Part (i)

Proof.

$$\begin{split} \frac{1}{4}(\|x+y\|^2 - \|x-y\|^2) &= \frac{1}{4}(\langle x+y, x+y \rangle - \langle x-y, x-y \rangle) \\ &= \frac{1}{4}(\langle x, x \rangle + \langle x, y \rangle + \langle y, x \rangle + \langle y, y \rangle - \langle x, x \rangle + \langle x, y \rangle + \langle y, x \rangle - \langle y, y \rangle) \\ &= \frac{1}{4}(4\langle x, y \rangle) \\ &= \langle x, y \rangle \end{split}$$

Part (ii)

Proof.

$$\begin{split} \frac{1}{2}(\|x+y\|^2 + \|x-y\|^2) &= \frac{1}{2}(\langle x+y, x+y \rangle + \langle x-y, x-y \rangle) \\ &= \frac{1}{2}(\langle x, x \rangle + \langle x, y \rangle + \langle y, x \rangle + \langle y, y \rangle + \langle x, x \rangle - \langle x, y \rangle - \langle y, x \rangle + \langle y, y \rangle) \\ &= \frac{1}{2}(2\langle x, x \rangle + 2\langle y, y \rangle) \\ &= \langle x, x \rangle + \langle y, y \rangle \\ &= \|x\|^2 + \|y\|^2 \end{split}$$

Problem 2

Proof. Note that:

$$\begin{split} \|x+y\|^2 - \|x-y\|^2 &= \langle x+y, x+y \rangle - \langle x-y, x-y \rangle \\ &= \overline{\langle x, x+y \rangle} + \overline{\langle y, x+y \rangle} - \overline{\langle x, x-y \rangle} + \overline{\langle y, x-y \rangle} \\ &= \overline{\langle x, x \rangle} + \overline{\langle x, y \rangle} + \overline{\langle y, x \rangle} + \overline{\langle y, y \rangle} - \overline{\langle x, x \rangle} + \overline{\langle x, y \rangle} + \overline{\langle y, x \rangle} - \overline{\langle y, y \rangle} \\ &= 2 \langle y, x \rangle + 2 \langle x, y \rangle \end{split}$$

Also, note that:

$$\begin{split} i\|x-iy\|^2 - i\|x+iy\|^2 &= i\langle x-iy, x-iy\rangle - i\langle x+iy, x+iy\rangle \\ &= \langle x-iy, y\rangle + \langle x-iy, ix\rangle + \langle x+iy, y\rangle - \langle x+iy, ix\rangle \\ &= \overline{\langle y, x\rangle} - \overline{\langle y, iy\rangle} + \overline{\langle ix, x\rangle} - \overline{\langle ix, iy\rangle} + \overline{\langle y, x\rangle} + \overline{\langle y, iy\rangle} - \overline{\langle ix, x\rangle} - \overline{\langle ix, iy\rangle} \\ &= 2\langle x, y\rangle - 2\langle iy, ix\rangle \\ &= 2\langle x, y\rangle - 2\langle y, x\rangle \end{split}$$

So, we have that:

$$\begin{split} \frac{1}{4}(\|x+y\|^2 - \|x-y\|^2 + i\|x-iy\|^2 - i\|x+iy\|^2) &= \frac{1}{4}(2\langle y,x\rangle + 2\langle x,y\rangle + 2\langle x,y\rangle - 2\langle y,x\rangle) \\ &= \frac{1}{4}(4\langle x,y\rangle) \\ &= \langle x,y\rangle \end{split}$$

Problem 3

Part (i)

$$\theta = \cos^{-1}\left(\frac{\int_0^1 x^6 dx}{\sqrt{\int_0^1 x^2 dx} \cdot \sqrt{\int_0^1 x^{10} dx}}\right)$$
$$= \cos^{-1}\left(\frac{\sqrt{33}}{7}\right)$$
$$\approx 0.608$$

Part (ii)

$$\theta = \cos^{-1}\left(\frac{\int_0^1 x^6 dx}{\sqrt{\int_0^1 x^4 dx} \cdot \sqrt{\int_0^1 x^8 dx}}\right)$$
$$= \cos^{-1}\left(\frac{\sqrt{45}}{7}\right)$$
$$\approx 0.29$$

Part (i)

Proof. Consider $\langle v_i, v_j \rangle$ for $v_i, v_j \in S$, $i \neq j$. Clearly, if v_i is some form of sine and v_j is some form of cosine (or vice versa), then their product is odd and therefore the integral of their product over the interval $[-\pi, \pi]$ is 0, so $\langle v_i, v_j \rangle = 0$. If $v_i = cos(t)$ and $v_j = cos(2t)$ (or vice versa), we have that:

$$\langle v_i, v_j \rangle = \frac{1}{\pi} \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} \cos(t) \cos(2t) dt = 0$$

If $v_i = sin(t)$ and $v_j = sin(2t)$ (or vice versa), we have that:

$$\langle v_i, v_j \rangle = \frac{1}{\pi} \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} \sin(t) \sin(2t) dt = 0$$

Consider $\langle v_i, v_j \rangle$ for $v_i, v_j \in S$, i = j. Note that:

$$\int_{-\pi}^{\pi} \cos^2(t) dt = \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} \sin^2(t) dt = \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} \cos^2(2t) dt = \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} \sin^2(2t) dt = \pi$$

Thus, by our definition of the inner product over V, $\langle v_i, v_j \rangle = 1$. Thus, S is an orthonormal set.

