Z1911937)
CSCI 466 Section 1
Today's Date: 01/25/2021
Due Date: 01/29/2021
Assignment 2

- c) Is this relation in 3NF? If not, explain why not, then make the necessary changes to fix it.

Since there is a **Transitive Dependency**.

Third Normal Form:

Employee (EmpID, EmpName, EmpAddr)

Projects (ProjID, ProjName, MgrName, MgrID)

Employee Project (EmpID, ProjID)

Supervisor (MgrID, MgrName)

Employee Hours (EmpID, Hours Worked)

2. **StockExchange**(Company, Symbol, HQ, Date, ClosePrice) **Functional Dependencies:**

- Symbol, Date \rightarrow Company, HQ, ClosePrice
- Symbol \rightarrow Company, HQ
- Symbol \rightarrow HQ

- a) Is this relation in 1NF? If not, explain why not, then make the necessary changes to fix it.

Yes, this is a relation in 1NF. The reason being the groups are not being repeated.

First Normal Form:

Stock Exchange (Company, Symbol, HQ, Date, ClosePrice)

- b) Is this relation in 2NF? If not, explain why not, then make the necessary changes to fix it.

No, this is not a relation in 2NF. This is because the elements should fully depend on the entire primary key and not just part of the primary key.

Second Normal Form:

Stock Exchange (Company, Symbol, HQ, Date, ClosePrice)

Information (Symbol, Date, Company, HQ, ClosePrice)

Logo (Company, Symbol)

Main Office (Company, HQ, Symbol)

Anushka Verma (Z1911937)
CSCI 466 Section 1
Today's Date: 01/25/2021
Due Date: 01/29/2021
Assignment 2

- c) Is this relation in 3NF? If not, explain why not, then make the necessary changes to fix it.

Since there is **no Transitive Dependency**, forming the second normal was more than enough.

3. **Property**(id, county, lotNum, lotArea, price, taxRate, (datePaid, amount)) **Functional Dependencies:**

- $\text{id} \rightarrow \text{county, lotNum, lotArea, price, taxRate}$
- $\text{lotArea} \rightarrow \text{price}$
- $\text{county} \rightarrow \text{taxRate}$
- $\text{id, datePaid} \rightarrow \text{amount}$

- a) Is this relation in 1NF? If not, explain why not, then make the necessary changes to fix it.

No, this is not a relation in 1NF. The reason being the groups are being repeated.

First Normal Form:

Property (ID, county, lotNum, lotArea, price, taxRate, datePaid, amount)

- b) Is this relation in 2NF? If not, explain why not, then make the necessary changes to fix it.

No, this is not a relation in 2NF. This is because the elements should fully depend on the entire primary key and not just part of the primary key.

Second Normal Form:

Property (ID, county, lotNum)

Lot Information (lotNum, lotArea, price)

Tax (County, taxRate)

Amount (ID, datePaid, amount)

- c) Is this relation in 3NF? If not, explain why not, then make the necessary changes to fix it.

Since there is **no Transitive Dependency**, forming the second normal was more than enough.

Anushka Verma (Z1911937)
CSCI 466 Section 1
Today's Date: 01/25/2021
Due Date: 01/29/2021
Assignment 2

4. **Pharmacy**(patient_id, patient_name, address, (Rx_num, trademark_name, generic_name, (filldate, num_refills_left), num_refills)) **Functional Dependencies:**

- patient_id \rightarrow patient_name, address
- patient_id, Rx_num \rightarrow trademark_name, generic_name
- Rx_num \rightarrow num_refills
- Rx_num, filldate \rightarrow num_refills_left

- a) Is this relation in 1NF? If not, explain why not, then make the necessary changes to fix it.

No, this is not a relation in 1NF. The reason being the groups are being repeated.

First Normal Form:

Pharmacy (patient_id, patient_name, address, Rx_num, trademark_name, generic_name, filldate, num_refills)

- b) Is this relation in 2NF? If not, explain why not, then make the necessary changes to fix it.

No, this is not a relation in 2NF. This is because the elements should fully depend on the entire primary key which in our case, they don't.

Second Normal Form:

Patient (patient_id, patient_name, address)

Medicine (patient_id, Rx_num, trademark_name, generic_name)

Refill (Rx_num, num_refills)

Refill Date (Rx_num, filldate)

- c) Is this relation in 3NF? If not, explain why not, then make the necessary changes to fix it.

Since there is **no Transitive Dependency**, forming the second normal was more than enough.