## Martingale Representation

## Guillaume Rabault

The martingale representation problem in its simplest form is the following. Given a filtration generated by a martingale M and given another martingale N adapted to the filtration, can we express N as a stochastic integral with M as the integrator? The martingale N is generally closed, i.e. it can be expressed as the conditional expectation of a terminal variable  $N_T$ . In this case, the integrand  $H_t$  of the stochastic integral representation is heuristically the sensitivity of  $N_T$  to the shock  $dM_t$ . The Brownian filtration is the most important example where a Martingale Representation Theorem holds.

The theory of martingale representation is concerned with the following problem.

Consider a filtered probability space  $(\Omega, \mathcal{F}, P)$  with index space  $\mathbb{T} = [0, T]$  where T is finite. Such a space supports a set of martingales  $\mathcal{M}$  against which we can compute stochastic integrals for predictable integrands.

We are given an  $\mathcal{F}_T$ -measurable random variable  $X_T$ . It induces a martingale  $(E_t[X_T])_{t\in\mathbb{T}}$ . This process represents, within the model, the anticipation of  $X_T$  at any point t. The changes in  $E_t[X_T]$  as a function of t reflect the real time acquisition of information on  $X_T$ . New information comes as surprises as modeled in martingale differences (see this post). Heuristically, martingale representation asks the following question: can we represent the surprises in  $(E_t[X_T])_{t\in\mathbb{T}}$  for any  $X_T$  as a linear function of the (contemporaneous) surprises embedded in our set  $\mathcal{M}$  of martingales. More precisely, can we represent the martingale  $(E_t[X_T])_{t\in\mathbb{T}}$  as a sum of stochastic integrals against some martingales in  $\mathcal{M}$ .

A striking incarnation of this issue is found when the filtered probability space is generated by a Brownian motion<sup>1</sup>.

Theorem (Martingale Representation for the Brownian Filtration):Let  $\mathcal{F}$  be the smallest right continuous and complete filtration generated by a univariate Brownian motion  $(B_t)_{t\in\mathbb{T}}$ . Let  $X_T$  be an  $\mathcal{F}_T$ -measurable random variable with finite second moment  $E_0[X_T^2] < \infty$ . Then there is a predictable process

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup>The following results can be found in Bass[2011], p. 80.

 $(H_t)_{t\in\mathbb{T}}$  with  $\int_0^T H_s^2 ds < \infty$  such that:

$$X_T = E[X_T] + \int_0^T H_s dB_s.$$

In the same context as above, we have a simple yet important corollary:

**Corollary:** For any square integrable right continuous martingale  $(M_t)_{t\in\mathbb{T}}$  with  $M_0=0$ , there exists a predictable process  $(H_t)_{t\in\mathbb{T}}$  with  $\int_0^T H_s^2 ds < \infty$  such that:

$$M_t = \int_0^t H_s dB_s.$$

In other words, all square integrable right continuous martingales with initial value zero are Brownian stochastic integrals. Actually, in our context, all square integrable martingales have a version which is still a martingale and is right continuous with left limits. They can therefore be represented as Brownian integrals. Since Brownian integrals have continuous trajectories, all square integrable martingales in this setup have a continuous version. Finally, one can extend the above result to show that all local martingales can be represented as a Brownian stochastic integral.

It is quite easy to generate setups where the filtration is the minimal filtration generated by a given martingale  $(M_t)_{t\in\mathbb{T}}$ , and yet, the filtration supports other martingales which cannot be written as sotchastic integrals of  $(M_t)_{t\in\mathbb{T}}$ . In this post, an example is given where  $\mathbb{T}$  is discrete and  $(M_t)_{t\in\mathbb{T}}$  has standardized gaussian increments. If, on the other hand,  $(M_t)_{t\in\mathbb{T}}$  has binomial increments, the martingale representation holds with the set  $\mathcal{M}$  consisting of  $(M_t)_{t\in\mathbb{T}}$ . A solution to recover a martingale representation result when it does not hold for  $\mathcal{M} = \{(M_t)_{t\in\mathbb{T}}\}$  is to add other martingales in  $\mathcal{M}$ , based on higher order moments of  $(M_t)_{t\in\mathbb{T}}$  for instance. Indeed, the problems generally come from the difficulty of generating non linear functions of  $(M_t)_{t\in\mathbb{T}}$  through the stochastic integral which, in the end, is just a linear reweighting of the increments of  $(M_t)_{t\in\mathbb{T}}$ .

