

Chapter 6

Lecture5

6.1 Topological Spaces in general

Definition 6.1.1. A **topological space** is a pair (X, \mathcal{U}) where X is a set and $\mathcal{U} \subseteq 2^X$ such that:

1. $\emptyset, X \in \mathcal{U}$.
2. If $U_\alpha \in \mathcal{U}$ for all $\alpha \in A$, then $\bigcup_{\alpha \in A} U_\alpha \in \mathcal{U}$.
3. If $U_1, U_2, \dots, U_n \in \mathcal{U}$, then $\bigcap_{i=1}^n U_i \in \mathcal{U}$.

\mathcal{U} is called a **topology** on X . The elements of \mathcal{U} are called **open sets**.

Example 6.1.1. Any metric space (X, d) is a topological space, with \mathcal{U} defined as:

$$U \in \mathcal{U} \iff \forall x \in U, \exists r > 0 \text{ such that } B(x, r) \subseteq U. \quad (6.1)$$

Open sets defined in this topology are the same as open sets defined by the metric.

Example 6.1.2. Let X be any non-empty set. The **discrete topology** on X is defined as $\mathcal{U} = 2^X$. Every subset of X is open.

Example 6.1.3. Let X be any non-empty set. The **anti-discrete topology** on X is defined as $\mathcal{U} = \{\emptyset, X\}$. Only \emptyset and X are open. **This example is not defined by a metric.**

Example 6.1.4 (Finite complement topology). Let X be an infinite set, say, \mathbb{R} . Define

$$U \in \mathcal{U} \iff X \setminus U \text{ is finite or } U = \emptyset. \quad (6.2)$$

Property 6.1.1. Let (X, d) be a metric space. Let $x, y \in X$, then there exists open sets U, V such that $x \in U$, $y \in V$ and $U \cap V = \emptyset$.

Definition 6.1.2. Let (X, \mathcal{U}) is a Hausdorff space (separable space) if for any $x, y \in X$, there exists open sets $U, V \in \mathcal{U}$ such that $x \in U$, $y \in V$ and $U \cap V = \emptyset$.

Remark Finite complement topology in ?? is not Hausdorff. If we take any two non-empty open sets U, V , then both $X \setminus U$ and $X \setminus V$ are finite. Thus, $X \setminus (U \cap V) = (X \setminus U) \cup (X \setminus V)$ is also finite, which means $U \cap V \neq \emptyset$. So it is not Hausdorff.

Remark Also we see that ?? is not Hausdorff.

Example 6.1.5. Let $X = \mathbb{N}$. Let $U_n = \{i \in X \mid i \leq n\}$ for some n . Let $\mathcal{U} = \{\emptyset, X\} \cup \{U_n \mid n \in \mathbb{N}\}$. Then (X, \mathcal{U}) is a topological space.

For finite Union, let $U_{n_1}, U_{n_2}, \dots, U_{n_k} \in \mathcal{U}$, then

$$\bigcup_{i=1}^k U_{n_i} = U_{\max\{n_1, n_2, \dots, n_k\}} \in \mathcal{U}. \quad (6.3)$$

For infinite Union, let $\{U_{n_\alpha}\}_{\alpha \in A} \subseteq \mathcal{U}$, then

$$\bigcup_{\alpha \in A} U_{n_\alpha} = \mathbb{N} \quad (6.4)$$

For finite Intersection, let $U_{n_1}, U_{n_2}, \dots, U_{n_k} \in \mathcal{U}$, then

$$\bigcap_{i=1}^k U_{n_i} = U_{\min\{n_1, n_2, \dots, n_k\}} \in \mathcal{U}. \quad (6.5)$$

This set is not Hausdorff.

Alternatively, topology can be defined by closed sets: $Y \subseteq X$ is closed if $X \setminus Y$ is open. So we can rewrite the definition of Topology space:

1. \emptyset, X are closed.
2. If F_α is closed for all $\alpha \in A$, then $\bigcap_{\alpha \in A} F_\alpha$ is closed.
3. If F_1, F_2, \dots, F_n are closed, then $\bigcup_{i=1}^n F_i$ is closed.

Question Is there an infinite collection of open sets such that their intersection is not open?

