5 11%		7%	7%	20%	11%		7%
11%	28%	1%	1%	20%	11%	11%	1%
Data Sources	Percentage						
lews Articles	11%						
Social Networks	28%						
arknet markertp							
arknet websies	7%						
Inderground For							
Security Reports							
Public security	11%						
epositories	1176						
CVE, exploit							
OBs, etc.)							
CTI feeds	7%						
otal	100%						
	Any reason for the selection of THIS dat	a source?					
lo	33%						
'es	67%						
Frand Total	100%						
ow Labels	Consider any alternative data source?						
	74%						
es	26%						
es Frand Total Input Data Type		tured (e.g, STIX)					
res Frand Total Input Data Type Count of Unstructur 26	26% 100% or Count of Semi-structure Count of Struc	tured (e.g. STIX)					
es Frand Total Input Data Type Count of Unstructur	26% 100% or Count of Semi-structure Count of Struc	tured (e.q. STIX)					
es rand Total uput Data Type ount of Unstructui 26 70%	26% 100% r Count of Semi-structure: Count of Struc 30%		nmarization Count of Tonic Modellin	g Count of Keyword Fre	traction		
res Grand Total Input Data Type Count of Unstructur 26 70%	26% 100% If Count of Semi-structurer Count of Struct 11 30% Tount of Sentiment Analy Count of Text		nmarization Count of Topic Modeline	g Count of Keyword Ex	traction 8	52	
irand Total uput Data Type count of Unstructur 26 70%	26% 100% If Count of Semi-structures Count of Struct 30% Tount of Sentiment Analy Count of Text (Classification Count of Sum		g Count of Keyword Ex 10 19%		52 100%	
res irand Total uput Data Type count of Unstructur 26 70% count of Named En 4 8%	26% 100% If Count of Semi-structurer Count of Struct 11 30% Tount of Sentiment Analy Count of Text to 9 17%	Classification Count of Sum	5	10	8		
res Frand Total Input Data Type Count of Unstructur 26 70% Count of Named En 4 8% ILP Approach	26% 100% If Count of Semi-structures Count of Struct 11 30% To Count of Sentiment Analy Count of Text 6 17%	Classification Count of Sum	5	10	8		
res Frand Total Input Data Type Count of Unstructur 26 70% Count of Named En 4 8% NLP Approach	26% 100% If Count of Semi-structurer Count of Struction 11 30% In Count of Sentiment Analy Count of Text of 17% % 8%	Classification Count of Sum	5	10	8		
26 70% Count of Named En 4 8% NLP Approach NER Sentiment Analysis	26% 100% If Count of Semi-structurer Count of Struct 11 30% Tount of Sentiment Analy Count of Text (1) 9 17% % 8% 8% 17%	Classification Count of Sum	5	10	8		
res rand Total rput Data Type Count of Unstructur 26 70% Count of Named En 4 8% ILP Approach IER Sentiment Analysis eut Classification	26% 100% If Count of Semi-structurex Count of Struce 3 11 30% To Count of Sentiment Anali Count of Text 6 9 17% % 8% 17% 31%	Classification Count of Sum	5	10	8		
res rand Total nput Data Type count of Unstructur 26 70% Count of Named En 4 8% ILP Approach LER	26% 100% Ir Count of Semi-structurer Count of Struce 3 11 30% In Count of Sentiment Anal- Count of Text of 9 17% % 8% \$17% 31% 10%	Classification Count of Sum	5	10	8		
res irand Total input Data Type count of Unstructur 26 70% count of Named En 4 8% ILP Approach IER entiment Analysis ext Classification iummarization opic Modeling	26% 100% If Count of Semi-structurer Count of Struce 11 30% Count of Sentiment Analy Count of Text of 17% 8 8 17% 31% 10% 19%	Classification Count of Sum	5	10	8		
res rand Total nput Data Type Count of Unstructur 26 70% Count of Named En 4 8% NLP Approach IER Sentiment Analysis Fext Classification Summarization Topic Modeling	26% 100% If Count of Semi-structurer Count of Struce 11 30% Count of Sentiment Analy Count of Text of 17% 8 8 17% 31% 10% 19%	Classification Count of Sum	5	10	8		
res rand Total nput Data Type count of Unstructur 26 70% Count of Named En 4 8% ILP Approach IER Sentiment Analysis evat Classification fummarization opic Modeling Keyword Extractior	26% 100% If Count of Semi-structurer Count of Struce 11 30% Count of Sentiment Analy Count of Text of 17% 8 8 17% 31% 10% 19%	Classification Count of Sum	5	10	8		
