What Is a Mathematical Property?

Cezar Ionescu
cezar.ionescu@conted.ox.ac.uk



OUDCE, Open Day, 1st September 2018 https://github.com/ionescu/open_days_2018

Natural numbers

$$\mathbb{N} = \{0, 1, 2, 3, \dots\}$$

God created the natural numbers. Everything else is the work of man.

— Leopold Kronecker (1823-1891)

Examples of mathematical properties

$$\mathbb{N} = \{0, 1, 2, 3, ...\}$$

- even
- equals 2
- smaller than 3
- prime
- •

Examples of non-mathematical properties

$$\mathbb{N} = \{0, 1, 2, 3, ...\}$$

- is big
- is pretty
- is interesting, friendly, heavy, ...

The extensional view

$$\mathbb{N} = \{0, 1, 2, 3, \dots\}$$

- even: Evens = $\{0, 2, 4, 6, 8, ...\}$
- equals 2: $Eq2 = \{2\}$
- smaller than 3: $Smaller3 = \{0, 1, 2\}$
- prime: $Primes = \{2, 3, 5, 7, 11, ...\}$
- •

The extensional view

$$\mathbb{N} = \{0, 1, 2, 3, \dots\}$$

- Evens = $\{n \mid n \text{ divisible by } 2\}$
- $Eq2 = \{n \mid n = 2\}$
- $Smaller3 = \{n \mid n < 3\}$
- Primes = {n | n has exactly two divisors}
- •

The extensional view

$$\mathbb{N} = \{0, 1, 2, 3, \dots\}$$

- Evens = $\{n \mid n \text{ divisible by } 2\}$
- $Eq2 = \{n \mid n = 2\}$
- $Smaller3 = \{n \mid n < 3\}$
- Primes = {n | n has exactly two divisors}
- •

The **intensional** view

$$\mathbb{N} = \{0, 1, 2, 3, \dots\}$$



Function

Definition: Let A and B sets. A function $f:A\to B$ is a *correspondence* between the elements of A and those of B that associates to *each* element of A a *unique* element of B. The unique element associated with $a\in A$ is denoted f(a).

Thus, if $a \in A$ and $f : A \rightarrow B$, then $f (a) \in B$. Example:

$$\begin{array}{lll} A & = \{ \clubsuit \,, \ \diamondsuit \,, \ \heartsuit \,, \ \spadesuit \} \\ B & = \{ \bigcirc \,, \ \triangle \,, \ \Box \} \end{array}$$

$$f(\clubsuit) = \bigcirc$$

$$f(\diamondsuit) = \triangle$$

$$f(\heartsuit) = \triangle$$


$$f(\spadesuit) = \bigcirc$$

Function

Another way of picturing the same function:

$$A = \{ \clubsuit, \diamondsuit, \heartsuit, \spadesuit \}$$

$$B = \{ \bigcirc, \triangle, \square \}$$




Surjective function

An example of an onto, or surjective, function:

$$A = \{ \clubsuit, \diamondsuit, \heartsuit, \spadesuit \}$$

$$B = \{ \bigcirc, \triangle, \square \}$$




Surjective function

We cannot have functions from a "smaller" set onto a "bigger" set.

$$A = \{ \clubsuit, \diamondsuit, \heartsuit, \spadesuit \}$$

$$B = \{ \bigcirc, \triangle, \square \}$$




Injective function

An example of a *one-to-one*, or injective, function:

$$A = \{ \clubsuit, \diamondsuit, \heartsuit, \spadesuit \}$$

$$B = \{ \bigcirc, \triangle, \square \}$$




Injective function

We cannot have a *one-to-one* function from a "bigger" set to a "smaller" set:

$$A = \{ \clubsuit, \diamondsuit, \heartsuit, \spadesuit \}$$

$$B = \{ \bigcirc, \triangle, \square \}$$



Functions and set cardinalities


If $f: A \rightarrow B$ is ...