6.2 Zariski Topology

Let $X = \mathbb{C}^n$ (or \mathbb{K}^n). $Y \subseteq \mathbb{C}^n$ is closed if Y is a solution of

$$\begin{cases} f_1(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n) = 0 \\ f_2(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n) = 0 \\ \vdots \\ f_m(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n) = 0 \\ \vdots \end{cases} \quad (6.6)$$

X is defined by $0 = 0$. \emptyset is defined by $1 = 0$. Any points in \mathbb{C}^n is defined by

$$\begin{cases} x_1 - a_1 = 0 \\ x_2 - a_2 = 0 \\ \vdots \\ x_n - a_n = 0 \end{cases} \quad (6.7)$$

A circle in \mathbb{C}^2 is defined by $x^2 + y^2 - 1 = 0$.

Any intersection of closed sets is the set of solutions of all the polynomials that define each closed set, so it is still closed.

Any union of finite closed sets is also closed since we can use the product of all polynomials that define each closed set to define the union.

For $n = 1$, since any polynomial with degree at least 1 has finitely many roots, recalling the finite complement topology in ??, we see that Zariski topology on \mathbb{C} is the finite complement topology. But this is not Hausdorff.

6.3 Basis of a topology

Definition 6.3.1. Let X be a set. A **basis** \mathcal{B} is a set in 2^X such that

1. For any $x \in X$, $\exists B \in \mathcal{B}$ such that $x \in B$.
2. For any $x \in X$, if $x \in B_1$ and $x \in B_2$ where $B_1, B_2 \in \mathcal{B}$, then $\exists B_3 \in \mathcal{B}$ such that $x \in B_3 \subseteq B_1 \cap B_2$.

Property 6.3.1. The collection of all unions of elements in \mathcal{B} forms a topology on X , called the topology generated by \mathcal{B} .

Proof

1. \emptyset, X are in the topology generated by \mathcal{B} .
2. Let $\{U_\alpha\}_{\alpha \in A}$ be a collection of open sets in the topology generated by \mathcal{B} . Then for each α , we have $U_\alpha = \bigcup_{i \in I_\alpha} B_i$ where $B_i \in \mathcal{B}$. Thus,

$$\bigcup_{\alpha \in A} U_\alpha = \bigcup_{\alpha \in A} \bigcup_{i \in I_\alpha} B_i, \quad (6.8)$$

which is still a union of elements in \mathcal{B} . So $\bigcup_{\alpha \in A} U_\alpha$ is in the topology generated by \mathcal{B} .

3. Let $U_1, U_2 \in \mathcal{U}$ be two open sets in the topology generated by \mathcal{B} . Then let $x \in U_1 \cap U_2$, we have $\exists B_1, B_2 \in \mathcal{B}$ such that $x \in B_1 \subseteq U_1$ and $x \in B_2 \subseteq U_2$. By the second property of basis, there exists $B_3 \in \mathcal{B}$ such that $x \in B_3 \subseteq B_1 \cap B_2 \subseteq U_1 \cap U_2$. Similarly, for any $x \in U_1 \cap U_2$, we can find such B_3 . Thus, $U_1 \cap U_2$ is also in the topology generated by \mathcal{B} .

Example 6.3.1. For a metric space (X, d) , the collection of all open balls $\{B(x, r) | x \in X, r > 0\}$ is a basis of the topology induced by d .

Example 6.3.2. All the rectangles in \mathbb{R}^2 with sides parallel to the axes form a basis of the standard topology on \mathbb{R}^2 .

Example 6.3.3. Let X be any set. Let $\mathcal{B} = \{\{x\} | x \in X\}$. Then \mathcal{B} is a basis of the discrete topology on X .

Theorem 6.3.1. If \mathcal{B} is a basis of a topology \mathcal{U} on X , then for any open set $U \in \mathcal{U}$, we have $U = \bigcup_{B \in \mathcal{B}, B \subseteq U} B$. (The Proof is based on the wrong definition of basis in the previous version, but the right version is not hard.)

Proof

“ \subseteq ”: For any $x \in U$, since \mathcal{B} is a basis, there exists $B \in \mathcal{B}$ such that $x \in B$. There exists $B' \in \mathcal{B}$ such that $x \in B' \subseteq B \cap U$. Thus, $B' \subseteq U$ and $x \in \bigcup_{B \in \mathcal{B}, B \subseteq U} B$.

