

## Problem 1

### Part (i)

*Proof.*

$$\begin{aligned}\frac{1}{4}(\|x+y\|^2 - \|x-y\|^2) &= \frac{1}{4}(\langle x+y, x+y \rangle - \langle x-y, x-y \rangle) \\ &= \frac{1}{4}(\langle x, x \rangle + \langle x, y \rangle + \langle y, x \rangle + \langle y, y \rangle - \langle x, x \rangle + \langle x, y \rangle + \langle y, x \rangle - \langle y, y \rangle) \\ &= \frac{1}{4}(4\langle x, y \rangle) \\ &= \langle x, y \rangle\end{aligned}$$

□

### Part (ii)

*Proof.*

$$\begin{aligned}\frac{1}{2}(\|x+y\|^2 + \|x-y\|^2) &= \frac{1}{2}(\langle x+y, x+y \rangle + \langle x-y, x-y \rangle) \\ &= \frac{1}{2}(\langle x, x \rangle + \langle x, y \rangle + \langle y, x \rangle + \langle y, y \rangle + \langle x, x \rangle - \langle x, y \rangle - \langle y, x \rangle + \langle y, y \rangle) \\ &= \frac{1}{2}(2\langle x, x \rangle + 2\langle y, y \rangle) \\ &= \langle x, x \rangle + \langle y, y \rangle \\ &= \|x\|^2 + \|y\|^2\end{aligned}$$

□

## Problem 2

*Proof.* Note that:

$$\begin{aligned}\|x+y\|^2 - \|x-y\|^2 &= \langle x+y, x+y \rangle - \langle x-y, x-y \rangle \\ &= \overline{\langle x, x+y \rangle} + \overline{\langle y, x+y \rangle} - \overline{\langle x, x-y \rangle} + \overline{\langle y, x-y \rangle} \\ &= \overline{\langle x, x \rangle} + \overline{\langle x, y \rangle} + \overline{\langle y, x \rangle} + \overline{\langle y, y \rangle} - \overline{\langle x, x \rangle} + \overline{\langle x, y \rangle} + \overline{\langle y, x \rangle} - \overline{\langle y, y \rangle} \\ &= 2\overline{\langle y, x \rangle} + 2\langle x, y \rangle\end{aligned}$$

Also, note that:

$$\begin{aligned}
i\|x - iy\|^2 - i\|x + iy\|^2 &= i\langle x - iy, x - iy \rangle - i\langle x + iy, x + iy \rangle \\
&= \langle x - iy, y \rangle + \langle x - iy, ix \rangle + \langle x + iy, y \rangle - \langle x + iy, ix \rangle \\
&= \overline{\langle y, x \rangle} - \overline{\langle y, iy \rangle} + \overline{\langle ix, x \rangle} - \overline{\langle ix, iy \rangle} + \overline{\langle y, x \rangle} + \overline{\langle y, iy \rangle} - \overline{\langle ix, x \rangle} - \overline{\langle ix, iy \rangle} \\
&= 2\langle x, y \rangle - 2\langle iy, ix \rangle \\
&= 2\langle x, y \rangle - 2\langle y, x \rangle
\end{aligned}$$

So, we have that:

$$\begin{aligned}
\frac{1}{4}(\|x + y\|^2 - \|x - y\|^2 + i\|x - iy\|^2 - i\|x + iy\|^2) &= \frac{1}{4}(2\langle y, x \rangle + 2\langle x, y \rangle + 2\langle x, y \rangle - 2\langle y, x \rangle) \\
&= \frac{1}{4}(4\langle x, y \rangle) \\
&= \langle x, y \rangle
\end{aligned}$$

□

## Problem 3

### Part (i)

$$\begin{aligned}
\theta &= \cos^{-1}\left(\frac{\int_0^1 x^6 dx}{\sqrt{\int_0^1 x^2 dx} \cdot \sqrt{\int_0^1 x^{10} dx}}\right) \\
&= \cos^{-1}\left(\frac{\sqrt{33}}{7}\right) \\
&\approx 0.608
\end{aligned}$$

### Part (ii)

$$\begin{aligned}
\theta &= \cos^{-1}\left(\frac{\int_0^1 x^6 dx}{\sqrt{\int_0^1 x^4 dx} \cdot \sqrt{\int_0^1 x^8 dx}}\right) \\
&= \cos^{-1}\left(\frac{\sqrt{45}}{7}\right) \\
&\approx 0.29
\end{aligned}$$

## Problem 8

### Part (i)

*Proof.* Consider  $\langle v_i, v_j \rangle$  for  $v_i, v_j \in S$ ,  $i \neq j$ . Clearly, if  $v_i$  is some form of sine and  $v_j$  is some form of cosine (or vice versa), then their product is odd and therefore the integral of their product over the interval  $[-\pi, \pi]$  is 0, so  $\langle v_i, v_j \rangle = 0$ . If  $v_i = \cos(t)$  and  $v_j = \cos(2t)$  (or vice versa), we have that:

$$\langle v_i, v_j \rangle = \frac{1}{\pi} \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} \cos(t) \cos(2t) dt = 0$$

If  $v_i = \sin(t)$  and  $v_j = \sin(2t)$  (or vice versa), we have that:

$$\langle v_i, v_j \rangle = \frac{1}{\pi} \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} \sin(t) \sin(2t) dt = 0$$

Consider  $\langle v_i, v_j \rangle$  for  $v_i, v_j \in S$ ,  $i = j$ . Note that:

$$\int_{-\pi}^{\pi} \cos^2(t) dt = \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} \sin^2(t) dt = \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} \cos^2(2t) dt = \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} \sin^2(2t) dt = \pi$$

Thus, by our definition of the inner product over  $V$ ,  $\langle v_i, v_j \rangle = 1$ . Thus,  $S$  is an orthonormal set.  $\square$

### Part (ii)

$$\|t\| = \sqrt{\frac{1}{\pi} \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} t^2 dt} = \sqrt{\frac{2}{3}\pi}$$

### Part (iii)

$$\begin{aligned} \text{proj}_X(\cos(3t)) &= \langle \cos(3t), \cos(t) \rangle \cdot \cos(t) + \langle \cos(3t), \sin(t) \rangle \cdot \sin(t) + \\ &\quad + \langle \cos(3t), \cos(2t) \rangle \cdot \cos(2t) + \langle \cos(3t), \sin(2t) \rangle \cdot \sin(2t) \\ &= 0 \cdot \cos(t) + 0 \cdot \sin(t) + 0 \cdot \cos(2t) + 0 \cdot \sin(2t) \\ &= 0 \end{aligned}$$

