

Problem 2

Proof. Note that the matrix representation of D is:

$$D = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 2 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix}$$

Thus, the determinant of $D - \lambda I$ is given by:

$$|D - \lambda I| = \left| \begin{bmatrix} -\lambda & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & -\lambda & 2 \\ 0 & 0 & -\lambda \end{bmatrix} \right| = -\lambda^3$$

So, the only eigenvalue is $\lambda = 0$ with eigenspace $\text{span}\left\{ \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ 0 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix} \right\}$. The algebraic multiplicity of λ is 3, and the geometric multiplicity of λ is 1. \square

Problem 4

Part (i)

Proof. Consider an Hermitian 2×2 matrix A . Define:

$$A := \begin{bmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{bmatrix}$$

From problem 3, we know that $p(\lambda) = \lambda^2 - \text{tr}(A)\lambda + \det(A)$. Thus, the eigenvalue(s) of A are given by the formula:

$$\lambda = \frac{\text{tr}(A) \pm \sqrt{\text{tr}(A)^2 - 4\det(A)}}{2}$$

Note that all of the diagonal elements of A must be real since $A = A^H$, i.e. $a = \bar{a}$ for a a diagonal element. So, we have that $\text{tr}(A) = a + d \in \mathbb{R}$. It follows that the eigenvalue(s) of A are real iff $\sqrt{\text{tr}(A)^2 - 4\det(A)}$ is real. We have that:

$$\begin{aligned} \sqrt{\text{tr}(A)^2 - 4\det(A)} &= \sqrt{(a+d)^2 - 4(ad-bc)} \\ &= \sqrt{a^2 - 2ad + d^2 + 4bc} \\ &= \sqrt{(a-d)^2 + 4bc} \end{aligned}$$

Note that $(a-d)^2 \in \mathbb{R}_+$ since a and d are diagonal elements. Also, note that $c = \bar{b}$ since $A = A^H$. Define $b := \alpha + \beta i$ for some $\alpha, \beta \in \mathbb{R}$. Then $b\bar{b} = (\alpha + \beta i)(\alpha - \beta i) = \alpha^2 + \beta^2 \in \mathbb{R}_+$. So, we have that $4bc = 4b\bar{b} \in \mathbb{R}_+$. Thus, $\sqrt{(a-d)^2 + 4bc} = \sqrt{\text{tr}(A)^2 - 4\det(A)}$ is real, as required. \square

Part (ii)

Proof. Consider a skew-Hermitian 2×2 matrix A . Define:

$$A := \begin{bmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{bmatrix}$$

$$I := \{\alpha + \beta i \in \mathbb{C} \mid \alpha = 0\}$$

From problem 3, we know that $p(\lambda) = \lambda^2 - \text{tr}(A)\lambda + \det(A)$. Thus, the eigenvalue(s) of A are given by the formula:

$$\lambda = \frac{\text{tr}(A) \pm \sqrt{\text{tr}(A)^2 - 4\det(A)}}{2}$$

Note that all of the diagonal elements of A must be in I since $-A = A^H$, i.e. $-a = \bar{a}$ for a a diagonal element. So, we have that $\text{tr}(A) = a + d \in I$. It follows that the eigenvalue(s) of A are in I iff $\sqrt{\text{tr}(A)^2 - 4\det(A)}$ is in I . We have that:

$$\begin{aligned} \sqrt{\text{tr}(A)^2 - 4\det(A)} &= \sqrt{(a+d)^2 - 4(ad-bc)} \\ &= \sqrt{a^2 - 2ad + d^2 + 4bc} \\ &= \sqrt{(a-d)^2 + 4bc} \end{aligned}$$

Note that $(a-d)^2 \leq 0$ since $(a-d) \in I$ since $a, d \in I$ since they are diagonal elements. Also, note that $c = -\bar{b}$ since $A = -A^H$. Define $b := \alpha + \beta i$ for some $\alpha, \beta \in \mathbb{R}$. Then $b(-\bar{b}) = (\alpha + \beta i)(\beta i - \alpha) = -\alpha^2 - \beta^2 \leq 0$. So, we have that $4bc = 4b(-\bar{b}) \leq 0$. Thus, $\sqrt{(a-d)^2 + 4bc} = \sqrt{\text{tr}(A)^2 - 4\det(A)} \in I$ since it is the square root of a non-positive number, as required. \square

