Evaluation Protocol

	Short Description	Associated Data	Relevant Section
Step 1: Workshop	Conducted the workshop as the main part of the evaluation study. During the workshop 2 real-world scenarios were presented to the workshop participants, and they modeled them using CMMN.	1. Participants' Models	Section 4.2.1
Step 2: Models Evaluation	We evaluated the created models, as their evaluation indicates whether there was a good use of CMMN language's syntax and proper understanding of its principles. For models' complexity evaluation, the metrics as well as their calculation equations, presented in [1] were used. For models' expressiveness evaluation, the CMMN patterns, chosen from those presented in [2], were recognised in the participants' models. Specific variability	2. Models' evaluation results	Section 5

	measures (Mean, Range and Standard Deviation) were also calculated for the models' evaluation results.		
Evaluation surve about It incregary usabit where CMM CMM evalu The were on previous the a evalue.	The participants took part in a survey about their experience	Usability Evaluation questionaire	Section 6.1
	about modeling with CMMN. It included a few questions regarding CMMN language's	4. <u>Usability Evaluation</u> <u>results</u>	Section 6.2
	were processed using AHP,	5. Questionnaire	Section 6.1.
		6. Questionnaire responses per question	Section 6.1.
		7. Evaluation results	Section 6.2.

References

- 1. Marin, M. A., Lotriet, H., & Van Der Poll, J. A. (2015, September). Metrics for the case management modeling and notation (CMMN) specification. In *Proceedings of the 2015 Annual Research Conference on South African Institute of Computer Scientists and Information Technologists* (pp. 1-10).
- 2. Carvalho, R., Boubaker, A., Gonzalez-Huerta, J., Mili, H., Ringuette, S., & Charif, Y. (2016, March). On the analysis of cmmn expressiveness: revisiting workflow patterns. In *Enterprise Distributed Object Computing Workshop* (pp. 1-8).