### Part (iv)

$$\begin{aligned} \text{proj}_X(t) &= \langle t, \cos(t) \rangle \cdot \cos(t) + \langle t, \sin(t) \rangle \cdot \sin(t) + \langle t, \cos(2t) \rangle \cdot \cos(2t) + \langle t, \sin(2t) \rangle \cdot \sin(2t) \\ &= 0 + 2\sin(t) + 0 - \sin(2t) \\ &= 2\sin(t) - \sin(2t) \end{aligned}$$

## Problem 9

*Proof.* We define a rotation  $r : \mathbb{R}^2 \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^2$  as:

$$r\left(\theta, \begin{bmatrix} x \\ y \end{bmatrix}\right) = \begin{bmatrix} x \cdot \cos(\theta) - y \cdot \sin(\theta) \\ x \cdot \sin(\theta) + y \cdot \cos(\theta) \end{bmatrix}$$

Consider two vectors  $\begin{bmatrix} a \\ b \end{bmatrix}$  and  $\begin{bmatrix} c \\ d \end{bmatrix}$  in  $\mathbb{R}^2$ . Then we have that:

$$\left\langle \begin{bmatrix} a \\ b \end{bmatrix}, \begin{bmatrix} c \\ d \end{bmatrix} \right\rangle = ac + bd$$

Rotating, we get:

$$\begin{aligned} & \left\langle \begin{bmatrix} a \cdot \cos(\theta) - b \cdot \sin(\theta) \\ a \cdot \sin(\theta) + b \cdot \cos(\theta) \end{bmatrix}, \begin{bmatrix} c \cdot \cos(\theta) - d \cdot \sin(\theta) \\ c \cdot \sin(\theta) + d \cdot \cos(\theta) \end{bmatrix} \right\rangle = \\ &= (a \cdot \cos(\theta) - b \cdot \sin(\theta)) \cdot (c \cdot \cos(\theta) - d \cdot \sin(\theta)) + (a \cdot \sin(\theta) + b \cdot \cos(\theta)) \cdot (c \cdot \sin(\theta) + d \cdot \cos(\theta)) \\ &= ac \cdot \cos^2(\theta) - ad \cdot \sin(\theta)\cos(\theta) - bc \cdot \sin(\theta)\cos(\theta) + bd \cdot \sin^2(\theta) + \\ &\quad + ac \cdot \sin^2(\theta) + ad \cdot \sin(\theta)\cos(\theta) + bc \cdot \sin(\theta)\cos(\theta) + bd \cdot \cos^2(\theta) \\ &= ac \cdot (\cos^2(\theta) + \sin^2(\theta)) + bd \cdot (\cos^2(\theta) + \sin^2(\theta)) \\ &= ac + bd \end{aligned}$$

So, a rotation in  $\mathbb{R}^2$  is an orthonormal transformation (with respect to the usual inner product).  $\square$

## Problem 10

### Part (i)

*Proof.* Suppose  $Q \in M_n(\mathbb{F})$  is an orthonormal matrix. Then we must have  $\langle Qu, Qv \rangle = \langle u, v \rangle \forall u, v \in \mathbb{F}^n$ . By the definition of the standard inner product:

$$\begin{aligned} (Qu)^H Qv &= u^H v \\ u^H Q^H Qv &= u^H v \\ Q^H Q &= I \end{aligned}$$

Thus, by the uniqueness of inverses,  $QQ^H = Q^H Q = I$  if  $Q \in M_n(\mathbb{F})$  is an orthonormal matrix. Suppose  $QQ^H = Q^H Q = I$ . Then for any  $u, v \in \mathbb{F}^n$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} Q^H Q &= I \\ Q^H Q v &= v \\ u^H Q^H Q v &= u^H v \\ (Qu)^H Q v &= u^H v \\ \langle Qu, Qv \rangle &= \langle u, v \rangle \end{aligned}$$

So,  $\langle Qu, Qv \rangle = \langle u, v \rangle \forall u, v \in \mathbb{F}^n$ , i.e.  $Q \in M_n(\mathbb{F})$  is an orthonormal matrix.  $\square$

### Part (ii)

*Proof.* Consider  $x \in \mathbb{F}^n$ . Then, we have that:

$$\begin{aligned} \|Qx\| &= \sqrt{\langle Qx, Qx \rangle} \\ &= \sqrt{\langle x, x \rangle} && \text{Since } Q \in M_n(\mathbb{F}) \text{ is an orthonormal matrix} \\ &= \|x\| \end{aligned}$$

$\square$

### Part (iii)

*Proof.* From part (i), we know that if  $Q \in M_n(\mathbb{F})$  is an orthonormal matrix, then  $Q^{-1} = Q^H$ . Also, we know that if  $QQ^H = Q^H Q = I$ , then  $Q$  is an orthonormal matrix. Also, note that  $Q^H(Q^H)^H = Q^H Q$  and  $(Q^H)^H Q^H = QQ^H$ . So, we have that:

$$Q^H(Q^H)^H = (Q^H)^H Q^H = QQ^H = Q^H Q = I$$

So  $Q^{-1} = Q^H$  is an orthonormal matrix if  $Q \in M_n(\mathbb{F})$  is an orthonormal matrix.  $\square$

### Part (iv)

*Proof.* Suppose an orthonormal matrix  $Q \in M_n(\mathbb{F})$  has column vectors  $\{v_1, v_2, \dots, v_n\}$ . Then we have that:

$$Q = [v_1 \quad v_2 \quad \dots \quad v_n] \quad Q^H = \begin{bmatrix} v_1^H \\ v_2^H \\ \vdots \\ v_n^H \end{bmatrix}$$

Since  $Q$  is orthonormal, we know that:

$$\begin{aligned}
Q^H Q &= \begin{bmatrix} v_1^H \\ v_2^H \\ \vdots \\ v_n^H \end{bmatrix} \cdot \begin{bmatrix} v_1 & v_2 & \dots & v_n \end{bmatrix} \\
&= \begin{bmatrix} v_1^H v_1 & v_1^H v_2 & \dots & v_1^H v_n \\ v_2^H v_1 & v_2^H v_2 & \dots & v_2^H v_n \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ v_n^H v_1 & v_n^H v_2 & \dots & v_n^H v_n \end{bmatrix} \\
&= \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 & \dots & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & \dots & 0 \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ 0 & 0 & \dots & 1 \end{bmatrix} \\
&= I
\end{aligned}$$

