

# Regular Expressions Exercises (1)

## Regular Expressions Overview and Definition

Regular expressions (regex) are a powerful tool used in programming and shell scripting for searching, matching, and manipulating text based on specific patterns. They enable developers and system administrators to perform complex text processing tasks efficiently. Regular expressions can be used in various programming languages and command-line tools, and utilities like `grep`, `sed`, and `awk` in the Unix/Linux shell.

For this exercise you'll want to refer often to a manual for `grep`. You can access one on the commandline by invoking `man grep`. You've already tackled some problems involving regular expressions in a previous exercise. Here are some more advanced questions that will require you to understand more about `grep`, its options, and how regular expression syntax works.

1. Study the documentation for the `w` option.

```
-w, --word-regexp
```

```
Select only those lines containing matches that
The test is that the matching substring r
beginning of the line, or preceded by a
character. Similarly, it must be either at th
followed by a non-word constituent character
```

characters are letters, digits, and the underscore. The `-x` option has no effect if `-x` is also specified.

Contrive a file such that `grep PATTERN FILE` returns two different lines but `grep -w PATTERN FILE` returns only one line.

**grep\_practise.text:**

```
silent
lent
```

```
grep 'lent' grep_practise.txt
```

OUTPUT:

```
silent
lent
```

```
grep -w 'lent' grep_practise.txt
```

OUTPUT:

```
lent
```

2. You'll have seen beforehand that you can count the results of a search with `grep PATTERN FILE | wc -l`. However, `grep` also has a `-c` option which counts matches. Can you find the situation where the `wc -l` approach and the `-c` approach produce different results? Can you explain why?

`grep -c` counts the number of lines that match the pattern passed to grep. `wc -l` will count the number of lines that contain the pattern

REVISIT PERHAPS??????

3. Some words have different spelling between British English and American English. For example, 'encyclopaedia' is valid in British English but not American. Can you write a regular expression that would match both of these words, but nothing else? How about matching both 'color' (American) and 'colour' (British)?

The `?` operator would look for either 0 or 1 occurrences of the preceding element, i.e. the element behind it.

To use `?` you need to tell grep to look for **extended regular expressions** using the `-E` flag

Example text file:

```
encyclopaedia
silent
color
lent
colour
encyclopedia
```

```
grep -E 'colou?r' grep_practise.txt
```

OUTPUT:  
color

```
colour
```

```
grep -E 'encyclopa?edia' grep_practise.txt
```

OUTPUT:

```
encyclopaedia
```

```
encyclopedia
```

4. UK postcodes follow a general schema of two letters followed by one number, followed by an optional space, then another number, followed by two more letters. Can you write a regular expression that would match such sequences?

Example input file:

```
TQ8 5SQ  
TQ95UJ  
TQ9 5UJ  
TQQ 5UJ  
SL94EX  
9AA 4EX
```

```
grep -Ei '^[A-Z]{2}\d[[:space:]]?\d[a-z]{2}' regex_postcodes.txt
```

- `i` makes grep case insensitive
- `{2}` checks for 2 instances of the preceding character, in this case 2 alphabetical characters

5. In practice, the above is a simplified version of the system, and a better UK postcode validator regex is known to be `^(([A-Z]{1,2}[0-9][A-Z0-9]?|ASCN|STHL|TDCU|BBND|[BFS]IQQ|PCRN|TKCA) ?[0-9][A-Z]{2}|BFPO ?[0-9]{1,4}|(KY[0-9]|MSR|VG|AI)[ -]?[0-9]{4}|[A-Z]{2} ?[0-9]{2}|GE ?CX|GIR ?0A{2}|SAN ?TA1)$`. Try

breaking apart this monster to understand what is being tested, and find an example that would match the schema described for the fourth question but fail to match this expression.