“ \supseteq ”: For any $x \in \bigcup_{B \in \mathcal{B}, B \subseteq U} B$, there exists $B \in \mathcal{B}$ such that $x \in B \subseteq U$. Thus, $x \in U$.

Example 6.3.4. 1. Balls in d_1, d_2, d_∞ metrics in \mathbb{R}^n form bases of the standard topology on \mathbb{R}^n .

2. $\{U_\epsilon(x) | \epsilon > 0, x \in \mathbb{Q}^n\}$ is a countable basis of the standard topology on \mathbb{R}^n .

Exercise Find a metric space not admitting countable basis of topology.

Lemma 6.3.1. Let X be a topological space. Let \mathcal{C} be a collection of open sets of X such that: let x be a point in X , then for any open set U containing x , there exists $C \in \mathcal{C}$ such that $x \in C \subseteq U$. Then \mathcal{C} is a basis of the topology on X .

Proof

1. For any $x \in X$, since X is open and contains x , there exists $C \in \mathcal{C}$ such that $x \in C \subseteq X$.
2. Let $x \in C_1 \cap C_2$ where $C_1, C_2 \in \mathcal{C}$. Since $C_1 \cap C_2$ is open and contains x , there exists $C_3 \in \mathcal{C}$ such that $x \in C_3 \subseteq C_1 \cap C_2$.
3. Let \mathcal{T} be the topology generated by \mathcal{C} . Let \mathcal{U} be the initial topology on X . For any $U \in \mathcal{U}$, for any $x \in U$, there exists $C \in \mathcal{C}$ such that $x \in C \subseteq U$. So,

$$U = \bigcup_{C \in \mathcal{C}, C \subseteq U} C, \quad (6.9)$$

which means $U \in \mathcal{T}$. Thus, $\mathcal{U} \subseteq \mathcal{T}$.

4. And we also have $\mathcal{T} \subseteq \mathcal{U}$ because: since $\mathcal{C} \subseteq \mathcal{U}$, any union of elements in \mathcal{C} is also in \mathcal{U} . Thus, $\mathcal{T} \subseteq \mathcal{U}$.

5. Combining the above two results, we have $\mathcal{T} = \mathcal{U}$.

Definition 6.3.2. If \mathcal{T}, \mathcal{U} are two topologies on X , we say that \mathcal{T} is **finer** than \mathcal{U} (or \mathcal{U} is **coarser** than \mathcal{T}) if $\mathcal{U} \subseteq \mathcal{T}$.

Example 6.3.5. Let X be any set. The discrete topology on X is the finest topology on X . The anti-discrete topology on X is the coarsest topology on X .

Lemma 6.3.2. Let \mathcal{B}, \mathcal{C} be two bases of topologies \mathcal{T}, \mathcal{U} on X respectively. Then the following are equivalent:

1. \mathcal{U} is finer than \mathcal{T} .
2. For any $x \in X$, for any $B \in \mathcal{B}$ containing x , there exists $C \in \mathcal{C}$ such that $x \in C \subseteq B$.

Proof

1. “(1) \Rightarrow (2)”: Let $x \in X$. Let $B \in \mathcal{B}$ such that $x \in B$. Since \mathcal{U} is finer than \mathcal{T} , we have $B \in \mathcal{U}$. Since \mathcal{C} is a basis of \mathcal{U} , there exists $C \in \mathcal{C}$ such that $x \in C \subseteq B$.
2. “(2) \Rightarrow (1)”: Let $U \in \mathcal{T}$. For any $x \in U$, since \mathcal{B} is a basis of \mathcal{T} , there exists $B \in \mathcal{B}$ such that $x \in B \subseteq U$. By (2), there exists $C \in \mathcal{C}$ such that $x \in C \subseteq B \subseteq U$. Thus, for any $x \in U$, we can find such C . By the previous lemma (\mathcal{C} is a basis of \mathcal{U}), we have $U \in \mathcal{U}$. Therefore, \mathcal{U} is finer than \mathcal{T} .

Example 6.3.6. By the above lemma, we see that the topology generated by the basis in ?? is finer than the topology generated by the basis in ??. And similarly, the topology generated by the basis in ?? is finer than the topology generated by the basis in ??. Thus, they are the same topology.