So, we have that the column vectors of an orthonormal matrix form an orthonormal set, since  $\langle v_i, v_j \rangle = v_i^H v_j = 0$  if  $i \neq j$ , and  $\langle v_i, v_j \rangle = v_i^H v_j = 1$  if  $i = j$ .  $\square$

### Part (v)

*Proof.* For  $Q \in M_n(\mathbb{F})$  an orthonormal matrix, we have that:

$$1 = \det(I) = \det(Q^H Q) = \det(Q^H) \det(Q) = (\det(Q))^2$$

So,  $|\det(Q)| = 1$ . The converse is not true; consider  $P = \begin{bmatrix} 2 & 0 \\ 0 & \frac{1}{2} \end{bmatrix}$   $\square$

### Part (vi)

*Proof.* Suppose  $Q_1, Q_2 \in M_n(\mathbb{F})$  are orthonormal matrices. Consider their product,  $Q_1 Q_2$ . We have that:

$$Q_1 Q_2 (Q_1 Q_2)^H = Q_1 Q_2 Q_2^H Q_1^H = Q_1 I Q_1^H = I$$

Thus, by part (i),  $Q_1 Q_2$  is an orthonormal matrix.  $\square$

## Problem 11

If a collection  $\{v_1, v_2, \dots, v_n\}$  of vectors is linearly dependent, then  $\exists i \in \mathbb{N}$  s.t.  $1 \leq i \leq n$  and  $v_i \in \text{span}(\{v_1, v_2, \dots, v_{i-1}\})$ . WLOG, say that  $\{v_1, v_2, \dots, v_{i-1}\}$  are linearly independent. Note that we define:

$$q_i = \frac{x_i - \text{proj}_{\text{span}(\{q_1, \dots, q_{i-1}\})}(x_i)}{\|x_i - \text{proj}_{\text{span}(\{q_1, \dots, q_{i-1}\})}(x_i)\|}$$

Note that since  $\{v_1, v_2, \dots, v_{i-1}\}$  are linearly independent, then  $\text{span}(\{q_1, \dots, q_{i-1}\}) = \text{span}(\{v_1, v_2, \dots, v_{i-1}\})$  by construction. So, we get that:

$$\begin{aligned} \text{proj}_{\text{span}(\{q_1, \dots, q_{i-1}\})}(x_i) &= \text{proj}_{\text{span}(\{v_1, v_2, \dots, v_{i-1}\})}(x_i) \\ &= x_i \quad \text{since } x_i \in \text{span}(\{v_1, v_2, \dots, v_{i-1}\}) \end{aligned}$$

So, the denominator in the expression for  $q_i$  is 0, so  $q_i$  is undefined, and thus every  $q_j$  with  $j \geq i$  is undefined.

## Problem 16

### Part (i)

*Proof.* Suppose we have factored a matrix  $A \in M_{m \times n}$  into a product  $A = QR$ , where  $Q$  is an  $m \times m$  orthonormal matrix and  $R$  is an  $m \times n$  upper-triangular matrix. Consider the  $m \times m$  matrix:

$$D := \begin{bmatrix} -1 & 0 & \dots & 0 \\ 0 & -1 & \dots & 0 \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ 0 & 0 & \dots & -1 \end{bmatrix}$$

Then the matrix  $QD \neq Q$  is still an orthonormal matrix, and the matrix  $DR \neq R$  is still an upper-triangular matrix, and:

$$(QD)(DR) = QR = A$$

Thus, the QR decomposition is not unique.  $\square$

### Part (ii)

*Proof.* Suppose  $A = Q_1 R_1 = Q_2 R_2$  with  $Q_1, Q_2$  orthonormal matrices and  $R_1, R_2$  upper-triangular matrices. Then we have that:

$$\begin{aligned} R_1^H R_1 &= R_1^H (Q_1^H Q_1) R_1 \\ &= A^H A \\ &= R_2^H (Q_2^H Q_2) R_2 \\ &= R_2^H R_2 \end{aligned}$$

So, we have that:

$$(R_2^H)^{-1} R_1^H = R_2 (R_1)^{-1}$$

Note that the left hand side of the above equation must be a lower-triangular matrix, since the inverse of a lower-triangular matrix is lower-triangular and

the product of two lower-triangular matrices is lower-triangular. Also, the right hand side of the equation must be an upper-triangular matrix, since the inverse of an upper-triangular matrix is upper-triangular and the product of two upper-triangular matrices is upper-triangular. Thus, we must have that both sides of the equation are in fact diagonal matrices.

Note that the notion of "positive" is not well-defined on  $\mathbb{C} \setminus \mathbb{R}$ , so we must assert that the diagonal elements of  $R_1$  and  $R_2$  have no imaginary component in order for them to be positive. Say that the diagonal elements of  $R_1$  are  $\alpha_i > 0$  for  $1 \leq i \leq n$  and the diagonal elements of  $R_2$  are  $\beta_i > 0$  for  $1 \leq i \leq n$ . Note then that the diagonal elements of  $(R_1)^{-1}$  are  $1/\alpha_i > 0$  for  $1 \leq i \leq n$ , and that the diagonal elements of  $(R_2^H)^{-1}$  are  $1/\beta_i > 0$  for  $1 \leq i \leq n$ . So, since the diagonal of the product of two triangular matrices of the same kind (i.e. both upper or both lower) is the element-wise product of their diagonals, we have that:

$$\frac{\alpha_i}{\beta_i} = \frac{\beta_i}{\alpha_i} \text{ for } 1 \leq i \leq n$$

Since  $\alpha_i > 0$  and  $\beta_i > 0$  for  $1 \leq i \leq n$ , we have that  $\alpha_i = \beta_i$  for  $1 \leq i \leq n$ . Consequently,

$$(R_2^H)^{-1} R_1^H = R_2 (R_1)^{-1} = I$$

So, by the uniqueness of inverses, we must have that  $R_1 = R_2$ . Since  $Q_1 R_1 = Q_2 R_2$ , it follows that  $Q_1 = Q_2$ . So, There is a unique  $QR$  decomposition of  $A$  s.t.  $R$  has only positive diagonal elements.  $\square$