Problem 6

Proof. Consider $A - \lambda I$ for A an $n \times n$ upper-triangular matrix, defined as:

$$A - \lambda I := \begin{bmatrix} \alpha_{1,1} - \lambda & * & \dots & * \\ 0 & \alpha_{2,2} - \lambda & \dots & * \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ 0 & 0 & \dots & \alpha_{n,n} - \lambda \end{bmatrix}$$

By Laplace expansion along the first column, we get that:

$$\det(A - \lambda I) = (\alpha_{1,1} - \lambda) \det \left(\begin{bmatrix} \alpha_{2,2} - \lambda & * & \dots & * \\ 0 & \alpha_{3,3} - \lambda & \dots & * \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ 0 & 0 & \dots & \alpha_{n,n} - \lambda \end{bmatrix} \right)$$

By induction, then, we get that:

$$\det(A - \lambda I) = \left(\prod_{i=1}^{n-1} \alpha_{i,i} - \lambda \right) \det([\alpha_{n,n} - \lambda]) = \prod_{i=1}^n \alpha_{i,i} - \lambda$$

Thus, we have that the eigenvalues of A are $\{\alpha_{1,1}, \alpha_{2,2}, \dots, \alpha_{n,n}\}$, as required. The same proof applies for lower-triangular matrices since $\det(A - \lambda I) = \det((A - \lambda I)^T) = \det(A^T - \lambda I^T) = \det(A^T - \lambda I)$. \square

Problem 8

Part (i)

Proof. In order to prove that S is a basis for V , we need only show that the elements of S are linearly independent, since S spans V by definition. Computing the Wronskian, we get:

$$W = \det \left(\begin{bmatrix} \sin(x) & \cos(x) & \sin(2x) & \cos(2x) \\ \cos(x) & -\sin(x) & 2\cos(2x) & -2\sin(2x) \\ -\sin(x) & -\cos(x) & -4\sin(2x) & -4\cos(2x) \\ -\cos(x) & \sin(x) & -8\cos(2x) & 8\sin(2x) \end{bmatrix} \right) = 18 \neq 0$$

Thus, the elements of S are linearly independent, so S is a basis for V \square

Part (ii)

$$D = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & -1 & 0 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & -2 \\ 0 & 0 & 2 & 0 \end{bmatrix}$$

Part (iii)

Proof. Consider $U := \text{span}\{\sin(x), \cos(x)\}$ and $W := \text{span}\{\sin(2x), \cos(2x)\}$. Then U and W are complementary subspaces of V since $U \cap W = \{0\}$ and $V = U \oplus W$. Also, U and W are clearly D -invariant, since the derivative of any element of U will be some linear combination of $\sin(x)$ and $\cos(x)$, and the derivative of any element in W will be some linear combination of $\sin(2x)$ and $\cos(2x)$. \square

Problem 13

Consider the determinant of the matrix $A - \lambda I$:

$$\det \left(\begin{bmatrix} 0.8 - \lambda & 0.4 \\ 0.2 & 0.6 - \lambda \end{bmatrix} \right) = (0.8 - \lambda)(0.6 - \lambda) - 0.08$$

Solving for λ , we get eigenvalues 0.4 and 1. The corresponding eigenvectors are of the form $x = -y$ and $x = 2y$, respectively. We will pick eigenvectors $\begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ -1 \end{bmatrix}$ and $\begin{bmatrix} 2 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix}$, for simplicity. Note that these eigenvectors form an eigenbasis of A , so A is semisimple. So, we will define P to be the matrix of the eigenvectors:

$$P := \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 2 \\ -1 & 1 \end{bmatrix}$$

