## Paul Kogan-HW5

Paul Kogan

2021/10/10

1

```
##
## a) Intercept: -0.005325057
## Exxon: 0.354649
## b)
## Analysis of Variance Table
##
## Response: Pfizer
## Df Sum Sq Mean Sq F value Pr(>F)
## Exxon 1 0.0041609 0.0041609 8.774 0.004328 **
```

```
## Residuals 62 0.0294022 0.0004742
## ---
## Signif. codes: 0 '***' 0.001 '**' 0.01 '*' 0.05 '.' 0.1 ' ' 1
## conclusion: p-value = 0.0043 <= alpha = 0.05 so reject the null
            hypothesis that the regression effects are not significant
## c)
  Analysis of Variance Table
##
## Response: ret
##
                   Sum Sq
                             Mean Sq F value Pr(>F)
               1 0.001878 0.00187814 3.5509 0.06182 .
## Residuals 126 0.066645 0.00052893
## Signif. codes: 0 '***' 0.001 '**' 0.05 '.' 0.1 ' ' 1
## conclusion: p-value = 0.0618 > alpha = 0.05 so fail to reject the null
##
            hypothesis that the means of the groups are equal
## d)
## Exact binomial test
##
## data: length(citi[citi > 0]) and length(citi)
## number of successes = 37, number of trials = 64, p-value = 0.2604
## alternative hypothesis: true probability of success is not equal to 0.5
## 95 percent confidence interval:
## 0.4481586 0.7006192
## sample estimates:
## probability of success
##
                 0.578125
## conclusion: p-value = 0.2604 > alpha = 0.05 so fail to reject the null
            hypothesis that the proportion of positive returns is 0.5
2
library(ISwR)
data <- na.exclude(data.frame(igf1 = juul$igf1, tanner = factor(juul$tanner,
       labels = c("I", "II", "III", "IV", "V"))))
cat("\na)\n\t")
(vaan <- anova(lm(igf1 ~ tanner, data)))</pre>
conc(vaan, "igf1 means of each tanner level are equal")
cat("\nb)\n")
print.data.frame(plyr::ddply(data, ~tanner, summarise, mean = mean(igf1)))
cat("\nc)")
(test <- pairwise.t.test(data$igf1, data$tanner, p.adj = "bonf", pool.sd = F))</pre>
pval <- test$p.value</pre>
cat("Tanner level pairs that appear to have a difference:\n\t")
for (i in 1:nrow(pval)) {
 for (j in 1:ncol(pval)) {
   e <- pval[i, j]
   if (!is.na(e) && .5 > e) {
      pair <- paste(rownames(pval)[i], "and", colnames(pval)[j])</pre>
      cat(ifelse(!(i == nrow(pval) && j == ncol(pval)),
        paste0(pair, ", "), paste("and", pair)))
   }
 }
```

```
##
## a)
## Analysis of Variance Table
##
## Response: igf1
##
                   Sum Sq Mean Sq F value
                                             Pr(>F)
               4 12696217 3174054 228.35 < 2.2e-16 ***
## tanner
## Residuals 787 10939116
                            13900
## Signif. codes: 0 '*** 0.001 '** 0.01 '* 0.05 '.' 0.1 ' 1
## conclusion: p-value = 0.0000 <= alpha = 0.05 so reject the null
           hypothesis that the igf1 means of each tanner level are equal
## b)
##
    tanner
## 1
         I 207.4727
## 2
        II 352.6714
## 3
       III 483.2222
## 4
        IV 513.0172
## 5
         V 465.3344
##
## c)
## Pairwise comparisons using t tests with non-pooled SD
##
## data: data$igf1 and data$tanner
##
##
      Ι
              ΙI
                       III
                             ΙV
## II 8.8e-14 -
## III 6.2e-15 6.5e-05 -
## IV < 2e-16 1.2e-10 1.000 -
      < 2e-16 5.3e-09 1.000 0.075
##
## P value adjustment method: bonferroni
## Tanner level pairs that appear to have a difference:
## II and I, III and I, III and II, IV and I, IV and II, V and II, and V and IV
3
library(MASS)
(test <- fisher.test(survey$Smoke, survey$Exer))</pre>
conc(test, "students smoking habit is independent of their exercise level")
##
  Fisher's Exact Test for Count Data
##
## data: survey$Smoke and survey$Exer
## p-value = 0.4138
## alternative hypothesis: two.sided
## conclusion: p-value = 0.4138 > alpha = 0.05 so fail to reject the null
##
            hypothesis that the students smoking habit is independent of their exercise level
```