## Problem 17

*Proof.*

$$\begin{aligned} A^H A x &= A^H b \\ (\hat{Q} \hat{R})^H \hat{Q} \hat{R} x &= (\hat{Q} \hat{R})^H b \\ \hat{R}^H \hat{Q}^H \hat{Q} \hat{R} x &= \hat{R}^H \hat{Q}^H b \\ \hat{R}^H I \hat{R} x &= \hat{R}^H \hat{Q}^H b \\ \hat{R} x &= \hat{Q}^H b \end{aligned}$$

$\square$



## Problem 23

*Proof.* Note that:

$$\begin{aligned}\|x\| &= \|x - y + y\| \\ &\leq \|x - y\| + \|y\|\end{aligned}$$

So, we have that  $\|x\| - \|y\| \leq \|x - y\|$ . Exchanging  $x$  and  $y$ , we get that  $\|y\| - \|x\| \leq \|x - y\|$  since  $\|y - x\| = \|x - y\|$ . Thus, since  $|\|x\| - \|y\|| \in \{\|x\| - \|y\|, \|y\| - \|x\|\}$ , we have that:

$$|\|x\| - \|y\|| \leq \|x - y\| \quad \forall x, y \in V$$

□

## Problem 24

### Part (i)

*Proof.* Consider the norm:

$$\|f\|_{L^1} = \int_a^b |f(t)| dt$$

Then, we have that  $\|f\|_{L^1} \geq 0$  and  $\|f\|_{L^1} = 0$  iff  $f$  is the zero function. This is a direct result of the fact that  $|\cdot|$  has this property on  $\mathbb{F}$ . We also have that, for some constant  $c \in \mathbb{F}$ :

$$\begin{aligned}\|cf\|_{L^1} &= \int_a^b |cf(t)| dt \\ &= \int_a^b |c| \cdot |f(t)| dt \\ &= |c| \int_a^b |f(t)| dt \\ &= |c| \cdot \|f\|_{L^1}\end{aligned}$$

Finally, we have that:

$$\begin{aligned}\|f\|_{L^1} + \|g\|_{L^1} &= \int_a^b |f(t)| dt + \int_a^b |g(t)| dt \\ &= \int_a^b |f(t)| + |g(t)| dt \\ &\geq \int_a^b |f(t) + g(t)| dt \\ &= \|f + g\|_{L^1}\end{aligned}$$

□

*Proof.* Consider the norm:

$$\|f\|_{L^2} = \left( \int_a^b |f(t)|^2 dt \right)^{1/2}$$

Then, we have that  $\|f\|_{L^2} \geq 0$  and  $\|f\|_{L^2} = 0$  iff  $f$  is the zero function. This is a direct result of the fact that  $|\cdot|$  has this property on  $\mathbb{F}$ . We also have that, for some constant  $c \in \mathbb{F}$ :

$$\begin{aligned} \|cf\|_{L^2} &= \left( \int_a^b |cf(t)|^2 dt \right)^{1/2} \\ &= \left( \int_a^b |c|^2 |f(t)|^2 dt \right)^{1/2} \\ &= |c| \cdot \left( \int_a^b |f(t)|^2 dt \right)^{1/2} \\ &= |c| \cdot \|f\|_{L^2} \end{aligned}$$

Finally, we have that:

$$\begin{aligned} (\|f + g\|_{L^2})^2 &= \int_a^b |f(t) + g(t)|^2 dt \\ &\leq \int_a^b (|f(t)| + |g(t)|) \cdot |f(t) + g(t)| dt \\ &= \int_a^b |f(t)| \cdot |f(t) + g(t)| dt + \int_a^b |g(t)| \cdot |f(t) + g(t)| dt \\ &\leq \left( \left( \int_a^b |f(t)|^2 dt \right)^{1/2} + \left( \int_a^b |g(t)|^2 dt \right)^{1/2} \right) \cdot \left( \int_a^b |f(t) + g(t)|^2 dt \right)^{1/2} \text{ by Hölder's inequality} \\ &= (\|f\|_{L^2} + \|g\|_{L^2}) \cdot \|f + g\|_{L^2} \end{aligned}$$

Thus,  $\|f + g\|_{L^2} \leq \|f\|_{L^2} + \|g\|_{L^2}$

□

*Proof.* Consider the norm:

$$\|f\|_{L^\infty} = \sup_{x \in [a, b]} |f(x)|$$

Then, we have that  $\|f\|_{L^\infty} \geq 0$  and  $\|f\|_{L^\infty} = 0$  iff  $f$  is the zero function. This is a direct result of the fact that  $|\cdot|$  has this property on  $\mathbb{F}$ . We also have that,

for some constant  $c \in \mathbb{F}$ :

$$\begin{aligned}\|cf\|_{L^\infty} &= \sup_{x \in [a,b]} |cf(x)| \\ &= \sup_{x \in [a,b]} |c| \cdot |f(x)| \\ &= |c| \cdot \sup_{x \in [a,b]} |f(x)| \\ &= |c| \cdot \|f\|_{L^\infty}\end{aligned}$$

Finally, we have that:

$$\begin{aligned}\|f\|_{L^\infty} + \|g\|_{L^\infty} &= \sup_{x \in [a,b]} |f(x)| + \sup_{x \in [a,b]} |g(x)| \\ &\geq \sup_{x \in [a,b]} (|f(x)| + |g(x)|) \\ &\geq \sup_{x \in [a,b]} |f(x) + g(x)| \\ &= \|f + g\|_{L^\infty}\end{aligned}$$

□

## Problem 26

### Part (i)

*Proof.*  $\|\cdot\|_a \sim \|\cdot\|_a$  by choosing  $m = M = 1$

Suppose  $\|\cdot\|_a \sim \|\cdot\|_b$ . Then  $\exists$  constants  $0 < m \leq M$  such that:

$$m\|x\|_a \leq \|x\|_b \leq M\|x\|_a, \quad \forall x \in X$$

So,  $\exists$  constants  $0 < \frac{1}{M} \leq \frac{1}{m}$  such that:

$$\frac{1}{M}\|x\|_b \leq \|x\|_a \leq \frac{1}{m}\|x\|_b, \quad \forall x \in X$$

i.e.  $\|\cdot\|_b \sim \|\cdot\|_a$

Suppose  $\|\cdot\|_a \sim \|\cdot\|_b$  and  $\|\cdot\|_b \sim \|\cdot\|_c$ . Then  $\exists$  constants  $0 < m \leq M$  and  $0 < n \leq N$  such that:

$$m\|x\|_a \leq \|x\|_b \leq M\|x\|_a, \quad \forall x \in X$$

$$n\|x\|_b \leq \|x\|_c \leq N\|x\|_b, \quad \forall x \in X$$

So,  $\exists$  constants  $0 < nm \leq NM$  such that:

$$nm\|x\|_a \leq \|x\|_c \leq NM\|x\|_a, \quad \forall x \in X$$

i.e.  $\|\cdot\|_a \sim \|\cdot\|_c$

Thus,  $\sim$  is an equivalence relation.