Note that:

$$P^{-1} = \begin{bmatrix} 1/3 & -2/3 \\ 1/3 & 1/3 \end{bmatrix}$$

So, we have that:

$$P^{-1}AP = \begin{bmatrix} 1/3 & -2/3 \\ 1/3 & 1/3 \end{bmatrix} \cdot \begin{bmatrix} 0.8 & 0.4 \\ 0.2 & 0.6 \end{bmatrix} \cdot \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 2 \\ -1 & 1 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} 0.4 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix}$$

Problem 15

Proof. Suppose $A \in M_n(\mathbb{F})$ semisimple. Then \exists a nonsingular matrix P and a diagonal matrix D s.t. $D = P^{-1}AP$. It follows then that $PDP^{-1} = A$, and therefore that $PD^nP^{-1} = A^n \forall n \in \mathbb{N}$. Note that in particular, we have that:

$$D = \begin{bmatrix} \lambda_1 & 0 & \dots & 0 \\ 0 & \lambda_2 & \dots & 0 \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ 0 & 0 & \dots & \lambda_n \end{bmatrix}$$

It follows that:

$$\begin{aligned} f(A) &= a_0I + a_1A + \dots + a_nA^n \\ &= a_0I + a_1PDP^{-1} + \dots + a_nPD^nP^{-1} \\ &= P(a_0I + a_1D + \dots + a_nD^n)P^{-1} \\ &= P \cdot \begin{bmatrix} f(\lambda_1) & 0 & \dots & 0 \\ 0 & f(\lambda_2) & \dots & 0 \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ 0 & 0 & \dots & f(\lambda_n) \end{bmatrix} \cdot P^{-1} \\ &\sim \begin{bmatrix} f(\lambda_1) & 0 & \dots & 0 \\ 0 & f(\lambda_2) & \dots & 0 \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ 0 & 0 & \dots & f(\lambda_n) \end{bmatrix} \end{aligned}$$

Since similar matrices have the same eigenvalues, we have that $(f(\lambda_i))_{i=1}^n$ are the eigenvalues of $f(A)$. \square

Problem 16

Part (i)

Proof. As in problem 13, we may define a nonsingular matrix P and a diagonal matrix D s.t. $P^{-1}AP = D$:

$$P := \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 2 \\ -1 & 1 \end{bmatrix} \quad P^{-1} = \begin{bmatrix} 1/3 & -2/3 \\ 1/3 & 1/3 \end{bmatrix} \quad D := \begin{bmatrix} 0.4 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix}$$

It follows that $PDP^{-1} = A$, and therefore that $PD^nP^{-1} = A^n$. Note then that we have:

$$\begin{aligned} \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} A^n &= \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} PD^nP^{-1} \\ &= P \left(\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} D^n \right) P^{-1} \\ &= P \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix} P^{-1} \\ &= \begin{bmatrix} 2/3 & 2/3 \\ 1/3 & 1/3 \end{bmatrix} \end{aligned}$$

□

Part (ii)

The answer does not depend on the choice of norm, since $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} D^n = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix}$ in every case.

Part (iii)

By theorem 4.3.12, the eigenvalues of $3I + 5A + A^3$ are $3 + 5 \cdot 1 + 0 \cdot 1^2 + 1 \cdot 1^3 = 9$ and $3 + 5 \cdot 0.4 + 0 \cdot 0.4^2 + 1 \cdot 0.4^3 = 5.064$

Problem 18

Proof. Define $A \in M_n(\mathbb{F})$ as:

$$A := \begin{bmatrix} \alpha_{1,1} & \alpha_{1,2} & \dots & \alpha_{1,n} \\ \alpha_{2,1} & \alpha_{2,2} & \dots & \alpha_{2,n} \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ \alpha_{n,1} & \alpha_{n,2} & \dots & \alpha_{n,n} \end{bmatrix}$$

