

# ***Lecture 3***

## ***The B Method***

*AMN, GSL, Examples, Tools*

# Lecture Outline

---

- Abstract Machine Notation (AMN)
- Consistency of an Abstract Machine
- Generalised Substitution Language (GSL)
- B Mathematical Notation - Relations, Functions, Sequences
- *Deliveries* Example (see attached requirements/AMN spec/proof files)
- AtelierB tool (see <https://www.atelierb.eu/en/>)

# Abstract Machine Notation (AMN)

---

- Consists of a number of clauses allowing the definition of *abstract machines*: MACHINE, CONSTRAINTS, SETS, CONSTANTS, ...

```
MACHINE
   $M(X, x)$ 
CONSTRAINTS
   $C$ 
SETS
   $S;$ 
   $T = \{a, b\}$ 
(ABSTRACT_)CONSTANTS
   $c$ 
PROPERTIES
   $P$ 
DEFINITIONS
   $D$ 
(CONCRETE_)VARIABLES
   $v$ 
INVARIANT
   $I$ 
ASSERTIONS
   $J$ 
INITIALIZATION
   $U$ 
OPERATIONS
   $u \leftarrow O(w) \hat{=}$ 
    PRE
       $Q$ 
    THEN
       $V$ 
    END;
  ...
END
```

# Consistency of an Abstract Machine

- Establishing the internal consistency of an abstract machine involves proving that
  - its context and invariant ensure the assertions

$$A \wedge B \wedge C \wedge P \wedge I \Rightarrow J \quad (1)$$

- within the context in question, the initialization ensures the invariant and each of the operations preserves it

$$A \wedge B \wedge C \wedge P \Rightarrow [U]I \quad (2)$$

$$A \wedge B \wedge C \wedge P \wedge I \wedge J \wedge Q \Rightarrow [V]I \quad (3)$$

- Contextual abbreviations used by the above proof obligations

| Abbreviation | Definition   |
|--------------|--|
| A            | $X \in \mathbb{P}_1(\text{INT})$   |
| B            | $S \in \mathbb{P}_1(\text{INT}) \wedge T \in \mathbb{P}_1(\text{INT}) \wedge T = \{a, b\} \wedge a \neq b$ |

# Generalised Substitution Language (GSL)

---

- Consists of a number of *generalised substitutions* allowing the definition of abstract machine operations
- Generalised substitutions are an extension of the usual substitutions used in mathematics, the effect of which consists in the transformation of formulas on which they are applied
- A generalised substitution  $S$  is defined by the way it transforms an arbitrary predicate  $P$ , the meaning of the new predicate being axiomatized
  - Notation  $[S]P$ , read " $S$  establishes  $P$ "

# Elementary Substitutions

| Substitution (syntax)                        | Matching axiom (semantics)  |
|--|---|
| $v := E$ (simple substitution)               | $[v := E] R \Leftrightarrow R$ with all free occurrences of $v$ replaced by $E$ |
| skip (no effect substitution)                | $[\text{skip}] R \Leftrightarrow R$   |
| $P \mid S$ (preconditioned substitution)     | $[P \mid S] R \Leftrightarrow (P \wedge [S] R)$                                 |
| $P \Longrightarrow S$ (guarded substitution) | $[P \Longrightarrow S] R \Leftrightarrow (P \Rightarrow [S] R)$                 |
| $S \square T$ (bounded choice substitution)  | $[S \square T] R \Leftrightarrow ([S] R \wedge [T] R)$                          |
| $@ x . S$ (unbounded choice substitution)    | $[@ x . S] R \Leftrightarrow (\forall x . [S] R)$ , if $x \setminus R$          |

# Syntactic Extensions of Elementary Substitutions

| Syntax                         | Definition  |
|--------------------------------|---|
| BEGIN $S$ END                  | $S$   |
| $x := E \parallel y := F$      | $x, y := E, F$  |
| PRE $P$ THEN $S$ END           | $P \mid S$  |
| IF $P$ THEN $S$ ELSE $T$ END   | $(P \implies S) \parallel (\neg P \implies T)$                |
| IF $P$ THEN $S$ END            | IF $P$ THEN $S$ ELSE <b>skip</b> END                          |
| CHOICE $S$ OR ... OR $T$ END   | $S \parallel \dots \parallel T$                               |
| VAR $x$ IN $S$ END             | @ $x$ . $S$   |
| ANY $x$ WHERE $P$ THEN $S$ END | @ $x$ . ( $P \implies S$ )                                    |
| $x : \in E$                    | ANY $z$ WHERE $z \in E$ THEN $x := z$ END, if $z \setminus E$ |