Part (ii)

$$||t|| = \sqrt{\frac{1}{\pi} \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} t^2 dt} = \sqrt{\frac{2}{3}}\pi$$

Part (iii)

$$\begin{aligned} proj_X(cos(3t)) &= \langle cos(3t), cos(t) \rangle \cdot cos(t) + \langle cos(3t), sin(t) \rangle \cdot sin(t) + \\ &+ \langle cos(3t), cos(2t) \rangle \cdot cos(2t) + \langle cos(3t), sin(2t) \rangle \cdot sin(2t) \\ &= 0 \cdot cos(t) + 0 \cdot sin(t) + 0 \cdot cos(2t) + 0 \cdot sin(2t) \\ &= 0 \end{aligned}$$

Part (iv)

$$proj_X(t) = \langle t, cos(t) \rangle \cdot cos(t) + \langle t, sin(t) \rangle \cdot sin(t) + \langle t, cos(2t) \rangle \cdot cos(2t) + \langle t, sin(2t) \rangle \cdot sin(2t)$$

$$= 0 + 2sin(t) + 0 - sin(2t)$$

$$= 2sin(t) - sin(2t)$$

Proof. We define a rotation $r: \mathbb{R}^2 \to \mathbb{R}^2$ as:

$$r\bigg(\theta, \begin{bmatrix} x \\ y \end{bmatrix}\bigg) = \begin{bmatrix} x \cdot \cos(\theta) - y \cdot \sin(\theta) \\ x \cdot \sin(\theta) + y \cdot \cos(\theta) \end{bmatrix}$$

Consider two vectors $\begin{bmatrix} a \\ b \end{bmatrix}$ and $\begin{bmatrix} c \\ d \end{bmatrix}$ in $\mathbb{R}^2.$ Then we have that:

$$\left\langle \begin{bmatrix} a \\ b \end{bmatrix}, \begin{bmatrix} c \\ d \end{bmatrix} \right\rangle = ac + bd$$

Rotating, we get:

$$\begin{split} &\left\langle \begin{bmatrix} a \cdot \cos(\theta) - b \cdot \sin(\theta) \\ a \cdot \sin(\theta) + b \cdot \cos(\theta) \end{bmatrix}, \begin{bmatrix} c \cdot \cos(\theta) - d \cdot \sin(\theta) \\ c \cdot \sin(\theta) + d \cdot \cos(\theta) \end{bmatrix} \right\rangle = \\ &= (a \cdot \cos(\theta) - b \cdot \sin(\theta)) \cdot (c \cdot \cos(\theta) - d \cdot \sin(\theta)) + (a \cdot \sin(\theta) + b \cdot \cos(\theta)) \cdot (c \cdot \sin(\theta) + d \cdot \cos(\theta)) \\ &= ac \cdot \cos^2(\theta) - ad \cdot \sin(\theta)\cos(\theta) - bc \cdot \sin(\theta)\cos(\theta) + bd \cdot \sin^2(\theta) + \\ &\quad + ac \cdot \sin^2(\theta) + ad \cdot \sin(\theta)\cos(\theta) + bc \cdot \sin(\theta)\cos(\theta) + bd \cdot \cos^2(\theta) \\ &= ac \cdot (\cos^2(\theta) + \sin^2(\theta)) + bd \cdot (\cos^2(\theta) + \sin^2(\theta)) \\ &= ac + bd \end{split}$$

So, a rotation in \mathbb{R}^2 is an orthonormal transformation (with respect to the usual inner product).

Problem 10

Part (i)

Proof. Suppose $Q \in M_n(\mathbb{F})$ is an orthonormal matrix. Then we must have $\langle Qu, Qv \rangle = \langle u, v \rangle \ \forall u, v \in \mathbb{F}^n$. By the definition of the standard inner product:

$$(Qu)^{H}Qv = u^{H}v$$
$$u^{H}Q^{H}Qv = u^{H}v$$
$$Q^{H}Q = I$$

Thus, by the uniqueness of inverses, $QQ^H=Q^HQ=I$ if $Q\in M_n(\mathbb{F})$ is an orthonormal matrix. Suppose $QQ^H=Q^HQ=I$. Then for any $u,v\in\mathbb{F}^n$,

$$Q^{H}Q = I$$

$$Q^{H}Qv = v$$

$$u^{H}Q^{H}Qv = u^{H}v$$

$$(Qu)^{H}Qv = u^{H}v$$

$$\langle Qu, Qv \rangle = \langle u, v \rangle$$

So, $\langle Qu, Qv \rangle = \langle u, v \rangle \ \forall u, v \in \mathbb{F}^n$, i.e. $Q \in M_n(\mathbb{F})$ is an orthonormal matrix. \square

Part (ii)

Proof. Consider $x \in \mathbb{F}^n$. Then, we have that:

$$\|Qx\| = \sqrt{\langle Qx,Qx\rangle}$$

$$= \sqrt{\langle x,x\rangle}$$
 Since $Q \in M_n(\mathbb{F})$ is an orthonormal matrix
$$= \|x\|$$

Part (iii)

Proof. From part (i), we know that if $Q \in M_n(\mathbb{F})$ is an orthonormal matrix, then $Q^{-1} = Q^H$. Also, we know that if $QQ^H = Q^HQ = I$, then Q is an orthonormal matrix. Also, note that $Q^H(Q^H)^H = Q^HQ$ and $(Q^H)^HQ^H = QQ^H$. So, we have that:

$$\boldsymbol{Q}^{H}(\boldsymbol{Q}^{H})^{H} = (\boldsymbol{Q}^{H})^{H}\boldsymbol{Q}^{H} = \boldsymbol{Q}\boldsymbol{Q}^{H} = \boldsymbol{Q}^{H}\boldsymbol{Q} = \boldsymbol{I}$$

So $Q^{-1}=Q^H$ is an orthonormal matrix if $Q\in M_n(\mathbb{F})$ is an orthonormal matrix. \square

Part (iv)

Proof. Suppose an orthonormal matrix $Q \in M_n(\mathbb{F})$ has column vectors $\{v_1, v_2, \dots, v_n\}$. Then we have that:

$$Q = \begin{bmatrix} v_1 & v_2 & \dots & v_n \end{bmatrix} \qquad Q^H = \begin{bmatrix} v_1^H \\ v_2^H \\ \vdots \\ v_n^H \end{bmatrix}$$

