

Automating Robustness Analysis of Trading Strategy Development Processes

DBA research by Edwin Stang

May 2021: Work in Progress

Supervisors:

Hans-Wolfgang Loidl, Boulis Ibrahim

Agenda

1. Idea
2. Development Progress
3. Expression Language
4. Possible uses
5. Signal vs Breakout Strategies


1. Idea

- Automate Trading Strategy Development
- Formalise Decision Points
 - Strategy, Portfolio, Risk & Money Management
- Simulate a Team of Random Developers
 - Evolutionary Machine Learning
- Automated Longitudinal Study
 - Walk-Forward-Analysis
- Measure Robustness of the Process
 - Monte Carlo Significance Test


2. Development Progress

- Working on Platform since 2009
 - Modular Architecture, designed for Reuse and Flexibility; Ideal Basis for Research
- Extend with ML Features in DBA
 - Explainable AI
 - Expression Language for Decision Points
 - High Performance Backtesting Engine


2.1. Frontends: Desktop Apps



2.2. Frontends: Web Apps




2.3. Visual Backtesting: Desktop




2.4. Live Monitoring: Web




A scatter plot showing the relationship between MaximumFavorableExcursion (Pips) on the x-axis and ProfitLossPerPoint (Pips) on the y-axis. The x-axis ranges from 0 to 400, and the y-axis ranges from -400 to 350. Green dots represent ProfitTrade, and red dots represent LossTrade. The ProfitTrade points generally follow a positive linear trend, while the LossTrade points are more scattered, often showing negative values for the same excursion range.



2.6. Portfolio Selector



- Automated through Optimisation Workflows
- Alternative: Cross-Validation Variations






- Repeat Walk Forward Analysis 'x' Times
- Confidence Levels for Significance Test
- Does the **Process** mitigate **Randomness**?

Monte Carlo Simulation

with randomized and recurring orders in 200 simulations, showing each with Original Risk Equity and Minima


OriginalMaxDrawdown:	1,456.37€ (-12.76%) 1,515.6€ (-12.92%)	NewMaxDrawdownAvg:	435.23€ (-) 437.32€ (-)
OriginalProfitLossSum:	1,052.05€ (+10.52%) 1,391.6€ (+13.92%)	NewProfitLossSumAvg:	1,090.66€ 1,427.35€
OriginalAPPT:	0.77€ (+0.0077%) 1.01€ (+0.01%)	NewAPPTAvg:	0.79€ (+0.) 1.04€ (+0.)




Confidence Level	MaxDrawdown	ProfitLossSum	Expect
1%	189.23€ (1.77%) 196.51€ (1.79%)	2,666.35€ (+26.66%) 3,257.88€ (+32.58%)	1.94€ 2.37€
2%	193.95€ (1.9%) 204.76€ (1.88%)	2,459.3€ (+24.59%) 2,937.1€ (+29.37%)	1.79€ 2.14€
5%	225.97€ (2.14%) 231.62€ (2.22%)	2,203.26€ (+22.03%) 2,630.04€ (+26.3%)	1.6€ (+) 1.91€
25%	301.44€ (2.83%) 350.42€ (2.99%)	1,447.03€ (+14.47%) 1,845.28€ (+18.45%)	1.05€ 1.34€
50%	383.03€ (3.79%) 432.21€ (3.74%)	1,043.13€ (+10.43%) 1,373.65€ (+13.74%)	0.76€ 1€ (+0)
75%	502.25€ (4.88%) 493.08€ (4.83%)	620.84€ (+6.21%) 970.72€ (+9.71%)	0.45€ 0.71€
95%	706.32€ (6.72%) 723.01€ (6.53%)	155.33€ (+1.55%) 355.87€ (+3.56%)	0.11€ 0.26€
98%	870.41€ (8.34%) 878.36€ (7.92%)	-111.74€ (-1.12%) 137.97€ (+1.38%)	-0.08€ 0.1€ (+)
99%	1,022.21€ (9.04%) 879.57€ (8.38%)	-199.03€ (-1.99%) -61.53€ (-0.62%)	-0.14€ -0.04€

3. Expression Language

- Extract Decision Points from Trading Strategies
- Formalise them into Expressions



3.1. Expression Context



3.2. Decision Points I

- **Bar Preprocessors:**
 - Time[1 DAY|UTC-7]
 - Renko[atr(20)*0.5]
 - Oversampling, Random
 - Timeshift, Detrend
 - Session, SkipFlat
 - OrnsteinUhlenbeck
- **Entry:** `ema(25) > ema(5) && rsi(2) > 20`
 - Variants: Long, Short, LongShort, ShortLong
- **Exit:** `!entry || stopLoss(range(atr(14)*2)`

