

Chapter 14

Lecture14

Theorem 14.0.1. \mathbb{R}^ω is metrizable in the product topology.

Proof Define

$$\bar{d}(x_i, y_i) = \min(|x_i - y_i|, 1) \quad (14.1)$$

Let $x, y \in \mathbb{R}^\omega$. Then we define

$$D(x, y) = \sup_i \left\{ \frac{\bar{d}(x_i, y_i)}{i} \mid i \in \mathbb{Z}_{>0} \right\} \quad (14.2)$$

Then we can show that D is a metric on \mathbb{R}^ω because we have

$$\bar{d}(x_i, z_i) \leq \bar{d}(x_i, y_i) + \bar{d}(y_i, z_i) \implies D(x, z) \leq D(x, y) + D(y, z) \quad (14.3)$$

Why does it define the product topology?

(\Rightarrow) Let U be open in the metric topology. Then there exists $\epsilon > 0$ such that $B_D(x, \epsilon) \subseteq U$. Let N be such that $\frac{1}{N} < \epsilon$. Then let

$$V = \prod_{i=1}^N (x_i - \epsilon, x_i + \epsilon) \times \prod_{i=N+1}^{\infty} \mathbb{R} \quad (14.4)$$

Then let $y \in V$. We have

1. If $i \leq N$, then $\bar{d}(x_i, y_i) < \epsilon$.
2. If $i > N$, then $\bar{d}(x_i, y_i) \leq 1$.

Thus, we have $D(x, y) = \sup_i \left\{ \frac{\bar{d}(x_i, y_i)}{i} \right\} \leq \max\left\{ \epsilon, \frac{1}{N} \right\} = \epsilon$. So $y \in B_D(x, \epsilon) \subseteq U$. Hence, $V \subseteq U$. So U is open in the product topology.

(\Leftarrow) Let U be open in the product topology. Then

$$U = \prod U_i \quad (14.5)$$

where U_i is open if $i \in \{\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_n\}$ and $U_i = \mathbb{R}$ otherwise. We want V open in the metric topology such that $V \subseteq U$. Let

$$x \in U \quad (14.6)$$

Then

$$x_i \in U_i \quad (14.7)$$

for $i \in \{\alpha_1, \alpha_2, \dots, \alpha_n\}$. Then there exists $\epsilon_i > 0$ such that

$$(x_i - \epsilon_i, x_i + \epsilon_i) \subseteq U_i \quad (14.8)$$

Let

$$\epsilon = \min\left(\left\{ \frac{\epsilon_i}{i} \mid i \in \{\alpha_1, \alpha_2, \dots, \alpha_n\} \cup \{1\} \right\}\right) \quad (14.9)$$

Then we claim that

$$U_D(x, \epsilon) \subseteq U \quad (14.10)$$

Let $y \in U_D(x, \epsilon)$. Then we have

$$\frac{\bar{d}(x_i, y_i)}{i} \leq D(x, y) < \epsilon \quad (14.11)$$

If $i \in \{\alpha_1, \alpha_2, \dots, \alpha_n\}$, then

$$\frac{\bar{d}(x_i, y_i)}{i} < \epsilon \leq \frac{\epsilon_i}{i} \quad (14.12)$$

which implies that

$$y_i \in (x_i - \epsilon_i, x_i + \epsilon_i) \subseteq U_i \quad (14.13)$$

So $y \in U$. Hence, $U_D(x, \epsilon) \subseteq U$. So U is open in the metric topology. ■

Definition 14.0.1. (X, \leq) has a least upper bound property or supremum property if every non-empty subset of X that is bounded above has a least upper bound in X . (For example, \mathbb{R} has the least upper bound property)

Let X be a set with order topology and supremum property.

Theorem 14.0.2. $\forall a \leq b \in X$, we have $[a, b]$ is compact.

Proof Let \mathcal{A} be an open covering of $[a, b]$. We need that there exists a finite subcovering of \mathcal{A} covering $[a, b]$.

Step 1 Let $x \in [a, b]$ with $x \neq b$, there exists $y > x$ such that at most two elements of \mathcal{A} can cover $[x, y]$.