Since Q is orthonormal, we know that:

$$Q^{H}Q = \begin{bmatrix} v_{1}^{H} \\ v_{2}^{H} \\ \vdots \\ v_{n}^{H} \end{bmatrix} \cdot \begin{bmatrix} v_{1} & v_{2} & \dots & v_{n} \end{bmatrix}$$

$$= \begin{bmatrix} v_{1}^{H}v_{1} & v_{1}^{H}v_{2} & \dots & v_{1}^{H}v_{n} \\ v_{2}^{H}v_{1} & v_{2}^{H}v_{2} & \dots & v_{2}^{H}v_{n} \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ v_{n}^{H}v_{1} & v_{n}^{H}v_{2} & \dots & v_{n}^{H}v_{n} \end{bmatrix}$$

$$= \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 & \dots & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & \dots & 0 \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ 0 & 0 & \dots & 1 \end{bmatrix}$$

$$= I$$

So, we have that the column vectors of an orthonormal matrix form an orthonormal set, since $\langle v_i, v_j \rangle = v_i^H v_j = 0$ if $i \neq j$, and $\langle v_i, v_j \rangle = v_i^H v_j = 1$ if i = j.

Part (v)

Proof. For $Q \in M_n(\mathbb{F})$ an orthonormal matrix, we have that:

$$1 = det(I) = det(Q^HQ) = det(Q^H)det(Q) = (det(Q))^2$$

So,
$$|\det(Q)| = 1$$
. The converse is not true; consider $P = \begin{bmatrix} 2 & 0 \\ 0 & \frac{1}{2} \end{bmatrix}$

Part (vi)

Proof. Suppose $Q_1, Q_2 \in M_n(\mathbb{F})$ are orthonormal matrices. Consider their product, Q_1Q_2 . We have that:

$$Q_1 Q_2 (Q_1 Q_2)^H = Q_1 Q_2 Q_2^H Q_1^H = Q_1 I Q_1^H = I$$

Thus, by part (i), Q_1Q_2 is an orthonormal matrix.

Problem 11

If a collection $\{v_1, v_2, \ldots, v_n\}$ of vectors is linearly dependent, then $\exists i \in \mathbb{N}$ s.t. $1 \leq i \leq n$ and $v_i \in span(\{v_1, v_2, \ldots, v_{i-1}\})$. WLOG, say that $\{v_1, v_2, \ldots, v_{i-1}\}$ are linearly independent. Note that we define:

$$q_i = \frac{x_i - proj_{span(\{q_1, \dots, q_{i-1}\})}(x_i)}{\|x_i - proj_{span(\{q_1, \dots, q_{i-1}\})}(x_i)\|}$$

Note that since $\{v_1, v_2, \dots, v_{i-1}\}$ are linearly independent, then $span(\{q_1, \dots, q_{i-1}\}) = span(\{v_1, v_2, \dots, v_{i-1}\})$ by construction. So, we get that:

$$proj_{span(\{q_1,...,q_{i-1}\})}(x_i) = proj_{span(\{v_1,v_2,...,v_{i-1}\})}(x_i)$$

$$= x_i \qquad \text{since } x_i \in span(\{v_1,v_2,...,v_{i-1}\})$$

So, the denominator in the expression for q_i is 0, so q_i is undefined, and thus every q_j with $j \geq i$ is undefined.

Problem 16

Part (i)

Proof. Suppose we have factored a matrix $A \in M_{m \times n}$ into a product A = QR, where Q is an $m \times m$ orthonormal matrix and R is an $m \times n$ upper-triangular matrix. Consider the $m \times m$ matrix:

$$D \coloneqq \begin{bmatrix} -1 & 0 & \dots & 0 \\ 0 & -1 & \dots & 0 \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ 0 & 0 & \dots & -1 \end{bmatrix}$$

Then the matrix $QD \neq Q$ is still an orthonormal matrix, and the matrix $DR \neq R$ is still an upper-triangular matrix, and:

$$(QD)(DR) = QR = A$$

Thus, the QR decomposition is not unique.

Part (ii)

Proof. Suppose $A=Q_1R_1=Q_2R_2$ with Q_1,Q_2 orthonormal matrices and R_1,R_2 upper-triangular matrices. Then we have that:

$$R_1^H R_1 = R_1^H (Q_1^H Q_1) R_1$$

$$= A^H A$$

$$= R_2^H (Q_2^H Q_2) R_2$$

$$= R_2^H R_2$$

So, we have that:

$$(R_2^H)^{-1}R_1^H = R_2(R_1)^{-1}$$

Note that the left hand side of the above equation must be a lower-triangular matrix, since the inverse of a lower-triangular matrix is lower-triangular and

the product of two lower-triangular matrices is lower-triangular. Also, the right hand side of the equation must be an upper-triangular matrix, since the inverse of an upper-triangular matrix is upper-triangular and the product of two upper-triangular matrices is upper-triangular. Thus, we must have that both sides of the equation are in fact diagonal matrices.

Note that the notion of "positive" is not well-defined on $\mathbb{C}\backslash\mathbb{R}$, so we must assert that the diagonal elements of R_1 and R_2 have no imaginary component in order for them to be positive. Say that the diagonal elements of R_1 are $\alpha_i > 0$ for $1 \le i \le n$ and the diagonal elements of R_2 are $\beta_i > 0$ for $1 \le i \le n$. Note then that the diagonal elements of $(R_1)^{-1}$ are $1/\alpha_i > 0$ for $1 \le i \le n$, and that the diagonal elements of $(R_2^H)^{-1}$ are $1/\beta_i > 0$ for $1 \le i \le n$. So, since the diagonal of the product of two triangular matrices of the same kind (i.e. both upper or both lower) is the element-wise product of their diagonals, we have that:

$$\frac{\alpha_i}{\beta_i} = \frac{\beta_i}{\alpha_i} \text{ for } 1 \le i \le n$$

Since $\alpha_i > 0$ and $\beta_i > 0$ for $1 \le i \le n$, we have that $\alpha_i = \beta_i$ for $1 \le i \le n$. Consequently,

$$(R_2^H)^{-1}R_1^H = R_2(R_1)^{-1} = I$$

So, by the uniqueness of inverses, we must have that $R_1 = R_2$. Since $Q_1R_1 = Q_2R_2$, it follows that $Q_1 = Q_2$. So, There is a unique QR decomposition of A s.t. R has only positive diagonal elements.

