

### Method a)

**Input space:** nemployee >= 0, starttime >= 0, endtttime >= 0

**Partition #1:** starttime > endtime, schedule

test\_setRequiredNumber\_part1: input: "starttime = 2, endtime = 1",  
expected: No changes made to requiredNumber on any WorkSchedules.

```
@Test
// startTime > endTime
void setRequiredNumberPartition1() {
    WorkSchedule ws = new WorkSchedule( time: 5);
    ws.setRequiredNumber( nemployee: 1, starttime: 2, endtime: 1);
    int zero = ws.readSchedule( time: 0).requiredNumber;
    int one = ws.readSchedule( time: 1).requiredNumber;
    int two = ws.readSchedule( time: 2).requiredNumber;
    int three = ws.readSchedule( time: 3).requiredNumber;
    int four = ws.readSchedule( time: 4).requiredNumber;
    assertEquals( expected: 0, zero);
    assertEquals( expected: 0, one);
    assertEquals( expected: 0, two);
    assertEquals( expected: 0, three);
    assertEquals( expected: 0, four);
}
```

Explanation for test for partition #1: If endtime is greater than startTime no changes should be made to the schedule.

**Partition #2:** `endtime >= starttime & nemployee < workingEmployee.length[starttime]`

test\_setRequiredNumber\_part3: input: "nemployee = x, starttime = 0, endtime = 2",  
expected: `this.schedule[starttime].requiredNumber = x`  
`workingEmployee.length[starttime] = x`

```
@Test //Partition #2: endTime >= startTime & nemployee < workingEmployee.length[startTime]
void setRequiredNumberPartition2() {
    WorkSchedule ws = new WorkSchedule( time: 3);

    ws.setRequiredNumber( nemployee: 2, starttime: 0, endtime: 2);
    ws.addWorkingPeriod( employee: "A", starttime: 0, endtime: 2);
    ws.addWorkingPeriod( employee: "B", starttime: 0, endtime: 2);
    ws.setRequiredNumber( nemployee: 1, starttime: 0, endtime: 2);
    int reqNum = ws.readSchedule( time: 0).requiredNumber;

    assertEquals( expected: 1, ws.readSchedule( time: 0).workingEmployees.length);
    assertEquals(reqNum, actual: 1);
    // FOUND BUG ONE: setRequiredNumber does remove all employees from the schedule
}
```

Explanation for test for partition #2: The method `setRequiredNumber` removes all employees from the schedule when we are using it to decrease the number of working employees. `requiredNumber` is still updated correctly though.

**Partition #3:** `endtime >= starttime & workingEmployee.length[starttime] < nemployee`

test\_setRequiredNumber\_part4: input: "nemployee = x, starttime = 0, endtime = 2",  
expected: `this.schedule[starttime].requiredNumber = x`

```
@Test // endTime >= startTime & workingEmployee.length[startTime] < nemployee
void setRequiredNumberPartition3() {
    WorkSchedule ws = new WorkSchedule( time: 3);

    ws.setRequiredNumber( nemployee: 2, starttime: 0, endtime: 2);
    ws.addWorkingPeriod( employee: "A", starttime: 0, endtime: 2);
    ws.addWorkingPeriod( employee: "B", starttime: 0, endtime: 2);
    ws.setRequiredNumber( nemployee: 3, starttime: 0, endtime: 2);
    int reqNum = ws.readSchedule( time: 0).requiredNumber;

    //setRequiredNumber works when the new number is greater than the old number
    assertEquals( expected: 2, ws.readSchedule( time: 0).workingEmployees.length);
    assertEquals(reqNum, actual: 3);
}
```

Explanation for test for partition #3: The method `setRequiredNumber` works when we increase the number of required people to work where we can clearly see that `requiredNumber` is updated.

