

2 Gradient of energy-based models

$$p(x; \theta) = e^{-V(x; \theta)} / Z_\theta$$

$$Z_\theta = \int e^{-V(x; \theta)} dx$$

$$L(\theta) = -\frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n \log p(x_i; \theta)$$

$$\hat{p}_n = \frac{1}{n} \delta(\cdot - x_i)$$

Prove  $\nabla L(\theta) = \mathbb{E}_{x \sim \hat{p}_n} [\nabla V(x_i; \theta)] - \mathbb{E}_{x \sim p_\theta} [\nabla V(x; \theta)]$

Proof.

$$\begin{aligned} \nabla L(\theta) &= -\frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n \frac{\nabla p(x_i; \theta)}{p(x_i; \theta)} \\ &= -\frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n \frac{-e^{-V(x_i; \theta)} \nabla V(x_i; \theta) Z_\theta + e^{-V(x_i; \theta)} \int e^{-V(x; \theta)} \nabla V(x; \theta) dx}{e^{-V(x_i; \theta)} Z_\theta} \quad (\text{derivative rule}) \\ &= \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n \nabla V(x_i; \theta) - \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n \frac{\int e^{-V(x; \theta)} \nabla V(x; \theta) dx}{\int e^{-V(x; \theta)} dx} \\ &= \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n \nabla V(x_i; \theta) - \frac{\int \nabla V(x; \theta) e^{-V(x; \theta)} dx}{\int e^{-V(x; \theta)} dx} \\ &= \mathbb{E}_{x \sim \hat{p}_n} [\nabla V(x_i; \theta)] - \mathbb{E}_{x \sim p_\theta} [\nabla V(x; \theta)] \quad \# \\ &\quad (\text{definition}) \end{aligned}$$

### 3 Universal Approximation of energy-based model

$$K = [0, 1]^d \quad P = \text{Unif}[0, 1]^d$$

$$\mathcal{V} \subseteq C(K) \text{ dense in } C(K)$$

$$\mathcal{Q} := \left\{ Q(x) = \frac{e^{-V(x)}}{\mathbb{E}_P[e^{-V}]} : V \in \mathcal{V} \right\}$$

(a)  $V_1, V_2 \in C(K)$

$$KL(Q_1 \| Q_2) \leq 2 \|V_1 - V_2\|_{C(K)}$$

Proof.

$$KL(Q_1 \| Q_2) = \mathbb{E}_{x \sim Q_1} \log \frac{e^{-V_1(x)}}{\mathbb{E}_P e^{-V_1}} \frac{\mathbb{E}_P e^{-V_2}}{e^{-V_2(x)}}$$

$$= \mathbb{E}_{x \sim Q_1} V_2(x) - V_1(x) + \mathbb{E}_{x \sim Q_1} \log \mathbb{E}_P e^{-V_2} - \log \mathbb{E}_P e^{-V_1}$$

$$\leq \|V_1 - V_2\|_{C(K)} + \mathbb{E}_{x \sim Q_1} \log \mathbb{E}_P e^{-V_{\min}} - \log \mathbb{E}_P e^{-V_{\max}} \quad (\text{Lemma})$$

$$\leq \|V_1 - V_2\|_{C(K)} + \mathbb{E}_{x \sim Q_1} \log \mathbb{E}_P e^{-V_{\max}} e^{\|V_1 - V_2\|} - \log \mathbb{E}_P e^{-V_{\max}}$$

$$= 2 \|V_1 - V_2\|_{C(K)}. \#$$

Lemma.  $\log \mathbb{E}_P e^{-V_2} - \log \mathbb{E}_P e^{-V_1} \leq \log \mathbb{E}_P e^{-V_{\min}} - \log \mathbb{E}_P e^{-V_{\max}}$

Proof. This is equivalent to

$$\mathbb{E}_P e^{-V_2} \cdot \mathbb{E}_P e^{-V_{\max}} \leq \mathbb{E}_P e^{-V_1} \cdot \mathbb{E}_P e^{-V_{\min}}$$

i.e.

$$\int e^{-V_2} dx \int e^{-V_{\max}} dx \leq \int e^{-V_1} dx \int e^{-V_{\min}} dx$$

This holds since

$$\int e^{-V_2} dx \leq \int e^{-V_{\min}} dx ;$$

$$\int e^{-V_{\max}} dx \leq \int e^{-V_1} dx \quad \#$$

(b)  $Q_* \in \mathcal{P}_{ac}(K) \cap C(K)$   $\rho|_K > 0$ ,  $\rho = \frac{dQ_*}{dL}$ ,  $L$  is Lebesgue measure on  $\mathbb{R}^d$ .  
 $\exists Q_m \in \mathcal{Q}$ ,  $\lim_{m \rightarrow \infty} KL(Q_* | Q_m) = 0$

Proof.  
 Let  $Q_*(x)$  be density of  $Q_*$ ,  $Q_*(x) \in C(K)$ .  $\inf_x Q_*(x) = C_0 > 0$   
 $Q_*(x) = \frac{e^{-\ln Q_*(x)}}{\int_p e^{-\ln Q_*(x)}}$   $V_*(x) := \ln Q_*(x) \in C(K)$

According to definition of  $\mathcal{Q}$ , for any  $n > 0$

$\exists V_n \in \mathcal{V}$ ,  $\|V_n - V_*\| \leq \frac{1}{n}$  in  $K$ .

$$\Rightarrow KL(Q_* | Q_n) \leq 2 \|V_* - V_n\|_{C(K)} \leq \frac{2}{n}$$

We are done. #

(c) Generalize to general  $Q_*$

Proof Let  $Q_*^n = (1 - \frac{1}{n}) Q_* + \frac{1}{n} P$   $Q_*^n$  is strictly positive.

Let  $R_n \in \mathcal{Q}$  be that  $KL(Q_*^n | R_n) \leq \frac{1}{n}$ .

We have

$$\begin{aligned} KL(Q_* | R_n) &\leq KL(Q_* | Q_*^n) + KL(Q_*^n | R_n) \\ &\leq (1 - \frac{1}{n}) KL(Q_* | Q_*) + \frac{1}{n} KL(Q_* | P) + \frac{1}{n} \\ &= \frac{1}{n} (1 + KL(Q_* | P)) \end{aligned}$$

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} KL(Q_* | R_n) = 0. \quad \#$$