# Syntactic Extensions of Elementary Substitutions

---

| Syntax   | Definition   |
|--|--|
| SELECT $P$ THEN $S$<br>WHEN $Q$ THEN $T \dots$<br>WHEN $R$ THEN $U$<br>END | CHOICE $P \implies S$<br>OR $Q \implies T \dots$<br>OR $R \implies U$<br>END                       |
| SELECT $P$ THEN $S \dots$<br>WHEN $Q$ THEN $T$<br>ELSE $U$<br>END          | SELECT $P$ THEN $S \dots$<br>WHEN $Q$ THEN $T$<br>WHEN $\neg(P \vee \dots \vee Q)$ THEN $U$<br>END |



# Multiple Generalised Substitution

---

- It is a generalisation of the  $||$  operator, introduced previously as a mere "syntactic sugar" for multiple simple substitutions
- It is the basic ingredient allowing to build large specifications
- Construct:  $S||T$ , read " $S$  with  $T$ ", where  $S$  and  $T$  are generalised substitutions supposed to work on two abstract machines  $M$  and  $N$ , working with the respective distinct variables  $x$  and  $y$ .
- There is no rule for calculating  $[S||T]P$  from  $[S]P$  and  $[T]P$
- When occurring in proof obligations, the generalised substitution must be reduced to a form in which the parallel operator has been removed
- There are reduction rules (equivalences) used to move a parallel operator inside choices and conditionals, until reaching a point where it is only applied on simple assignments, which can be rewritten to remove it completely

## Multiple Generalised Substitution (cont.)

- Basic reduction rules

|                                     |   |
|-------------------------------------|---|
| $x := E \parallel y := F$           | $= x, y := E, F$                                  |
| $S \parallel T$                     | $= T \parallel S$                                 |
| $S \parallel skip$                  | $= S$   |
| $S \parallel (P \mid T)$            | $= P \mid (S \parallel T)$                        |
| $S \parallel (P \Longrightarrow T)$ | $= P \Longrightarrow (S \parallel T)$             |
| $S \parallel (T \sqcap U)$          | $= (S \parallel T) \sqcap (S \parallel U)$        |
| $S \parallel (@z.T)$                | $= @z.(S \parallel T), \text{ if } z \setminus S$ |

- Similar rules apply when elementary substitutions are replaced by their syntactic extensions

## B Mathematical Notation - Relations

---

- AMN notation allows us to declare variables and constants having as type a relation type
- A *relation*  $R$  between sets  $S$  and  $T$  is a member of the powerset  $\mathbb{P}(S \times T)$ , i.e. a set of pairs  $(s, t)$ , where  $s \in S$  and  $t \in T$ , representing those elements that are related
- Shorthand notation:  $S \leftrightarrow T \equiv \mathbb{P}(S \times T)$
- The *domain* of a relation  $R \in S \leftrightarrow T$  is the set of elements in  $S$  that are related to something in  $T$  by means of  $R$ 
  - $dom(R) = \{x \mid x \in S \wedge \exists y \cdot (y \in T \wedge (x, y) \in R)\}$
- The *range* of a relation  $R \in S \leftrightarrow T$  is the set of elements in  $T$  that are related to something in  $S$  by means of  $R$ 
  - $ran(R) = \{y \mid y \in T \wedge \exists x \cdot (x \in S \wedge (x, y) \in R)\}$