Problem 17

Proof.

$$A^{H}Ax = A^{H}b$$

$$(\widehat{Q}\widehat{R})^{H}\widehat{Q}\widehat{R}x = (\widehat{Q}\widehat{R})^{H}b$$

$$\widehat{R}^{H}\widehat{Q}^{H}\widehat{Q}\widehat{R}x = \widehat{R}^{H}\widehat{Q}^{H}b$$

$$\widehat{R}^{H}I\widehat{R}x = \widehat{R}^{H}\widehat{Q}^{H}b$$

$$\widehat{R}x = \widehat{Q}^{H}b$$

Proof. Note that:

$$||x|| = ||x - y + y||$$

$$\leq ||x - y|| + ||y||$$

So, we have that $||x|| - ||y|| \le ||x - y||$ Exchanging x and y, we get that $||y|| - ||x|| \le ||x - y||$ since ||y - x|| = ||x - y||. Thus, since $|||x|| - ||y||| \in \{||x|| - ||y||, ||y|| - ||x||\}$, we have that:

$$|||x|| - ||y||| \le ||x - y|| \ \forall \ x, y \in V$$

Problem 24

Part (i)

Proof. Consider the norm:

$$||f||_{L^1} = \int_a^b |f(t)|dt$$

Then, we have that $||f||_{L^1} \ge 0$ and $||f||_{L^1} = 0$ iff f is the zero function. This is a direct result of the fact that $|\cdot|$ has this property on \mathbb{F} . We also have that, for some constant $c \in \mathbb{F}$:

$$||cf||_{L^{1}} = \int_{a}^{b} |cf(t)| dt$$

$$= \int_{a}^{b} |c| \cdot |f(t)| dt$$

$$= |c| \int_{a}^{b} |f(t)| dt$$

$$= |c| \cdot ||f||_{L^{1}}$$

Finally, we have that:

$$||f||_{L^{1}} + ||g||_{L^{1}} = \int_{a}^{b} |f(t)|dt + \int_{a}^{b} |g(t)|dt$$

$$= \int_{a}^{b} |f(t)| + |g(t)|dt$$

$$\geq \int_{a}^{b} |f(t) + g(t)|dt$$

$$= ||f + g||_{L^{1}}$$

Proof. Consider the norm:

$$||f||_{L^2} = \left(\int_a^b |f(t)|^2 dt\right)^{1/2}$$

Then, we have that $||f||_{L^1} \ge 0$ and $||f||_{L^1} = 0$ iff f is the zero function. This is a direct result of the fact that $|\cdot|$ has this property on \mathbb{F} . We also have that, for some constant $c \in \mathbb{F}$:

$$||cf||_{L^{2}} = \left(\int_{a}^{b} |cf(t)|^{2} dt\right)^{1/2}$$

$$= \left(\int_{a}^{b} |c|^{2} |f(t)|^{2} dt\right)^{1/2}$$

$$= |c| \cdot \left(\int_{a}^{b} |f(t)|^{2} dt\right)^{1/2}$$

$$= |c| \cdot ||f||_{L^{2}}$$

Finally, we have that:

$$\begin{split} (\|f+g\|_{L^2})^2 &= \int_a^b |f(t)+g(t)|^2 dt \\ &\leq \int_a^b (|f(t)|+|g(t)|) \cdot |f(t)+g(t)| dt \\ &= \int_a^b |f(t)| \cdot |f(t)+g(t)| dt + \int_a^b |g(t)| \cdot |f(t)+g(t)| dt \\ &\leq \left(\left(\int_a^b |f(t)|^2 dt \right)^{1/2} + \left(\int_a^b |g(t)|^2 dt \right)^{1/2} \right) \cdot \left(\int_a^b |f(t)+g(t)|^2 dt \right)^{1/2} \text{ by H\"older's inequality} \\ &= (\|f\|_{L^2} + \|g\|_{L^2}) \cdot \|f+g\|_{L^2} \end{split}$$

Thus, $||f + g||_{L^2} \le ||f||_{L^2} + ||g||_{L^2}$

Proof. Consider the norm:

$$||f||_{L^{\infty}} = \sup_{x \in [a,b]} |f(x)|$$

Then, we have that $||f||_{L^{\infty}} \ge 0$ and $||f||_{L^{\infty}} = 0$ iff f is the zero function. This is a direct result of the fact that $|\cdot|$ has this property on \mathbb{F} . We also have that,

for some constant $c \in \mathbb{F}$:

$$||cf||_{L^{\infty}} = \sup_{x \in [a,b]} |cf(x)|$$

$$= \sup_{x \in [a,b]} |c| \cdot |f(x)|$$

$$= |c| \cdot \sup_{x \in [a,b]} |f(x)|$$

$$= |c| \cdot ||f||_{L^{\infty}}$$

Finally, we have that:

$$\begin{split} \|f\|_{L^{\infty}} + \|g\|_{L^{\infty}} &= \sup_{x \in [a,b]} |f(x)| + \sup_{x \in [a,b]} |g(x)| \\ &\geq \sup_{x \in [a,b]} (|f(x)| + |g(x)|) \\ &\geq \sup_{x \in [a,b]} |f(x) + g(x)| \\ &= \|f + g\|_{L^{\infty}} \end{split}$$

Problem 26

Part (i)

Proof. $\|\cdot\|_a \sim \|\cdot\|_a$ by choosing m = M = 1

Suppose $\|\cdot\|_a \sim \|\cdot\|_b$. Then \exists constants $0 < m \le M$ such that:

$$m||x||_a \le ||x_b|| \le M||x||_a, \quad \forall x \in X$$

So, \exists constants $0 < \frac{1}{M} \le \frac{1}{m}$ such that:

$$\frac{1}{M}||x||_b \le ||x_a|| \le \frac{1}{m}||x||_b, \quad \forall x \in X$$

i.e. $\|\cdot\|_b \sim \|\cdot\|_a$

Suppose $\|\cdot\|_a \sim \|\cdot\|_b$ and $\|\cdot\|_b \sim \|\cdot\|_c$. Then \exists constants $0 < m \le M$ and $0 < n \le N$ such that:

$$m||x||_a \le ||x_b|| \le M||x||_a, \quad \forall x \in X$$

$$n||x||_b \le ||x_c|| \le N||x||_b, \quad \forall x \in X$$

So, \exists constants $0 < nm \le NM$ such that:

$$nm||x||_a \le ||x_c|| \le NM||x||_a, \quad \forall x \in X$$

i.e. $\|\cdot\|_a \sim \|\cdot\|_c$

Thus, \sim is an equivalence relation.