Given the above remarks, the Brownian martingale representation theorem looks like a nice accident. I now sketch the proof. An  $\mathcal{F}_T$ -measurable random variable is, roughly speaking, a function of the increments of the Brownian motion. A simple example would be a function  $f(B_{t_1} - B_{t_0}, \dots, B_{t_n} - B_{t_{n-1}})$  where the time intervals  $[t_i, t_{i-1}]$  do not overlap. Such functions can however be recovered

 $<sup>^{2}</sup>E_{0}[M_{T}^{2}]<\infty.$ 

through Fourier transform from products of complex exponentials<sup>3</sup>:

$$\exp(iu_1(B_{t_1}-B_{t_0}))\cdots\exp(iu_n(B_{t_n}-B_{t_{n-1}})).$$

It is conceivable that if a martingale representation were to hold for such a function, the representation could be extended by limiting arguments to all  $\mathcal{F}_T$ -measurable random variables. However, Ito calculus implies that:

$$\exp(iu_k(B_t - B_{t_{k-1}}) + \frac{1}{2}u_k^2(t - t_{k-1})) = 1 +$$

$$\int_{t_{k-1}}^{t_k} iu_k \exp(iu_k(B_s - B_{t_{k-1}}) + \frac{1}{2}u_k^2(s - t_{k-1}))dB_s,$$

i.e.

$$d\left(\exp(iu_k(B_t-B_{t_{k-1}})+\frac{1}{2}u_k^2(t-t_{k-1}))\right)=\exp(iu_k(B_t-B_{t_{k-1}})+\frac{1}{2}u_k^2(t-t_{k-1}))dB_t.$$

This complex exponential is a geometric martingale with initial value 1 at  $t = t_{k-1}$ .

From this, we get (taking  $t = t_k$  and rearranging terms):

$$Z_{k-1} = \exp(iu_k(B_{t_k} - B_{t_{k-1}})) = \exp(-\frac{1}{2}u_k^2(t_k - t_{k-1})) +$$

$$\int_{t_{k-1}}^{t_k} iu_k \exp(iu_k(B_s - B_{t_{k-1}}) + \frac{1}{2}u_k^2(s - t_k))dB_s$$

$$= F_{k-1} + \int_{t_k}^{t_k} H_{k-1}(s)dB_s,$$

where  $Z_{k-1}$  is the random variable of interest,  $F_{k-1}$  is a function of non random parameters only and  $H_{k-1}$  is the integrand within the stochastic integral. We thus have the right representation for a single exponential of a Brownian increment.

When multiplying two such terms attached to non overlapping intervals, say  $[t_{k-1}, t_k]$  and  $[t_k, t_{k+1}]$ , the product rule entails no covariation terms because the stochastic integrals refer to non overlapping time intervals:

$$\left[ \int_{t_{k-1}}^{t_k} H_{k-1}(s) dB_s, \int_{t_k}^{t_{k+1}} H_k(s) dB_s \right] = 0.$$

We thus have the following representation for the product:

$$Z_{k-1}Z_k = F_{k-1}F_k + \int_{t_{k-1}}^{t_k} F_k H_{k-1}(s) dB_s + \int_{t_k}^{t_{k+1}} Z_{k-1}H_k(s) dB_s,$$

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>3</sup>In our context, the Fourier transform amounts to mixing functions indexed by  $(u_1, \ldots, u_n)$  using a weighting scheme  $\hat{f}(u_1, \ldots, u_n)$ .

which still has the right structure. It is now clear that any product involving a finite number of such exponentials involving non overlapping intervals has a martingale representation. The rest of the proof is a matter of spelling out the limiting arguments that allow to extend<sup>4</sup> the representation to any function  $f(B_{t_1} - B_{t_0}, \dots, B_{t_n} - B_{t_{n-1}})$  and then to any  $\mathcal{F}_T$ -measurable random variable (through a density argument).

In the Brownian context thus, Brownian integrals allow to generate all the local martingales supported by the filtration<sup>5</sup>. Amongst them are all the martingales generated by moments  $B_t^{\alpha}$ , for instance  $X_t = B_t^2 - t = 2 \int_0^t B_s dB_s$ .

A striking illustration of this involves Hermite polynomial functions. If  $H_n(x,y)=\left(\frac{y}{2}\right)^{\frac{n}{2}}h_n(\frac{x}{\sqrt{2y}})$   $(n\geq 0)$  where  $h_n(\cdot)$  are Hermite polynomials<sup>6</sup>, then  $H_n(B_t,t)$  are martingales and we have the following integral representation:

$$H_n(B_t,t) = \int_0^t nH_{n-1}(B_u,u)dB_u = n! \int_0^t \int_0^{t_{n-1}} \cdots \int_0^{t_1} dB_s dB_{t_1} \cdots dB_{t_{n-1}}.$$

This result can be found for instance in Chung[1990], chapter 6.

Reference: Chung K.L and R.J. Williams, 1990: An introduction to Stochastic Integration, Birkhauser.

Bass R.F., 2011, Stochastic Processes, Cambridge University Press

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>4</sup>Through the Fourier transform, which amounts to integrating the integral representations attached to different parameters  $(u_1, \ldots, u_n)$ , using a weighting scheme  $\hat{f}(u_1, \ldots, u_n)$ .

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>5</sup>It is important that the filtration be the minimal filtration generated by the Brownian motion, i.e. the smallest right continuous and complete filtration generated by the Brownian motion.

 $<sup>^{6}</sup>H_{0}(x,y) = 1, H_{1}(x,y) = x, H_{2}(x,y) = x^{2} - y, \dots$