In order to have a nonzero row vector x^T s.t. $x^T A = \lambda x^T$, we must have that $\alpha_{1,i}x_1^T, \alpha_{2,i}x_2^T, \dots, \alpha_{n,i}x_n^T = \lambda x_i^T \forall 1 \leq i \leq n$, with $\{x_1^T, x_2^T, \dots, x_n^T\}$ the

components of x^T . Equivalently, we must have that the null space of the matrix B is nonzero, for B defined as:

$$B := \begin{bmatrix} \alpha_{1,1} - \lambda & \alpha_{2,1} & \dots & \alpha_{n,1} \\ \alpha_{1,2} & \alpha_{2,2} - \lambda & \dots & \alpha_{n,2} \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ \alpha_{1,n} & \alpha_{2,n} & \dots & \alpha_{n,n} - \lambda \end{bmatrix}$$

Note that $B = (A - \lambda I)^T$. Since λ is an eigenvalue of A , we have that $\det(A - \lambda I) = 0$. It follows that $\det((A - \lambda I)^T) = \det(A - \lambda I) = 0$, i.e. that the null space of $(A - \lambda I)^T = B$ is nonzero, as required. \square

Problem 20

Proof. Suppose A is Hermitian and orthonormally similar to B . Then we have that $B = U^H A U$ for U an orthonormal matrix, and $A = A^H$. So, we have that:

$$\begin{aligned} B &= U^H A U \\ &= U^H A^H U \\ &= (U^H A U)^H \\ &= B^H \end{aligned}$$

Thus, B is Hermitian. \square

Problem 24

Proof. Suppose A is Hermitian, so $A = A^H$. Then we have that:

$$\begin{aligned} \rho(x) &= \frac{\langle x, Ax \rangle}{\|x\|^2} \\ &= \frac{x^H Ax}{\|x\|^2} \\ &= \frac{x^H A^H x}{\|x\|^2} \\ &= \frac{(x^H Ax)^H}{\|x\|^2} \\ &= \frac{(\langle x, Ax \rangle)^H}{\|x\|^2} \end{aligned}$$

So, we must have that $\langle x, Ax \rangle$ is real, so $\frac{\langle x, Ax \rangle}{\|x\|^2} = \rho(x)$ is real since $\|x\|^2$ is real.

Suppose A is skew-Hermitian, so $A = -A^H$. Then we have that:

$$\begin{aligned}\rho(x) &= \frac{\langle x, Ax \rangle}{\|x\|^2} \\ &= \frac{x^H Ax}{\|x\|^2} \\ &= \frac{-x^H A^H x}{\|x\|^2} \\ &= \frac{-(x^H Ax)^H}{\|x\|^2} \\ &= \frac{-(\langle x, Ax \rangle)^H}{\|x\|^2}\end{aligned}$$

So, we must have that $\langle x, Ax \rangle$ is imaginary, so $\frac{\langle x, Ax \rangle}{\|x\|^2} = \rho(x)$ is imaginary since $\|x\|^2$ is real. \square

Problem 25

Part (i)

Proof. Note that $\forall j$ s.t. $1 \leq j \leq n$:

$$\begin{aligned}(x_1 x_1^H + \cdots + x_j x_j^H + \cdots + x_n x_n^H) x_j &= x_1 x_1^H x_j + \cdots + x_j x_j^H x_j + \cdots + x_n x_n^H x_j \\ &= x_1 \langle x_1, x_j \rangle + \cdots + x_j \langle x_j, x_j \rangle + \cdots + x_n \langle x_n, x_j \rangle \\ &= 0 + \cdots + x_j \cdot 1 + \cdots + 0 \\ &= x_j\end{aligned}$$

Thus, since $\{x_1, \dots, x_n\}$ is an orthonormal basis, we have that since $(x_1 x_1^H + \cdots + x_n x_n^H) x_j = x_j \forall j$ s.t. $1 \leq j \leq n$, the matrix $(x_1 x_1^H + \cdots + x_n x_n^H)$ must be the identity matrix I . \square

Part (ii)

Proof. Note that since $(x_1 x_1^H + \cdots + x_n x_n^H)$ is the identity matrix I by part (i), we may write:

$$\begin{aligned}A &= A(x_1 x_1^H + \cdots + x_n x_n^H) \\ &= A x_1 x_1^H + \cdots + A x_n x_n^H \\ &= \lambda_1 x_1 x_1^H + \cdots + \lambda_n x_n x_n^H\end{aligned}$$