Define the following p-norms for a vector $x \in \mathbb{F}^n$ with components $\{x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n\}$:

$$||x||_1 = \sum_{j=1}^n |x_j|$$

$$||x||_2 = \left(\sum_{j=1}^n |x_j|^2\right)^{1/2}$$

$$||x||_{\infty} = \sup\{|x_1|, |x_2|, \dots, |x_n|\}$$

Then, by the triangle inequality on the 2-norm, we have that:

$$||x||_2 = \left(\sum_{j=1}^n |x_j|^2\right)^{1/2} \le \sum_{j=1}^n (|x_j|^2)^{1/2} = \sum_{j=1}^n |x_j| = ||x||_1$$

Also, by Hölder's inequality, we have that:

$$||x||_1 = \sum_{j=1}^n |x_j| \le \left(\sum_{j=1}^n |1|^2\right)^{1/2} \cdot \left(\sum_{j=1}^n |x_j|^2\right)^{1/2} = \sqrt{n}||x||_2$$

It follows that $||x||_2 \le ||x||_1 \le \sqrt{n} ||x||_2$

Part (ii)

Since n finite by assumption, we have that:

$$||x||_{\infty} = \sup\{|x_1|, |x_2|, \dots, |x_n|\}$$

= $\max\{|x_1|, |x_2|, \dots, |x_n|\}$
:= $|x_i|$ for some $i \in [1, n]$

It follows that:

$$||x||_{\infty} = |x_i|$$

$$= (|x_i|^2)^{1/2}$$

$$\leq \left(\sum_{j=1}^{i-1} |x_j|^2 + |x_i|^2 + \sum_{k=i+1}^n |x_k|^2\right)^{1/2}$$

$$= \left(\sum_{j=1}^n |x_j|^2\right)^{1/2}$$

$$= ||x||_2$$

Finally, we have that:

$$||x||_2 = \left(\sum_{j=1}^n |x_j|^2\right)^{1/2} \le \left(\sum_{j=1}^n |x_i|^2\right)^{1/2} = (n|x_i|^2)^{1/2} = \sqrt{n}|x_i| = \sqrt{n}||x||_{\infty}$$

It follows that $||x||_{\infty} \le ||x||_2 \le \sqrt{n} ||x||_{\infty}$

So, by part (i), we have that $\|\cdot\|_1 \sim \|\cdot\|_2 \sim \|\cdot\|_\infty$

Problem 28

Part (i)

Proof. By problem 26, we have that:

$$\frac{1}{\sqrt{n}} \|A\|_2 = \sup_{x \neq 0} \frac{\|Ax\|_2}{\sqrt{n} \|x\|_2} \le \sup_{x \neq 0} \frac{\|Ax\|_2}{\|x\|_1} \le \sup_{x \neq 0} \frac{\|Ax\|_1}{\|x\|_1} = \|A\|_1$$

Also, we have that:

$$\sqrt{n}\|A\|_2 = \sup_{x \neq 0} \frac{\sqrt{n}\|Ax\|_2}{\|x\|_2} \ge \sup_{x \neq 0} \frac{\|Ax\|_1}{\|x\|_2} \ge \sup_{x \neq 0} \frac{\|Ax\|_1}{\|x\|_1} = \|A\|_1$$

It follows that $\frac{1}{\sqrt{n}} ||A||_2 \le ||A||_1 \le \sqrt{n} ||A||_2$

Part (ii)

Proof. Replacing $||A||_1$ with $||A||_2$ and $||A||_2$ with $||A||_{\infty}$, we have that $\frac{1}{\sqrt{n}}||A||_{\infty} \le ||A||_2 \le \sqrt{n}||A||_{\infty}$ by part (i) and the results of problem 26. Thus, we have that the operator p-norms are topologically equivalent.

Problem 29

Proof. Note that by defining $\|\cdot\|_2$ on \mathbb{F}^n , we have implicitly defined an inner product $\langle \cdot \rangle$ on \mathbb{F}^n s.t. for vectors $x, y \in \mathbb{F}^n$ with components $\{x_1, x_2, \ldots, x_n\}$ and $\{y_1, y_2, \ldots, y_n\}$, we have that:

$$\langle x, y \rangle = \sum_{i=1}^{n} x_i y_i$$

Thus, for $x \in \mathbb{F}^n$, we have that $||x||_2 = \sqrt{\langle x, x \rangle}$

$$\|Q\| = \sup_{x \neq 0} \frac{\|Qx\|_2}{\|x\|_2} = \sup_{x \neq 0} \frac{\sqrt{\langle Qx, Qx \rangle}}{\sqrt{\langle x, x \rangle}} = \sup_{x \neq 0} \frac{\sqrt{\langle x, x \rangle}}{\sqrt{\langle x, x \rangle}} = 1$$

Note that:

$$||R_x|| = \sup_{A \neq 0} \frac{||Ax||_2}{||A||} = \sup_{A \neq 0} \frac{||Ax||_2}{\left(\sup_{x \neq 0} \frac{||Ax||_2}{||x||_2}\right)} \le \sup_{A \neq 0} \frac{||Ax||_2}{\frac{||Ax||_2}{||x||_2}} = \sup_{A \neq 0} ||x||_2 = ||x||_2$$

Consider A = I. Then $\frac{\|Ax\|_2}{\|A\|} = \frac{\|Ix\|_2}{\|I\|} = \|x\|_2$. Since $\|R_x\| = \sup_{A \neq 0} \frac{\|Ax\|_2}{\|A\|}$, and $\|R_x\| \le \|x\|_2$, we must have that $\|R_x\| = \|x\|_2$.