# Operations on Relations

- $S <| R$  - restriction of  $R$  by  $S$ , aka *domain restriction*: retain only those pairs from  $R$  whose first component is in  $S$
- $R |> T$  - co-restriction of  $R$  by  $T$ , aka *range restriction*: retain only those pairs from  $R$  whose second component is in  $T$
- $S <<| R$  - anti-restriction of  $R$  by  $S$ , aka *domain subtraction*: retain only those pairs from  $R$  whose first component is not in  $S$
- $R |>> T$  - anti-co-restriction of  $R$  by  $T$ , aka *range subtraction*: retain only those pairs from  $R$  whose second component is not in  $T$
- $R_1 <+ R_2$  - *relational overriding*: updating of  $R_1$  according to  $R_2$
- $\sim R$  - *inverse* of  $R$ :  $\{(t, s) | (s, t) \in R\}$
- $R[U]$  - *relational image* of  $U$  by  $R$ : the set of all elements of  $T$  related by  $R$  to some element of  $U$
- $R_1; R_2$  - *composition* of relations  $R_1 : S \leftrightarrow T$  and  $R_2 : T \leftrightarrow U$ :  $\{(s, u) | \exists t \in T \cdot (s, t) \in R_1 \wedge (t, u) \in R_2\}$

# Functions

---

- A *function* is a special type of relation, in which an element of the source set can be related to at most one element of the target set
- If  $f$  is a function then  $f(x)$  is the result of applying  $f$  on the argument  $x$
- Concepts and operations discussed for relations apply to functions as well (e.g. domain or range)
- *Total* functions are functions whose domain is the entire source set
- *Partial* functions are functions whose domain is a subset of the source set
- *Injective* (one-to-one) functions are functions in case of which for any  $y$  from the range there is at most one  $x$  from their domain such that  $y = f(x)$
- *Surjective* functions are functions in case of which the range is the entire target set

# Function Types

---

- Let  $f$  be a function from source set  $X$  to target set  $Y$ . Then  $f$  is:
  - *total* (notation  $-->$ ) if  $\text{dom}(f) = X, \text{ran}(f) \subseteq Y$
  - *partial* (notation  $+->$ ) if  $\text{dom}(f) \subseteq X, \text{ran}(f) \subseteq Y$
  - *total injection* (notation  $>->$ ) if  $\text{dom}(f) = X, \text{ran}(f) \subseteq Y$  and one-to-one function
  - *partial injection* (notation  $>+>$ ) if  $\text{dom}(f) \subseteq X, \text{ran}(f) \subseteq Y$  and one-to-one function
  - *total surjection* (notation  $-->>$ ) if  $\text{dom}(f) = X, \text{ran}(f) = Y$
  - *partial surjection* (notation  $+->>$ ) if  $\text{dom}(f) \subseteq X, \text{ran}(f) = Y$
  - *bijection* (notation  $>->>$ ) if  $\text{dom}(f) = X, \text{ran}(f) = Y$  and one-to-one function

# Sequences

---

- *Sequences* are ordered finite lists of elements of a given type
  - An element may appear more than once
- A sequence over  $S$  is a total function from  $1..n \rightarrow S$  for some  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ 
  - Operations applicable on sets, relations, functions also apply to sequences
- Notations
  - $seq(S)$  - the set of finite sequences with elements from  $S$
  - $seq1(S)$  - the set of finite non-empty sequences with elements from  $S$
  - $iseq(S)$  - the set of injective sequences with elements from  $S$  (no repetitions)
  - $perm(S)$  - permutations of elements from a finite set  $S$
  - $[e_1, e_2, \dots, e_n]$  - sequence with elements  $e_1, e_2, \dots, e_n$ , the same as  $\{(1, e_1), (2, e_2), \dots, (n, e_n)\}$
  - $[]$  - empty sequence

# Operations on Sequences

---

- $size(s)$  - size of sequence  $s$
- $rev(s)$  - the reverse of  $s$
- $first(s)$  - the first element of  $s$ , if  $s$  is non-empty
- $last(s)$  - the last element of  $s$ , if  $s$  is non-empty
- $tail(s)$  -  $s$  with the first element removed (if non-empty)
- $front(s)$  -  $s$  with the last element removed (if non-empty)
- $s/||n$  -  $s$  with the first  $n$  elements retained (head restriction, if  $size(s) \geq n$ )
- $s\backslash||/n$  -  $s$  with the first  $n$  elements removed (tail restriction)
- $s^{\wedge}t$  - concatenation of sequences  $s$  and  $t$
- $e \rightarrow s$  - the sequence obtained by prepending  $e$  to  $s$  (head insertion)
- $s \leftarrow e$  - the sequence obtained by appending  $e$  to  $s$  (tail insertion)