3.2. Decision Points II

- **Order Type:** Market, Limit[at(14)*2], Stop[pips(20)]
 - Embed: <entry> && enterLongAtLimit(range(at(14)*2))
- **Money Management / Position Sizing:**
 - FixedAmount, TurtleRisk%, FixedFractional%, EquityRisk%, Markowitz, OptimalF, SecureF(maxDD%)
- **Equity Curve Trading:**
 - lossTradesToday < 3 && equityRiskPercent < 30

3.2. Decision Points III

- **Strategy/Portfolio Selection:**
 - `rank(os_sharpeRatio) <= 10 && profitLoss > 0`
- **Nested Optimisation:**
 - `ema(optimise(start=20, min=5, max=50, step=5)) > ema(5)`
- **Robustness Checking:**
 - `whitesRealityCheckProbabilityOfLuckPercent < 5`
 - `parameterStabilityPercent > 50`
 - `walkForwardEfficiencyPercent > 50`

3.3. Language Design

- Functional
 - no vars (yet), single statement, no exceptions
- No Collections/Lists
 - Everything can be interpreted as double
 - Optimised Storage: double/int/boolean/bitset
- Functions/Variables interchangeable
- Indexing: Date, int
 - `close[2]; open[indexOf(addDays(today(),-3))]`
- Gracefully handle NaN/Null as Neutral/Missing
- Aggregate Functions: occurs, stable, once, vote, map

3.4. Implementation

- Java, Object-Oriented
- Final, Immutable
- Zero-Copy, Zero-Allocation
- Based on Parsii
 - Extend Language Features
 - Improve Performance
 - Trading Platform Context
- Combining Expressions without String Parsing

Source:

<http://andreas.haufler.info/2013/12/how-to-write-one-of-fastest-expression.html>

• PARSII:	28.3 ms
• EXPR:	37.2 ms
• MathEval:	7748.5 ms
• JEP:	647.0 ms
• MESP:	220.8 ms
• JFEP:	274.3 ms

3.5. Expression Performance

```
close() > 3.14 && (2 + (7 - 5) * 3.14159 * pow(close(),
(12-10)) + sin(-3.141)) > 1000
```

Strategies:

Variation	Invesdwin	Parsii	Janino	Groovy	Spring
Parsing, 1 Thread	22.51/ms	3.70/ms	2.00/ms	0.18/ms	0.59/ms
Parsing, 12 Threads	122.50/ms	21.25/ms	7.61/ms	0.83/ms	2.40/ms
Caching, 1 Thread	60.94/ms	4.35/ms	15.30/ms	12.80/ms	0.63/ms
Caching, 12 Threads	432.73/ms	24.79/ms	71.20/ms	44.31/ms	2.39/ms

Bars:

Variation	Invesdwin	Parsii	Janino	Groovy	Spring
Parsing, 1 Thread	119.41/μs	19.43/μs	9.76/μs	0.92/μs	3.03/μs
Parsing, 12 Threads	649.74/μs	112.71/μs	40.40/μs	3.36/μs	12.73/μs
Caching, 1 Thread	323.23/μs	22.56/μs	81.15/μs	67.93/μs	3.22/μs
Caching, 12 Threads	2295.19/μs	131.50/μs	377.70/μs	235.06/μs	12.65/μs

- with BitSet:

Variation	Strategies	Bars
Caching, 1 Thread	223.31/ms	1202.55/μs
Caching, 12 Threads	1488.04/ms	8013.07/μs

Intel I9 9900K 5 GHz

20 years daily bars

RAM irrelevant



“Caching” reuses
parsed Expression

BitSets provide
maximum
performance
indifferent to
expression
complexity!

4. Possible Uses

- Compare Strategy Types against each other:
 - Trend Following vs Mean Reversion
 - Signal vs Breakout
- Compare Machine Learning Techniques:
 - Evolutionary: Differential Evolution, Harmony Search, Symbiotic Organisms, Extreme Learning
 - Other: Support Vector Machines, (Deep) Neural Networks, etc
- Compare Robustness Techniques:
 - Whites Reality Check, Monte Carlo, Cross Validation, Walk Forward Analysis

4.1. Genetic Programming



Example of randomly generated entry rule:

CCI	60	>	0	&&	Day of week	<>	Monday	Enter Long	At Market
-----	----	---	---	----	-------------	----	--------	------------	-----------

if (CCI(60) > 0 && Day of week <> Monday) then Enter Long At Market


Source:
<https://www.strategyquant.com/licenses/d?code=sqxug>

- Daily Data with Signal Strategies
 - 140k to 1.6 million Backtests per Second
 - with 12 Cores
- Speed and RAM usage Depending on
 - Time Range
 - how many Simulated Trades happen (Breakout slower)
 - Granularity: Ticks, Renko, Volume, 5 Mins, Daily, ...
- **Allows Testing Processes, not just Strategies**
 - 4-8 Times Faster than Fastest Alternative
 - Alternatives offer only Entry/Exit Decision Points in Cross Sectional Studies without Significance Test