Problem 30

Proof. Clearly, $||A||_S \ge 0 \ \forall A \in M_n(\mathbb{F})$ since $SAS^{-1} \in M_n(\mathbb{F})$ and $||A|| \ge 0 \ \forall A \in M_n(\mathbb{F})$. Suppose $||A||_S = 0$. Then we have that:

$$||SAS^{-1}|| = 0$$

$$SAS^{-1} = 0$$

$$SAS^{-1}S = 0S$$

$$SA = 0$$

$$S^{-1}SA = S^{-1}0$$

$$A = 0$$

Thus, if $||A||_S = 0$, then A = 0.

Consider $||cA||_S$ for some constant $c \in \mathbb{F}$. Then,

$$||cA||_S = ||S(cA)S^{-1}||$$

= $||cSAS^{-1}||$
= $|c| \cdot ||SAS^{-1}||$
= $|c| \cdot ||A||_S$

Finally, consider $||A + B||_S$ for $A, B \in M_n(\mathbb{F})$:

$$||A + B||_S = ||S(A + B)S^{-1}||$$

$$= ||(SA + SB)S^{-1}||$$

$$= ||SAS^{-1} + SBS^{-1}||$$

$$\leq ||SAS^{-1}|| + ||SBS^{-1}||$$

$$= ||A||_S + ||B||_S$$

Thus, $\|\cdot\|_S$ is a matrix norm on $M_n(\mathbb{F})$

Proof. We want to find $d, e, f \in \mathbb{R}$ s.t. $\forall a, b, c \in \mathbb{R}$:

$$\int_0^1 (dx^2 + ex + f)(ax^2 + bx + c)dx = 2a + b$$

By evaluating the above integral and solving a system of 3 equations, 3 variables, we obtain:

$$d = 180, e = -168, f = 24$$

Thus, we have the required $q \in V$, namely $q = 180x^2 - 168x + 24$

Problem 38

Proof. The matrix representation of D with respect to the power basis $[1, x, x^2]$ of $\mathbb{F}[x; 2]$ is:

$$\begin{bmatrix} 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 2 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix}$$

The matrix representation of the adjoint of D is:

$$\begin{bmatrix} 0 & -1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & -2 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix}$$

I can't figure out the boundary conditions (how to get rid of f(1)g(1)-f(0)g(0)).

Problem 39

Part (i)

Proof.

$$\langle (S+T)^*(w), v \rangle_V = \langle w, (S+T)(v) \rangle_W$$

$$= \langle w, S(v) \rangle_W + \langle w, T(v) \rangle_W$$

$$= \langle S^*(w), v \rangle_V + \langle T^*(w), v \rangle_V$$

$$= \overline{\langle v, S^*(w) \rangle_V} + \overline{\langle v, T^*(w) \rangle_V}$$

$$= \overline{\langle v, S^*(w) + T^*(w) \rangle_V}$$

$$= \langle S^*(w) + T^*(w), v \rangle_V$$

By uniqueness of the adjoint, then, we must have $(S+T)^* = S^* + T^*$.

$$\langle (\alpha T)^*(w), v \rangle_V = \langle w, (\alpha T)(v) \rangle_W$$
$$= \alpha \langle w, T(v) \rangle_W$$
$$= \alpha \langle T^*(w), v \rangle_V$$
$$= \langle \overline{\alpha} T^*(w), v \rangle_V$$

By uniqueness of the adjoint, then, we must have $(\alpha T)^* = \overline{\alpha} T^*$

Part (ii)

Proof.

$$\langle w, (S^*)^*(v) \rangle_W = \langle S^*(w), v \rangle_V = \langle w, S(v) \rangle_W$$

Part (iii)

Proof.

$$\langle (ST)^*(v_2), v_1 \rangle = \langle v_2, ST(v_1) \rangle$$
$$= \langle S^*(v_2), T(v_1) \rangle$$
$$= \langle T^*S^*(v_2), v_1 \rangle$$

By uniqueness of the adjoint, then, we must have $(ST)^* = T^*S^*$

Part (iv)

Proof.

$$\begin{split} \langle (T^{-1})^*(v_2), v_1 \rangle &= \langle (T^{-1})^*(v_2), T(T^{-1}(v_1)) \rangle \\ &= \langle T^*(T^{-1})^*(v_2), T^{-1}(v_1) \rangle \\ &= \langle (T^{-1})^*T^*(T^{-1})^*(v_2), v_1 \rangle \end{split}$$

By uniqueness of the adjoint, then, we must have $(T^{-1})^*T^*=I,$ i.e. $(T^*)^{-1}=(T^{-1})^*$

Part (i)

Proof. Consider three matrices $A, B, C \in M_n(\mathbb{F})$. Then, we have that:

$$\langle C, AB \rangle = \langle A^*C, B \rangle$$
$$tr(C^H AB) = tr((A^*C)^H B)$$
$$= tr(C^H (A^*)^H B)$$

Note that setting $A^* = A^H$ solves the above equation since $(A^H)^H = A$. Thus, by uniqueness of the adjoint we must have $A^* = A^H$

Part (ii)

Proof. Consider three matrices $A_1, A_2, A_3 \in M_n(\mathbb{F})$. Then, we have that:

$$\langle A_2, A_3 A_1 \rangle = tr((A_2)^H A_3 A_1)$$

$$= tr(A_1 (A_2)^H A_3)$$

$$= tr((A_2 (A_1)^H)^H A_3)$$

$$= tr((A_2 A_1^*)^H A_3)$$

$$= \langle A_2 A_1^*, A_3 \rangle$$

Note that setting $A^* = A^H$ solves the above equation since $(A^H)^H = A$. Thus, by uniqueness of the adjoint we must have $A^* = A^H$

Part (iii)