\square

Problem 27

Proof. Define:

$$A := \begin{bmatrix} \alpha_{1,1} & \alpha_{1,2} & \dots & \alpha_{1,n} \\ \alpha_{2,1} & \alpha_{2,2} & \dots & \alpha_{2,n} \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ \alpha_{n,1} & \alpha_{n,2} & \dots & \alpha_{n,n} \end{bmatrix}$$

Note that the diagonal elements of A must be real since A is Hermitian. Consider

the unit vector $e_1 = \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ 0 \\ \vdots \\ 0 \end{bmatrix} \in \mathbb{F}^n$. Then we must have that:

$$\langle e_1, Ae_1 \rangle = e_1^H Ae_1 = \alpha_{1,1} > 0$$

This can be applied for every e_i , so it follows that $\alpha_{i,i} > 0 \forall i$ s.t. $1 \leq i \leq n$. Thus, we have that all of the diagonal elements of A are real and positive. \square

Problem 28

Proof. Replacing positive definite with positive semidefinite in the previous proof, we get that all of the diagonal elements of A and B are real and nonnegative. So, $0 \leq \text{tr}(A)$ and $0 \leq \text{tr}(B)$, so $0 \leq \text{tr}(A)\text{tr}(B)$. Also, note that we must have $A = S^H S$ and $B = T^H T$ for some matrices S and T . So, we have that:

$$\text{tr}(AB) = \text{tr}(S^H S T^H T) = \text{tr}((TS^H)(ST^H)) = \text{tr}((ST^H)^H(ST^H))$$

Thus, since $\forall a \in \mathbb{C}$, $a\bar{a} \in \mathbb{R}$ and $0 \leq a\bar{a}$, we have that every diagonal element of $(ST^H)^H(ST^H)$ is real and nonnegative. Thus, $0 \leq \text{tr}(AB)$

Note that since A is Hermitian, we may define $A = U^H D U$ for U an orthonormal matrix and D a diagonal matrix. Thus,

$$\text{tr}(AB) = \text{tr}(U^H D U B) = \text{tr}(D U B U^H)$$

Since $\text{tr}(U B U^H) = \text{tr}(B)$, we may assume that $A = \text{diag}(\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_n)$. Let $\{\beta_{1,1}, \dots, \beta_{n,n}\}$ be the diagonal elements of B . Then, we have that:

$$\begin{aligned} \text{tr}(AB) &= \lambda_1 \beta_{1,1} + \dots + \lambda_n \beta_{n,n} \\ &\leq (\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_n)(\beta_{1,1}, \dots, \beta_{n,n}) \\ &= \text{tr}(A)\text{tr}(B) \end{aligned}$$

\square

Problem 31

Part (i)

Proof. Define $\|A\|_2 := \max_{\|x\|_2=1} \|Ax\|_2$. As in theorem 4.5.10, we can find an orthonormal matrix V s.t.

$$A^H A = V \begin{bmatrix} D & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix} V^H$$

where $D = \text{diag}(\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_r)$ and $\lambda_1 \geq \lambda_2 \geq \dots \geq \lambda_r > 0$. So, we have that, for x s.t. $\|x\|_2 = 1$:

$$\|Ax\|_2^2 = x^H A^H A x = x^H V \begin{bmatrix} D & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix} V^H x = y^H \begin{bmatrix} D & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix} y = \sum_{i=1}^r \lambda_i \bar{y}_i y_i$$

where $y := V^H x$. It follows that:

$$\|Ax\|_2^2 \leq \lambda_{\max} y^H y = \lambda_{\max} x^H V V^H x = \lambda_{\max} x^H x = \lambda_{\max}$$