- Signal Strategies

- Entry: `enterLongAtMarket(Signal1 && Signal2 && Signal3 && Signal4)`
- Exit: `exit(Signal5 && Signal6 && Signal7 && Signal8)`
- Inspired by BuildAlpha

(Source: <https://www.buildalpha.com/>)



- Breakout Strategies

- Entry: `FilterLong && enterLongAtStop(LongPriceLevel + Volatility * Factor)`
|| `FilterShort && enterShortAtStop(ShortPriceLevel - Volatility * Factor)`
- Exit: `exitOnClose`
- Inspired by BetterTraderAcademy


(Source: <https://www.bettertraderacademy.com/>)

5.1. Test Setup


- **Foreign Exchange Market:** EURUSD
- **Commission:** Dukascopy Broker
(Source: <https://www.dukascopy.com/swiss/english/about/fee-schedule/>)
- **Bars:** Daily → Time[1 DAY|UTC]
- **Order Types:** [Market] vs [Stop, Limit, StopLimit, MarketIfTouched]
- **Positions:** [Long] vs [Long || Short]
- **Money Management:** FixedAmount(minLot)
- **Strategy Filter:** rank(inSampleProfitLoss) <= 10
- **Walk Forward Analysis:**
 - 6 Years IN Samples; 1 Year OUT Samples
 - 10 Steps from 2010 to 2020

5.2. Test Results: Equity

Signal




Breakout




5.3. Test Results: Walk Forward

Signal



Breakout



WalkForwardEfficiency:	16.42%	SymmetricalEfficiency:	34.55%
Out of Sample Optimization Steps (Symmetrical/PreOptimization)			
AvgPeriod:	P4Y4M2W3DT16H20M	AvgCDGR:	0.072‰
In Sample Optimization Steps			
AvgPeriod:	P6Y4M3W6DT9H30M	AvgCDGR:	0.21‰
Out of Sample Walk Forward Steps (Asymmetrical/PostOptimization)			
AvgPeriod:	P11M2W6DT19H40M	AvgCDGR:	0.034‰

WalkForwardEfficiency:	43.4%	SymmetricalEfficiency:	74.11%
Out of Sample Optimization Steps (Symmetrical/PreOptimization)			
AvgPeriod:	P4Y4M2W3DT16H20M	AvgCDGR:	0.094‰
In Sample Optimization Steps			
AvgPeriod:	P6Y4M3W6DT9H30M	AvgCDGR:	0.13‰
Out of Sample Walk Forward Steps (Asymmetrical/PostOptimization)			
AvgPeriod:	P11M2W6DT19H40M	AvgCDGR:	0.055‰



5.4. Test Results: Whites Reality

Signal

BenchmarkCDGR::	0.01318‰
ProbabilityOfLuck:	39.86%
DataMiningBias:	16.58%
MedianRandomCDGR::	0.002185‰
ProbabilityOfNonLuck:	60.14%

Breakout


BenchmarkCDGR::	0.04696‰
ProbabilityOfLuck:	11.65%
DataMiningBias:	16.94%
MedianRandomCDGR::	0.0007953‰
ProbabilityOfNonLuck:	88.35%



5.5. Test Results: Stability


200 Runs

Signal




Confidence Level	66.ProfitLoss
1%	2528.84000
2%	2343.97000
5%	2173.51000
25%	1739.11000
50%	1452.83000
75%	1159.93000
95%	714.87000
98%	568.97000
99%	362.11000
Avg	1439.32300
Range	2335.25000
IQ-Range	579.18000

Breakout



Confidence Level	66.ProfitLoss
1%	2811.01000
2%	2550.84000
5%	2466.10000
25%	2249.46000
50%	2062.98000
75%	1942.70000
95%	1684.96000
98%	1576.65000
99%	1513.90000
Avg	2078.13455
Range	1562.26000
IQ-Range	306.76000

5.6. Breakout Order Types



[Stop]

WalkForwardEfficiency: 119.1%
SymmetricalEfficiency: 131.14%

[Limit]

WalkForwardEfficiency: 93.08%
SymmetricalEfficiency: 117.81%

[StopLimit]

WalkForwardEfficiency: -16.82%
SymmetricalEfficiency: -18.06%

[MarketIfTouched]

WalkForwardEfficiency: -60.76%
SymmetricalEfficiency: -113.29%

[Stop, Limit, StopLimit, MarketIfTouched]

WalkForwardEfficiency: 43.4%
SymmetricalEfficiency: 74.11%

Next Research Question:

Which process can reduce false positives without human bias?

Thank You for Your Attention!

Further Questions?