• onto, then the cardinality of A is at least equal to that of B


• one-to-one, then the cardinality of A is at most equal to that of B

onto and one-to-one, then the cardinality of A is equal to that of B

$$\mathbb{N}=\{0\;,\;1\;,\;2\;,\;3\;,\;\ldots\}\;,\;\mathit{Bool}=\{\mathit{True},\mathit{False}\}\;$$
 even : $\mathbb{N}\to\mathit{Bool}$




$$\mathbb{N} = \{0, 1, 2, 3, \dots\}, Bool = \{True, False\}$$
 eq2 : $\mathbb{N} \to Bool$




$$\mathbb{N} = \{0, 1, 2, 3, \dots\}, Bool = \{True, False\}$$


smaller3: $\mathbb{N} \to Bool$




$$\mathbb{N}=\left\{ 0\;,\;1\;,\;2\;,\;3\;,\;\ldots
ight\} ,\;\;\mathit{Bool}=\left\{ \mathit{True},\mathit{False}\right\}$$
 prime : $\mathbb{N}\to\mathit{Bool}$



$$\mathbb{N} \ = \ \{ \ 0 \ , \ \ 1 \ , \ \ 2 \ , \ \ 3 \ , \ \ ... \} \ , \ \ \textit{Bool} \ = \ \{ \ \textit{True}, \ \textit{False} \}$$
 always : $\mathbb{N} \ \to \ \textit{Bool}$




$$\mathbb{N}=\{0\ ,\ 1\ ,\ 2\ ,\ 3\ ,\ ...\}\ ,\ \mathit{Bool}=\{\mathit{True},\mathit{False}\}$$
 never : $\mathbb{N}\to\mathit{Bool}$




A function that is both onto and one-to-one:


$$f: \{n; n \in \mathit{Nat}, \mathit{even} \ n = \mathit{True}\} \to \mathbb{N}$$
 $f \ n = n \ / \ 2$




From extensional to intensional:




From extensional to intensional:







From extensional to intensional:




From intensional to extensional:




From intensional to extensional:




From intensional to extensional:




Does there exist a one-to-one and unto function $f: \mathbb{N} \to (\mathbb{N} \to Bool)$? It's easy to find one-to-one functions:




There are many one-to-one functions $f: \mathbb{N} \to (\mathbb{N} \to Bool)$? But can we find one which is unto?



Suppose we have $f:\mathbb{N}\to(\mathbb{N}\to\mathit{Bool})$ unto.




Suppose we have $f:\mathbb{N}\to(\mathbb{N}\to\mathit{Bool})$ unto.



f 0 = even f 1 = eq2 f 2 = smaller3 f 3 = primef 4 = always

Suppose we have $f:\mathbb{N}\to(\mathbb{N}\to\mathit{Bool})$ unto.




$$C : \mathbb{N} \to Bool$$

 $C n = not((f n) n)$

Example:


Suppose we have $f:\mathbb{N}\to(\mathbb{N}\to\mathit{Bool})$ unto.



$$C$$
: $\mathbb{N} \to Bool$
 C n = $not((f n) n)$

Example: $C \ 0 = not(even \ 0) = False$

Suppose we have $f:\mathbb{N}\to(\mathbb{N}\to\mathit{Bool})$ unto.



$$C : \mathbb{N} \to Bool$$


 $C n = not((f n) n)$

Example:

$$C \ 0 = not (even \ 0) = False$$

 $C \ 1 = not (eq2 \ 1) = True$

Suppose we have $f:\mathbb{N}\to(\mathbb{N}\to\mathit{Bool})$ unto.




$$C : \mathbb{N} \to Bool$$

 $C n = not((f n) n)$

Example:

$$C \ 0 = not (even \ 0) = False$$
 $C \ 1 = not (eq2 \ 1) = True$
 $C \ 2 = not (smaller3 \ 2) = False$

Suppose we have $f:\mathbb{N}\to(\mathbb{N}\to\mathit{Bool})$ unto.




$$C : \mathbb{N} \to Bool$$

 $C n = not((f n) n)$

Example:

$$C \ 0 = not (even \ 0) = False$$
 $C \ 1 = not (eq2 \ 1) = True$
 $C \ 2 = not (smaller3 \ 2) = False$
 $C \ 3 = not (prime \ 3) = False$

Suppose we have $f:\mathbb{N}\to(\mathbb{N}\to\mathit{Bool})$ unto.