res Frand Total Input Data Type Count of Unstructur 26 70% Count of Named En 4 8% NLP Approach LER Sentiment Analysis Fext Classification Countmarization Copic Modeling Keyword Extraction Output Row Labels	26% 100% If Count of Semi-structurex Count of Struce 3 11 30% In Count of Sentiment Analy Count of Text of 17% % 8% 5 17% 31% 10% 19% 15% Count of Type of CTI	Classification Count of Sum 16 31%	5 10% tructured CTI Count of structured CTI	10 19% (STIX) Count of semi-structu	8 15% ured CTI	100%	
res Frand Total Input Data Type Count of Unstructur 26 70% Count of Named En 4 8% ALP Approach BER Sentiment Analysis ext Classification Summarization Topic Modeling Keyword Extractior Dutput Row Labels Operational	26% 100% If Count of Semi-structurex Count of Struct 30% If Count of Sentiment Analy Count of Text of Sentiment Analy Count of Sent	Classification Count of Sum 16 31%	5 10% tructured CTI Count of structured CTI 23	10 19% (STIX) Count of semi-structure 3	8 15% ured CTI 4		
res Frand Total Apput Data Type Count of Unstructur 26 70% Count of Named En 4 8% NLP Approach VER Sentiment Analysis Feat Classification Summarization Topic Modeling Ceyword Extractior Output Cow Labels Doverational Correctional Corr	26% 100% r Count of Semi-structurex Count of Struce 3 11 30% r Count of Sentiment Analy Count of Text of 9 17% 8% 8% 17% 31% 10% 19% 15% Count of Type of CTI 19% 11%	Classification Count of Sum 16 31%	5 10% tructured CTI Count of structured CTI	10 19% (STIX) Count of semi-structu	8 15% ured CTI	100%	
res Frand Total Input Data Type Count of Unstructur 26 70% Count of Named En 4 8% NLP Approach LER Sentiment Analysis ext Classification Topic Modeling Keyword Extractior County Cou	26% 100% If Count of Semi-structurex Count of Struce 3 11 30% In Count of Sentiment Analy Count of Text of 17% In Count of Sentiment Analy Count of Text of 17% 8% 8% 17% 31% 10% 19% 15% Count of Type of CTI 19% 11% 70%	Classification Count of Sum 16 31% Count of unst	5 10% tructured CTI Count of structured CTI 23	10 19% (STIX) Count of semi-structure 3	8 15% ured CTI 4	100%	
res Frand Total Input Data Type Count of Unstructur 26 70% Count of Named En 4 8% ILP Approach IER Sentiment Analysis ext Classification ropic Modeling keyword Extractior Dutput Row Labels Operational Strategic Cethnical/Tactical	26% 100% r Count of Semi-structurex Count of Struce 3 11 30% r Count of Sentiment Analy Count of Text of 9 17% 8% 8% 17% 31% 10% 19% 15% Count of Type of CTI 19% 11%	Classification Count of Sum 16 31% Count of unst	5 10% tructured CTI Count of structured CTI 23 77%	10 19% (STIX) Count of semi-structures 3 10%	8 15% ured CTI 4	100%	
res Frand Total Apput Data Type Count of Unstructur 26 70% Count of Named En 4 8% NLP Approach VER Sentiment Analysis Feat Classification Summarization Topic Modeling Ceyword Extractior Output Cow Labels Doverational Correctional Corr	26% 100% If Count of Semi-structurex Count of Struce 3 11 30% In Count of Sentiment Analy Count of Text of 17% In Count of Sentiment Analy Count of Text of 17% 8% 8% 17% 31% 10% 19% 15% Count of Type of CTI 19% 11% 70%	Classification Count of Sum 16 31% Count of unst CTI Format Unstructured	5 10% tructured CTI Count of structured CTI 23 77%	10 19% (STIX) Count of semi-structu 3 10%	8 15% ured CTI 4	100%	
res Frand Total Input Data Type Count of Unstructur 26 70% Count of Named En 4 8% NLP Approach LER Sentiment Analysis ext Classification Topic Modeling Keyword Extractior County Cou	26% 100% If Count of Semi-structurex Count of Struce 3 11 30% In Count of Sentiment Analy Count of Text of 17% In Count of Sentiment Analy Count of Text of 17% 8% 8% 17% 31% 10% 19% 15% Count of Type of CTI 19% 11% 70%	Classification Count of Sum 16 31% Count of unst	tructured CTI Count of structured CTI 23 77% ICTI TI (STIX)	10 19% (STIX) Count of semi-structures 3 10%	8 15% ured CTI 4	100%	