Define the following  $p$ -norms for a vector  $x \in \mathbb{F}^n$  with components  $\{x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n\}$ :

$$\|x\|_1 = \sum_{j=1}^n |x_j|$$

$$\|x\|_2 = \left( \sum_{j=1}^n |x_j|^2 \right)^{1/2}$$

$$\|x\|_\infty = \sup\{|x_1|, |x_2|, \dots, |x_n|\}$$

Then, by the triangle inequality on the 2-norm, we have that:

$$\|x\|_2 = \left( \sum_{j=1}^n |x_j|^2 \right)^{1/2} \leq \sum_{j=1}^n (|x_j|^2)^{1/2} = \sum_{j=1}^n |x_j| = \|x\|_1$$

Also, by Hölder's inequality, we have that:

$$\|x\|_1 = \sum_{j=1}^n |x_j| \leq \left( \sum_{j=1}^n 1^2 \right)^{1/2} \cdot \left( \sum_{j=1}^n |x_j|^2 \right)^{1/2} = \sqrt{n} \|x\|_2$$

It follows that  $\|x\|_2 \leq \|x\|_1 \leq \sqrt{n} \|x\|_2$

## Part (ii)

Since  $n$  finite by assumption, we have that:

$$\begin{aligned} \|x\|_\infty &= \sup\{|x_1|, |x_2|, \dots, |x_n|\} \\ &= \max\{|x_1|, |x_2|, \dots, |x_n|\} \\ &:= |x_i| \quad \text{for some } i \in [1, n] \end{aligned}$$

It follows that:

$$\begin{aligned} \|x\|_\infty &= |x_i| \\ &= (|x_i|^2)^{1/2} \\ &\leq \left( \sum_{j=1}^{i-1} |x_j|^2 + |x_i|^2 + \sum_{k=i+1}^n |x_k|^2 \right)^{1/2} \\ &= \left( \sum_{j=1}^n |x_j|^2 \right)^{1/2} \\ &= \|x\|_2 \end{aligned}$$

Finally, we have that:

$$\|x\|_2 = \left( \sum_{j=1}^n |x_j|^2 \right)^{1/2} \leq \left( \sum_{j=1}^n |x_i|^2 \right)^{1/2} = (n|x_i|^2)^{1/2} = \sqrt{n}|x_i| = \sqrt{n}\|x\|_\infty$$

It follows that  $\|x\|_\infty \leq \|x\|_2 \leq \sqrt{n}\|x\|_\infty$

So, by part (i), we have that  $\|\cdot\|_1 \sim \|\cdot\|_2 \sim \|\cdot\|_\infty$   $\square$

## Problem 28

### Part (i)

*Proof.* By problem 26, we have that:

$$\frac{1}{\sqrt{n}}\|A\|_2 = \sup_{x \neq 0} \frac{\|Ax\|_2}{\sqrt{n}\|x\|_2} \leq \sup_{x \neq 0} \frac{\|Ax\|_2}{\|x\|_1} \leq \sup_{x \neq 0} \frac{\|Ax\|_1}{\|x\|_1} = \|A\|_1$$

Also, we have that:

$$\sqrt{n}\|A\|_2 = \sup_{x \neq 0} \frac{\sqrt{n}\|Ax\|_2}{\|x\|_2} \geq \sup_{x \neq 0} \frac{\|Ax\|_1}{\|x\|_2} \geq \sup_{x \neq 0} \frac{\|Ax\|_1}{\|x\|_1} = \|A\|_1$$

It follows that  $\frac{1}{\sqrt{n}}\|A\|_2 \leq \|A\|_1 \leq \sqrt{n}\|A\|_2$   $\square$

### Part (ii)

*Proof.* Replacing  $\|A\|_1$  with  $\|A\|_2$  and  $\|A\|_2$  with  $\|A\|_\infty$ , we have that  $\frac{1}{\sqrt{n}}\|A\|_\infty \leq \|A\|_2 \leq \sqrt{n}\|A\|_\infty$  by part (i) and the results of problem 26. Thus, we have that the operator  $p$ -norms are topologically equivalent.  $\square$

## Problem 29

*Proof.* Note that by defining  $\|\cdot\|_2$  on  $\mathbb{F}^n$ , we have implicitly defined an inner product  $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$  on  $\mathbb{F}^n$  s.t. for vectors  $x, y \in \mathbb{F}^n$  with components  $\{x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n\}$  and  $\{y_1, y_2, \dots, y_n\}$ , we have that:

$$\langle x, y \rangle = \sum_{i=1}^n x_i y_i$$

Thus, for  $x \in \mathbb{F}^n$ , we have that  $\|x\|_2 = \sqrt{\langle x, x \rangle}$

$$\|Q\| = \sup_{x \neq 0} \frac{\|Qx\|_2}{\|x\|_2} = \sup_{x \neq 0} \frac{\sqrt{\langle Qx, Qx \rangle}}{\sqrt{\langle x, x \rangle}} = \sup_{x \neq 0} \frac{\sqrt{\langle x, x \rangle}}{\sqrt{\langle x, x \rangle}} = 1$$

Note that:

$$\|R_x\| = \sup_{A \neq 0} \frac{\|Ax\|_2}{\|A\|} = \sup_{A \neq 0} \frac{\|Ax\|_2}{\left(\sup_{x \neq 0} \frac{\|Ax\|_2}{\|x\|_2}\right)} \leq \sup_{A \neq 0} \frac{\|Ax\|_2}{\frac{\|Ax\|_2}{\|x\|_2}} = \sup_{A \neq 0} \|x\|_2 = \|x\|_2$$

Don't know how to prove equality.  $\square$

### Problem 30

*Proof.* Clearly,  $\|A\|_S \geq 0 \forall A \in M_n(\mathbb{F})$  since  $SAS^{-1} \in M_n(\mathbb{F})$  and  $\|A\| \geq 0 \forall A \in M_n(\mathbb{F})$ . Suppose  $\|A\|_S = 0$ . Then we have that:

$$\begin{aligned} \|SAS^{-1}\| &= 0 \\ SAS^{-1} &= 0 \\ SAS^{-1}S &= 0S \\ SA &= 0 \\ S^{-1}SA &= S^{-1}0 \\ A &= 0 \end{aligned}$$

Thus, if  $\|A\|_S = 0$ , then  $A = 0$ .