Since λ_{\max} is an eigenvalue of $A^H A$, we know that $\exists x$ s.t. $\|x\|_2 = 1$ and $A^H A x = \lambda_{\max} x$. For such an x , we have that:

$$\|Ax\|_2^2 = x^H A^H A x = \lambda_{\max} x^H x = \lambda_{\max}$$

Thus, we have that $\|Ax\|_2 = \sqrt{\lambda_{\max}}$, with $\sqrt{\lambda_{\max}}$ being the largest singular value of A , by definition. \square

Part (ii)

Proof. Since A is invertible, it does not have any 0 eigenvalues, so we have that $\lambda_n = \lambda_{\min}$. Consider the SVD of A , $A = U \Sigma V^H$. It follows that:

$$A^{-1} = (U \Sigma V^H)^{-1} = (V^H)^{-1} \Sigma^{-1} U^{-1} = V \Sigma^{-1} U^H$$

since U, V orthonormal. So, we have constructed an SVD of A^{-1} . By part (i), then, we have that $\|A^{-1}\|_2 = \max\{\text{diag}(\Sigma^{-1})\}$. Since the diagonal elements of Σ^{-1} are simply the multiplicative inverses of the diagonal elements of Σ , we have that $\|A^{-1}\|_2 = \max\{\text{diag}(\Sigma^{-1})\} = \sigma_{\min}^{-1} = \sigma_n^{-1}$ \square

Part (iii)

By part (i), $\|A^H A\|_2$ is given by the largest singular value of $A^H A$. This is the square root of the largest nonzero eigenvalue of $(A^H A)^H A^H A = (A^H A)^2$. By Theorem 4.3.12, this is simply the largest nonzero eigenvalue of $A^H A$, i.e. σ_1^2 , where σ_1 is the largest singular value of A . So, we have that $\|A^H A\|_2 = \|A\|_2^2$ since $\|A\|_2 = \sigma_1$.

$\|A^H\|_2$ is given by the largest singular value of A^H . This is the square root of the largest nonzero eigenvalue of $(A^H)^H A^H = AA^H$. Since the nonzero eigenvalues of AA^H are the same as those of $A^H A$, we have that $\|A^H\|_2 = \sigma_1$, i.e. $\|A^H\|_2^2 = \|A\|_2^2$.

$\|A^T\|_2$ is given by the largest singular value of A^T . This is the square root of the largest nonzero eigenvalue of $(A^T)^H A^T = (A^H)^T A^T = (AA^H)^T$. Since the nonzero eigenvalues of AA^H are the same as those of $A^H A$, and since the nonzero eigenvalues of AA^H are the same as those of $(AA^H)^T$, we have that $\|A^T\|_2 = \sigma_1$, i.e. $\|A^T\|_2^2 = \|A\|_2^2$.

Part (iv)

Proof. $\|UAV\|_2$ is given by the largest singular value of UAV . This is the square root of the largest nonzero eigenvalue of $(UAV)^H UAV = V^H A^H U^H UAV = V^H A^H AV$. Since V orthonormal, this is the same as the square root of the largest nonzero eigenvalue of $A^H A$, i.e. the largest singular value of A . This is equivalent to $\|A\|_2$ by part (i). So, we have that $\|UAV\|_2 = \|A\|_2$ \square

Problem 32

Part (i)

Proof.

$$\begin{aligned} \|UAV\|_F &= \sqrt{\text{tr}((UAV)^H UAV)} \\ &= \sqrt{\text{tr}(V^H A^H U^H UAV)} \\ &= \sqrt{\text{tr}(V^H A^H AV)} \\ &= \sqrt{\text{tr}(A^H AVV^H)} \\ &= \sqrt{\text{tr}(A^H A)} \\ &= \|A\|_F \end{aligned}$$

\square

Part (ii)

Consider the SVD of A , $A = U\Sigma V^H$. Then, we have that:

Proof.

$$\begin{aligned}
\|A\|_F &= \|U\Sigma V^H\|_F \\
&= \|\Sigma\|_F && \text{by part (i)} \\
&= \sqrt{\text{tr}(\Sigma^H \Sigma)} \\
&= (\sigma_1^2 + \sigma_2^2 + \dots + \sigma_r^2)^{1/2}
\end{aligned}$$