Example 6.3.7 (Lower limit topology on \mathbb{R}). Let $\mathcal{B} = \{[a, b) | a < b, a, b \in \mathbb{R}\}$. Then \mathcal{B} is a basis of a topology on \mathbb{R} , called the **lower limit topology** called \mathbb{R}_l .

One can show that the lower limit topology is finer than the standard topology on \mathbb{R} since for $a < x < b$, we have $x \in [x, b) \subseteq (a, b)$. But the lower limit topology is not equal to the standard topology since take $0 \in [0, 1)$, there is no open interval (a, b) such that $0 \in (a, b) \subseteq [0, 1)$.

We say that \mathbb{R}_l is strictly finer than the standard topology on \mathbb{R} .

Exercise Prove that \mathbb{R}_l does not have a countable basis.

Example 6.3.8 (Order Topology). Let X be a simply ordered set.

$$\mathcal{B} = \{(a, b) | a < b, a, b \in X\} \cup \{[a_0, b) | a_0 < b\} \cup \{(a, b_0] | a < b_0\} \quad (6.10)$$

where a_0 is the smallest element of X if it exists, and b_0 is the largest element of X if it exists. Then \mathcal{B} is a basis of a topology on X , called the **order topology**.

Remark Let (X, \mathcal{U}) , (Y, \mathcal{V}) be two topological spaces. Then $\mathcal{U} \times \mathcal{V}$ may not be a topology on $X \times Y$. For example, the union of two rectangles may not be a rectangle. The correct idea is to define the basis of the product topology as:

$$\mathcal{B} = \{U \times V | U \in \mathcal{U}, V \in \mathcal{V}\}. \quad (6.11)$$

Furthermore, we see that for $U_1, U_2 \in \mathcal{U}$ and $V_1, V_2 \in \mathcal{V}$, we have

$$(U_1 \times V_1) \cap (U_2 \times V_2) = (U_1 \cap U_2) \times (V_1 \cap V_2), \quad (6.12)$$

Definition 6.3.3. The topology on $X \times Y$ defined by basis $\mathcal{U} \times \mathcal{V}$ is called the **product topology** on $X \times Y$.

Theorem 6.3.2. Let $\mathcal{B} \subseteq \mathcal{U}$ be a basis of \mathcal{U} and let $\mathcal{C} \subseteq \mathcal{V}$ be a basis of \mathcal{V} . Then $\mathcal{D} = \{B \times C | B \in \mathcal{B}, C \in \mathcal{C}\}$ is a basis of the product topology on $X \times Y$.

Proof

We need to show: for every open set $W \subseteq X \times Y$ and for every $(x, y) \in W$, there exists $B \times C$ with $B \in \mathcal{B}$, $C \in \mathcal{C}$ such that $(x, y) \in B \times C \subseteq W$.

Since W is open in the product topology, there exists $U \in \mathcal{U}$ and $V \in \mathcal{V}$ such that $(x, y) \in U \times V \subseteq W$. Since \mathcal{B} is a basis of \mathcal{U} , there exists $B \in \mathcal{B}$ such that $x \in B \subseteq U$. Since \mathcal{C} is a basis of \mathcal{V} , there exists $C \in \mathcal{C}$ such that $y \in C \subseteq V$. Thus, we have $(x, y) \in B \times C \subseteq U \times V \subseteq W$.

Example 6.3.9. Let $\pi_1 : X \times Y \rightarrow X$ and $\pi_2 : X \times Y \rightarrow Y$ be the projection maps defined by $\pi_1(x, y) = x$ and $\pi_2(x, y) = y$. Then we have

$$\pi_1^{-1}(U) = U \times Y \text{ for any } U \in \mathcal{U}, \quad (6.13)$$

$$\pi_2^{-1}(V) = X \times V \text{ for any } V \in \mathcal{V}. \quad (6.14)$$

which means that both π_1 and π_2 are continuous. And we have

$$U \times V = \pi_1^{-1}(U) \cap \pi_2^{-1}(V). \quad (6.15)$$

is open in the product topology. And we have

$$S = \{\pi_1^{-1}(U) | U \in \mathcal{U}\} \cup \{\pi_2^{-1}(V) | V \in \mathcal{V}\} \quad (6.16)$$

is a subbasis of the product topology on $X \times Y$ (Note: We have not defined subbasis).