Consider  $\|cA\|_S$  for some constant  $c \in \mathbb{F}$ . Then,

$$\begin{aligned} \|cA\|_S &= \|S(cA)S^{-1}\| \\ &= \|cSAS^{-1}\| \\ &= |c| \cdot \|SAS^{-1}\| \\ &= |c| \cdot \|A\|_S \end{aligned}$$

Finally, consider  $\|A + B\|_S$  for  $A, B \in M_n(\mathbb{F})$ :

$$\begin{aligned} \|A + B\|_S &= \|S(A + B)S^{-1}\| \\ &= \|(SA + SB)S^{-1}\| \\ &= \|SAS^{-1} + SBS^{-1}\| \\ &\leq \|SAS^{-1}\| + \|SBS^{-1}\| \\ &= \|A\|_S + \|B\|_S \end{aligned}$$

Thus,  $\|\cdot\|_S$  is a matrix norm on  $M_n(\mathbb{F})$   $\square$

### Problem 37

*Proof.* We want to find  $d, e, f \in \mathbb{R}$  s.t.  $\forall a, b, c \in \mathbb{R}$ :

$$\int_0^1 (dx^2 + ex + f)(ax^2 + bx + c)dx = 2a + b$$

By evaluating the above integral and solving a system of 3 equations, 3 variables, we obtain:

$$d = 180, e = -168, f = 24$$

Thus, we have the required  $q \in V$ , namely  $q = 180x^2 - 168x + 24$   $\square$

### Problem 38

*Proof.* The matrix representation of  $D$  with respect to the power basis  $[1, x, x^2]$  of  $\mathbb{F}[x; 2]$  is:

$$\begin{bmatrix} 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 2 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix}$$

The matrix representation of the adjoint of  $D$  is:

$$\begin{bmatrix} 0 & -1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & -2 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix}$$

I can't figure out the boundary conditions (how to get rid of  $f(1)g(1) - f(0)g(0)$ ).  $\square$

### Problem 39

#### Part (i)

*Proof.*

$$\begin{aligned} \langle (S + T)^*(w), v \rangle_V &= \langle w, (S + T)(v) \rangle_W \\ &= \langle w, S(v) \rangle_W + \langle w, T(v) \rangle_W \\ &= \langle S^*(w), v \rangle_V + \langle T^*(w), v \rangle_V \\ &= \overline{\langle v, S^*(w) \rangle_V} + \overline{\langle v, T^*(w) \rangle_V} \\ &= \overline{\langle v, S^*(w) + T^*(w) \rangle_V} \\ &= \langle S^*(w) + T^*(w), v \rangle_V \end{aligned}$$

By uniqueness of the adjoint, then, we must have  $(S + T)^* = S^* + T^*$ .

$$\begin{aligned}\langle (\alpha T)^*(w), v \rangle_V &= \langle w, (\alpha T)(v) \rangle_W \\ &= \alpha \langle w, T(v) \rangle_W \\ &= \alpha \langle T^*(w), v \rangle_V \\ &= \langle \overline{\alpha} T^*(w), v \rangle_V\end{aligned}$$

By uniqueness of the adjoint, then, we must have  $(\alpha T)^* = \overline{\alpha} T^*$   $\square$

### Part (ii)

*Proof.*

$$\langle w, (S^*)^*(v) \rangle_W = \langle S^*(w), v \rangle_V = \langle w, S(v) \rangle_W$$

$\square$

### Part (iii)

*Proof.*

$$\begin{aligned}\langle (ST)^*(v_2), v_1 \rangle &= \langle v_2, ST(v_1) \rangle \\ &= \langle S^*(v_2), T(v_1) \rangle \\ &= \langle T^* S^*(v_2), v_1 \rangle\end{aligned}$$

By uniqueness of the adjoint, then, we must have  $(ST)^* = T^* S^*$   $\square$

### Part (iv)

*Proof.*

$$\begin{aligned}\langle (T^{-1})^*(v_2), v_1 \rangle &= \langle (T^{-1})^*(v_2), T(T^{-1}(v_1)) \rangle \\ &= \langle T^*(T^{-1})^*(v_2), T^{-1}(v_1) \rangle \\ &= \langle (T^{-1})^* T^*(T^{-1})^*(v_2), v_1 \rangle\end{aligned}$$

By uniqueness of the adjoint, then, we must have  $(T^{-1})^* T^* = I$ , i.e.  $(T^*)^{-1} = (T^{-1})^*$   $\square$



## Problem 40

### Part (i)

*Proof.* Consider three matrices  $A, B, C \in M_n(\mathbb{F})$ . Then, we have that:

$$\begin{aligned}\langle C, AB \rangle &= \langle A^*C, B \rangle \\ \text{tr}(C^H AB) &= \text{tr}((A^*C)^H B) \\ &= \text{tr}(C^H (A^*)^H B)\end{aligned}$$

Note that setting  $A^* = A^H$  solves the above equation since  $(A^H)^H = A$ . Thus, by uniqueness of the adjoint we must have  $A^* = A^H$   $\square$

### Part (ii)

*Proof.* Consider three matrices  $A_1, A_2, A_3 \in M_n(\mathbb{F})$ . Then, we have that:

$$\begin{aligned}\langle A_2, A_3 A_1 \rangle &= \text{tr}((A_2)^H A_3 A_1) \\ &= \text{tr}(A_1 (A_2)^H A_3) \\ &= \text{tr}((A_2 (A_1)^H)^H A_3) \\ &= \text{tr}((A_2 A_1^*)^H A_3) \\ &= \langle A_2 A_1^*, A_3 \rangle\end{aligned}$$

Note that setting  $A^* = A^H$  solves the above equation since  $(A^H)^H = A$ . Thus, by uniqueness of the adjoint we must have  $A^* = A^H$   $\square$

### Part (iii)

*Proof.* Consider three matrices  $A, X, Y \in M_n(\mathbb{F})$ . Then, we have that:

$$\begin{aligned}\langle (T_A)^*(Y), X \rangle &= \langle Y, T_A(X) \rangle \\ &= \langle Y, AX - XA \rangle \\ &= \langle Y, AX \rangle - \langle Y, XA \rangle \\ &= \text{tr}(Y^H AX) - \text{tr}(Y^H XA) \\ &= \langle A^H Y, X \rangle - \langle Y A^H, X \rangle \\ &= \langle A^H Y - Y A^H, X \rangle \\ &= \langle A^* Y - Y A^*, X \rangle \\ &= \langle T_{A^*}(Y), X \rangle\end{aligned}$$

Thus, by uniqueness of the adjoint we must have  $(T_A)^* = T_{A^*}$   $\square$

## Problem 44

*Proof.* By the fundamental subspaces theorem,

$$\mathcal{R}(A)^\perp = \mathcal{N}(A^*) = \mathcal{N}(A^H)$$

Note that if  $Ax = b$  has no solution  $x \in \mathbb{F}^n$ , then  $b \notin \mathcal{R}(A)$ . In this case, we must show that  $\exists y \in \mathcal{N}(A^H) = \mathcal{R}(A)^\perp$  s.t.  $\langle y, b \rangle \neq 0$ .

