

Chapter 20

Later

20.1 The tychonoff theorem

Theorem 20.1.1. *If X, Y are compact sets, then $X \times Y$ is also compact.*

Theorem 20.1.2. *If X_α 's with $\alpha \in J$ are compact sets, then $\prod_{\alpha \in J} X_\alpha$ is also compact.*

20.2 Closed set formulation of compactness

Let X be a topological space. Let \mathcal{C} be a family of closed sets in X .

Definition 20.2.1. *We say \mathcal{C} has the finite intersection property if for any finite closed sets $C_1, C_2, \dots, C_n \in \mathcal{C}$, we have*

$$C_1 \cap C_2 \cap \dots \cap C_n \neq \emptyset.$$

Theorem 20.2.1. *A topological space X is compact if and only if for any family \mathcal{C} of closed sets in X with the finite intersection property, we have*

$$\bigcap_{C \in \mathcal{C}} C \neq \emptyset.$$

Exercise Prove the above theorem (It has been proved long time ago).

Example 20.2.1. *Let $X = (0, 1)$, $C_n = (0, \frac{1}{n}]$ for $n \in \mathbb{N}$. Then $\{C_n\}_{n=1}^\infty$ has the finite intersection property, but*

$$\bigcap_{n=1}^\infty C_n = \emptyset.$$

Recall Zorn's lemma

Theorem 20.2.2 (Zorn's lemma). *Let (A, \leq) be a partially ordered set. If every chain in A has an upper bound in A , then A contains at least one maximal element.*

Lemma 20.2.1. *Let X be a set, \mathcal{A} be a family of subsets of X with the finite intersection property. Then there exists a family \mathcal{D} of subsets of X such that*

1. $\mathcal{A} \subseteq \mathcal{D}$;
2. \mathcal{D} has the finite intersection property;
3. \mathcal{D} is maximal with respect to (ii), i.e., if \mathcal{E} is a family of subsets of X such that $\mathcal{D} \subsetneq \mathcal{E}$, then \mathcal{E} does not have the finite intersection property.

Proof

Let \mathcal{A} be a family of subsets of X with the finite intersection property. Let

$$\mathbb{A} = \{\mathcal{B} \supseteq \mathcal{A} \mid \mathcal{B} \text{ has finite intersection property}\} \quad (20.1)$$

Let \subseteq be the partial order on \mathbb{A} . Let $\mathbb{B} \subseteq \mathbb{A}$ be a chain. We need to show that \mathbb{B} has an upper bound in \mathbb{A} . Let

$$\mathcal{C} = \bigcup_{\mathcal{B} \in \mathbb{B}} \mathcal{B}. \quad (20.2)$$

To be done.

Lemma 20.2.2. *Let X be a set, \mathcal{D} be a maximal family of subsets of X with the finite intersection property (obtain by the previous lemma). Then we have*

1. \mathcal{D} is closed under finite intersections, i.e., for any finite subsets $D_1, D_2, \dots, D_n \in \mathcal{D}$, we have

$$D_1 \cap D_2 \cap \dots \cap D_n \in \mathcal{D};$$

2. If $A \subseteq X$ satisfies $A \cap D \neq \emptyset$ for all $D \in \mathcal{D}$, then $A \in \mathcal{D}$.

Proof

Take $C_1, C_2, \dots, C_n \in \mathcal{D}$. Since \mathcal{D} has the finite intersection property, we have

$$C_1 \cap C_2 \cap \dots \cap C_n \neq \emptyset.$$

Suppose $C_1 \cap C_2 \cap \dots \cap C_n \notin \mathcal{D}$. Let $\mathcal{E} = \mathcal{D} \cup \{C_1 \cap C_2 \cap \dots \cap C_n\}$.

Take $D'_1, D'_2, \dots, D'_m \in \mathcal{D}$. Then

$$(C_1 \cap C_2 \cap \dots \cap C_n) \cap D'_1 \cap D'_2 \cap \dots \cap D'_m \neq \emptyset.$$

Thus \mathcal{E} has the finite intersection property, contradicting the maximality of \mathcal{D} . Thus $C_1 \cap C_2 \cap \dots \cap C_n \in \mathcal{D}$.