□

Problem 33

Proof. By problem 31, we have that $\|A\|_2 = \sigma_1$. Consider the SVD of A , $A = U\Sigma V^H$. Then, we have that:

$$\begin{aligned}
\sup_{\substack{\|x\|_2=1 \\ \|y\|_2=1}} |y^H A x| &= \sup_{\substack{\|x\|_2=1 \\ \|y\|_2=1}} |y^H U \Sigma V^H x| \\
&= \sup_{\substack{\|a\|_2=1 \\ \|b\|_2=1}} |a^H U^H U \Sigma V^H V b| && \text{with } x := Vb \text{ and } y := Ua \\
&= \sup_{\substack{\|a\|_2=1 \\ \|b\|_2=1}} |a^H \Sigma b| \\
&= \sup_{\substack{\|a\|_2=1 \\ \|b\|_2=1}} \left| \sum_{i=1}^r \bar{a}_i \sigma_i b_i \right|
\end{aligned}$$

Clearly, the above sup is attained at $a = b = \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ 0 \\ \vdots \\ 0 \end{bmatrix}$, since this puts all the weight on the largest singular value σ_1 . So, we have:

$$\sup_{\substack{\|x\|_2=1 \\ \|y\|_2=1}} |y^H A x| = |\sigma_1| = \sigma_1 = \|A\|_2$$

□

Problem 36

Proof. Consider the matrix:

$$A := \begin{bmatrix} -1 & 0 \\ 0 & -1 \end{bmatrix}$$

Then the determinant of the matrix is 1, the eigenvalues of the matrix are both -1, and the singular values of the matrix are both 1. \square

Problem 38

Note that for this problem, we will denote U_1 , Σ_1 and V_1 as U , Σ and V .

Part (i)

$$\begin{aligned} AA^\dagger A &= U\Sigma V^H V\Sigma^{-1}U^H U\Sigma V^H \\ &= U\Sigma\Sigma^{-1}\Sigma V^H \\ &= U\Sigma V^H \\ &= A \end{aligned}$$

Part (ii)

$$\begin{aligned} A^\dagger AA^\dagger &= V\Sigma^{-1}U^H U\Sigma V^H V\Sigma^{-1}U^H \\ &= V\Sigma^{-1}\Sigma\Sigma^{-1}U^H \\ &= V\Sigma^{-1}U^H \\ &= A^\dagger \end{aligned}$$

Part (iii)

$$\begin{aligned} (AA^\dagger)^H &= (U\Sigma V^H V\Sigma^{-1}U^H)^H \\ &= (UU^H)^H \\ &= UU^H \\ &= U\Sigma V^H V\Sigma^{-1}U^H \\ &= AA^\dagger \end{aligned}$$

Part (iv)

$$\begin{aligned}
(A^\dagger A)^H &= (V\Sigma^{-1}U^H U\Sigma V^H)^H \\
&= (VV^H)^H \\
&= VV^H \\
&= V\Sigma^{-1}U^H U\Sigma V^H \\
&= A^\dagger A
\end{aligned}$$

Part (v)

Proof. Consider $(AA^\dagger)^2 = AA^\dagger AA^\dagger = AA^\dagger$ by part (i). So, we have that AA^\dagger is a projection matrix. By part (iii), it is orthogonal since $(AA^\dagger)^H = AA^\dagger$. Clearly, $AA^\dagger x \in \mathcal{R}(A)$. \square

Part (vi)

Proof. Consider $(A^\dagger A)^2 = A^\dagger AA^\dagger A = A^\dagger A$ by part (ii). So, we have that $A^\dagger A$ is a projection matrix. By part (iv), it is orthogonal since $(A^\dagger A)^H = A^\dagger A$. By the proof of theorem 4.6.1, we know that for any $b \in \mathbb{F}^m$, $A^\dagger b \in \mathcal{R}(V) = \mathcal{R}(A^H)$. So, we have that $A^\dagger Ax \in \mathcal{R}(A^H)$. \square