Suppose not. Then  $\forall y \in \mathcal{R}(A)^\perp$ , we must have  $\langle y, b \rangle = 0$ . Then by definition,  $b \in (\mathcal{R}(A)^\perp)^\perp = \mathcal{R}(A)$ . But  $b \notin \mathcal{R}(A)$ , so we have reached a contradiction.

Thus, if  $Ax = b$  has no solution  $x \in \mathbb{F}^n$ , then  $\exists y \in \mathcal{N}(A^H)$  s.t.  $\langle y, b \rangle \neq 0$ . For the case in which  $Ax = b$  has some solution  $x \in \mathbb{F}^n$ , then we know that  $b \in \mathcal{R}(A)$ . So,  $\forall y \in \mathcal{N}(A^H) = \mathcal{R}(A)^\perp$ , we must have  $\langle y, b \rangle = 0$  by the definition of  $\mathcal{R}(A)^\perp$ . So, we have established the Fredholm alternative.  $\square$

## Problem 45

*Proof.* By definition,

$$Sym_n(\mathbb{R}) = \{A \in M_n(\mathbb{R}) \mid A^T = A\}$$

$$Skew_n(\mathbb{R}) = \{A \in M_n(\mathbb{R}) \mid A^T = -A\}$$

We must show that:

$$Skew_n(\mathbb{R}) = Sym_n(\mathbb{R})^\perp = \{A \in M_n(\mathbb{R}) \mid \langle B, A \rangle = 0 \forall B \in Sym_n(\mathbb{R})\}$$

Consider  $A \in Sym_n(\mathbb{R})^\perp$ ,  $B \in Sym_n(\mathbb{R})$  defined as:

$$A := \begin{bmatrix} \alpha_{1,1} & \alpha_{1,2} & \dots & \alpha_{1,n} \\ \alpha_{2,1} & \alpha_{2,2} & \dots & \alpha_{2,n} \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ \alpha_{n,1} & \alpha_{n,2} & \dots & \alpha_{n,n} \end{bmatrix}$$

$$B := \begin{bmatrix} \beta_{1,1} & \beta_{1,2} & \dots & \beta_{1,n} \\ \beta_{2,1} & \beta_{2,2} & \dots & \beta_{2,n} \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ \beta_{n,1} & \beta_{n,2} & \dots & \beta_{n,n} \end{bmatrix}$$

Then, we have that:

$$\langle B, A \rangle = tr(B^T A) = tr(BA) = 0$$

Note that:

$$tr(BA) = \sum_{j=i} (\beta_{i,j} \alpha_{i,j}) + \sum_{j>i} (\beta_{i,j} \alpha_{j,i} + \beta_{j,i} \alpha_{i,j}) \text{ for } 1 \leq j \leq n, 1 \leq i \leq n$$

In order for  $\text{tr}(BA) = 0 \forall B \in \text{Sym}_n(\mathbb{R})$ , we must have that for  $1 \leq j \leq n$ ,  $1 \leq i \leq n$ ,  $j = i$ ,  $(\beta_{i,j}\alpha_{i,j}) = 0$ , and for  $1 \leq j \leq n$ ,  $1 \leq i \leq n$ ,  $j > i$ ,  $(\beta_{i,j}\alpha_{j,i} + \beta_{j,i}\alpha_{i,j}) = 0$  for all choices of  $B \in \text{Sym}_n(\mathbb{R})$  with  $B$  defined as above. This is easily proved by contradiction.

It follows that for  $1 \leq j \leq n$ ,  $1 \leq i \leq n$ ,  $j = i$ ,  $\alpha_{i,j} = 0$ , and for  $1 \leq j \leq n$ ,  $1 \leq i \leq n$ ,  $j > i$ ,  $\alpha_{j,i} = -\alpha_{i,j}$ , since  $\beta_{i,j} = \beta_{j,i}$ . If  $A$  satisfies these conditions, then  $A \in \text{Skew}_n(\mathbb{R})$ , and if  $A \in \text{Skew}_n(\mathbb{R})$ , then  $A$  satisfies these conditions. Thus, we have that

$$\text{Skew}_n(\mathbb{R}) = \text{Sym}_n(\mathbb{R})^\perp$$

□

## Problem 46

### Part (i)

*Proof.* Clearly,  $Ax \in \mathcal{R}(A)$ , by definition. Suppose  $x \in \mathcal{N}(A^H A)$ . Then  $A^H(Ax) = 0$ , so  $Ax \in \mathcal{N}(A^H)$ . □

### Part (ii)

*Proof.* Suppose  $x \in \mathcal{N}(A^H A)$ . Then:

$$\begin{aligned} A^H Ax &= 0 \\ x^H A^H Ax &= 0 \\ (Ax)^H Ax &= 0 \\ Ax &= 0 \\ x &\in \mathcal{N}(A) \end{aligned}$$

Suppose  $x \in \mathcal{N}(A)$ . Then  $Ax = 0$ , so  $A^H Ax = 0$ , so  $x \in \mathcal{N}(A^H A)$ . Thus,  $\mathcal{N}(A^H A) = \mathcal{N}(A)$  □

### Part (iii)

*Proof.* For an  $m \times n$  matrix  $A$ , by the rank-nullity theorem we have that:

$$n = \dim(\mathcal{R}(A)) + \dim(\mathcal{N}(A))$$

For an  $n \times n$  matrix  $A^H A$ , by the rank-nullity theorem we have that:

$$n = \dim(\mathcal{R}(A^H A)) + \dim(\mathcal{N}(A^H A))$$

By part (ii), we have that  $\mathcal{N}(A^H A) = \mathcal{N}(A)$ , and in particular that  $\dim(\mathcal{N}(A^H A)) = \dim(\mathcal{N}(A))$ . So, we have that  $\dim(\mathcal{R}(A)) = \dim(\mathcal{R}(A^H A))$ , i.e.  $A$  and  $A^H A$  have the same rank. □