#### Partition #4: WorkSchedule.schedule.length <= endTime

test\_setRequiredNumber\_part5: input: "nemployee = 0, starttime = 0, endtime = 10, Workschedule(10)"

expected: this.schedule[starttime].requiredNumber = 0

```
@Test // Endtime is the same length or longer than WorkSchedule.schedule.length
void setRequiredNumberPartition4() {
    WorkSchedule ws = new WorkSchedule(10);

    ws.setRequiredNumber(0, 0, 10);
    ws.addWorkingPeriod("A", 0, 10);

    //setRequiredNumber works when the new number is greater than the old number
    assertEquals(0, ws.readSchedule(0).workingEmployees.length);
    // FOUND BUG THREE: setRequiredNumber gets out of bounds when endtime is the same
    // or bigger than the argument number for WorkSchedule.
}
```

Explanation for test for partition #4: The method setRequiredNumber for-loop got out of bounds when we tried setting endtime to the same or bigger value than the WorkSchedules time slots.

#### Border cases:

test\_setRequiredNumber\_1: input: "nemployee = endtime = starttime = 0", "schedule"

expected: "WorkSchedule.schedule = schedule"

test\_setRequiredNumber\_2: input: "nemployee = endtime = starttime = MAX\_INT", "schedule"

expected: "WorkSchedule.schedule = schedule"

test\_setRequiredNumber\_3: input: "nemployee = x, endtime = MAX\_INT, starttime = 0", "schedule"

expected: this.schedule[0..MAX\_INT].requiredNumber = x

(IF working\_employees > nemployees working\_employees are set to nemployees otherwise working\_employees are left unchanged)

test\_setRequiredNumber\_4: input: " nemployee = x, endtime = 0, starttime = MAX\_IN ", "schedule"

expected: "WorkSchedule.schedule = schedule"

**Explanation of test cases:** We made our test cases to cover all of the different situations that can occur in setRequiredNumber and did them within the bounds of our input space.

#### Method b)

**Input space:** currentTime >= 0 and currenttime < size

**Partition #1:** currentTime < size

test\_nextIncomplete\_part1: “input: currentTime = 0”, given  
schedule[0].workingEmployees.length < schedule[0].requiredNumber  
expected: nextIncomplete(0) = 0,

```
@Test
// "input: currentTime = 0", given schedule[0].workingEmployees.length < schedule[0].requiredNumber
void nextIncompleteTest1() {
    WorkSchedule ws = new WorkSchedule( time: 5);
    ws.setRequiredNumber( nemployee: 2, starttime: 0, endtime: 2);
    ws.addWorkingPeriod( employee: "A", starttime: 0, endtime: 2);
    int next = ws.nextIncomplete( currenttime: 0);
    assertEquals( expected: 0, next);
    //FOUND BUG TWO: nextIncomplete does not return the correct index
    // always does return the last index of the schedule
}
```

Explanation of test1 for partition #1: We found that the method nextIncomplete will always return the last index of the schedule, this is because the program gets stuck in the for-loop until it is finished traversing.

test\_nextIncomplete\_part2: “input: currentTime = 0”, given  
schedule[0..size].workingEmployees.length == schedule[0..size].requiredNumber  
expected: nextIncomplete(0) = -1,

```
@Test
// "input: currentTime = 0", given schedule[0].workingEmployees.length == schedule[0].requiredNumber
void nextIncompleteTest2() {
    WorkSchedule ws = new WorkSchedule( time: 5);
    ws.setRequiredNumber( nemployee: 1, starttime: 0, endtime: 2);
    ws.addWorkingPeriod( employee: "A", starttime: 0, endtime: 2);
    int next = ws.nextIncomplete( currenttime: 0);
    assertEquals( expected: -1, next);
}
```

Explanation of test2 for partition #1: The tests checks that if nemployees is equal to the requiredNumber for all

**Border case:**

test\_nextIncomplete\_1: “input: currentTime = MAX\_INT - 1”  
if (schedule[MAX\_INT - 1].workingEmployees.length < schedule[MAX\_INT - 1].requiredNumber)  
    expected: nextIncomplete(MAX\_INT - 1) = MAX\_INT - 1  
else  
    expected: nextIncomplete(MAX\_INT - 1) = - 1