Proof. Consider three matrices $A, X, Y \in M_n(\mathbb{F})$. Then, we have that:

$$\langle (T_A)^*(Y), X \rangle = \langle Y, T_A(X) \rangle$$

$$= \langle Y, AX - XA \rangle$$

$$= \langle Y, AX \rangle - \langle Y, XA \rangle$$

$$= tr(Y^H AX) - tr(Y^H XA)$$

$$= \langle A^H Y, X \rangle - \langle YA^H, X \rangle$$

$$= \langle A^H Y - YA^H, X \rangle$$

$$= \langle A^* Y - YA^*, X \rangle$$

$$= \langle T_{A^*}(Y), X \rangle$$

Thus, by uniqueness of the adjoint we must have $(T_A)^* = T_{A^*}$

Proof. By the fundamental subspaces theorem,

$$\mathcal{R}(A)^{\perp} = \mathcal{N}(A^*) = \mathcal{N}(A^H)$$

Note that if Ax = b has no solution $x \in \mathbb{F}^n$, then $b \notin \mathcal{R}(A)$. In this case, we must show that $\exists y \in \mathcal{N}(A^H) = \mathcal{R}(A)^{\perp}$ s.t. $\langle y, b \rangle \neq 0$.

Suppose not. Then $\forall y \in \mathcal{R}(A)^{\perp}$, we must have $\langle y, b \rangle = 0$. Then by definition, $b \in (\mathcal{R}(A)^{\perp})^{\perp} = \mathcal{R}(A)$ But $b \notin \mathcal{R}(A)$, so we have reached a contradiction.

Thus, if Ax = b has no solution $x \in \mathbb{F}^n$, then $\exists y \in \mathcal{N}(A^H)$ s.t. $\langle y, b \rangle \neq 0$. For the case in which Ax = b has some solution $x \in \mathbb{F}^n$, then we know that $b \in \mathcal{R}(A)$. So, $\forall y \in \mathcal{N}(A^H) = \mathcal{R}(A)^{\perp}$, we must have $\langle y, b \rangle = 0$ by the definition of $\mathcal{R}(A)^{\perp}$. So, we have established the Fredholm alternative.

Problem 45

Proof. By definition,

$$Sym_n(\mathbb{R}) = \{ A \in M_n(\mathbb{R}) \mid A^T = A \}$$
$$Skew_n(\mathbb{R}) = \{ A \in M_n(\mathbb{R}) \mid A^T = -A \}$$

We must show that:

$$Skew_n(\mathbb{R}) = Sym_n(\mathbb{R})^{\perp} = \{ A \in M_n(\mathbb{R}) \mid \langle B, A \rangle = 0 \ \forall \ B \in Sym_n(\mathbb{R}) \}$$

Consider $A \in Sym_n(\mathbb{R})^{\perp}$, $B \in Sym_n(\mathbb{R})$ defined as:

$$A := \begin{bmatrix} \alpha_{1,1} & \alpha_{1,2} & \dots & \alpha_{1,n} \\ \alpha_{2,1} & \alpha_{2,2} & \dots & \alpha_{2,n} \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ \alpha_{n,1} & \alpha_{n,2} & \dots & \alpha_{n,n} \end{bmatrix}$$

$$B := \begin{bmatrix} \beta_{1,1} & \beta_{1,2} & \dots & \beta_{1,n} \\ \beta_{2,1} & \beta_{2,2} & \dots & \beta_{2,n} \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ \beta_{n,1} & \beta_{n,2} & \dots & \beta_{n,n} \end{bmatrix}$$

Then, we have that:

$$\langle B, A \rangle = tr(B^T A) = tr(BA) = 0$$

Note that:

$$tr(BA) = \sum_{j=i} (\beta_{i,j}\alpha_{i,j}) + \sum_{j>i} (\beta_{i,j}\alpha_{j,i} + \beta_{j,i}\alpha_{i,j}) \text{ for } 1 \le j \le n, \ 1 \le i \le n$$

In order for $tr(BA) = 0 \ \forall \ B \in Sym_n(\mathbb{R})$, we must have that for $1 \leq j \leq n$, $1 \leq i \leq n$, j = i, $(\beta_{i,j}\alpha_{i,j}) = 0$, and for $1 \leq j \leq n$, $1 \leq i \leq n$, j > i, $(\beta_{i,j}\alpha_{j,i} + \beta_{j,i}\alpha_{i,j}) = 0$ for all choices of $B \in Sym_n(\mathbb{R})$ with B defined as above. This is easily proved by contradiction.

It follows that for $1 \leq j \leq n$, $1 \leq i \leq n$, j = i, $\alpha_{i,j} = 0$, and for $1 \leq j \leq n$, $1 \leq i \leq n$, j > i, $\alpha_{j,i} = -\alpha_{i,j}$, since $\beta_{i,j} = \beta_{j,i}$. If A satisfies these conditions, then $A \in Skew_n(\mathbb{R})$, and if $A \in Skew_n(\mathbb{R})$, then A satisfies these conditions. Thus, we have that

$$Skew_n(\mathbb{R}) = Sym_n(\mathbb{R})^{\perp}$$

Problem 46

Part (i)

Proof. Clearly, $Ax \in \mathcal{R}(A)$, by definition. Suppose $x \in \mathcal{N}(A^H A)$. Then $A^H(Ax) = 0$, so $Ax \in \mathcal{N}(A^H)$.

Part (ii)

Proof. Suppose $x \in \mathcal{N}(A^H A)$. Then:

$$A^{H}Ax = 0$$

$$x^{H}A^{H}Ax = 0$$

$$(Ax)^{H}Ax = 0$$

$$Ax = 0$$

$$x \in \mathcal{N}(A)$$

Suppose $x \in \mathcal{N}(A)$. Then Ax = 0, so $A^H Ax = 0$, so $x \in \mathcal{N}(A^H A)$. Thus, $\mathcal{N}(A^H A) = \mathcal{N}(A)$

Part (iii)

Proof. For an $m \times n$ matrix A, by the rank-nullity theorem we have that:

$$n = dim(\mathcal{R}(A)) + dim(\mathcal{N}(A))$$

For an $n \times n$ matrix $A^H A$, by the rank-nullity theorem we have that:

$$n = dim(\mathcal{R}(A^H A)) + dim(\mathcal{N}(A^H A))$$

B part (ii), we have that $\mathcal{N}(A^HA) = \mathcal{N}(A)$, and in particular that $dim(\mathcal{N}(A^HA)) = dim(\mathcal{N}(A))$. So, we have that $dim(\mathcal{R}(A)) = dim(\mathcal{R}(A^HA))$, i.e. A and A^HA have the same rank.