### Part (iv)

*Proof.* If  $A$  has linearly independent columns, then it has rank  $n$ . By part (iii),  $A^H A$  must also have rank  $n$ . Since  $A^H A$  is an  $n \times n$  matrix then (i.e. it has  $n$  columns), it must be nonsingular.  $\square$

## Problem 47

### Part (i)

*Proof.* Define:

$$P := A(A^H A)^{-1} A^H$$

Then we have that:

$$\begin{aligned} P^2 &= A(A^H A)^{-1} A^H A(A^H A)^{-1} A^H \\ &= A(A^H A)^{-1} ((A^H A)(A^H A)^{-1}) A^H \\ &= A(A^H A)^{-1} A^H \\ &= P \end{aligned}$$

$\square$

### Part (ii)

*Proof.*

$$\begin{aligned} P^H &= (A(A^H A)^{-1} A^H)^H \\ &= (A^H)^H ((A^H A)^{-1})^H A^H \\ &= A((A^H A)^H)^{-1} A^H \\ &= A(A^H A)^{-1} A^H \\ &= P \end{aligned}$$

$\square$

### Part (iii)

*Proof.* Note that  $\text{rank}(A^H) = n$  since row-rank = column-rank. Also, note that  $(A^H A)^{-1}$  is an  $n \times n$  matrix of rank  $n$  since by problem 46,  $A^H A$  has rank  $n$ , and a matrix has the same rank as its inverse. Then we must have  $\text{rank}(A(A^H A)^{-1}) = n$  since  $A$  is an  $m \times n$  matrix. We know that  $\text{rank}(A(A^H A)^{-1} A^H) \leq \min\{\text{rank}(A(A^H A)^{-1}), \text{rank}(A^H)\}$ , with equality holding since  $\text{rank}(A(A^H A)^{-1}) = \text{rank}(A^H) = n$ . Thus,  $\text{rank}(P) = \text{rank}(A(A^H A)^{-1} A^H) = n$ .  $\square$

## Problem 48

### Part (i)

*Proof.*

$$\begin{aligned}P(A+B) &= \frac{(A+B) + (A+B)^T}{2} \\&= \frac{A+B+A^T+B^T}{2} \\&= \frac{A+A^T}{2} + \frac{B+B^T}{2} \\&= P(A) + P(B)\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}P(cA) &= \frac{cA + (cA)^T}{2} \\&= \frac{cA + c(A^T)}{2} \\&= c \cdot \frac{A + A^T}{2} \\&= cP(A)\end{aligned}$$

Thus,  $P$  is linear.

□

### Part (ii)

*Proof.*

$$\begin{aligned}P^2(A) &= \frac{\frac{A+A^T}{2} + (\frac{A+A^T}{2})^T}{2} \\&= \frac{\frac{A+A^T}{2} + \frac{A^T+A}{2}}{2} \\&= \frac{A+A^T}{2} \\&= P(A)\end{aligned}$$

□

**Part (iii)**

*Proof.*

$$\begin{aligned}
P^*(A) &= P^T(A) \\
&= \left( \frac{A + A^T}{2} \right)^T \\
&= \frac{A^T + A}{2} \\
&= P(A)
\end{aligned}$$

□

**Part (iv)**

*Proof.* If  $P(A) = 0$ , then  $\frac{A+A^T}{2} = 0$ , so  $A + A^T = 0$ , i.e.  $A^T = -A$ . Thus,  $A \in \text{Skew}_n(\mathbb{R})$ . Also, if  $A \in \text{Skew}_n(\mathbb{R})$ , it is clear that  $P(A) = 0$ . Thus  $\mathcal{N}(P) = \text{Skew}_n(\mathbb{R})$  □

**Part (v)**

*Proof.*

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathcal{R}(P)^\perp &= \mathcal{R}(P^T)^\perp && \text{by part (iii)} \\
&= \mathcal{N}(P) && \text{by the fundamental subspaces theorem} \\
&= \text{Skew}_n(\mathbb{R}) && \text{by part (iv)} \\
&= \text{Sym}_n(\mathbb{R})^\perp && \text{by problem 45}
\end{aligned}$$

Thus, we have that  $\mathcal{R}(P)^\perp = \text{Sym}_n(\mathbb{R})^\perp$ , so  $\mathcal{R}(P) = \text{Sym}_n(\mathbb{R})$  □

### Part (vi)

*Proof.*

$$\begin{aligned}
\|A - P(A)\|_F &= \sqrt{\text{tr}((A - P(A))^T(A - P(A)))} \\
&= \sqrt{\text{tr}\left(\left(A - \frac{A + A^T}{2}\right)^T \left(A - \frac{A + A^T}{2}\right)\right)} \\
&= \sqrt{\text{tr}\left(\left(\frac{A - A^T}{2}\right)^T \left(\frac{A - A^T}{2}\right)\right)} \\
&= \sqrt{\text{tr}\left(\frac{A^T - A}{2} \cdot \frac{A - A^T}{2}\right)} \\
&= \sqrt{\text{tr}\left(\frac{A^T A - A^T A^T - AA + AA^T}{4}\right)} \\
&= \sqrt{\frac{\text{tr}(A^T A) + \text{tr}(AA^T) - \text{tr}((AA)^T) - \text{tr}(AA)}{4}} \\
&= \sqrt{\frac{\text{tr}(A^T A) + \text{tr}(A^T A) - \text{tr}(AA) - \text{tr}(AA)}{4}} \\
&= \sqrt{\frac{2\text{tr}(A^T A) - 2\text{tr}(AA)}{4}} \\
&= \sqrt{\frac{\text{tr}(A^T A) - \text{tr}(A^2)}{2}}
\end{aligned}$$

□

### Problem 50

Define the following (note that  $b$  is an  $n$ -vector):

$$A := \begin{bmatrix} x_1^2 & y_1^2 \\ x_2^2 & y_2^2 \\ \vdots & \vdots \\ x_n^2 & y_n^2 \end{bmatrix}$$

$$x := \begin{bmatrix} r \\ s \end{bmatrix}$$

$$b := \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ 1 \\ \vdots \\ 1 \end{bmatrix}$$