$$C : \mathbb{N} \to Bool$$

 $C n = not((f n) n)$

Example:

$$C \ 0 = not (even \ 0) = False$$
 $C \ 1 = not (eq2 \ 1) = True$
 $C \ 2 = not (smaller 3 \ 2) = False$
 $C \ 3 = not (prime \ 3) = False$
 $C \ 4 = not (always \ 4) = False$


Suppose we have $f:\mathbb{N}\to (\mathbb{N}\to \mathit{Bool})$ unto.



$$C : \mathbb{N} \to Bool$$

 $C n = not((f n) n)$


Suppose we have $f:\mathbb{N}\to(\mathbb{N}\to\mathit{Bool})$ unto.



$$C$$
: $\mathbb{N} \to Bool$
 C n = $not((f n) n)$

Consider N such that f(N) = C.

Suppose we have $f:\mathbb{N}\to (\mathbb{N}\to \mathit{Bool})$ unto.




$$C : \mathbb{N} \to Bool$$

 $C n = not((f n) n)$

Consider N such that f(N) = C.


Suppose we have $f:\mathbb{N}\to (\mathbb{N}\to \mathit{Bool})$ unto.



$$C: \mathbb{N} \to Bool$$
 $C n = not((f n) n)$

Consider N such that
 $f N = C$.
 $C N$
 $= \{ Definition $C \}$
 $not((f N) N)$$

Suppose we have $f:\mathbb{N}\to (\mathbb{N}\to \mathit{Bool})$ unto.




$$C: \mathbb{N} \to Bool$$
 $C n = not((f n) n)$

Consider N such that
 $f N = C$.
 $C N$

$$= \{ Definition $C \}$
 $not((f N) N)$

$$= \{ f N = C \}$$
 $not(C N)$$$

Suppose we have $f:\mathbb{N}\to (\mathbb{N}\to \mathit{Bool})$ unto.



$$C: \mathbb{N} \to Bool$$
 $C n = not((f n) n)$

Consider N such that
 $f N = C$.
 $C N$

$$= \{ Definition $C \}$
 $not((f N) N)$

$$= \{ f N = C \}$$
 $not(C N)$$$

(G. Cantor, 1845-1918)

Consequences

• There are "more" properties of natural numbers than there are natural numbers.

- There are "more" properties of a set than there are elements of that set (e.g., properties of properties of natural numbers).
- There exist uncomputable functions!


Programs

A computable function is a program.

Programs are stored as strings of ones and zeros, i.e., as natural numbers in binary representation.

So there cannot be "more" programs than natural numbers.

But eq0, eq1, eq2, ... are programs, so we cannot have "more" natural numbers than programs:



Constructivism

There are "more" properties of $\mathbb N$ than elements of $\mathbb N$, but only as many programs as elements of $\mathbb N$.

Therefore, there exists non-computable properties, and therefore non-computable functions.

Constructivists reject non-computable functions.

Constructivism

Constructivists reject non-computable functions.

Therefore, there are as many properties of natural numbers as there are natural numbers.

And, in fact, there are as many functions as there are natural numbers (as many properties of properties etc.).

The constructive universe is very small!

Constructivism

Constructivists reject non-computable functions.


Therefore, there are as many properties of natural numbers as there are natural numbers.

And, in fact, there are as many functions as there are natural numbers (as many properties of properties etc.).

The constructive universe is very small!


Or is it?

Suppose we have f: Nat -> (Nat -> Bool) unto.





Suppose we have f : Nat -> (Nat -> Bool) unto.

 $C : Nat \rightarrow Bool$ C n = not ((f n) n)




Suppose we have f : Nat -> (Nat -> Bool) unto.





Consider N such that f N = C.

Suppose we have f : Nat -> (Nat -> Bool) unto.



$$C : Nat \rightarrow Bool$$
 $C n = not ((f n) n)$

Consider N such that f N = C.

(G. Cantor, 1845-1918)

Conclusions

The function that associates $\mathbb N$ to computable properties is not computable.

Conclusions

The function that associates $\mathbb N$ to computable properties is not computable.

The classical mathematician uses an "illicit" function to prove there are as many $\mathbb N$ as programs.

Conclusions

The function that associates $\mathbb N$ to computable properties is not computable.

The classical mathematician uses an "illicit" function to prove there are as many $\mathbb N$ as programs.

Consequence: there is no program that can distinguish "good" programs from "bad" programs!