1. If x has an immediate successor $s(x)$, then $[x, s(x)] = \{x, s(x)\}$ is covered by two elements from \mathcal{A} .
2. If not. Take $x \in A$ with $A \in \mathcal{A}$ such that $\exists c \in X, x < c \leq b, [x, c] \subseteq A$. Take any $y \in (x, c)$. Then $[x, y] \subseteq A$ is covered by one element from \mathcal{A} .

Step 2 Let C be the set of points y with $a \leq y \leq b$ such that $[a, y]$ has a finite subcovering from \mathcal{A} . Since $a \in C$, C is non-empty. Let $c = \sup C$.

Step 3 Show that $c \in C$.

We know from the first step that $c \neq a$.

Choose $A \in \mathcal{A}$ such that $c \in A$. Then $\exists d \in [a, b]$ such that $(d, c] \subseteq A$. If $c \notin C$, then there must be some point $z \in (d, c)$ with $z \in C$. Then $[a, z]$ can be covered by finite elements (say M) from \mathcal{A} . Thus, $[a, c] \subseteq [a, z] \cup (d, c]$ can be covered by at most $M + 1$ elements from \mathcal{A} . So $c \in C$ which contradicts the assumption that $c \notin C$. Hence, $c \in C$.

Step 4 Show that $c = b$. If not, by Step 1, $\exists e > c$ such that $[c, e]$ can be covered by two elements from \mathcal{A} . Since $[a, c]$ can be covered by finite elements (say M) from \mathcal{A} , $[a, e]$ can be covered by at most $M + 2$ elements from \mathcal{A} . So $e \in C$ which contradicts the assumption that $c = \sup C$. Hence, $c = b$. ■

Corollary 14.0.1. $[a, b] \subseteq \mathbb{R}$ is compact.

Corollary 14.0.2. $[a_1, b_1] \times [a_2, b_2] \times \dots \times [a_n, b_n] \subseteq \mathbb{R}^n$ is compact.

Theorem 14.0.3. $A \subseteq \mathbb{R}^n$ is compact if and only if A is closed and bounded.

Proof

(\Rightarrow) Take a covering by

$$\bigcup U_N(0) \quad (14.14)$$

which is the union of open balls centered at 0 with radius N for $N \in \mathbb{Z}_{>0}$. Since A is compact, there exists a finite subcovering. Thus, $A \subseteq U_{N_0}(0)$ for $N_0 = \max\{N_1, N_2, \dots, N_k\}$. So A is bounded.

We know that \mathbb{R}^n is a Hausdorff space. So A is closed as a compact subset of a Hausdorff space.

(\Leftarrow) Since A is bounded, there exists n such that

$$A \subseteq [a_1, b_1] \times [a_2, b_2] \times \dots \times [a_n, b_n] \quad (14.15)$$

We know that the closed subset of a compact set is compact. So A is compact. ■

Theorem 14.0.4 (Extreme value theorem). Let $f : X \rightarrow Y$ be continuous where X is compact and Y is an ordered set with the order topology. Then $\exists a, b \in X$ such that $\forall x \in X, f(a) \leq f(x) \leq f(b)$.

Proof

We know that $A = f(X)$ is compact. We want to show that A has a largest element.

We assume the contrary that A has no largest element. Then $\forall a \in A, \exists b \in A$ such that $b > a$. So

$$A = \bigcup_{a \in A} (-\infty, a) \quad (14.16)$$

which is an open covering of A . Since A is compact, there exists a finite subcovering. Let the largest element among the finite elements be a_0 . Then a_0 is not covered. This is a contradiction. So A has a largest element. Similarly, A has a smallest element. ■

Definition 14.0.2. Let (X, d) be a metric space, $A \subseteq X$, $x \in X$. Let $d(x, A) = \inf\{d(x, a) | a \in A\}$.

Property 14.0.1. $d(x, A)$ is a continuous function for A fixed.

Proof

We know

$$d(x, A) \leq d(x, a) \leq d(x, y) + d(y, a) \quad (14.17)$$

for any $a \in A$. Then

$$d(x, A) - d(x, y) \leq \inf\{d(y, a) | a \in A\} = d(y, A) \quad (14.18)$$

So

$$d(x, A) - d(y, A) \leq d(x, y) \quad (14.19)$$

■