Part (iv)

Proof. If A has linearly independent columns, then it has rank n. By part (iii), A^HA must also have rank n. Since A^HA is an $n \times n$ matrix then (i.e. it has n columns), it must be nonsingular.

Problem 47

Part (i)

Proof. Define:

$$P := A(A^H A)^{-1} A^H$$

Then we have that:

$$\begin{split} P^2 &= A(A^HA)^{-1}A^HA(A^HA)^{-1}A^H \\ &= A(A^HA)^{-1}((A^HA)(A^HA)^{-1})A^H \\ &= A(A^HA)^{-1}A^H \\ &= P \end{split}$$

Part (ii)

Proof.

$$\begin{split} P^{H} &= (A(A^{H}A)^{-1}A^{H})^{H} \\ &= (A^{H})^{H}((A^{H}A)^{-1})^{H}A^{H} \\ &= A((A^{H}A)^{H})^{-1}A^{H} \\ &= A(A^{H}A)^{-1}A^{H} \\ &= P \end{split}$$

Part (iii)

Proof. Note that $rank(A^H) = n$ since row-rank = column-rank. Also, note that $(A^HA)^{-1}$ is an $n \times n$ matrix of rank n since by problem 46, A^HA has rank n, and a matrix has the same rank as its inverse. Then we must have $rank(A(A^HA)^{-1}) = n$ since A is an $m \times n$ matrix. We know that $rank(A(A^HA)^{-1}A^H) \le min\{rank(A(A^HA)^{-1}), rank(A^H)\}$, with equality holding since $rank(A(A^HA)^{-1}) = rank(A^H) = n$. Thus, $rank(P) = rank(A(A^HA)^{-1}A^H) = n$.

Part (i)

Proof.

$$P(A + B) = \frac{(A + B) + (A + B)^{T}}{2}$$

$$= \frac{A + B + A^{T} + B^{T}}{2}$$

$$= \frac{A + A^{T}}{2} + \frac{B + B^{T}}{2}$$

$$= P(A) + P(B)$$

$$P(cA) = \frac{cA + (cA)^T}{2}$$
$$= \frac{cA + c(A^T)}{2}$$
$$= c \cdot \frac{A + A^T}{2}$$
$$= cP(A)$$

Thus, P is linear.

Part (ii)

Proof.

$$P^{2}(A) = \frac{\frac{A+A^{T}}{2} + (\frac{A+A^{T}}{2})^{T}}{2}$$

$$= \frac{\frac{A+A^{T}}{2} + \frac{A^{T}+A}{2}}{2}$$

$$= \frac{A+A^{T}}{2}$$

$$= P(A)$$

Part (iii)

Proof.

$$P^*(A) = P^T(A)$$

$$= (\frac{A + A^T}{2})^T$$

$$= \frac{A^T + A}{2}$$

$$= P(A)$$

Part (iv)

Proof. If P(A) = 0, then $\frac{A+A^T}{2} = 0$, so $A + A^T = 0$, i.e. $A^T = -A$. Thus, $A \in Skew_n(\mathbb{R})$. Also, if $A \in Skew_n(\mathbb{R})$, it is clear that P(A) = 0. Thus $\mathcal{N}(P) = Skew_n(\mathbb{R})$

Part (v)

Proof.

$$\mathcal{R}(P)^{\perp} = \mathcal{R}(P^T)^{\perp}$$
 by part (iii)
 $= \mathcal{N}(P)$ by the fundamental subspaces theorem
 $= Skew_n(\mathbb{R})$ by part (iv)
 $= Sym_n(\mathbb{R})^{\perp}$ by problem 45

Thus, we have that $\mathcal{R}(P)^{\perp} = Sym_n(\mathbb{R})^{\perp}$, so $\mathcal{R}(P) = Sym_n(\mathbb{R})$

Part (vi)

Proof.

$$\begin{split} \|A - P(A)\|_{F} &= \sqrt{tr((A - P(A))^{T}(A - P(A)))} \\ &= \sqrt{tr\left(\left(A - \frac{A + A^{T}}{2}\right)^{T}\left(A - \frac{A + A^{T}}{2}\right)\right)} \\ &= \sqrt{tr\left(\left(\frac{A - A^{T}}{2}\right)^{T}\left(\frac{A - A^{T}}{2}\right)\right)} \\ &= \sqrt{tr\left(\frac{A^{T} - A}{2} \cdot \frac{A - A^{T}}{2}\right)} \\ &= \sqrt{tr\left(\frac{A^{T} A - A^{T} A^{T} - AA + AA^{T}}{4}\right)} \\ &= \sqrt{\frac{tr(A^{T} A) + tr(AA^{T}) - tr((AA)^{T}) - tr(AA)}{4}} \\ &= \sqrt{\frac{tr(A^{T} A) + tr(A^{T} A) - tr(AA) - tr(AA)}{4}} \\ &= \sqrt{\frac{2tr(A^{T} A) - 2tr(AA)}{4}} \\ &= \sqrt{\frac{tr(A^{T} A) - tr(A^{T} A)}{2}} \end{split}$$

Problem 50

Define the following (note that b is an n-vector):

$$A \coloneqq \begin{bmatrix} x_1^2 & y_1^2 \\ x_2^2 & y_2^2 \\ \vdots & \vdots \\ x_n^2 & y_n^2 \end{bmatrix}$$
$$x \coloneqq \begin{bmatrix} r \\ s \end{bmatrix}$$
$$b \coloneqq \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ 1 \\ \vdots \\ 1 \end{bmatrix}$$